

## **UC Irvine**

### **Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health**

#### **Title**

Sexually Transmitted Infection Co-testing in a Large Urban Emergency Department

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9tc121t5>

#### **Journal**

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 25(3)

#### **ISSN**

1936-900X

#### **Authors**

Ford, James S.

Morrison, Joseph C.

Wagner, Jenny L.

et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2024-04-09

#### **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# Sexually Transmitted Infection Co-testing in a Large Urban Emergency Department

James S. Ford, MD\*  
 Joseph C. Morrison†  
 Jenny L. Wagner, PhD, MPH‡  
 Disha Nangia§  
 Stephanie Voong, MPH||  
 Cynthia G. Matsumoto, PhD¶  
 Tasleem Chechi, MPH||  
 Nam Tran, PhD#  
 Larissa May, MD, MSPH||

\*University of California San Francisco, Department of Emergency Medicine, San Francisco, California  
 †University of California Davis, Davis, California  
 ‡California State University, Department of Public Health, Sacramento, California  
 §University of California Davis, School of Medicine, Sacramento, California  
 ||University of California Davis Health, Department of Emergency Medicine, Sacramento, California  
 ¶University of California Davis Health, Learning Health System, Department of Population Health and Accountable Care, Sacramento, California  
 #University of California Davis Health, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Sacramento, California

Section Editor: Juan Acosta, DO, MS

Submission history: Submitted July 19, 2023; Revision received November 17, 2023; Accepted December 22, 2023

Electronically published April 9, 2024

Full text available through open access at [http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem\\_westjem](http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem)

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.18404

**Introduction:** The incidence of sexually transmitted infections (STI) increased in the United States between 2017–2021. There is limited data describing STI co-testing practices and the prevalence of STI co-infections in emergency departments (ED). In this study, we aimed to describe the prevalence of co-testing and co-infection of HIV, hepatitis C virus (HCV), syphilis, gonorrhea, and chlamydia, in a large, academic ED.

**Methods:** This was a single-center, retrospective cross-sectional study of ED patients tested for HIV, HCV, syphilis, gonorrhea or chlamydia between November 27, 2018–May 26, 2019. In 2018, the study institution implemented an ED-based infectious diseases screening program in which any patient being tested for gonorrhea/chlamydia was eligible for opt-out syphilis screening, and any patient 18–64 years who was having blood drawn for any clinical purpose was eligible for opt-out HIV and HCV screening. We analyzed data from all ED patients  $\geq 13$  years who had undergone STI testing. The outcomes of interest included prevalence of STI testing/co-testing and the prevalence of STI infection/co-infection. We describe data with simple descriptive statistics.

**Results:** During the study period there were 30,767 ED encounters for patients  $\geq 13$  years (mean age:  $43 \pm 14$  years, 52% female), and 7,866 (26%) were tested for at least one of HIV, HCV, syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia. We observed the following testing frequencies (and prevalence of infection): HCV, 7,539 (5.0%); HIV, 7,359 (0.9%); gonorrhea, 574 (6.1%); chlamydia, 574 (9.8%); and syphilis, 420 (10.5%). Infectious etiologies with universal testing protocols (HIV and HCV) made up the majority of STI testing. In patients with syphilis, co-infection with chlamydia (21%, 9/44) and HIV (9%, 4/44) was high. In patients with gonorrhea, co-infection with chlamydia (23%, 8/35) and syphilis (9%, 3/35) was high, and in patients with chlamydia, co-infection with syphilis (16%, 9/56) and gonorrhea (14%, 8/56) was high. Patients with HCV had low co-infection proportions (<2%).

**Conclusion:** Prevalence of STI co-testing was low among patients with clinical suspicion for STIs; however, co-infection prevalence was high in several co-infection pairings. Future efforts are needed to improve STI co-testing rates among high-risk individuals. [West J Emerg Med. 2024;25(3)382–388.]

**Keywords:** emergency department; sexually transmitted infection; sexually transmitted disease; public health; human immunodeficiency virus; HIV; hepatitis C virus; syphilis; gonorrhea; chlamydia.

## INTRODUCTION

An estimated one in five individuals in the United States (US) are infected with a sexually transmitted infection (STI).<sup>1,2</sup> Between 2017–2021, the incidence of syphilis and gonorrhea increased and the incidence of chlamydia infections remained high.<sup>2</sup> With widespread use of antiretroviral treatment, the overall incidence of HIV has declined over the same period, but incidence has plateaued in certain high-risk groups, such as people who inject drugs.<sup>3</sup> While curative treatment for HCV became available in the US in 2011, the incidence of HCV doubled between 2013–2020.<sup>4,5</sup> Moreover, just 33% of those with chronic HCV have been cured, and less than 17% of young (<40 years), uninsured patients have achieved sustained viral clearance.<sup>6</sup> Low testing frequencies, patient unawareness of infection, poor access to traditional treatment settings (ie, primary care clinics) and re-infection following cure all contribute to these sub-optimal data.<sup>6,7</sup>

The emergency department (ED) is an important safety net for underserved, high-risk populations, making it a vital setting to deliver healthcare services to patients without access to primary care.<sup>8,9</sup> Emergency department-based infectious diseases screening programs have demonstrated success in identifying STIs and linking patients to treatment.<sup>10–12</sup> It is well known that contraction of one STI increases a patient's risk of co-infection with other STIs.<sup>13,14</sup> One ED-based study showed that among patients who received testing for STI, co-testing for a second STI was as low as 8%; however, this study did not report prevalence of infection/co-infection.<sup>15</sup> Other ED-based studies report prevalence of co-infection but only single STI pairings.<sup>10,15–17</sup> Understanding ED STI co-testing frequencies and prevalence of co-infections is imperative for optimizing public health infectious disease surveillance and treatment, particularly among patients without access to traditional primary care services. In this study, we aimed to describe co-testing and co-infection prevalence of HIV, hepatitis C virus (HCV), syphilis, gonorrhea, and chlamydia, in a large, academic ED.

## METHODS

### Overview

In 2018, the study institution implemented an opt-out, ED-based infectious diseases screening program that employed electronic health record (EHR) best practice alerts (BPA). Any patient being tested for gonorrhea/chlamydia was eligible for opt-out syphilis screening. Additionally, any

### Population Health Research Capsule

What do we already know about this issue?  
*Data on sexually transmitted infection (STI) testing and prevalence are limited in the emergency department (ED) setting.*

What was the research question?  
*What is the prevalence of STI testing, co-testing and co-infection among ED patients.*


What was the major quantitative finding of the study?  
*Co-testing for STIs was infrequent, but co-infection with chlamydia was high among patients with syphilis (21%) and gonorrhea (23%).*

How does this improve population health?  
*This study highlights the need to improve STI co-testing rates among high-risk individuals.*

ED patient 18–64 years of age who was having blood drawn for any clinical purpose, was eligible for opt-out HIV and HCV screening. Funding for lab tests was obtained by charging the patient's insurance, a billing strategy employed by similar screening programs and studies.<sup>18</sup> If a patient requested that their insurance not be charged, or they did not have insurance, testing was paid for by the program grant. Physicians (including all residents), nurse practitioners and physician assistants could order testing. The full details of these screening programs have been previously described.<sup>10–12</sup> An example BPA is available in [Figure 1](#). In this study we examined STI testing/co-testing frequencies and infection prevalence in the ED. As data was initially collected for quality assurance purposes, the study was deemed not to be human subjects research by the Institutional Review Board Quality Improvement Self-Certification Tool.

### Study Design and Setting

This was a retrospective, cross-sectional study of ED patients tested for HIV, HCV, syphilis, gonorrhea, or



**Figure 1.** Example of a best practice alert inside the electronic health record.

chlamydia during the six-month period following implementation of the ED-based infectious diseases screening program. The study institution was a quaternary care, academic, Level I trauma center in Northern California that sees more than 80,000 patient visits annually.

### Selection of Participants

We included data from all patients  $\geq 13$  years who had undergone testing for one or more of HIV, HCV, syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia in the ED between November 27, 2018–May 26, 2019.

### Measurements

We abstracted data from the EHR (Epic Systems Corp, Verona, WI) using computer-generated reports by querying patients who had received ED STI tests during the study period. We included demographic factors (age, gender, race, ethnicity) and results of STI testing. The data analyst responsible for procuring these reports was blinded to the hypothesis of the study. We defined STI co-testing as testing for two or more of the following STIs: gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis, HIV, and HCV. To prevent duplicate data, we included only a patient's first ED visit where they received HCV testing when calculating co-testing/co-infection prevalence. We examined subsequent testing that occurred in future ED visits to identify instances where broader STI testing could have identified infections earlier. Data was stored in de-identified datasets, and patients were given unique identifiers to maintain patient confidentiality.

HIV screening was performed using a HIV P24 antigen (Ag) and HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody (Ab) combination test with the ARCHITECT i1000SR immunoanalyzer (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL), and diagnoses were confirmed using Bio-Rad Rapid Test Multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 Ab reflex testing (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc, Hercules, CA). Screening for HCV in the ED was performed using a chemiluminescent anti-HCV ARCHITECT i1000SR immunoassay, and diagnoses were confirmed by HCV ribonucleic acid viral load (VL) with Cobas HCV 4800 assay (Roche Molecular Systems, Pleasanton, CA). Patients were considered positive for HCV only if they had a detectable

VL. Multiplex gonorrhea and chlamydia urine polymerase chain reaction testing was also performed via the Cobas 4800 assay. Patients were tested for *Treponema pallidum* IgM/IgG antibody (TPA) using Bio-Rad's multiplex flow immunoassay (MFI), Bioplex 2200.<sup>19</sup> Specimens with reactive TPA MFI results underwent reflexive confirmatory quantitative non-treponemal assay testing, using rapid plasma reagin. If test results were discordant, the specimen was tested reflexively using the *T pallidum* particle agglutination test as an additional confirmatory treponemal test.

### Outcomes

The outcomes of interest included the prevalence of STI testing/co-testing and prevalence of STI infection/co-infection.

### Analysis

We described data using descriptive statistics. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and proportions, and continuous variables were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations. We performed all statistical analyses using Stata 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

## RESULTS

### Patient Characteristics

There were 30,767 ED patient encounters for patients aged  $\geq 13$  years during the study period. Of these, 7,866 (26%) were tested for at least one of HIV, HCV, syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia. The mean age of patients was  $43 \pm 14$  years, and 4,077 (52%) were female. The most common race was White (39%), and most patients were non-Hispanic (76%). Most patients tested had Medicaid insurance (56%). See [Table 1](#) for full patient characteristics.

### Prevalence of Sexually Transmitted Infection Testing/Co-Testing

The most commonly tested STIs were those with universal screening indications: HCV (24.5%, 7,539/30,767); and HIV (23.9%, 7,359/30,767). Gonorrhea/chlamydia (1.9%, 74) testing was more common than syphilis testing (1.4%,

**Table 1.** Characteristics of 7,866 emergency department patients who underwent testing for at least one sexually transmitted infection.

Characteristic	Value
Mean age (years) <sup>1</sup>	43 ± 14
Gender	
Male	48% (3,789)
Female	52% (4,077)
Race/ethnicity <sup>2</sup>	
White	39% (3,034)
Black	22% (1,698)
Asian	7% (517)
Mixed/other	32% (2,517)
Ethnicity <sup>3</sup>	
Hispanic	24% (1,845)
Non-Hispanic	76% (5,933)
Sexuality (self-identified) <sup>4</sup>	
Heterosexual	93% (1,386)
LGBTQ	7% (105)
Housing status <sup>5</sup>	
Domiciled	91% (5,982)
Undomiciled	9% (614)
Insurance type	
Private	27% (2,162)
Medicare	13% (1,025)
Medicaid	56% (4,399)
Self/uninsured	4% (280)

<sup>1</sup>Reported as mean ± standard deviation.

<sup>2</sup>Data missing for 100 patients.

<sup>3</sup>Data missing for 88 patients.

<sup>4</sup>Data missing for 6,375 patients.

<sup>5</sup>Data missing for 1,270 patients.

LGBTQ, Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer.

420/30,767). Of those who received testing for STIs, 6.5% (508/7,866) were tested for a single STI. Patients were tested for two or more STIs in 95.6% (7,521/7,866) of cases and three or more STIs in 5.6% (437/7,866) of cases. Patients were tested for HIV, HCV, syphilis, and gonorrhea/chlamydia in 3.6% (286/7,866) of cases. See [Table 2](#) for overall co-testing.

**Prevalence of Infection/Co-Infection**

The seroprevalence of infection was highest for syphilis (44/420, 10.5% [95% CI 7.7–13.8]), followed by chlamydia (56/574, 9.8% [95% CI 7.4–12.5]), gonorrhea (35/574, 6.1% [95% CI 4.3–8.4]), HCV (373/7,470, 5.0% [95% CI 4.5–5.5]), and HIV (67/7,354, 0.9% [95% CI 0.7–1.2]). Among 67 patients who tested positive for HIV, HCV was the most common co-infection (seven patients, 10.4%). Among 373 patients who tested positive for HCV, HIV was the most

**Table 2.** Overall testing/co-testing proportions among emergency department (ED) patients tested for sexually transmitted infections during their first ED visit.

One STI tested	Testing proportion
HCV only	24.5% (7,539/30,767)
HIV only	23.9% (7,354/30,767)
Syphilis only	1.4% (420/30,767)
Gonorrhea	1.9% (574/30,676)
Chlamydia	1.9% (574/30,676)
Two STIs co-tested	Co-testing proportion
Gonorrhea + Chlamydia <sup>1</sup>	100% (574/574)
HCV + HIV	95% (7,240/7,650)
HCV + syphilis	4.4% (333/7,626)
HCV + Gonorrhea	4.4% (344/7,769)
HCV + Chlamydia	4.4% (344/7,769)
HIV + syphilis	4.5% (357/7,417)
HIV + Gonorrhea	4.6% (346/7,582)
HIV + Chlamydia	4.6% (346/7,582)
Syphilis + Gonorrhea	57% (361/633)
Syphilis + Chlamydia	57% (361/633)
Three STIs co-tested	Co-testing proportion
HCV + HIV + syphilis	2.1% (314/14,680)
HCV + HIV + Gonorrhea	2.2% (319/14,829)
HCV + HIV + Chlamydia	2.2% (319/14,829)
HCV + syphilis + Gonorrhea	2.0% (303/14,861)
HCV + syphilis + Chlamydia	2.0% (303/14,861)
HIV + syphilis + Gonorrhea	4.0% (306/7,736)
HIV + syphilis + Chlamydia	4.0% (306/7,736)
Four STIs co-tested	Co-testing proportion
HCV + HIV + Syphilis + Gonorrhea	286 (3.6%)
HCV + HIV + Syphilis + Chlamydia	286 (3.6%)
All five STIs co-tested	Co-testing proportion
HCV + HIV + syphilis + Gonorrhea + Chlamydia	286 (3.6%)

<sup>1</sup>Gonorrhea and chlamydia were always tested together. STI, sexually transmitted infection; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

common co-infection (seven, 0.9%). Among 44 patients who tested positive for syphilis, chlamydia was the most common co-infection (nine, 20.5%). Among 35 patients who tested positive for gonorrhea, chlamydia was the most common co-infection (eight, 22.9%). Among patients who tested positive for chlamydia, syphilis was the most common co-infection (nine, 16.1%). One patient was infected with three STIs (HCV, HIV, and syphilis). No patients were infected with more than three concurrent STIs. Overall co-infection data is available in [Table 3](#).

**Table 3.** Infection and co-infection proportions for sexually transmitted infections.

Infection type	% (Proportion)
Syphilis infection	10.5% (44/420)
Chlamydia co-infection	20.5% (9/44)
HIV co-infection	9.1% (4/44)
Gonorrhea co-infection	6.8% (3/44)
HCV co-infection	2.3% (1/44)
Gonorrhea infection	6.1% (35/574)
Chlamydia co-infection	22.9% (8/35)
Syphilis co-infection	8.6% (3/35)
HIV co-infection	2.9% (1/35)
HCV co-infection	2.9% (1/35)
Chlamydia infection	9.8% (56/574)
Syphilis co-infection	16.1% (9/56)
Gonorrhea co-infection	14.3% (8/56)
HCV co-infection	1.8% (1/56)
HIV co-infection	0%
HIV infection	0.9% (67/7,354)
HCV co-infection	10.4% (7/67)
Syphilis co-infection	5.9% (4/67)
Gonorrhea co-infection	1.5 (1/67)
Chlamydia co-infection	0%
HCV infection	5.0% (373/7,470)
HIV co-infection	1.9% (7/373)
Chlamydia co-infection	0.3% (1/373)
Gonorrhea co-infection	0.3 % (1/373)
Syphilis co-infection	0.3% (1/373)

Gonorrhea and chlamydia were always tested together.  
HCV, hepatitis C virus.

### Potentially Missed Diagnoses

A total of 633 patients received targeted STI testing due to clinical concern during their first ED visit (tested for combo gonorrhea/chlamydia and/or syphilis). However, co-testing between syphilis and gonorrhea/ chlamydia occurred in only 57% (361/633) of these testing encounters. Only 63% (397/633) of these patients received HIV co-testing, and only 59% received HCV co-testing. Some patients received STI testing for one or more STIs, but not all five STIs (gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis, HIV, HCV), during their first ED visit. In this group with incomplete STI testing, we assessed whether patients received further STI testing in any of their next four documented ED visits within the study period and found the following testing counts and positive results: HCV, 81 (9 positive [11.1%]); HIV, 61 (1 positive [1.6%]); syphilis, 49 (3 positive [6.1%]); and gonorrhea/chlamydia, 55 (1 gonorrhea positive [1.8%]).

### DISCUSSION

In our study we examined STI testing/co-testing and infection/co-infection prevalence in ED patients who were tested for at least one of HIV, HCV, syphilis, gonorrhea, or chlamydia. To our knowledge, this is the first ED-based study to report ED STI co-testing and co-infection frequencies for every combination of gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis, HIV, and HCV. Overall, STI co-testing was infrequent, but co-infection prevalence was high among several STI co-test pairings.

The HIV/HCV testing co-testing occurred frequently, likely related to the presence of the universal screening BPA. According to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, approximately 21% of individuals with HIV in high-risk populations (ie, men who have sex with men, people who use drugs) are co-infected with HCV.<sup>20</sup> While published data is limited, previous ED-based studies found that 8–33% patients with HIV were co-infected with HCV.<sup>21–24</sup> In our study, 10.5% of patients with HIV were co-infected with HCV, but only 1.9% of patients with HCV were co-infected with HIV. Previous studies in this ED population found that patients shared some (male gender, unhoused status, history of illicit drug use, and Medicare insurance status) but not all risk factors for infection.<sup>25,26</sup> It is possible that co-infection proportions may differ among patients with HCV and HIV due to some other unmeasured risk factor. Alternatively, given the immunosuppressive properties of HIV, patients who are exposed to HCV may be more likely to progress to a chronic infection.<sup>27</sup>

Among patients with gonorrhea, 23% were co-infected with chlamydia. Conversely, only 14% of those infected with chlamydia were co-infected with gonorrhea. This differential co-infection pattern has been previously reported in at least one other ED-based study (gonorrhea+, chlamydia+: 44%; chlamydia+, gonorrhea+: 17%).<sup>28</sup> Among patients with syphilis, we also observed a high prevalence of chlamydia co-infection (21%). In our study, there was a BPA that prompted clinicians to test patients for syphilis who were undergoing gonorrhea/chlamydia testing, and co-testing occurred in just 57% of patients. Previous studies report the prevalence of syphilis and gonorrhea/chlamydia co-testing (9–39%); however, we could not find any ED-based studies that reported proportions of co-infection.<sup>29</sup> Similarly, we found that patients who were tested for syphilis and/or gonorrhea/chlamydia, co-testing for HIV and HCV occurred infrequently. Given that patients with syphilis, gonorrhea, and chlamydia had the highest prevalence of co-infection with other STIs. These instances represent potential missed opportunities for diagnosis and linkage to care.

In our study, there were several patients who tested positive for specific STIs in subsequent ED visits and were not tested for these STIs in their initial visit. It is possible that patients contracted the STI exposure after the index ED visit, and had they been tested at the index visit they may have been

negative. However, it is also possible that these diagnoses were present at the index visit and were missed, suggesting that increased co-testing can lead to increased diagnosis of clinically significant co-infections with the potential to reduce transmission in the community.

## LIMITATIONS

This was a retrospective study with data obtained from the EHR at a single institution; thus, our findings may not be generalizable to all settings. Our study had multiple BPAs in place that likely influenced clinician co-testing behavior. We did not report chief complaints, making it difficult to differentiate patients with true clinical indication for testing, and patients who were being screened as part of a screening protocol. Since testing for syphilis and gonorrhea/chlamydia was not universal, the reported proportions are unlikely to represent the prevalence for the whole ED, but rather the prevalence of infection among patients with clinical suspicion for STI. While HIV and HCV screening was universally ordered for most patients undergoing bloodwork, patients could still opt out, which may have biased the prevalence estimates for these infections.

## CONCLUSION

Prevalence of co-testing for sexually transmitted infection was low among patients with clinical suspicion for STI; however, co-infection prevalence was high in several co-infection pairings. Encounters with single STI testing represent a missed opportunity to screen for co-infections. Future efforts are needed to improve STI co-testing rates among high-risk individuals. With the incidence of many STIs increasing, the ED can serve as an important screening setting for STIs, especially in patients without access to traditional outpatient services.

*Address for Correspondence:* Larissa May, MD, MSPH, University of California Davis Health, Department of Emergency Medicine, 4150 V. St., PSSB 2100, Sacramento, CA 95817. Email: [ismay@ucdavis.edu](mailto:ismay@ucdavis.edu)

*Conflicts of Interest:* By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. This work was supported by Gilead-funded Frontlines of Communities in the United States (FOCUS). The FOCUS Program is a public health initiative that enables partners to develop and share best practices in routine blood-borne virus (HIV, HCV, HBV) screening, diagnosis, and linkage to care in accordance with screening guidelines promulgated by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), and state and local public health departments. FOCUS funding supports HIV, HCV, and HBV screening and linkage to the first medical appointment after diagnosis. FOCUS partners do not use FOCUS awards for activities

beyond linkage to the first medical appointment. There are no other conflicts of interest or sources of funding to declare.

*Copyright:* © 2024 Ford et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Press Release: CDC estimates 1 in 5 people in the U.S. have a sexually transmitted infection. 2021. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/newsroom/2021/2018-STI-incidence-prevalence-estimates-press-release.html>. Accessed May 20, 2022.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, et al. Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2019. 2021. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/std/statistics/2019/std-surveillance-2019.pdf>. Accessed May 20, 2022.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). HIV Incidence. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/statistics/overview/in-us/incidence.html>. Accessed July 18, 2023.
- Manns MP and Maasoumy B. Breakthroughs in hepatitis C research: from discovery to cure. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2022;19(8):533–50.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Hepatitis C Surveillance 2020. 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/statistics/2020surveillance/hepatitis-c.htm>. Accessed July 18, 2023.
- Wester C, Osinubi A, Kaufman HW, et al. Hepatitis C virus clearance cascade - United States, 2013–2022. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2023;72(26):716–20.
- Ryerson AB, Schillie S, Barker LK, et al. Vital Signs: newly reported acute and chronic hepatitis C cases - United States, 2009–2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(14):399–404.
- Stanford KA, Hazra A, Schneider J. Routine opt-out syphilis screening in the emergency department: a public health imperative. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2020;27(5):437–8.
- Ford JS, Marianelli LG, Frassone N, et al. Hepatitis B screening in an Argentine ED: increasing vaccination in a resource-limited setting. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2020;38(2):296–9.
- Ford JS, Chechi T, Otmar M, et al. ED syphilis and gonorrhea/chlamydia cotesting practices before and after the implementation of an electronic health record-based alert. *Emerg Med J*. 2021. 21:emermed-2020-210331.
- Ford JS, Chechi T, Toosi K, et al. Universal screening for hepatitis C virus in the ED using a best practice advisory. *West J Emerg Med*. 2021;22(3):719–25.
- Ford J, Rouleau S, Voong S, et al. Universal HIV screening in the emergency department: an interrupted time series analysis. *AIDS*. 2022. In press.

13. Choudhry S, Ramachandran VG, Das S, et al. Characterization of patients with multiple sexually transmitted infections: A hospital-based survey. *Indian J Sex Transm Dis AIDS*. 2010;31(2):87–91.
14. Pakianathan MR, Ross JD, McMillan A. Characterizing patients with multiple sexually acquired infections: a multivariate analysis. *Int J STD AIDS*. 1996;7(5):359–61.
15. Barnes A, Jetelina KK, Betts AC, et al. Emergency department testing patterns for sexually transmitted diseases in North Texas. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2019;46(7):434–9.
16. Seballos SS, Lopez R, Hustey FM, et al. Co-testing for human immunodeficiency virus and sexually transmitted infections in the emergency department. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2022;49(8):549–50.
17. White DA, Alter HJ, Irvin NA, et al. Low rate of syphilis screening among high-risk emergency department patients tested for gonorrhea and chlamydia infections. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2012;39(4):286–90.
18. Schechter-Perkins EM, Miller NS, Hall J, et al. Implementation and preliminary results of an emergency department nontargeted, opt-out hepatitis C virus screening program. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2018;25(11):1216–26.
19. Marangoni A, Nardini P, Foschi C, et al. Evaluation of the BioPlex 2200 syphilis system as a first-line method of reverse-sequence screening for syphilis diagnosis. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2013;20(7):1084–8.
20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). People coinfecting with HIV and viral hepatitis. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/populations/hiv.htm#ref07>. Accessed February 14, 2023.
21. Burrell CN, Sharon MJ, Davis S, et al. Using the electronic medical record to increase testing for HIV and hepatitis C virus in an Appalachian emergency department. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21(1):524.
22. Martel-Laferrriere V, Baril JG, Alarie I, et al. Opt-out universal HCV and HIV screening in a Canadian emergency room: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12(1):e048748.
23. Cieply L, Simmons R, Ijaz S, et al. Seroprevalence of HCV, HBV and HIV in two inner-city London emergency departments. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2019;147:e145.
24. Torian LV, Felsen UR, Xia Q, et al. Undiagnosed HIV and HCV infection in a New York City emergency department, 2015. *Am J Public Health*. 2018;108(5):652–8.
25. Ford JS, Hollywood E, Steuble B, et al. Risk factors for hepatitis C virus infection at a large urban emergency department. *J Viral Hepat*. 2022;29(10):930–7.
26. Ford JS, Mousa MA, Voong S, et al. Risk factors for HIV infection at a large urban emergency department: a cross-sectional study. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2023;99(6):404–8.
27. Thomas DL, Leoutsakas D, Zabransky T, et al. Hepatitis C in HIV-infected individuals: cure and control, right now. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2011;14:22.
28. Wilson SP, Vohra T, Knych M, et al. Gonorrhea and chlamydia in the emergency department: continued need for more focused treatment for men, women and pregnant women. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2017;35(5):701–3.
29. Erickson JL, Wu J, Fertel BS, et al. Multidisciplinary approach to improve human immunodeficiency virus and syphilis testing rates in emergency departments. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2022;9(12):ofac601.