

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

EVIDENCE FOR JET STRUCTURE IN HADRON PRODUCTION BY e^+e^- ANNIHILATION

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9p78j75h>

Author

Hanson, G.

Publication Date

1975-10-01

0 0 0 4 4 0 2 3 9 1

LBL-4287
c.1

(Submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters)

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

NOV 12 1975


LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

EVIDENCE FOR JET STRUCTURE IN HADRON PRODUCTION
BY e^+e^- ANNIHILATION.

G. Hanson...

October 1975

For Reference
Not to be taken from this room



LBL-4287
c.1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

EVIDENCE FOR JET STRUCTURE IN HADRON

PRODUCTION BY e^+e^- ANNIHILATION*

G. Hanson, G.S. Abrams, A.M. Boyarski, M. Breidenbach, F. Bulos,
W. Chinowsky, G.J. Feldman, C.E. Friedberg, D. Fryberger, G. Goldhaber,
D.L. Hartill,[†] B. Jean-Marie, J.A. Kadyk, R.R. Larsen, A.M. Litke,
D. Lüke,^{††} B.A. Lulu, V. Lüth, H.L. Lynch, C.C. Morehouse,
J.M. Paterson, M.L. Perl, F.M. Pierre,[‡] T.P. Pun, P.A. Rapidis,
B. Richter, B. Sadoulet, R.F. Schwitters, W. Tanenbaum,
G.H. Trilling, F. Vannucci,^{‡‡} J.S. Whitaker,
F.C. Winkelmann, and J.E. Wiss

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

ABSTRACT

We have found evidence for jet structure in $e^+e^- \rightarrow$
hadrons at center-of-mass energies of 6.2 and 7.4 GeV. At 7.4
GeV the jet axis angular distribution integrated over azimuthal
angle was determined to be proportional to $1 + (0.78 \pm 0.12) \cos^2 \theta$.

(Submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters)

*Work supported by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

[†]Alfred P. Sloan Fellow.

^{††}Fellow of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

[‡]Permanent Address: Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay, Saclay, France.

^{‡‡}Permanent Address: Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Orsay, France.

In quark-parton constituent models of elementary particles, hadron production in e^+e^- annihilation reactions proceeds through the annihilation of the e^+ and e^- into a virtual photon which subsequently produces a quark-parton pair, each member of which decays into hadrons. At sufficiently high energy the limited transverse momentum distribution of the hadrons with respect to the original parton production direction, characteristic of all strong interactions, results in oppositely-directed jets of hadrons.¹⁻⁴ The spins of the constituents can, in principle, be determined from the angular distribution of the jets. In this letter we report the evidence for the existence of jets and the angular distribution of the jet axis.

The data were taken with the SLAC-LBL magnetic detector at the SPEAR storage ring of the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. Hadron production, muon pair production, and Bhabha scattering data were recorded simultaneously. The detector and the selection of events have been described previously.^{5,6} The detector subtended $0.65 \times 4\pi$ sr with full acceptance in azimuthal angle and acceptance in polar angle from 50° to 130° . We have used the large blocks of data at center-of-mass energies ($E_{c.m.}$) of 3.0, 3.8, 4.8, 6.2, and 7.4 GeV. We included only those hadronic events in which three or more particles were detected in order to avoid background contamination in events with only two charged tracks due to beam-gas interactions and photon-photon processes.

To search for jets we find for each event that direction which minimizes the sum of squares of transverse momenta.⁷ For each event we

34d

calculate the tensor

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_i (\delta^{\alpha\beta} p_i^2 - p_i^\alpha p_i^\beta), \quad (1)$$

where the summation is over all detected particles and α and β refer to the three spatial components of each particle momentum p_i . We diagonalize $T^{\alpha\beta}$ to obtain the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ which are the sums of squares of transverse momenta with respect to the three eigenvector directions. The smallest eigenvalue (λ_3) is the minimum sum of squares of transverse momenta. The eigenvector associated with λ_3 is defined to be the reconstructed jet axis. In order to determine how jet-like an event is, we calculate a quantity which we call the sphericity (S):

$$S = \frac{3\lambda_3}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} = \frac{3(\sum_i p_{i1}^2)_{\min}}{2 \sum_i p_{i1}^2}. \quad (2)$$

S approaches 0 for events with bounded transverse momenta and approaches 1 for events with large multiplicity and isotropic phase-space particle distributions.

The data at each energy were compared to Monte Carlo simulations which were based on either an isotropic phase-space (P.S.) model or on a jet model. In both models only pions (charged and neutral) were produced. The total multiplicity was given by a Poisson distribution. The jet model modified phase space according to a matrix element squared

of the form

$$M^2 = e^{-(\sum_i p_{i1}^2)/2b^2}, \quad (3)$$

where p_{i1} is the momentum perpendicular to the jet axis.

The angular distribution for the jet axis is expected to have the form

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \propto 1 + \alpha \cos^2 \theta + P^2 \alpha \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi, \quad (4)$$

where θ is the polar angle of the jet axis with respect to the incident positron direction, ϕ is the azimuthal angle with respect to the plane of the storage ring, $\alpha = (\sigma_T - \sigma_L)/(\sigma_T + \sigma_L)$ where σ_T and σ_L are the transverse and longitudinal production cross sections, and P is the polarization of each beam. (The polarization term will be discussed later.) The angular distribution given by Eq. (4) was used in the jet model simulation. The simulations included the geometric acceptance, the trigger efficiency, and all other known characteristics of the detector. The total multiplicity and charged/neutral multiplicity ratio for both models were obtained by fitting to the observed charged particle mean multiplicity and mean momentum at each energy. In the jet model the parameter b was determined by fitting to the observed mean S at the highest energy (7.4 GeV). For lower energies the value of b was determined by requiring that the mean p_{i1} in the jet model be the same (315 MeV/c) as at 7.4 GeV.

Figure 1 shows the observed mean S and the model predictions. Both models are consistent with the data in the 3 to 4 GeV region. At higher energies the data have significantly lower mean S than the P.S. model and agree with the jet model. Figure 2 shows the S distributions

at several energies. At 3.0 GeV the data agree with either the P.S. or the jet model (Fig. 2a). At 6.2 and 7.4 GeV the data are peaked toward low S , favoring the jet model (Figs. 2b and 2c). At the highest two energies, the P.S. model poorly reproduces the single particle momentum spectra, having fewer particles with $x > 0.4$ ($x = 2p/E_{c.m.}$ and p is the particle momentum) than the data.⁸ The jet model x distributions are in better agreement. For $x < 0.4$ the x distributions for both models agree with the data. Therefore, we show in Fig. 2d the S distributions at 7.4 GeV for those events in which no particle has $x > 0.4$. The jet model is still preferred.

At $E_{c.m.} = 7.4$ GeV the electron and positron beams in the SPEAR ring are transversely polarized, and the hadron inclusive distributions show an azimuthal asymmetry.⁹ The ϕ distributions of the jet axis for jet axes with $|\cos \theta| \leq 0.6$ are shown in Fig. 3 for 6.2 and 7.4 GeV.¹⁰ At 6.2 GeV, the beams are unpolarized⁹ and the ϕ distribution is flat, as expected. At 7.4 GeV, the ϕ distribution of the jet axis shows an asymmetry with maxima and minima at the same values of ϕ as for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$.

The ϕ distribution shown in Fig. 3b and the value for P^2 ($P^2 = 0.47 \pm 0.05$) measured simultaneously by the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ⁹ were used to determine the parameter α of Eq. (4). The value obtained for the observed jet axis is $\alpha = 0.45 \pm 0.07$. This observed value of α will be less than the true value which describes the production of the jets because of the incomplete acceptance of the detector, the loss of neutral particles, and our method of reconstructing the jet axis. We have used the jet model Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the ratio of observed to produced values of α and find this ratio to be 0.58 at 7.4 GeV. Thus the value of

α describing the produced jet axis angular distribution is $\alpha = 0.78 \pm 0.12$ at $E_{c.m.} = 7.4$ GeV. The error in α is statistical only; we estimate that the systematic errors in the observed α can be neglected. However, we have not studied the model dependence of the correction factor relating observed to produced values of α .

The sphericity and the value of α as determined above are properties of whole events. The simple jet model used for the sphericity analysis can also be used to predict the single particle inclusive angular distributions for all values of the secondary particle momentum. In Fig. 4 values for the inclusive hadron α as a function of x at 7.4 GeV⁹ are compared with the jet model calculation. The model assumed the value $\alpha = 0.78 \pm 0.12$ for the jet axis angular distribution. The prediction agrees well with the data for all values of x .

We conclude that the data strongly support the jet hypothesis for hadron production in e^+e^- annihilation. The data show a decreasing mean sphericity with increasing $E_{c.m.}$ and the sphericity distributions peak more strongly at low values as $E_{c.m.}$ increases. Both of these trends agree with a jet model and disagree with an isotropic P.S. model. The mean transverse momentum relative to the jet axis obtained using the jet model Monte Carlo simulation was found to be 315 ± 2 MeV/c. At $E_{c.m.} = 7.4$ GeV the coefficient α for the jet axis angular distribution in Eq. (4) has been found to be nearly +1 giving a value for σ_T/σ_T of 0.13 ± 0.07 . The jet model also reproduces well the inclusive hadron α versus x . All of this indicates not only that there are jets but also that the helicity along the jet axis is ± 1 . In the framework of the quark-parton model, the partons must have spin 1/2 rather than spin 0.

References

1. S.D. Drell, D.J. Levy, and T.M. Yan, Phys. Rev. 187, 2159 (1969), and Phys. Rev. D1, 1617 (1970).
2. N. Cabibbo, G. Parisi, and M. Testa, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 4, 35 (1970).
3. J.D. Bjorken and S.J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D1, 1416 (1970).
4. R.P. Feynman, Photon-Hadron Interactions, (W.A. Benjamin, Inc., 1972), p. 166.
5. J.-E. Augustin, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 233 (1975).
6. J.-E. Augustin, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 764 (1975).
7. It is impossible to determine the jet axis exactly, even with perfect detection efficiency; the method described here, which was suggested in Ref. 3, is the best approximation known to us.
8. The momentum distributions will be discussed in a subsequent paper.
9. R.F. Schwitters, et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
10. Since the jet axis is a symmetry axis, the azimuthal angle $\phi + 180^\circ$ is equivalent to the azimuthal angle ϕ .

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 Observed mean sphericity versus center-of-mass energy $E_{c.m.}$ for data, jet model with $\langle p_T \rangle = 315$ MeV/c (solid curve), and phase-space model (dashed curve).
- Fig. 2 Observed sphericity distributions for data, jet model with $\langle p_T \rangle = 315$ MeV/c (solid curves), and phase-space model (dashed curves) for (a) $E_{c.m.} = 3.0$ GeV; (b) $E_{c.m.} = 6.2$ GeV; (c) $E_{c.m.} = 7.4$ GeV; and (d) $E_{c.m.} = 7.4$ GeV, events with largest $x < 0.4$. The distributions for the Monte Carlo models are normalized to the number of events in the data.
- Fig. 3 Observed distributions of jet axis azimuthal angles from the plane of the storage ring for jet axes with $|\cos \theta| \leq 0.6$ for (a) $E_{c.m.} = 6.2$ GeV and (b) $E_{c.m.} = 7.4$ GeV.
- Fig. 4 Observed inclusive α versus x (from Ref. 9) for particles with $|\cos \theta| \leq 0.6$ in hadronic events at $E_{c.m.} = 7.4$ GeV. The prediction of the jet model Monte Carlo simulation for a jet axis angular distribution with $\alpha = 0.78 \pm 0.12$ is represented by the shaded band.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720