UC Irvine

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

Title

Substance Use, Homelessness, Mental Illness and Medicaid Coverage: A Set-up for High Emergency Department Utilization

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9pf8n2p3

Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 19(6)

ISSN

1936-900X

Authors

Moulin, Aimee Evans, Ethan J. Xing, Guibo et al.

Publication Date

2018

DOI

10.5811/westjem.2018.9.38954

Copyright Information

Copyright 2018 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

BRIEF RESEARCH REPORT

Substance Use, Homelessness, Mental Illness and Medicaid Coverage: A Set-up for High Emergency Department Utilization

Aimee Moulin, MD* Ethan J. Evans, PhD† Guibo Xing, PhD† Joy Melnikow, MD, MPH†‡ *University of California, Davis, Department of Emergency Medicine,
Department of Psychiatry, Davis, California

†University of California, Davis, Center for Healthcare Policy and Research,
Davis, California

‡University of California, Davis, Department of Family and Community Medicine,
Davis, California

Section Editor: Gavin Budhram. MD

Submission history: Submitted May 9, 2018; Revision received September 21, 2018; Accepted September 27, 2018

Electronically published October 18, 2018

Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2018.9.38954

Introduction: Frequent users of emergency departments (ED) account for 21–28% of all ED visits nationwide. The objective of our study was to identify characteristics unique to patients with psychiatric illness who are frequent ED users for mental health care. Understanding unique features of this population could lead to better care and lower healthcare costs.

Methods: This retrospective analysis of adult ED visits for mental healthcare from all acute care hospitals in California from 2009–2014 used patient-level data from California's Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development. We calculated patient demographic and visit characteristics for patients with a primary diagnosis of a mental health disorder as a percentage of total adult ED visits. Frequent ED users were defined as patients with more than four visits in a 12-month period. We calculated adjusted rate ratios (aRR) to assess the association between classification as an ED frequent user and patient age, sex, payer, homelessness, and substance use disorder.

Results: In the study period, 846,867 ED visits for mental healthcare occurred including 238,892 (28.2%) visits by frequent users. Patients with a primary mental health diagnosis and a co-occurring substance use diagnosis in the prior 12 months (77% vs. 37%, aRR [4.02], 95% confidence interval [CI] [3.92-4.12]), homelessness (2.9% vs 1.1%, odds ratio [1.35], 95% [CI] [1.27-1.43]) were more likely to be frequent users. Those covered by Medicare (aRR [3.37], 95% CI [3.20-3.55]) or the state's Medicaid program Medi-Cal (aRR [3.10], 95% CI [2.94-3.25]) were also more likely to be frequent users compared with those with private insurance coverage.

Conclusion: Patients with substance use disorders, homelessness and public healthcare coverage are more likely to be frequent users of EDs for mental illness. Substance use and housing needs are important factors to address in this population. [West J Emerg Med. 2018;19(6)902-906.]

INTRODUCTION

Mental illness is widespread and has high medical and socioeconomic costs. ¹⁻⁵ Emergency department (ED) visits for mental healthcare are growing in the United States (U.S.). ^{6,7} Many patients continue to face significant barriers to consistent mental healthcare. ^{2,8-11} ED visits increase when mental health

services are unavailable or uncoordinated.¹²⁻¹⁴ Nationally, frequent ED users for all diagnoses account for 3–8% of all ED patients and 21–28% of all ED visits.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ High ED utilization is often seen as a marker of unmet healthcare needs as well as an opportunity to decrease healthcare costs and improve resource utilization.^{15,18,19} Yet prior research on frequent ED users found

that these patients have multiple chronic conditions and high rates of primary and specialty care outside the ED.^{17,20} Studies of patients with high ED use for any diagnosis show that they have insurance coverage and are more likely to have private insurance or Medicare insurance.^{17,20,21}

Patients with mental illness face barriers to consistent outpatient care. Mental health services tend to be difficult to access and poorly integrated with primary care. ²²⁻²⁴ Studies on ED utilization in patients with mental illness have focused on large urban populations and may not be generalizable to broader areas. Studies have evaluated ED utilization by patients with mental illness but are limited by the sample being either a single hospital or across a single urban area. ^{23,25-27} A study of ED visits in San Diego by patients with psychiatric diagnosis found that frequent users were more likely to have lower socioeconomic status, homelessness, and co-occurring substance use disorders. ²⁶

Our study examined ED utilization for patients with a primary mental health diagnosis over a six-year period across California, using data that included the geographic and socioeconomic diversity of the entire state. We hypothesized that patients with mental illness covered by Medicare or Medi-Cal (the state's Medicaid insurance program), those who were concurrent substance users, and homeless patients would be more likely to have high ED utilization. Understanding factors associated with high ED utilization across a large, diverse state has clinical and policy implications as systems attempt to address ED utilization and healthcare costs.

METHODS

We conducted a retrospective analysis of all adult ED visits to acute care hospitals with a primary mental illness in California from 2009–2014 using a cohort defined from patient-level data for all ED visits, reported to California's Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD). Each patient discharged from inpatient admission or ED treatment encounter in a licensed hospital in California is included in the OSHPD data. Our analysis included data on all ED visits from patients discharged or admitted through the ED from 2009–2014. These data do not represent a sample but rather surveillance with 100% coverage. The University of California Davis Institutional Review Board Administration as well as OSHPD's Committee for the Protections of Human Subjects approved this study.

Data used for the study included a unique patient identification number, patient demographic information to the level of Zip Code, date of service, expected source of payment, disposition, and up to 25 *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems*, version 9 (ICD-9) diagnosis codes. We defined a surrogate marker for ED encounters of patients with a primary mental illness diagnosis as visits with mental health diagnosis in the first diagnosis position, using ICD-9 codes. Patients with a substance use disorder were defined as patients with a substance use diagnosis using ICD-9

codes in any one of the 24 secondary diagnosis positions. We defined patients with four or more ED encounters for a primary mental illness diagnosis in a 12-month period as frequent ED users. In the OSHPD database patients who were "homeless" were specifically assigned a zip code of "ZZZZZ." This designation is distinct from patients with an unknown Zip Code reported as "XXXX" and patients who do not reside in the U.S. reported as "YYYY."

We calculated descriptive analyses of patient demographic and visit characteristics (Table 1). Multivariate log-linear model with Poisson distribution was used to assess the association between patient factors such as age, sex, payer, homelessness, substance use disorder, and classification as an ED frequent user. We used adjusted rate ratios (aRR) to account for variations in person/time using the Poisson log-linear model. aRR and 95% confidence interval (CI) are reported in Table 2. Data analyses were performed using SAS (V9.4) software.

RESULTS

During the study period, a total of 846,867 visits were made to California EDs by adult patients with mental illness and a valid record linkage number. This total includes patients admitted, transferred, or discharged from the ED. Mean age was 54.0 (standard deviation 21.1) and 55.8% were male. Insurance status was 20.4% Medi-Cal, 31.5 Medicare, 12.4 private insurance, 10.2 % self-pay and 25.5% other (Table 1). Overall 238,892 (28.2%) of ED visits for mental illness were by frequent users.

Frequent users with mental illness had different characteristics than non-frequent users. Patients with a primary mental health diagnosis and a co-occurring, substance use diagnosis in the prior 12 months (77% vs. 37%, aRR [4.02], 95% CI [3.92-4.12]), homelessness (2.9% vs. 1.1%, odds ratio [1.35], 95% CI [1.27-1.43]) were more likely to be frequent users. Those covered by Medicare (aRR [3.37], 95% CI [3.20-3.55]) or Medi-Cal (aRR [3.10], 95% CI [2.94-3.25]) were also more likely to be frequent users compared with those with private insurance coverage.

DISCUSSION

Frequent ED users are a focus point for many health service agencies and policymakers because of the cost incurred from such patients on healthcare systems. Mental healthcare needs are often identified in the literature as a reason for high ED utilization.^{23,25-27} However, in many other studies this conclusion is based on including all patients for whom a mental health diagnosis code appears in the case file, i.e., a code in any of the diagnosis lines in a patient file. When a mental health diagnosis from any position is included, mental illness may be a factor in the ED visit but not the primary reason for seeking care. We limited analysis to patients specifically seeking mental health treatment. Using this focused approach we noted several differences

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for mental health emergency department users.

| | Less than 4 visits/year | | 4 or more visits/year | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------------------|------|
| Patient | | 0/ | | 0/ |
| characteristics | N | % | N | % |
| Total | 607975 | 71.8 | 238892 | 28.2 |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 238463 | 50.1 | 22592 | 61.5 |
| Female | 237502 | 49.9 | 14129 | 38.5 |
| Age | | | | |
| 21-25 | 55992 | 11.8 | 3916 | 10.7 |
| 26-30 | 52316 | 11.0 | 4922 | 13.4 |
| 31-35 | 47057 | 9.9 | 4700 | 12.8 |
| 36-40 | 42947 | 9.0 | 4123 | 11.2 |
| 41-45 | 47306 | 9.9 | 4493 | 12.2 |
| 46-50 | 51478 | 10.8 | 4815 | 13.1 |
| 51-55 | 47985 | 10.1 | 4277 | 11.6 |
| 56-60 | 36224 | 7.6 | 2752 | 7.5 |
| 61-65 | 24586 | 5.2 | 1512 | 40.1 |
| 66+ | 70074 | 14.7 | 1211 | 3.3 |
| Payer | | | | |
| Medi-Cal* | 116373 | 24.4 | 14795 | 40.3 |
| Medicare | 119080 | 25.0 | 10971 | 29.9 |
| Other | 106354 | 22.3 | 5001 | 13.6 |
| Private | 54571 | 11.5 | 1737 | 4.7 |
| Self pay | 79587 | 16.7 | 4217 | 11.5 |
| Homeless | 5079 | 1.1 | 1074 | 2.9 |
| Substance use in past 12 months | 176147 | 37.0 | 28142 | 76.6 |

^{*}Medi-Cal is the Medicaid healthcare program serving low-income people in California.

between patients who are frequent users of the ED for mental illness and those who are not frequent users, including medical and social conditions that complicate treatment.

In our analysis concurrent, substance use diagnoses had a strong association with frequent ED visits for mental illness. This association between substance use disorders and mental illness highlights the importance of medical treatment that addresses both disorders. According to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 7.9 million American adults have co-occurring, substance use disorders and mental illness.²⁸ Twenty percent of individuals with a serious mental illness develop a substance use disorder in their lifetime, yet only 7.4% receive treatment for both disorders and 55% receive no treatment at all.²⁸ Studies looking at single institutions have found high ED utilization

Table 2. Adjusted rate ratio for higher mental health emergency department use.

| | Adjusted rate ratio | 95% CI | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Gender | | | | |
| Male vs female | 1.25 | 1.22-1.28 | | |
| Payer | | | | |
| Medi-Cal vs private | 3.10 | 2.94-3.25 | | |
| Medicare vs private | 3.37 | 3.20-3.55 | | |
| Self pay vs private | 1.43 | 1.35-1.51 | | |
| Other vs private | 1.62 | 1.54-1.71 | | |
| Age | | | | |
| 20-25 vs 51-55 | 0.97 | 0.93-1.01 | | |
| 26-30 vs 51-55 | 1.13 | 1.08-1.18 | | |
| 31-35 vs 51-55 | 1.15 | 1.10-1.19 | | |
| 36-40 vs 51-55 | 1.11 | 1.07-1.16 | | |
| 41-45 vs 51-55 | 1.08 | 1.03-1.12 | | |
| 46-50 vs 51-55 | 1.04 | 1.00-1.09 | | |
| 56-60 vs 51-55 | 0.91 | 0.87-0.96 | | |
| 61-65 vs 51-55 | 0.81 | 0.77-0.86 | | |
| 66+ vs 51-55 | 0.32 | 0.30-0.35 | | |
| Homeless | 1.35 | 1.27-1.43 | | |
| Substance use in past year | 4.02 | 3.92-4.12 | | |

CI, confidence interval.

in patients with co-occurring, substance use disorders.^{23,26} Such dual-diagnosed patients have low rates of access to treatment for their substance use disorders.²⁹ Despite evidence that integrated treatment is considered best practice, there are barriers to widespread adoption.^{11,30-33} Given the high demand for mental healthcare and substance use treatment identified in this study of California, future research should assess availability and impact of integrated mental health/substance use treatment programs.

Although less strong than the association between co-occurring, substance use disorders, we also found an association between homelessness and frequent ED visits for mental illness. Homeless patients had higher rates of ED visits and hospitalizations than non-homeless patients for all diagnoses, and they reported barriers accessing outpatient care. Interventions designed to address homelessness such as supportive housing have shown to impact healthcare utilization and expenditures. 36-38

National databases have shown that Medicaid recipients have a high prevalence of psychiatric disorders,³⁹ and psychiatric disorders are a driver of healthcare costs.⁴⁰ Indeed, we found a high proportion of patients entering the ED with mental illness were covered by the state's Medicaid program Medi-Cal. This finding is consistent with other studies that have noted that

patients covered by public insurance are more likely to use the ED when compared with those covered by private insurance. Additionally, California extends its Medi-Cal eligibility to the largest extent feasible under federal law. Yet barriers to consistent primary care or lack of access to regular outpatient mental healthcare could explain the higher ED visit rates. 44,45

LIMITATIONS

Studies that rely on retrospective data can be subject to a set of limitations such as selection, misclassification, and other forms of bias and confounding. Because our data cover the complete, documented population of ED visits in California, selection bias is mitigated. However, this study was dependent on diagnosis codes assigned by the ED provider and was subject to misclassification bias within and across the many hospitals from which patients were included. Further, choosing to identify those visiting the ED for mental health concerns by those with a mental health diagnosis in the first position served only as a proxy and risked missing patients. While individual chart review might have produced less concern, the volume of records made that infeasible. Prior work on ED populations and undiagnosed mental illness suggest that undercounting is more common.⁴⁶ We report on healthcare utilization, but the data cannot speak to health outcomes nor can we definitively identify the causes of high ED utilization. Despite its shortcomings, this study reports and identifies important characteristics of patients who visit EDs for mental illness frequently across a large, diverse population, information that suggests areas for further study.

CONCLUSION

Patients with substance use diagnoses, patients who are homeless and those who are covered by Medi-Cal, the state's Medicaid program, are more likely to be frequent users of the ED for mental illness. This suggests substance use and housing needs are important factors to address in patients with high ED use for mental health needs.

Address for Correspondence: Aimee Moulin, MD, University of California, Davis, Department of Emergency Medicine, 4150 V St. PSSB 2100, Sacramento CA 95817. Email: akmoulin@ucdavis.edu.

Conflicts of Interest: By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. Dr. Moulin has research support from a postdoctoral training grant in health services research from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (T32 HS022236).

Copyright: © 2018 Moulin et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (<u>CC BY 4.0</u>) License. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

- Baumeister AA, Hawkins MF, Lee Pow J, et al. Prevalence and incidence of severe mental illness in the United States: an historical overview. Harv Res Psychiatry. 2012;20(5):247-58.
- Janssen EM, McGinty EE, Azrin ST, et al. Review of the evidence: prevalence of medical conditions in the United States population with serious mental illness. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2015;37(3):199-222.
- Insel TR. Assessing the economic costs of serious mental illness. Am J Psychiatry. 2008;165(6):663-5.
- Marcotte DE, Wilcox-Gok V. Estimating the employment and earnings costs of mental illness: recent developments in the United States. Soc Sci Med. 2001;53(1):21-7.
- van der Sanden RL, Bos AE, Stutterheim SE, et al. Experiences of stigma by association among family members of people with mental illness. *Rehabil Psychol.* 2013;58(1):73-80.
- Hazlett SB, McCarthy ML, Londner MS, et al. Epidemiology of adult psychiatric visits to US emergency departments. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2004;11(2):193-5.
- Karg RS, Bose J, Batts KR, et al. Past Year Mental Disorders among Adults in the United States: Results from the 2008-2012 Mental Health Surveillance Study. CBHSQ Data Review. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (US); 2012.
- 8. Walker ER, Cummings JR, Hockenberry JM, et al. Insurance status, use of mental health services, and unmet need for mental health care in the United States. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2015;66(6):578-84.
- Halmer TC, Beall RC, Shah AA, et al. Health policy considerations in treating mental and behavioral health rmergencies in the United States. *Emerg Med Clin North Am.* 2015;33(4):875-91.
- Bartlett J, Manderscheid R. What does mental health parity really mean for the care of people with serious mental illness? *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* 2016;39(2):331-42.
- O'Neil AM, Sadosty AT, Pasupathy KS, et al. Hours and miles: patient and health system implications of transfer for psychiatric bed capacity. West J Emerg Med. 2016;17(6):783-90.
- 12. Stone A, Rogers D, Kruckenberg S, et al. Impact of the mental healthcare delivery system on California emergency departments. West J Emerg Med. 2012;13(1):51-6.
- Nesper AC, Morris BA, Scher LM, et al. Effect of decreasing county mental health services on the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2016;67(4):525-30.
- Little DR, Clasen ME, Hendricks JL, et al. Impact of closure of mental health center: emergency department utilization and length of stay among patients with severe mental illness. J Health Care Poor Undeserved. 2011;22(2):469-72.
- Ondler C, Hegde GG, Carlson JN. Resource utilization and health care charges associated with the most frequent ED users. Am J Emerg Med. 2014;32(10):1215-9.
- 16. Locker TE, Baston S, Mason SM, et al. Defining frequent use of an urban emergency department. *Emerg Med J.* 2007;24(6):398-401.
- 17. LaCalle E, Rabin E. Frequent users of emergency departments: the myths, the data, and the policy implications. *Ann Emerg Med.*

- 2010;56(1):42-8.
- Vinton DT, Capp R, Rooks SP, et al. Frequent users of US emergency departments: characteristics and opportunities for intervention. *Emerg Med J.* 2014;31(7):526-32.
- Castillo EM, Brennan JJ, Killeen JP, et al. Identifying frequent users of emergency department resources. J Emerg Med. 2014;47(3):343-7.
- Billings J, Raven MC. Dispelling an urban legend: frequent emergency department users have substantial burden of disease. Health Aff (Millwood). 2013;32(12):2099-108.
- Hunt IM, Windfuhr K, Swinson N, et al. Suicide amongst psychiatric in-patients who abscond from the ward: a national clinical survey. BMC Psychiatry. 2010;10:14.
- 22. Trude S, Stoddard JJ. Referral gridlock: primary care physicians and mental health services. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2003;18(6):442-9.
- 23. Liu SW, Nagurney JT, Chang Y, et al. Frequent ED users: are most visits for mental health, alcohol, and drug-related complaints? *Am J Emerg Med.* 2013;31(10):1512-5.
- Cunningham PJ. Beyond parity: primary care physicians' perspectives on access to mental health care. Health Aff (Millwood). 2009;28(3):w490-501.
- Lam CN, Arora S, Menchine M. Increased 30-day emergency department revisits among homeless patients with mental health conditions. West J Emerg Med. 2016;17(5):607-12.
- Brennan JJ, Chan TC, Hsia RY, et al. Emergency department utilization among frequent users with psychiatric visits. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2014;21(9):1015-22.
- Baillargeon J, Thomas CR, Williams B, et al. Medical emergency department utilization patterns among uninsured patients with psychiatric disorders. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2008;59(7):808-11.
- Hedden SL. Behavioral Health Trends in the Untied States: Results from the 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health In: (SAMHSA) SAaMHSA, ed: HHS Publication No. SMA 15-4927, NSDUH Series H-50; 2015.
- Rockett IR, Putnam SL, Jia H, et al. Unmet substance abuse treatment need, health services utilization, and cost: a population-based emergency department study. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2005;45(2):118-27.
- Watkins KE, Hunter SB, Burnam MA, et al. Review of treatment recommendations for persons with a co-occurring affective or anxiety and substance use disorder. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2005;56(8):913-26.
- Han B, Compton WM, Blanco C, et al. Prevalence, treatment, and unmet treatment needs of US adults with mental health and substance use disorders. Health Aff (Millwood). 2017;36(10):1739-47.
- Priester M. Treatment access barriers and disparities among individuals with co-occuring mental health and substance use

- disorders: an integrative literature review. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2016:61:47-59.
- Burnam MA, Watkins KE. Substance abuse with mental disorders: specialized public systems and integrated care. Health Aff (Millwood). 2006;25(3):648-58.
- 34. Lin WC, Bharel M, Zhang J, et al. Frequent Emergency Department Visits and Hospitalizations Among Homeless People With Medicaid: Implications for Medicaid Expansion. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105Suppl5:S716-722.
- 35. Kushel MB, Vittinghoff E, Haas JS. Factors associated with the health care utilization of homeless persons. *JAMA*. 2001;285(2):200-6.
- Benston EA. Housing programs for homeless individuals with mental lilness: effects on housing and mental health outcomes. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2015;66(8):806-16.
- Rog DJ, Marshall T, Dougherty RH, et al. Permanent supportive housing: assessing the evidence. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2014;65(3):287-94.
- Lim S, Gao Q, Stazesky E, et al. Impact of a New York City supportive housing program on Medicaid expenditure patterns among people with serious mental illness and chronic homelessness. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):15.
- Thomas MR, Waxmonsky JA, Gabow PA, et al. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders and costs of care among adult enrollees in a Medicaid HMO. *Psychiatr Serv.* 2005;56(11):1394-401.
- Freeman E, McGuire CA, Thomas JW, et al. Factors affecting costs in Medicaid populations with behavioral health disorders. *Med Care*. 2014;52Suppl3:S60-66.
- Hsia RY, Brownell J, Wilson S, et al. Trends in adult emergency department visits in California by insurance status, 2005-2010. *JAMA*. 2013;310(11):1181-3.
- 42. Tang N, Stein J, Hsia RY, et al. Trends and characteristics of US emergency department visits, 1997-2007. *JAMA*. 2010;304(6):664-70.
- Watts SH, David BE, Tarwater PM. Changes in insurance status and emergency department visits after the 2008 economic downturn. Acad Emerg Med. 2015;22(1):73-80.
- 44. Capp R, Rooks SP, Wiler JL, et al. National study of health insurance type and reasons for emergency department use. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2014;29(4):621-7.
- Cheung PT, Wiler JL, Lowe RA, et al. National study of barriers to timely primary care and emergency department utilization among Medicaid beneficiaries. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2012;60(1):4-10.e12.
- 46. Schriger DL, Gibbons PS, Langone CA, et al. Enabling the diagnosis of occult psychiatric illness in the emergency department: a randomized, controlled trial of the computerized, self-administered PRIME-MD diagnostic system. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2001;37(2):132-40.