UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Weight Changes and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes With Dolutegravir- and Tenofovir Alafenamide Fumarate—Containing Antiretroviral Treatment Regimens During Pregnancy and Postpartum

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9wr6k55d

Journal Clinical Infectious Diseases, 78(6)

ISSN 1058-4838

Authors

Hoffman, Risa M Brummel, Sean Ziemba, Lauren <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024-06-14

DOI

10.1093/cid/ciae001

Peer reviewed



Weight Changes and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes With Dolutegravir- and Tenofovir Alafenamide Fumarate–Containing Antiretroviral Treatment Regimens During Pregnancy and Postpartum

Risa M. Hoffman,^{1,©} Sean Brummel,² Lauren Ziemba,² Lameck Chinula,³ Katie McCarthy,⁴ Lee Fairlie,⁵ Patrick Jean-Philippe,⁶ Nahida Chakhtoura,⁷ Ben Johnston,⁸ Chelsea Krotje,⁸ Teacler G. Nematadzira,⁹ Frances Nakayiwa,¹⁰ Victoria Ndyanabangi,¹¹ Sherika Hanley,¹² Gerhard Theron,¹³ Avy Violari,¹⁴ Esau João,¹⁵ Mario Dias Correa Jr,¹⁶ Cristina Barroso Hofer,¹⁷ Oranich Navanukroh,¹⁸ Linda Aurpibul,^{19,©} Neetal Nevrekar,²⁰ Rebecca Zash,²¹ Roger Shapiro,² Jeffrey S. A. Stringer,²² Judith S. Currier,^{1,©} Paul Sax,²³ and Shahin Lockman²⁴; on behalf of the IMPAACT 2010/VESTED Study Team^a

¹Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA; ²Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ³UNC Chapel Hill Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, UNC Project Malawi, Lilongwe, Malawi; ⁴FHI 360, Durham, North Carolina, USA; ⁵Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa; ⁶Maternal Adolescent Pediatric Research Branch, Division of AIDS, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland, USA; ⁷National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA; ⁸Frontier Science Foundation, Amherst, New York, USA; ⁹University of Zimbabwe–UCSF Collaborative Research Programme, Chitungwiza, Zimbabwe; ¹⁰MUJHU Care Limited, Kampala, Uganda; ¹¹Baylor College of Medicine Children's Foundation Uganda, Kampala, Uganda, ¹²Department of Family Medicine, Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research and University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa; ¹³Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa; ¹⁴Perinatal HIV Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, Soweto, South Africa; ¹⁵Infectious Diseases Department, Hospital Federal dos Servidores do Estado, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; ¹⁶Peartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Universidade Federal de Rinas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; ¹⁷Department of Preventive Medicine, University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; ²⁰Byramjee Jeejeebhoy Government Medical College– Johns Hopkins University, Pune, India; ²¹Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ³²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ²³Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; and ²⁴Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Background. We evaluated associations between antepartum weight change and adverse pregnancy outcomes and between antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimens and week 50 postpartum body mass index in IMPAACT 2010.

Methods. Women with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 in 9 countries were randomized 1:1:1 at 14–28 weeks' gestational age (GA) to start dolutegravir (DTG) + emtricitabine (FTC)/tenofovir alafenamide fumarate (TAF) versus DTG + FTC/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) versus efavirenz (EFV)/FTC/TDF. Insufficient antepartum weight gain was defined using Institute of Medicine guidelines. Cox-proportional hazards regression models were used to evaluate the association between antepartum weight change and adverse pregnancy outcomes: stillbirth (\geq 20 weeks' GA), preterm delivery (<37 weeks' GA), small size for GA (<10th percentile), and a composite of these endpoints.

Results. A total of 643 participants were randomized: 217 to the DTG + FTC/TAF, 215 to the DTG + FTC/TDF, and 211 to the EFV/FTC/TDF arm. Baseline medians were as follows: GA, 21.9 weeks; HIV RNA, 903 copies/mL; and CD4 cell count, 466/µL. Insufficient weight gain was least frequent with DTG + FTC/TAF (15.0%) versus DTG + FTC/TDF (23.6%) and EFV/FTC/TDF (30.4%). Women in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm had the lowest rate of composite adverse pregnancy outcome. Low antepartum weight gain was associated with higher hazard of composite adverse pregnancy outcome (hazard ratio, 1.44 [95% confidence interval, 1.04–2.00]) and small size for GA (1.48 [.99–2.22]). More women in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm had a body mass index \geq 25 (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) at 50 weeks postpartum (54.7%) versus the DTG + FTC/TDF (45.2%) and EFV/FTC/TDF (34.2%) arms.

Conclusions. Antepartum weight gain on DTG regimens was protective against adverse pregnancy outcomes typically associated with insufficient weight gain, supportive of guidelines recommending DTG-based ART for women starting ART during pregnancy. Interventions to mitigate postpartum weight gain are needed.

Keywords. HIV; women's health; antepartum weight change; postpartum weight; adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Received 25 August 2023; editorial decision 05 December 2023; published online 5 January 2024

^aStudy group team members are listed in the Acknowledgments.

Correspondence: R. Hoffman, Department of Medicine and Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, Los Angeles, 10833 Le Conte Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90095 (Rhoffman@ mednet.ucla.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases[®] 2024;78(6):1617–28

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@ oup.com

https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciae001

Weight gain among people with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) who initiate antiretroviral therapy (ART) has received significant attention in the era of global scale-up of dolutegravir (DTG), which is included as a component of firstline therapy in global guidelines owing to its efficacy, high barrier to resistance, and excellent safety and tolerability profile [1]. Changes in weight have been marked for women receiving integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI)-based regimens, particularly DTG, with greater increases in limb and trunk fat compared with men [2]. Over 96 weeks, treatment-naive South African women gained significantly more weight after starting DTG + emtricitabine (FTC)/tenofovir alafenamide fumarate (TAF) compared with DTG + FTC/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF). Both DTG-based regimens resulted in more weight gain than efavirenz (EFV)/TDF/FTC [3], with the weight trajectory in the DTG arms continuing to increase over 144 weeks [4]. ART-associated weight gain for women on INSTI-based regimens has consequences for women's health and for pregnancy outcomes among the 1 million women with HIV who conceive every year [5].

Inadequate weight gain during pregnancy increases the risk of preterm delivery, stillbirth, and small size for gestational age (SGA) [6, 7]; preterm birth and SGA are primary risk factors for infant mortality and poor long-term health outcomes globally. In contrast, excessive antepartum weight gain is associated with large size for GA (LGA) and need for operative delivery [6], as well as with gestational diabetes [8] and hypertension [9]. Observational studies in women on INSTI-based regimens demonstrate a reduced risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes relative to women on EFV, with higher antepartum weight gain protective against adverse pregnancy outcomes [10, 11]. The complex interactions between HIV, ART, weight gain, and pregnancy outcomes remain understudied in the era of widespread INSTI use.

We sought to characterize weight changes and adverse pregnancy outcomes by randomized ART regimen in IMPAACT 2010 (VESTED: Virologic Efficacy and Safety of ART Combinations with TAF/TDF, EFV, and DTG), a phase III randomized trial comparing the virologic efficacy and safety of 3 ART regimens for cisgender pregnant women with HIV and their infants (NCT03048422): DTG + FTC/TAF versus DTG + FTC/TDF versus EFV/FTC/TDF. Previously published VESTED data showed that DTG-containing regimens initiated in pregnancy were associated with superior virologic suppression at delivery and that DTG + FTC/TAF had the lowest frequency of adverse pregnancy outcomes and EFV/FTC/TDF the highest frequency of neonatal and infant mortality [12]. In this article, we explore the relationship between antepartum weight gain and adverse outcomes, and characterize postpartum weight trajectories.

METHODS

Women were enrolled in VESTED between 19 January 2018 and 8 February 2019. All primary outcomes have been published, with detailed methods and Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) diagrams [12, 13]. Ante- and postpartum weight change and the association between antepartum weight change and adverse pregnancy outcomes were prespecified secondary analyses.

Study Population and Procedures

Pregnant women aged \geq 18 years with confirmed HIV-1 infection were enrolled from 14–28 weeks' gestational age (GA) at 22 sites in Botswana, Brazil, India, South Africa, Tanzania, Thailand, Uganda, the United States, and Zimbabwe. Participants were ART naive but could have received up to 14 days of ART during the current pregnancy; prior TDF or TDF/FTC preexposure prophylaxis; or ART during prior pregnancies and breastfeeding.

Baseline data were obtained at study enrollment (which occurred in the second or third trimester). Following randomization, antepartum study visits occurred every 4 weeks, at pregnancy outcome, and at 6, 14, 26, 38, and 50 weeks postpartum. Weight and height were measured at baseline, and weight was measured at every follow-up visit. For exploratory weight analyses, women were asked to report their prepregnancy weight at baseline, if known. Women who had ≥ 2 measurements for weight in their antepartum period before pregnancy outcome were included in antepartum analyses of change in weight.

Outcomes and Outcome Definitions

In our main prespecified analysis, we evaluated weight change between enrollment and delivery. These antepartum weight changes were analyzed in 2 ways. First, using low and high weight gain (defined as <0.18 kg/wk and >0.59 kg/wk, respectively, based on Institute of Medicine (IOM) guidelines for weekly weight gain in the second and third trimesters [14]) and second, as average weekly gain in maternal weight. The main outcome was the parent trial's prespecified composite adverse pregnancy outcome: spontaneous abortion (<20 weeks' GA), stillbirth (\geq 20 weeks' GA), preterm delivery (<37 weeks' GA), or SGA (<10th percentile, adjusted for sex) [15]. Individual components of the composite pregnancy outcome and neonatal death were also analyzed.

Post hoc analyses were performed to evaluate additional adverse pregnancy outcomes, including the following: (1) LGA (>90th percentile); (2) macrosomia (>4000 g); (3) a composite of LGA, macrosomia, or cesarean delivery for any indication; (4) a nonsevere pregnancy outcome, defined as preterm (32 to <37 weeks' GA) or SGA (3rd to <10th percentile); (4) a severe pregnancy outcome, defined as stillbirth, very preterm delivery (<32 weeks' GA), very small size for GA (<3rd percentile), and/or neonatal death; and (5) first grade \geq 3 maternal adverse event through pregnancy and up to 14 days postpartum. First occurring maternal adverse events were summarized by MedDRA system organ class and preferred term. Additional post hoc analyses included factors associated with body mass index (BMI) \geq 25 to <30 (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) (overweight category) or \geq 30 (obese category) at 50 weeks postpartum; causal mediation analysis of weight change and the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. Women's self-reported prepregnancy weight was used for an exploratory analysis of the association of prepregnancy weight and adverse pregnancy outcomes and to describe the frequency of BMI categories comparing prepregnancy and 50 weeks postpartum.

Statistical Analysis

By-arm differences in the average weekly change in maternal weight were estimated and tested using generalized estimating equations with an identity link and an exchangeable working correlation matrix. Average weekly weight change was described over the antepartum period (from enrollment through delivery), the postpartum period (from delivery through 50 weeks postpartum), and overall. The change in weight for each time period was summarized within each country and overall. When prepregnancy weight was not available, it was imputed. For estimating weight change over the antepartum period and overall, models were adjusted for a participant's baseline weight as a sensitivity analysis. A sensitivity analysis for estimating differences in antepartum maternal weight change was conducted to account for possible by-arm differences in antepartum follow-up time owing to loss to follow-up, fetal loss, or preterm delivery. Standardized mortality ratio weights were used to standardize the GA distributions in the DTG arms to the observed GA distribution in the EFV/FTC/TDF arm at each study visit during the antepartum period. For the postpartum period, models were adjusted for delivery weight in a sensitivity analysis.

Treatment arm, baseline age, baseline CD4 cell count, baseline weight, ethnicity, change in antepartum weight, and region were explored as factors associated with BMI \geq 25 to <30 or BMI \geq 30 at 50 weeks postpartum. Relative risks were estimated using modified Poisson regression with robust variance estimator. Factors were analyzed using separate univariate models and one multivariable model with all factors included. Because antepartum weight change is a potential mediator for postpartum weight, a multivariable model without adjustment for antepartum weight change was also presented. The interactions between treatment arm and potential factors were evaluated using a Wald test.

We fit the Cox proportional hazards model to compare the hazard of adverse pregnancy events and maternal grade \geq 3 adverse events by IOM weight gain categories (low vs normal and high vs normal). A similar analysis was conducted using weight as a continuous variable (for a 1-unit change in time-varying weekly change in weight) and prepregnancy weight. For preterm deliveries, censoring was applied at the last antepartum visit through 37 weeks' GA or the last antepartum visit before pregnancy outcome, whichever occurred first. Mother-infant pairs were censored at pregnancy outcome or the last antepartum visit for analyses of SGA, LGA, and macrosomia if they did not have a live birth pregnancy outcome or if infant birthweight

data were missing. For all outcomes, models were adjusted for baseline GA stratum: 14–18, 19–23, or 24–28 weeks.

For exploratory analyses using prepregnancy weight, multiple imputation was used for missing prepregnancy weights and BMI. The imputation models were fit using linear mixed effect models, with antepartum weight as the dependent variable and GA as a predictor. GA was modeled with random intercepts, random slopes, and polynomial smoothing splines. The level of smoothness was chosen using Bayesian information criteria. The percentage of missing information was calculated [16].

Causal mediation analysis was used to separate the estimated effect of study arm on the risk of the composite pregnancy outcome into 2 effects, one mediated through the change in weight (indirect effect) and the other not mediated through the change in weight (direct effect). A linear regression model estimating the study arm effect on weight change and a logistic regression model estimating the effect of study arm and weight change on adverse pregnancy outcomes were used to estimate total, indirect, and direct effects. The proportion mediated was also estimated, which indicates how much of the by-arm risk difference for having an adverse pregnancy outcome can be explained by the indirect effect of changes in weight. Mediation models were adjusted for baseline variables of GA, BMI, CD4 cell count, country, and age. For all analyses, treatment arm comparisons were performed with the principle of intent to treat.

Ethical Considerations and Oversight

The study was approved by institutional review boards at each site. All maternal participants provided written informed consent.

RESULTS

Study Population and Weight Changes by Arm

Baseline characteristics among 643 randomized women are summarized in Table 1, with no major differences between treatment arms. The median (interquartile range) age, GA, and baseline weight were 26.6 (22.5-31.7) years, 21.9 (18.3-25.3) weeks, and 63.0 (56.2-73.0) kg, respectively. A similar proportion of women in each arm had pre-pregnancy BMIs in the normal and overweight categories, but slightly more women in the EFV/FTC/TDF arm were underweight before pregnancy (10.7% vs 7% in each of the DTG arms), and slightly more women in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm were obese before pregnancy (17.7% vs 14.4% in the DTG + FTC/TDF and 12.6% in the EFV/FTC/TDF arm).

The estimated average antepartum weight gain in the second and third trimesters was lower than the recommended IOM standard for average weekly weight gain in pregnancy in all treatment arms; however, participants in the DTG + FTC/ TAF arm had higher antepartum weekly weight gain (0.378 kg/wk), which approached the IOM standard of

Table 1. Maternal Baseline Characteristics by Arm and Overall

		Treatment Group,	No. (%) of Women ^a	
	DTG + FTC/TAF	DTG + FTC/TDF	EFV/FTC/TDF	Total
Characteristic	(n = 217)	(n = 215)	(n = 211)	(n = 643)
Age				
Range, y	18.1–44.5	18.1–44.0	18.3–42.7	18.1–44.5
Median (IQR), y	26.8 (22.3-31.5)	26.0 (22.3-31.4)	26.6 (23.1-32.1)	26.6 (22.5–31.7)
Country				
Botswana	16 (7.4)	18 (8.4)	17 (8.1)	51 (7.9)
Brazil	21 (9.7)	19 (8.8)	17 (8.1)	57 (8.9)
India	2 (0.9)	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.5)
South Africa	37 (17.1)	37 (17.2)	37 (17.5)	111 (17.3)
Tanzania	15 (6.9)	13 (6.0)	15 (7.1)	43 (6.7)
Thailand	5 (2.3)	4 (1.9)	6 (2.8)	15 (2.3)
Uganda	37 (17.1)	37 (17.2)	36 (17.1)	110 (17.1)
United States	2 (0.9)	2 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.6)
Zimbabwe	82 (37.8)	84 (39.1)	83 (39.3)	249 (38,7)
Race				
Asian	7 (3.2)	5 (2.3)	6 (2.8)	18 (2.8)
Black or African American	195 (89.9)	196 (91 2)	194 (91 9)	585 (91.0)
Other	10 (4.6)	6 (2 8)	4 (1 9)	20 (3 1)
Unknown	0 (0 0)	1 (0 5)	0 (0 0)	1 (0 2)
White	5 (2 3)	7 (3 3)	7 (3 3)	19 (3.0)
Ethnicity	3 (2.3)	7 (0.0)	7 (0.0)	10 (0.0)
Hispanic or Latina	21 (9.7)	21 (9.8)	17 (8 1)	59 (9 2)
Not Hispanic or Latina	21 (9.7)	102 (90 2)	191 (00 5)	53 (5.2)
	2 (0 0)	2 (0 0)	2 (1 4)	7 (1 1)
	2 (0.9)	2 (0.9)	3 (1.4)	7 (1.1)
GA, WKS	21.0 (4.2)	01 4 (4 0)	21.0 (4.0)	01.0 (4.0)
Iviean (SD)	21.6 (4.2)	21.4 (4.2)	21.8 (4.2)	21.6 (4.2)
Kange	13.1-28.8	13.7-31.3	12.4-30.0	12.4-31.3
Median (IQR)	22.1 (18.4–25.0)	21.3 (18.1–25.1)	22.1 (18.3–25.4)	21.9 (18.3–25.3)
GA category	50 (00 7)	0.4 (00.0)	50 (00.0)	
14–18 wks	58 (26.7)	64 (29.8)	59 (28.0)	181 (28.1)
19–23 wks	93 (42.9)	83 (38.6)	77 (36.5)	253 (39.3)
24–28 wks	66 (30.4)	68 (31.6)	75 (35.5)	209 (32.5)
Weight, kg				
Mean (SD)	67.7 (15.1)	66.3 (16.8)	64.5 (13.3)	66.2 (15.2)
Range	35.8–124.2	44.2–206.3	43.9–126.4	35.8–206.3
Median (IQR)	65.0 (56.7–77.1)	63.0 (56.3–72.0)	61.4 (55.470.8)	63.0 (56.2–73.0)
Prepregnancy weight recorded	66 (30.4)	54 (25.1)	52 (24.6)	172 (26.7)
Prepregnancy BMI category ^c				
Underweight (<18.5)	7.1	7.7	10.7	8.5
Normal (18.5 to <25)	53.7	53.5	53.6	53.6
Overweight (25 to <30)	21.4	24.4	23.2	23.0
Obese (≥30)	17.7	14.4	12.6	14.9
Hepatitis B status				
Missing	1	0	2	3
Negative	213 (98.6)	209 (97.2)	205 (98.1)	627 (98.0)
Positive	3 (1.4)	6 (2.8)	4 (1.9)	13 (2.0)
WHO clinical stage				
1	213 (98.2)	211 (98.1)	208 (98.6)	632 (98.3)
2	4 (1.8)	4 (1.9)	3 (1.4)	11 (1.7)
Log ₁₀ HIV-1 RNA, copies/mL	(n = 216)	(n = 215)	(n = 209)	(n = 640)
Mean (SD)	3.0 (1.1)	2.9 (1.1)	3.1 (1.0)	3.0 (1.1)
Range	1.3–5.6	1.3–6.1	1.3–5.6	1.3–6.1
Median (IQR)	2.9 (2.2–3.8)	2.9 (2.1–3.6)	3.1 (2.3–3.7)	3.0 (2.2–3.7)

Table 1. Continued

		Treatment Group, 1	No. (%) of Women ^a	
Characteristic	DTG + FTC/TAF (n = 217)	DTG + FTC/TDF (n = 215)	EFV/FTC/TDF $(n = 211)$	Total (n = 643)
CD4 cell count, cells/µL	(n = 215)	(n = 215)	(n = 208)	(n = 638)
Mean (SD)	491 (233)	505 (250)	477 (251)	491 (244)
Range	51–1492	60–1571	68–1431	51–1571
Median (IQR)	467 (324–624)	481 (332–642)	439 (300–616)	466 (308–624)
CD4 cell count category				
Missing	2	0	3	5
50–349/µL	64 (29.8)	60 (27.9)	73 (35.1)	197 (30.9)
350–499/µL	56 (26.0)	54 (25.1)	50 (24.0)	160 (25.1)
500–750/µL	68 (31.6)	67 (31.2)	59 (28.4)	194 (30.4)
>750/µL	27 (12.6)	34 (15.8)	26 (12.5)	87 (13.6)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; DTG, dolutegravir; EFV, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; GA, gestational age; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; WHO, World Health Organization.

^aData represent no. (%) of women unless otherwise specified.

^bSites used the best available method to determine whether a woman met the inclusion criteria for gestational age (GA) at entry. The GA reported above was recalculated based on the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists algorithm [17], which uses information from ultrasonography and the last menstrual period. Ultrasounds were permitted to be performed up to 14 days after randomization.

^cBMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Prepregnancy BMI was imputed for 73% of participants for whom prepregnancy weights were not available. Imputed values were used to estimate the percentage of participants in each BMI category.



Figure 1. Weight changes antepartum. *A*, Average weekly rate of change antepartum relative to Institute of Medicine (IOM) standard. *B*, Low, normal, and high weight gain based on IOM definitions by treatment arm. Abbreviations: DTG, dolutegravir; EFV, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

0.42 kg/wk, compared with the DTG + FTC/TDF arm (0.319 kg/wk; difference, 0.058 [95% confidence interval (CI), .013–.102 kg/wk]) and the EFV/FTC/TDF arm (0.291 kg; difference, 0.086 [95% CI, .40–.132 kg/wk]) (Figure 1*A*). After standardizing for GA, the results were similar. There was marked variability between mean weight gain within country and treatment arm, but comparisons were limited by small sample size (Supplementary Table 1).

Low weight gain (0.18 kg/wk) was observed in 15.0% (95% CI, 10.2%–19.8%) of women in the DTG + FTC/TAF, 23.6% (17.9%–29.3%) in the DTG + FTC/TDF, and 30.0% (24.2%–

36.7%) in the EFV/FTC/TDF arm. The opposite pattern was seen for high weight gain (>0.59 kg/wk), which was observed in 12.7% (95% CI, 8.2%-17.1%) of women in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm, 9.9% (5.9%-13.9%) in the DTG + FTC/TDF arm, and 5.8% (2.6%-9.0%) in the EFV/FTC/TDF arm (Figure 1*B*).

More women in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm had BMI in the overweight or obese category at 50 weeks postpartum (55%), relative to the DTG + FTC/TDF (45%) and EFV/FTC/TDF (34%) arms, with a more marked shift into BMI \geq 25 among women in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm and minimal change



Figure 2. Body mass index (BMI) from before pregnancy to 50 weeks postpartum by study arm (BMI calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters). Note that prepregnancy BMI was imputed for 73% of participants when prepregnancy weight data were not available. Abbreviations: DTG, dolutegravir; EFV, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

Table 2. Predictors of Being Overweight or Obese at 50 Weeks Postpartum

	Unadjusted	RR		Adjusted R	R	Adjusted RR W Antepartum Weigl	/ithout ht Change
Variable ^a	Estimate (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value	Interaction With Arm <i>P</i> Value	Estimate (95% CI)	P Value	Estimate (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
DTG + FTC/TAF vs DTG + FTC/TDF	1.21 (.99–1.48)	.07		1.08 (.90–1.29)	.43	1.09 (.91–1.31)	.32
DTG + FTC/TDF vs EFV/FTC/TDF	1.32 (1.03–1.70)	.03		1.31 (1.04–1.65)	.02	1.33 (1.05–1.67)	.02
DTG + FTC/TAF vs EFV/FTC/TDF	1.60 (1.27–2.02)	<.001		1.42 (1.15–1.77)	.001	1.46 (1.18–1.81)	<.001
Age (y)	1.04 (1.02–1.05)	<.001	.42	1.02 (1.00–1.03)	.02	1.01 (1.00–1.03)	.051
Rate of antepartum weight gain (kg/wk)	1.09 (.70–1.69)	.70	.85	1.54 (1.03–2.31)	.04		
Baseline weight (kg)	1.04 (1.03–1.04)	<.001	.09	1.04 (1.03–1.04)	<.001	1.04 (1.03–1.04)	<.001
Baseline CD4 cell count, (cells/µL)	1.00 (1.00–1.00)	.92	.26	1.00 (1.00–1.00)	.47	1.00 (1.00–1.00)	.33
Hispanic/Latina vs not Hispanic/Latina	1.73 (1.43–2.11)	<.001	.90	2.19 (1.21–3.96)	.01	2.15 (1.43–3.23)	<.001
Race			.99				
Asian vs black or African American	0.93 (.50–1.74)	.82		9.50 (2.64–34.11)	<.001	1.34 (.75–2.38)	.32
White vs black or African American	1.45 (.98–2.15)	.06		0.93 (.53–1.63)	.81	0.83 (.51–1.33)	.44
Other vs black or African American	1.71 (1.28–2.28)	<.001		0.88 (.50–1.57)	.68	0.85 (.49–1.50)	.58
Region			.84				
Americas vs Africa	1.74 (1.44–2.11)	<.001		0.53 (.25–1.11)	.09	0.50 (.28–.90)	.02
Asia vs Africa	0.96 (.51–1.80)	.89		1.42 (.78–2.57)	.25	1.42 (.78–2.57)	.25

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; DTG, dolutegravir; EFV, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; RR, risk ratio; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. ^aCategorical variables are presented with the group of interest on the left and the reference group on the right (eg, Africa is the reference group for regional comparisons).

over follow-up in the EFV/FTC/TDF arm (Figure 2). The risk of BMI \geq 25 (obese or overweight categories) at 50 weeks after pregnancy was higher in the DTG + FTC/TAF than in the EFV/ FTC/TDF arm (risk ratio, 1.60 [95% CI, 1.27–2.02]) and in the DTG + FTC/TDF than the EFV/FTC/TDF arm (1.32 [1.03– 1.70]). Older age (RR, 1.04), Hispanic/Latina ethnicity (1.73), and higher baseline weight (1.04) were associated with a higher risk of BMI \geq 25 at 50 weeks postpartum (Table 2).

Association of IOM Antepartum Weight Change Category and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes and Adverse Events

Overall, 111 mother-infant pairs (18%) experienced a nonsevere adverse pregnancy outcome (preterm [32 to <37 weeks' GA] or SGA [3rd to <10th percentile]), and 12% (n = 78) experienced a severe pregnancy outcome (spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, very preterm [<32 weeks' GA], very small for GA [<3rd percentile], or neonatal death). Among women with low antepartum weight gain, 17% (n = 25) had a severe pregnancy outcome, compared with 11% (n = 47) with normal and 10% (n = 6) with high weight gain (Table 3).

Across all treatment arms, low weight gain was associated with an increased hazard of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome (hazard ratio [HR], 1.44 [95% CI, 1.04–2.00]) with a trend toward an association with a severe adverse pregnancy outcome (1.58 [.97–2.57]) and with SGA alone (1.48 [.99–2.22]) (Figure 3A). When comparing high with normal average weekly antepartum weight gain, there were no clear associations with adverse pregnancy outcomes, including macrosomia, cesarean delivery, and LGA (Figure 3B). For 21 women with high antepartum weight gain who delivered by cesarean, 3 (14%) had the procedure because of preeclampsia or eclampsia and 2 (10%) because of suspected macrosomia. There were 14 neonatal deaths, with no observed associations between neonatal deaths and high or low weight gain categories.

In the by-arm analysis of weight gain by IOM category, low weight gain on DTG + FTC/TAF was associated with a higher hazard of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome (HR, 2.69 [95% CI, 1.39–5.21]), with a similar trend for EFV/TDF/ FTC, which did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3*C*). There were no clear associations between high weight gain and arm (Figure 3*D*).

Of 632 women, 143 experienced at least one grade \geq 3 adverse event through 14 days postpartum. The most common first occurrences of these adverse events were a decrease in hemoglobin (in 30 women), hypertensive disorders (in 24 women; including chronic hypertension, gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, and eclampsia), and infections (in 12 women). Detailed grade ≥ 3 adverse events are described in Supplementary Table 2. Women with low compared with normal weight gain were more likely to have a grade ≥ 3 adverse event (39 of 145 vs 87 of 427 women, respectively; HR 1.43 [95% CI, .98-2.10]). There was variability in the association by treatment arm, with low versus normal weight gain on DTG + FTC/TAF (Figure 4A) and high versus normal weight gain on EFV/FTC/TDF showing stronger associations with a grade ≥3 event (HRs, 2.54 [95% CI, 1.21-5.32] and 3.89 [1.62–9.32], respectively) (Figure 4B).

Association of Continuous Weekly Antepartum Weight Change and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Across all treatment arms, higher weekly antepartum weight gain was associated with a 66% reduction in the risk of SGA (HR, 0.34 [95% CI .15–.80]), a 59% reduction in the risk of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome (0.41 [.21–.81]), and a 68% reduction in the risk of a severe pregnancy outcome (0.32 [.12–.86]). In the DTG + FTC/TAF arm, higher weekly antepartum weight gain was associated with lower hazards of preterm delivery (HR, 0.02 [95% CI, .00–.24]) and of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome (0.09 [.02–.36]) and stillbirths (0.02 [.00–.085]) (Supplementary Table 3). Higher

							Women t	y Weight Ga	in Category,	No. (%)						
		Low We	ight Gain			Normal W€	ight Gain			High Weig	ht Gain			Tot	al	
Adverse Pregnancy Outcome ^a	DTG + FTC/ TAF	DTG + FTC/ TDF	EFV/ FTC/ TDF	All Arms	DTG + FTC/ TAF	DTG + FTC/ TDF	EFV/ FTC/ TDF	All Arms	DTG + FTC/ TAF	DTG + FTC/ TDF	EFV/ FTC/ TDF	All Arms	DTG + FTC/ TAF	DTG + FTC/ TDF	EFV/ FTC/ TDF	All Arms
Severe	7 (22)	8 (16)	10 (16)	25 (17)	10 (7)	20 (14)	17 (13)	47 (11)	2 (7)	3 (15)	1 (8)	6 (10)	19 (9)	31 (15)	28 (14)	78 (12)
Nonsevere	6 (19)	9 (18)	17 (27)	32 (22)	23 (15)	27 (19)	22 (17)	72 (17)	2 (7)	2 (10)	3 (25)	7 (12)	31 (15)	38 (18)	42 (20)	111 (18)
No adverse outcome	19 (59)	32 (65)	36 (57)	87 (60)	120 (78)	94 (67)	93 (70)	307 (72)	23 (85)	15 (75)	8 (67)	46 (78)	162 (76)	141 (67)	137 (66)	440 (70)
Total	32 (100)	49 (100)	63 (100)	144 (100)	153 (100)	141 (100)	132 (100)	426 (100)	27 (100)	20 (100)	12 (100)	59 (100)	212 (100)	210 (100)	207 (100)	629 (100)
Abbreviations: DTG, ^a Severe pregnancy c GA) ad small size foi	, dolutegravir; EF sutcomes include r GA (3rd to <10	-V, efavirenz; I ed spontaneou th percentile).	FTC, emtricita ls abortion, stil	bine; TAF, tenc Ilbirth, very pret	ofovir alafenami term delivery (<	de fumarate; TC 32 weeks' geste	DF, tenofovir di: ational age [GA]	soproxil fumara I), very small size	ite. e for GA (<3rd	percentile), and	d neonatal de	ath; nonsevere	pregnancy out	tcomes, pretern	n delivery (32 tc	<37 weeks'

able 3. Pregnancy Outcomes Overall and by Treatment Arm Based on Institute of Medicine Weight Categories

Α									В								
		Weight	Proportion	HR				P Value: Interaction	Outcome		Weight Gain	Proportion With Event	HR (95% CI)			P Value	P Value: Interaction of Weight
Outcome Composite outcome		Gain Normal	With Event 27%	(95% CI) Reference	Lower Risk	Higher Risk	P Value	of Weight and Arm	Composite outcom	ne	Normal High	27% 22%	Reference 0.7 (.41-1.29)	Lower Risk	Higher Risk	.27	.13
Stillbirth		Low Normal	38% 3%	1.4 (1.04-2.00) Reference		-	.03	.13	Stillbirth		Normal High	3% 2%	Reference 0.5 (.06-3.61)	•		.47	.47
Preterm delivery		Low Normal	4%	1.2 (.47–3.27) Reference	-	•	.67	.47	Preterm delivery		Normal High	8% 7%	Reference 0.9 (.35-2.33)	_	—	.84	.57
SGA		Normal	10%	1.3 (.69–2.38) Reference		- - -	.43	.57	SGA		Normal High	18% 12%	Reference 0.6 (.27–1.27)		•	.18	.51
Neonatal death		Normal	23%	Reference	_		80	.51	Neonatal death		Normal High	2% 2%	Reference 0.8 (.10-6.48)		•	.85	.55
Severe pregnancy ou	tcome	Normal	11% 17%	Reference 1.6 (.97–2.57)		_ _	.07	.23	Severe pregnancy	outcome	Normal High	11% 10%	Reference 0.8 (.35-1.96)	_		.68	.23
Cesarean delivery		Normal Low	22% 16%	Reference 0.9 (.54-1.36)		•	.52	.65	Cesarean delivery		Normal High	22% 37%	Reference 1.4 (.87–1.36)		-	.16	.65
Macrosomia		Normal Low	3% 1%	Reference 0.4 (.05-3.35)	•		.42	.59	Macrosomia		Normal High	3% 5%	Reference 2.0 (.55-7.40)			.29	.59
LGA		Normal Low	5% 4%	Reference 0.9 (.37-2.28)	_	•	.86	.66	LGA		Normal High	5% 8%	Reference 1.5 (.55–3.83)			.45	.66
Grade ≥3 AE		Normal Low	20% 27%	Reference 1.4 (.98–2.10)		-	.06	.26	Grade 25 AE		High	28%	1.3 (.77–2.20)		-	.32	.26
					0.1 HR	1 10 (95% Cl))							0.1 HR	1 1 (95% CI)	D	
С									D								
Treatment Weigh Group Gain DTG+FTC/TAF Norma Low	t Propo With E al 21 41	rtion Event % % 2.7	HR (95% Cl) Reference	Lower Risk		Higher Ris	<i>P</i> Value k – .003	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm	Treatment V Group G DTG+FTC/TAF N	Veight Pro iain With Jormal 2	portion n Event 21%	HR (95% CI) Reference	Lower Risk	I	Higher Risk	P Value	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
DTG+FTC/TDF Norma	al 33	%	Reference				50		H DTG+FTC/TDF	ligh Iormal 3	15% 0 33%	.8 (.26–2.15) Reference		•	_	.60	
EFV/FTC/TDF Norma Low	32 al 27 40	%0. % %1.	.8 (.45–1.47) Reference .4 (.83–2.37)			_	.50		EFV/FTC/TDF	ligh Iormal	24% 0 27% 38% 1	.6 (.25–1.63) Reference 7 (.65–4.42)	•	<u> </u>		.35	
Total Norma Low	al 27 38	% % 1.4	Reference (1.02-1.96)				.04	.13	Total N	lormal ligh	27% 23% 0	Reference .8 (.45–1.36)		•		.38	.13
				1 HR (9	2 5% CI)	3 4 5	5		Adjusted for GA	stratum at	baseline		F	1 IR (95% CI)	2 3 4 5		

Figure 3. Associations between adverse pregnancy outcomes and Institute of Medicine weight gain categories. *A*, Low versus normal weight gain. *B*. High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *B*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *B*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *B*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. *D*, High versus normal weight gain by arm for the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome. The composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome

weekly antepartum weight gain was associated with a 30-fold increase in the hazard of macrosomia (HR, 30.6 [95% CI, 2.2–420]), although the CI was wide owing to the low number of events (occurring in 15 infants).

Prepregnancy Weight and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

For the exploratory analysis of prepregnancy weight, retrospectively collected data were available for 27% of women and imputed for 73%. Since prepregnancy weight was strongly associated with weight at study entry, the percentage of missing information using the imputation model to assess the relationship between the pregnancy outcomes and prepregnancy weight was low and ranged from 0.12% to 1.18%. For every 10-kg increase in prepregnancy weight, the hazard of SGA was reduced (HR, 0.86 [95% CI, .76–.98]), while there was a trend toward a higher hazard of macrosomia, LGA, and cesarean delivery (Supplementary Table 4). Higher prepregnancy weight was associated with having a grade \geq 3 adverse event (HR, 1.09 [95% CI, 1.00–1.18]).

Causal Mediation Analysis

In causal mediation analysis, the percentage of the risk difference of adverse pregnancy outcome mediated by weight change was 31% for DTG + FTC /TAF versus EFV/FTC/TDF and 11% for DTG + FTC/TAF versus DTG + FTC/TDF. These results did not differ after adjustment for baseline GA, BMI, CD4 cell count, country, and age, and they suggest that up to one-third of observed differences in adverse pregnancy outcomes between randomized arms are mediated by ART-related weight change, with other factors accounting for the remainder of the effect (Supplementary Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

In this randomized clinical trial of women with HIV starting ART in pregnancy, we found that insufficient weight gain during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy occurred in all treatment arms. However, greater weight gain was seen with DTG-based ART, in particular if combined with TAF/FTC. Furthermore, greater pregnancy weight gain was associated with a reduction in the hazard of adverse pregnancy outcomes typically associated with insufficient weight gain, including SGA, preterm delivery, and stillbirth.

The relationship between insufficient antepartum weight gain and adverse pregnancy outcomes is well established in women both with [10, 11] and without [6, 7, 18] HIV. Much of the HIV-related data have been collected from women receiving what was previously first-line ART with EFV/TDF

Α										
Outcome	Treatment Group	Weight Gain	Proportion With Event	HR (95% CI)					<i>P</i> Value	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Grade ≥3 AE	DTG+FTC/TAF	Normal	18%	Reference	Lower	Ris	k	Higher Ris	k	
		Low	31%	2.54 (1.21-5.32)				<u> </u>	.01	
	DTG+FTC/TDF	Normal	23%	Reference						
		Low	32%	1.23 (.65–2.32)		•			.53	
	EFV/FTC/TDF	Normal	20%	Reference						
		Low	21%	1.03 (.52–2.03)					.94	
	Total	Normal	20%	Reference						.06
		Low	27%	1.43 (.98–2.10)					.06	
						1	2	3 4 5 7	9	
					HR	(95	% CI))		
В										
										P Value:
	Treatment	Woight	Broportion	цр						P Value: Interaction
Outcome	Treatment Group	Weight Gain	Proportion With Event	HR (95% Cl)					<i>P</i> Value	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF	Weight Gain Normal	Proportion With Event 18%	HR (95% Cl) Reference	Lower	Ris	sk	Higher Ri	<i>P</i> Value isk	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF	Weight Gain Normal High	Proportion With Event 18% 19%	HR (95% CI) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76)	Lower	Ris	ik	Higher Ri	P Value sk .92	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF	Weight Gain Normal High Normal	Proportion With Event 18% 19% 23%	HR (95% Cl) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference	Lower	Ris	ik	Higher Ri	P Value sk .92	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF	Weight Gain Normal High Normal High	Proportion With Event 18% 19% 23% 24%	HR (95% CI) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference 0.92 (.36–2.38)	Lower	Ris	ik .	Higher Ri	P Value isk .92 .87	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF EFV/FTC/TDF	Weight Gain Normal High Normal High Normal	Proportion With Event 18% 19% 23% 24% 20%	HR (95% CI) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference 0.92 (.36–2.38) Reference	Lower	Ris	ik	Higher Ri 	P Value isk .92 .87	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF EFV/FTC/TDF	Weight Gain Normal High Normal High Normal High	Proportion With Event 18% 19% 23% 24% 20% 58%	HR (95% Cl) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference 0.92 (.36–2.38) Reference 3.89 (1.62–9.32)	Lower	Ris	ik 	Higher Ri 	P Value sk .92 .87 – .002	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF EFV/FTC/TDF Total	Weight Gain Normal High Normal High Normal High Normal	Proportion With Event 18% 23% 24% 20% 58% 20%	HR (95% Cl) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference 0.92 (.36–2.38) Reference 3.89 (1.62–9.32) Reference	Lower	Ris	ik 	Higher Ri 	P Value sk .92 .87 – .002	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF EFV/FTC/TDF Total	Weight Gain Normal High Normal High Normal High Normal High	Proportion With Event 18% 23% 24% 20% 58% 20% 28%	HR (95% Cl) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference 0.92 (.36–2.38) Reference 3.89 (1.62–9.32) Reference 1.31 (.77–2.20)	Lower	Ris	sk 	Higher Ri 	P Value isk .92 .87 – .002 .32	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF EFV/FTC/TDF Total	Weight Gain Normal High Normal High Normal High	Proportion With Event 18% 19% 23% 24% 20% 58% 20% 28%	HR (95% Cl) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference 0.92 (.36–2.38) Reference 3.89 (1.62–9.32) Reference 1.31 (.77–2.20)	Lower	Ris	sk 	Higher Ri 	P Value isk .92 .87 002 .32	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm
Outcome Grade ≥3 AE	Treatment Group DTG+FTC/TAF DTG+FTC/TDF EFV/FTC/TDF Total	Weight Gain Normal High Normal High Normal High	Proportion With Event 18% 19% 23% 24% 20% 58% 20% 28%	HR (95%Cl) Reference 1.05 (.40–2.76) Reference 0.92 (.36–2.38) Reference 3.89 (1.62–9.32) Reference 1.31 (.77–2.20)	Lower	• Ris	sk 	Higher Ri 	P Value sk .92 .87 – .002 .32 9	P Value: Interaction of Weight and Arm .06

Figure 4. Associations between Institute of Medicine weight category and a maternal grade ≥3 adverse event (AE) overall and by arm, adjusted for baseline gestational age category. *A*, Low versus normal weight gain. *B*, High versus normal weight gain. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; DTG, dolutegravir; EFV, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; HR, hazard ratio; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

(with FTC or lamivudine [3TC]), a regimen now known to have a weight-suppressive effect [19-21]. As global first-line ART shifts to DTG-based therapy, our study results have particular relevance for women with HIV of childbearing potential. Women in our study in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm achieved antepartum weight gain that approached (though was still lower than) IOM-recommended normal weekly weight gain, and they experienced the lowest rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Although weight gain with INSTI regimens has raised concern with regard to exacerbating an already significant global obesity epidemic [22–24], our data counter the dogma that INSTI-associated weight gain has only negative health effects. Our data are consistent with other recent observational studies comparing EFV and INSTI-based regimens in pregnancy showing that EFV versus DTG was associated with more insufficient antepartum weight gain in Botswana [25] and retrospective data from women initiating either raltegravir or EFV in pregnancy, in which women on raltegravir gained more weight and were less likely to have adverse pregnancy outcomes [10].

In our study population, higher weekly antepartum weight gain was associated with macrosomia. A study from Botswana among women conceiving on either EFV or DTG found that having a first pregnancy weight >90 kg (at a visit before 24 weeks' GA) was associated with a higher risk of macrosomia (adjusted risk ratio, 3.24 [95% CI, 2.36–4.44]) and hypertension (1.79 [1.62–1.97]) [11]. In this Botswana study, the risk of hypertension by weight strata was higher for women on DTG, an observation seen in people with HIV who are not pregnant [26]. At least one other study identified an association between INSTI regimens and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy [27], suggesting this is an important area for further study.

Of note, while EFV-based regimens have been largely phased out globally, high antepartum weight gain with EFV in our study was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, as well as with a higher rate of grade \geq 3 adverse events, while low weight gain on DTG regimens was associated with grade \geq 3 adverse events. These finding underscore the complexity of the interaction between HIV, ART regimen, baseline weight, weight change, and other factors that may influence pregnancy outcomes. This is reflected in our mediation analysis, in which up to one-third of the observed differences in the composite pregnancy outcome between randomized arms was attributed to antepartum weight gain. While this degree of contribution from weight is important, there remain significant gaps in our understanding of the mechanisms by which different ART regimens influence pregnancy outcomes [28].

While both DTG-containing regimens were associated with improved antepartum weight gain and reduced risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes, more women on these regimens had BMIs in the overweight or obese category at 50 weeks postpartum, and this represented a shift from baseline, particularly for women on DTG/TAF/FTC. Similar data have been reported from DolPHIN-2, a randomized trial of DTG- versus EFV-containing regimens initiated late in pregnancy in South Africa and Uganda, in which women on DTG-based ART retained more weight through 72 weeks postpartum [29]. In DolPHIN-2, weight changes were more marked in South African women, suggesting regional variation in weight gain patterns. Outside of pregnancy and postpartum, weight gain among women has been consistently noted with the initiation of DTG- relative to EFV-containing regimens, including from Cameroon [30] and South Africa [4], and a recent study from South Africa demonstrated modest weight loss in people who switched from DTG/FTC/TAF to DTG/FTC/TDF [31]. Research suggests that EFV and TDF are weight suppressive in certain individuals, and this may contribute to the perception that INSTI and TAF are significantly obesogenicalthough mechanisms of weight changes remain unclear and are likely to be multifactorial [32]. An in vitro study showed that DTG disrupts estrogen-mediated fat differentiation, providing one potential mechanism for greater ART-related weight gain in women than men [33]. More research is needed on the differential effects of INSTI-related weight gain in women, with representation from diverse populations to reflect the variability seen by race/ethnicity and region.

Taken together, our data portray a complex picture of weight gain. Increased antepartum weight gain on DTG regimens was protective for adverse pregnancy outcomes that are known to be associated with insufficient weight gain and are supportive of guidelines that recommend DTG-based ART for women starting ART during pregnancy. However, given that more women on the DTG regimens had BMIs in the overweight or obese categories approximately 1 year postpartum (representing a shift from prepregnancy category) and given the known association between prepregnancy weight and pregnancy outcomes [11] (replicated in our study), this pattern may ultimately be associated with adverse outcomes over time. Women with high BMI at conception are at risk of having LGA or macrosomic infants, gestational diabetes, or hypertensive disorders of pregnancy [34], and at least one risk prediction model showed excess risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes with DTG-based regimens, including gestational hypertension, with the excess risk most marked for DTG + FTC/TAF [35]. In the setting of high fertility rates in many high HIV prevalence regions (4.7 in sub-Saharan Africa [36]), pregnancy planning and preconception counseling can play an important role optimizing maternal weight between pregnancies, to improve both maternal and infant health outcomes. Future research should seek to

1626 • CID 2024:78 (15 June) • Hoffman et al

understand weight change over recurrent pregnancies among women on DTG and DTG/TAF-containing regimens.

Important strengths of our study include randomization and enrollment from diverse global settings. However, the small number of adverse pregnancy events limited our exploration of antepartum weight change with individual components of the composite adverse pregnancy outcome endpoint as well as our precision in estimating the association of weight gain with adverse pregnancy outcomes by ART regimen. Prepregnancy weight was not available for many women, resulting in the need for imputation for weight and BMI categories, which could overestimate or underestimate our findings.

In conclusion, DTG-containing ART initiated in pregnancy resulted in healthier antepartum weight gain, with the lowest rates of adverse pregnancy outcomes in the DTG + FTC/TAF arm. More women in the DTG arms (particularly those on DTG/TAF but also those on DTG/TDF) had BMI ≥ 25 at 50 weeks postpartum, and the importance of prepregnancy BMI as a predictor of pregnancy outcomes raises important questions about how to balance benefits of antepartum weight gain with risks of excess weight gain over time in women of childbearing age, particularly in settings with high fertility rates. Given the importance of DTG-based ART as a welltolerated and highly effective regimen globally, a focus on healthy body weight, particularly before conception, may help optimize outcomes over recurrent pregnancies as well as health over a woman's life span.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Author Contributions. Development of statistical analysis plan and analyses: R. M. H., S. B., L. Z., and S. H. Primary drafting of manuscript: R. M. H., and S. L. Editing of manuscript: S. B., L. Z., L. C., L. F., T. G. N., F. N., V. N., S. H., G. T., A. V., E. J., M. D. C., C. B. H., O. N., L. A., N. N., R. Z., R. S., J. S. A. S., J. S. C., and P. S. Direct support of research protocol implementation: L. C., K. M., L. F., P. J. P., N. C., T. G. N., F. N., V. N., S. H., G. T., A. V., E. J., O. N., L. A., N. N., S. H., G. T., A. V., E. J., C. B. H., O. N., J. S. C., and P. S. Direct support of research protocol implementation: L. C., K. M., L. F., P. J. P., N. C., T. G. N., F. N., V. N., S. H., G. T., A. V., E. J., C. B. H., O. N., L. A., N. N., J. S. A. S., and J. S. C. Data management and review of manuscript: B. J. and C. K.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank and acknowledge the trial participants; the site investigators, site staff and collaborating institutions, and the local/International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) Community Advisory Board members who supported this trial; IMPAACT network leadership (including Sharon Nachman, MD [chair], and James McIntyre, MBChB, FRCOG [vice-chair]); the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases African Data and Safety Monitoring Board members (David P. Harrington, PhD, MA [chair], Catherine Hill, MSc, Steven Joffe, MD, MPH, Alwyn Mwinga, MBChB, MSc, MD, Andrew J. Nunn, MSc, Merlin L. Robb, MD, and Haroon Saloojee, MBBCh, FCPaed, MSc); the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Therapeutics and Prevention Data and Safety Monitoring Board members (Merlin L. Robb, MD [chair], Jonathan Kimmelman, PhD, Graeme A. Meintjes, PhD, MBChB, Barbara E. Murray, MD, Stuart Campbell Ray, MD, Haroon Saloojee, MBBCh, FCPaed, MSc, Anastasios A. Tsiatis, PhD, Paul A. Volberding, MD, David Glidden, PhD, and Valeria Cavalcanti Rolla, MD, PhD); the Safety Review Group members (N. C., Jeanna Piper, MD, Karin Klingman, MD, Debika Bhattacharya, MD, P. J. P., Lynne Mofenson, MD, S. B., L. Z., B. J., and C. K.); IMPAACT 2010 team members, in addition to coauthors (Scott McCallister, MD, Jean van Wyk, MBChB, MFPM, Mark Mirochnick, MD, Brookie Best, PhD, Kevin Robertson, PhD, Cheryl Blanchette, BS, Nagawa Jaliaah, Andi Fox, MPH, Frances Whalen, Kevin Knowles, and William Murtaugh, MPH); Mauricio Pinilla, MS; Yao Cheng, PhD; and Emmanuel Patras. The authors also acknowledge and thank Jim Rooney and Rich Clark from Gilead Sciences and Scott McCallister and Jean van Wyck from ViiV Heathcare.

Additional study team members. IMPAACT Operations Center at FHI 360: Anne Coletti, MS; Division of AIDS, National Institutes of Health (NIH): Lynette Purdue, PharmD; University of Washington: Lisa Frenkel, MD; University of Michigan: K. Rivet Amico, PhD; Massachusetts General Hospital: Lewis Ball Holmes, MD; Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute: Gaerolwe Masheto, MD, and Sikhulile Moyo, MSc, MPH; University of California San Diego: Jeremiah Momper, PharmD; University of Zimbabwe College of Health Sciences Clinical Trials Unit: Lynda Stranix-Chibanda; Data Management Center at Frontier Science Foundation: Kevin Knowles, PhD; and Laboratory Center at UCLA: William Murtaugh, MPH, and Frances Whalen, MPH.

Participating sites. Botswana: Botswana Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership, Gaborone and Molepolole-Ponego L. Ponatshego, MD, DTMH, Lesedi Tirelo, Dip Nursing, Boitshepo J. Seme, Dip Nursing, Georginah O. Modise, Dip Nursing, Mpho S. Raesi, BSN, PGDip HIV/ AIDS Management, Marian E. Budu, MBBS, and Moakanyi Ramogodiri, Dip Nursing. Brazil: Instituto de Puericultura e Pediatria Martagão Gesteira, UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro-Ricardo Hugo Oliveira, MD, Mac, Thalita Fernandes de Abreu, MD, PhD, and Lorena Macedo Pestanha, MD; Hospital Federal dos Servidores do Estado, Rio de Janeiro-Leon Claude Sidi, MD, Trevon Fuller, PhD, and Maria Leticia Santos Cruz, PhD; Federal University of Minas Gerais-Jorge Pinto, MD, Flavia Ferreira, MD, M. D. C., and Juliana Romeiro, PhD; Hospital Geral de Nova Iguacu and AIDS and Molecular Immunology Laboratory/IOC-Jose Henrique Pilotto, MD, PhD, Luis Eduardo Barros Costa Fernandes, MD, MSc, Luiz Felipe Moreira, MD, and Ivete Martins Gomes, MD, MSc. India: Byramjee Jeejeebhoy Medical College CRS, Pune-Shilpa Naik, MD, Vidya Mave, MD, and Aarti Kinikar, MD. South Africa: Shandukani Research Centre, Wits Reproductive Health and HIV Institute, Johannesburg-Lee Fairlie, MBCHB (UCT), DCH (UK), FC Peads (Wits), Mmed (Wits), Elizea Horne, MBCHB(Pret), Faeezah Patel, MBBCh (Wits), and Hamisha Soma-Kasiram, MBBCh (Wits), B Pharm; Perinatal HIV Research Unit, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg-Haseena Cassim, MBChB, Sisinyana Ruth Mathiba, MBChB, and Mandisa Nyati, MBChB; FAMCRU, Cape Town-Jeanne de Jager, MSc, Magdel Rossouw, MBChB, and Lindie Rossouw, MBChB; CAPRISA Umlazi CRS, University of Kwazulu Natal, Durban-Alicia Catherine Desmond, Mpharm, Rosemary Gazu, Dip Nursing, and Vani Govender, BSC Hon. Thailand: Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok—Amphan Chalermchockcharoenkit, MD, Manopchai Thamkhantho, MD, Peerawong Werarak, MD, and Supattra Rungmaitree, MD; Chiangrai Prachanukroh Hospital, Chiangrai-Jullapong Achalapong, MD, and Lukkana Sitiritkawin, BSN; AMS-CMU & IRD Research Collaboration, Chiangrai-Tim R. Cressey, PhD, and Pra-ornsuda Sukrakanchana, BSN; Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University-Fuanglada Tongprasert, MD, Chintana Khamrong, MSc, and Sopida Kiattivej, BNS, RN. Uganda: Mujhu Care Ltd, Kampala-Deo Wabwire, MMED, Enid Kabugo, MSC, and Joel Maena, MPH; Baylor College of Medicine Children's Foundation-Uganda, Kampala-Beatrice Nagaddya, Dip Nus Mid, Rogers Sekabira, MPS, and Justus Ashaba, BCS. United States: University of Miami, Miami, Florida-Charles D. Mitchell, MD, Adriana Drada, CCRP, Grace A. Alvarez, MPH, and Gwendolyn B. Scott, MD; UF CARES, Jacksonville, Florida-Mobeen Rathore, MD, Saniyyah Mahmoudi, MSN,

ARNP, Adnan Shabbir, BS, and Nizar Maraqa, MD. Zimbabwe: University of Zimbabwe Clinical Trials Research Centre, Harare—St Mary's—Patricia Fadzayi Mandima, MPH, Mercy Mutambanengwe, Bpharm Hons, Suzen Maonera, MSc, Nursing Science, and Gift Chareka, MSc, Clinical Trials; Seke North—Vongai Chanaiwa, Bpharm Hons, MSc Clin Epi, Taguma Allen Matubu, PhD, and Kevin Tamirepi, Bpharm Hons; Harare Family Care—Sukunena Maturure, DCN, Tsungai Mhembere, MPH, Tichaona Vhembo, MPH, and Tinashe Chidemo, MBA.

Data availability. Data are not publicly available.

Disclaimer. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Financial support. This work was supported by the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) Network; the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (contract HHSN275201800001I), and the National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health (grants UM1AI068632 [IMPAACT LOC], UM1AI068616 [IMPAACT SDMC], and UM1AI106716 [IMPAACT LC]); the National Institutes of Health (grant K24AI131928 to S. L.); the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (contract HHSN275201800001I and support to S. B. and L. Z.); the Division of AIDS, National Institutes of Health (support to S. B. and L. Z.); the Developing Research Innovation, Localisation and Leadership (DRILL) program (support to S. H.; funded under grant D43TW010131 from the National Institutes of Health through the Fogarty International Center, the NIH Common Fund, the Office of AIDS Research, Office of the Director, and the National Institute of Mental Health); ViiV Healthcare, Gilead Sciences, and Mylan Pharmaceuticals (provision of study drugs); and ViiV/GSK (support to S. B. and L. Z.).

A. V. reports support from IMPAACT for the present work as funding for the conduct of the trial, paid to their institution.

Potential conflicts of interest. R. M. H. is an Elsevier ClinicalKey editorial board member. R. M. H. also reports honoraria for lectures on this topic from the Los Angeles County Division of HIV and STI Programs and the UCLA CARE Center's continuing medical education seminars. S. B. and L. Z. reports support for the present work from the NIH Division of AIDS/Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and ViiV/GSK, paid to their institution. L. F. reports grants or contracts for the current study through NIH/IMPAACT. S. H. reports grants or contracts from UKZN Developing Research Innovation, Localisation and Leadership in South Africa (DRILL), the Fogarty International Center, the NIH Common Fund, Office of Strategic Coordination, Office of the Director, Office of AIDS Research, the NIH Office of the Director, the National Institute of Mental Health, NIH (award D43TW010131, paid to their institution, from January 2018 to July 2023), and the SA National Research Foundation (Thuthuka funding grant, paid to their institution, from January 2019 to December 2021). R. Z. reports receipt of study medication from ViiV healthcare for a federally funded research study (as principal investigator); ViiV is donating long-acting cabotegravir for an implementation study of preexposure prophylaxis in Botswana, funded by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. J. S. C. is a scientific advisor for Merck, participating on its advisory board, and reports royalties or licenses from UpToDate. P. S. reports grants or contracts from Gilead and ViiV; consulting fees from Gilead, Janssen, Merck, and ViiV; and participation on a data safety monitoring board or advisory board for Merck. All other authors report no potential conflicts.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

 Ruderman SA, Crane HM, Nance RM, et al. Brief report: weight gain following ART initiation in ART-naive people living with HIV in the current treatment era. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2021; 86:339–43.

- McCann K, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. The ADVANCE clinical trial: changes from baseline to week 96 in DXA-assessed body composition in TAF/FTC + DTG compared to TDF/FTC + DTG, and TDF/FTC/EFV. In: 17th European AIDS Conference, November 6–9, 2019, Basel. Available at: https://www.natap.org/ 2019/EACS/EACS_31.htm. Accessed 12 May 2023.
- 3. Venter WDF, Sokhela S, Simmons B, et al. Dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate versus efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (ADVANCE): week 96 results from a randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2020; 7:e666–76.
- 4. Venter WDF, Bosch B, Sokhela S, et al. Final week 192 results from the ADVANCE trial: first-line TAF/FTC + DTG, TDF/FTC + DTG vs TDF/FTC/ EFV. In: AIDS 2022—The 24th International AIDS Conference. Montreal, Canada, 2022. Available at: https://programme.aids2022.org/Abstract/Abstract/? abstractid=12600. Accessed 15 May 2023.
- UNAIDS. In danger: UNAIDS global AIDS update 2022. Available at: https:// www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2022/in-danger-global-aids-update. Accessed 3 August 2023.
- Goldstein RF, Abell SK, Ranasinha S, et al. Association of gestational weight gain with maternal and infant outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2017; 317:2207–25.
- Chung JG, Taylor RS, Thompson JMD, et al. Gestational weight gain and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a nulliparous cohort. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2013; 167:149–53.
- Lautredou M, Pan-Petesch B, Dupre PF, et al. Excessive gestational weight gain is an independent risk factor for gestational diabetes mellitus in singleton pregnancies: results from a French cohort study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2022; 275:31–6.
- Ren M, Li H, Cai W, et al. Excessive gestational weight gain in accordance with the IOM criteria and the risk of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2018; 18:281.
- Coutinho CM, Warshaw MG, Duarte G, et al. Effects of initiating raltegravirbased versus efavirenz-based antiretroviral regimens during pregnancy on weight changes and perinatal outcomes: NICHD P1081. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2022; 91:403–9.
- Zash R, Caniglia EC, Diseko M, et al. Maternal weight and birth outcomes among women on antiretroviral treatment from conception in a birth surveillance study in Botswana. J Int AIDS Soc 2021; 24:e25763.
- Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2021; 397:1276–92.
- Chinula L, Ziemba L, Brummel S, et al. Efficacy and safety of three antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy up to 50 weeks post partum: a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet HIV 2023; 10:e363–74.
- Institute of Medicine. Weight gain during pregnancy: reexamining the guidelines. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2009.
- The International Fetal and Newborn Growth Consortium for the 21st Century. INTERGROWTH-21st. International newborn size standards. 2014. Available at: https://intergrowth21.tghn.org/standards-tools/. Accessed 2 July 2023.
- Madley-Dowd P, Hughes R, Tilling K, Heron J. The proportion of missing data should not be used to guide decisions on multiple imputation. J Clin Epidemiol 2019; 110:63–73.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Methods for estimating the due date. Committee Opinion No. 700. Obstet Gynecol 2017; 129:e150–4. Available at: https://www.acog.org/-/media/project/acog/acogorg/clinical/files/ committee-opinion/articles/2017/05/methods-for-estimating-the-due-date.pdf
- Rogozinska E, Zamora J, Marlin N, et al. Gestational weight gain outside the Institute of Medicine recommendations and adverse pregnancy outcomes:

analysis using individual participant data from randomised trials. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth **2019**; 19:322.

- Joseph NT, Satten GA, Williams RE, et al. The effect of antiretroviral therapy for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 in pregnancy on gestational weight gain. Clin Infect Dis 2022; 75:665–72.
- Leonard MA, Cindi Z, Bradford Y, et al. Efavirenz pharmacogenetics and weight gain following switch to integrase inhibitor-containing regimens. Clin Infect Dis 2021; 73:e2153-e63.
- Shah S, Pilkington V, Hill A. Is tenofovir disoproxil fumarate associated with weight loss? AIDS 2021; 35(suppl 2):S189–S95.
- 22. Gona PN, Kimokoti RW, Gona CM, et al. Changes in body mass index, obesity, and overweight in Southern Africa development countries, 1990 to 2019: findings from the Global Burden of Disease, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study. Obes Sci Pract 2021; 7:509–24.
- Seidell JC, Halberstadt J. The global burden of obesity and the challenges of prevention. Ann Nutr Metab 2015; 66(suppl 2):7–12.
- Bengtson AM, Myer L, Abrams EJ, Jao J, Cu-Uvin S. INSTIs and weight gain in pregnancy. Lancet HIV 2020; 7:e663–e5.
- Caniglia EC, Shapiro R, Diseko M, et al. Weight gain during pregnancy among women initiating dolutegravir in Botswana. EClinicalMedicine 2020; 29–30: 100615.
- Brennan AT, Nattey C, Kileel EM, et al. Change in body weight and risk of hypertension after switching from efavirenz to dolutegravir in adults living with HIV: evidence from routine care in Johannesburg, South Africa. EClinicalMedicine 2023; 57:101836.
- 27. Saums MK, King CC, Adams JC, et al. Combination antiretroviral therapy and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol **2019**; 134:1205–14.
- Eke AC, Mirochnick M, Lockman S. Antiretroviral therapy and adverse pregnancy outcomes in people living with HIV. N Engl J Med 2023; 388:344–56.
- Malaba TR, Nakatudde I, Kintu K, et al. 72 Weeks post-partum follow-up of dolutegravir versus efavirenz initiated in late pregnancy (DolPHIN-2): an openlabel, randomised controlled study. Lancet HIV 2022; 9:e534–43.
- 30. Calmy A, Tovar ST, Kouanfack C, et al. Dolutegravir-based and low-dose efavirenz-based regimen for the initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (NAMSAL): week 96 results from a two-group, multicentre, randomised, open label, phase 3 non-inferiority trial in Cameroon. Lancet HIV 2020; 7:e677–87.
- Bosch B, Akpomiemie G, Chandiwana N, et al. Weight and metabolic changes after switching from tenofovir alafenamide/emtricitabine (FTC)+dolutegravir (DTG), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)/FTC + DTG, and TDF/FTC/efavirenz to TDF/lamivudine/DTG. Clin Infect Dis 2023; 76:1492–5.
- Wood BR, Huhn GD. Excess weight gain with integrase inhibitors and tenofovir alafenamide: what is the mechanism and does it matter? Open Forum Infect Dis 2021; 8:ofab542.
- 33. Jung I, Jin S, Tu-Sekine S, Anokye-Danso F, Brown TT, Kim S. A loss of ERα attenuates DTG-mediated disruption of thermogenesis in brown adipocytes [abstract 147]. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 19–22 February 2023; Seattle, Washington. Available at: https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/a-loss-of-er%ce%b1-attenuates-dtg-mediated-disruption-of-thermogenesis-in-brown-adipocytes/. Accessed 15 June 2023.
- 34. Vats H, Saxena R, Sachdeva MP, Walia GK, Gupta V. Impact of maternal prepregnancy body mass index on maternal, fetal and neonatal adverse outcomes in the worldwide populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Res Clin Pract 2021; 15:536–45.
- 35. Asif S, Baxevanidi E, Hill A, et al. The predicted risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes as a result of treatment-associated obesity in a hypothetical population receiving tenofovir alafenamide/emtricitabine/dolutegravir, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine/dolutegravir or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine/efavirenz. AIDS 2021; 35(suppl 2):S117–S25.
- World Bank. Fertility rate, total (births per woman)—Sub-Saharan Africa. Available at: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.TFRT.IN?locations= ZG. Accessed 1 April 2023.