

UC Davis

Dermatology Online Journal

Title

CELLULITIS: A mnemonic to increase accuracy of cellulitis diagnosis

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9mt4b2kc>

Journal

Dermatology Online Journal, 25(1)

Authors

Neill, Brett C
Stoecker, William V
Hassouneh, Ramzi
et al.

Publication Date

2019

DOI

10.5070/D3251042620

Copyright Information

Copyright 2019 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

CELLULITIS: A mnemonic to increase accuracy of cellulitis diagnosis

Brett C Neill^{1*} MD, William V Stoecker^{2*} MD, Ramzi Hassouneh³ MSc, Anand Rajpara¹ MD, Daniel J Aires¹ MD, JD

*Authors contributed equally to this work

Affiliations: ¹Division of Dermatology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA, ²Department of Dermatology, University of Missouri Health Sciences Center, Columbia, Missouri, USA, ³Kansas City University, Kansas City, Missouri, USA

Corresponding Author: Daniel Aires MD, JD, Division of Dermatology, University of Kansas Medical Center, 3901 Rainbow Boulevard, Kansas City, Kansas 66160, Tel: 913-588-3840, Fax: 913-588-3995, Email: daires@kumc.edu

Abstract Cellulitis, a bacterial infection of the skin and subcutaneous tissue, is often misdiagnosed. Cellulitis accounts for a large number of all infectious disease-related hospitalizations in the U.S. Cellulitis can be challenging to diagnose since it lacks pathognomonic findings. We reviewed all articles on cellulitis within the last 20 years that included a statistical analysis, with odds ratios (OR), of specific clinical features of cellulitis. We then constructed a mnemonic encompassing the features with the highest odds ratios. Our mnemonic is CELLULITIS for cellulitis history, edema, local warmth, lymphangitis, unilateral, leukocytosis, injury, tender, instant onset, and systemic signs. The first characteristic has the highest OR and may be the easiest to recall: past episode(s) of cellulitis.

Keywords: lower extremity cellulitis, dermatitis, stasis dermatitis, pseudocellulitis, mnemonic

Introduction

Cellulitis, a bacterial infection of the skin and subcutaneous tissue, is often misdiagnosed [1]. Cellulitis accounts for 10% of all infectious disease-related hospitalizations in the U.S [1]. Misdiagnosis causes 50,000-130,000 needless admissions costing \$195-515 million annually [1]. Each year about 44,000 patients with cellulitis mimics (pseudocellulitis) are exposed to unnecessary antibiotics [1].

Cellulitis can be challenging to diagnose since it lacks pathognomonic findings. Easy-to-remember mnemonics may be helpful for non-dermatologists in diagnosing cellulitis.

We propose a mnemonic incorporating 11 key findings, starting with the evidence-based predictive tool proposed by Raff et al. for diagnosing lower extremity cellulitis [2]. We retain the top factors of Raff's 4-component model: unilaterality, leukocytosis, and tachycardia. Our mnemonic includes three factors with strong statistical support (prior cellulitis, injury, edema) and 5 history and physical signs (instant onset, warmth, tenderness, fever, lymphangitis), [2, 3-9].

Our mnemonic is CELLULITIS. This has been developed through the authors' combined 50 years of experience consulting on inpatients with cellulitis and pseudocellulitis. **Table 1** shows odds ratios for cellulitis findings in nine studies [2, 3-9].

C – Cellulitis history

The single most important risk factor is a history of prior cellulitis, present in nearly half the cases with an odds ratio (OR) of 31, greater than any other risk factor [4].

E – Edema

Swelling (i.e. edema), pain, warmth, and erythema are four inflammatory signs typically found unilaterally in cellulitis. Preexisting lymphedema raises cellulitis risk, more than venous edema [8]. Clinically, erythema (brick-red) is the least useful

inflammatory sign in distinguishing cellulitis from mimics.

L – Local warmth

Areas of cellulitis are nearly always warmer than corresponding areas on the opposite leg. Localized warmth is often used as an inclusion criterion for diagnosing cellulitis [3, 10, 11]. A temperature difference of 0.47°C or greater between affected and unaffected skin showed 87.5% accuracy in diagnosing cellulitis [11].

L – Lymphangitis

Unlike uncomplicated dermatitis, cellulitis may present with ascending lymphangitis (continuous or interrupted tender red streaks) and sometimes, lymphadenopathy. Clinically, lymphangitis is not highly sensitive, but specific when present.

U – Unilateral

Raff et al. found asymmetry to be the most useful criterion distinguishing cellulitis from pseudocellulitis [2]. Although stasis dermatitis can present with unilateral flares, signs of stasis dermatitis are seen on the contralateral leg. Contact allergic dermatitis is seldom unilateral and has a characteristic history.

L – Leukocytosis

Leukocytosis with white blood cell count >10,000/ μ l is useful in asymptomatic older patients [2].

I – Injury

Trauma and ulcers allow bacterial invasion and have higher cellulitis ORs than toe-web intertrigo, which is also associated with increased cellulitis risk [3]. Local injuries and skin disruptions are more predictive of cellulitis than systemic factors such as diabetes, obesity, and smoking [3, 7].

T – Tender

Tenderness to palpation (i.e. flinching) is a more useful diagnostic factor than resting pain. In Raff's predictive model, pain *in the emergency room* had a P

value versus pseudocellulitis of 0.02, whereas pain *prior to presentation* had a P value of 0.537 [2].

I – Instant onset

Patients remember the day of cellulitis onset, whereas stasis dermatitis onset is vague. Some studies require instant onset of fever and chills for inclusion [3, 8].

S – Systemic signs

Tachycardia (≥ 90 bpm) in the emergency department is one of Raff's predictive factors [2]. The presence of fever during cellulitis is a controversial issue. Fever is used as a cellulitis inclusion criterion in some studies, whereas in other studies fever was infrequently present [3, 8, 12-16].

Limitations: There are no case-control data validating the complete mnemonic, which includes Raff's top three factors (unilateral involvement, leukocytosis, and tachycardia), three additional independently validated factors (prior cellulitis, injury, edema), and five other history and physical findings (instant onset, warmth, tenderness, fever, lymphangitis). Furthermore, the ORs from the studies cited, with the exception of the study from Raff et al., were obtained from comparing patients with cellulitis to matched controls. This limits the applicability of using this data to diagnose cellulitis rather than differentiating cellulitis from pseudocellulitis.

Conclusion

Based on literature and the authors' collective clinical experience, the mnemonic CELLULITIS summarizes the most useful findings in diagnosing cellulitis, for cellulitis history, edema, local warmth, lymphangitis, unilateral, leukocytosis, injury, tender, instant onset, and systemic signs. The first characteristic has the highest OR and may be the easiest to recall: past episode(s) of cellulitis.

References

1. Weng QY, Raff AB, Cohen JM, Gunasekera N, Okhovat, JP, Vedak P, Joyce C, Kroshinsky D, Mostaghimi A. Costs and Consequences

Associated With Misdiagnosed Lower Extremity Cellulitis. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2017;153(2):141-146. [PMID: 27806170].

2. Raff AB, Weng QY, Cohen JM, Gunasekera N, Okhovat JP, Vedak P, Joyce C, Kroshinsky D, Mostaghimi A. A predictive model for diagnosis of lower extremity cellulitis: A cross-sectional study. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2017;76(4):618-625. [PMID: 28215446].
3. Njim T, Aminde LN, Agbor VN, Toukam LD, Kashaf SS, Ohuma, EO. Risk factors of lower limb cellulitis in a level-two healthcare facility in Cameroon: a case-control study. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2017;17(1):418. [PMID: 28606058].
4. Karppelin M, Siljander T, Vuopio-Varkila J, Kere J, Huhtala H, Vuento R, Jussila T, Syrjanen J. Factors predisposing to acute and recurrent bacterial non-necrotizing cellulitis in hospitalized patients: a prospective case-control study. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2010;16(6):729-34. [PMID: 19694769].
5. Bjornsdottir S, Gottfredsson M, Thorisdottir AS, Gunnarsson GB, Rikardsdottir H, Kristjansson M, Hilmarsdottir I. Risk Factors for Acute Cellulitis of the Lower Limb: A Prospective Case-Control Study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005;41(10):1416-22. [PMID: 16231251].
6. Dupuy A, Benchikhi H, Roujeau J, Bernard P, Vaillant L, Chosidow O, Sassolas B, Guillame JC, Grob JJ, Bastuji-Garin S. Risk factors for erysipelas of the leg (cellulitis): case-control study. *Br J Dermatol*. 1999;318:1591. [PMID: 23210619].
7. Halpern J, Holder R, Langford N. Ethnicity and other risk factors for acute lower limb cellulitis: a U.K.-based prospective case-control study. *Br J Dermatol*. 2008;158(6):1288-1292. [PMID: 18341662].
8. Mokni M, Dupuy A, Denguezli M, Dhaoui R, Bouassida S, Amri M, Fenniche S, Zeglaoui F, Doss N, Noura R, Ben Osman-Dhari A, Zili J, Mokhtar I, Kamoun MR, Zahaf A, Chosidow O. Risk Factors for Erysipelas of the Leg in Tunisia: A Multicenter Case-Control Study. *Dermatology*. 2006;212(2):108-12. [PMID: 16484815].
9. Roujeau J, Sigurgeirsson B, Korting H, Kerl H, Paul C. Chronic Dermatofungal Infections of the Foot as Risk Factors for Acute Bacterial Cellulitis of the Leg: A Case-Control Study. *Dermatology*. 2004;209(4):301-307. [PMID: 15539893].
10. Li DG, Dewan AK, Di Xia F, Khosravi H, Joyce C, Mostaghimi A. The ALT-70 Predictive Model Outperforms Thermal Imaging for the Diagnosis of Lower Extremity Cellulitis: A Prospective Evaluation. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2018;S0190-9622(18):32221-7. [PMID: 30003987].
11. Ko LN, Raff AB, Garza-Mayers AC, Dobry AS, Ortega-Martinez A, Anderson RR, Kroshinsky D. Skin Surface Temperatures Measured by Thermal Imaging Aid in the Diagnosis of Cellulitis. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2018;138(3):520-526. [PMID: 28951240].
12. Koutkia P, Mylonakis E, Boyce J. Cellulitis: evaluation of possible predisposing factors in hospitalized patients. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1999;34(4):325-7. [PMID: 10459485].
13. Ginsberg MB. Cellulitis: analysis of 101 cases and review of the literature. *South Med J*. 1981;74(5):530-533. [PMID: 6972617].
14. Hook EW, Hooton TM, Horton CA, Coyle MB, Ramsey PG, Turck M. Microbiologic evaluation of cutaneous cellulitis in adults. *Arch Intern Med*. 1986;146(2):295-297. [PMID: 3947189].
15. Musher DM. Cutaneous and soft-tissue manifestations of sepsis due to gram-negative enteric bacilli. *Rev Infect Dis*. 1980;2(6):854-866. [PMID: 7012988].
16. Kulthanan K, Rongrungruang Y, Siriporn A, Boonchai W, Suthipinittharm P, Sivayathorn A, Sunthonpalin P. Clinical and microbiologic findings in cellulitis in Thai patients. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 1999;82(6):587-592. [PMID: 10443081].

Table 1: CELLULITIS. Mnemonic component and adjusted odds ratios (OR) for cellulitis.

Finding		Median OR	Source							
			Bjornsdottir ^[5]	Dupuy ^[6]	Halpern ^[7]	Karppelin ^[4]	Mokni ^[8]	Roujeau ^[9]	Njim ^[3]	Raff ^[2]
Cellulitis& history		31.04	31.04 (4.15-232.20)		35.15 (13.68- 90.32)			24.0 (7.1-81.2)	2.1 (0.4-10.5)	
Edema, including lymphedema		6.77	1.51 (0.53-4.28)	leg edema 2.5 (1.2 to 5.1) lymphedema 71.2 (5.6-908)	9.69 (5.99-15.67)	11.5 (1.2-114.4)	leg edema 7.0 (1.3-38) lymphedema 19.1 (1.1-331)	4.5 (1.3-15.6)		
Local warmth ^{3,10,11}										
Lymphangitis										
Unilateral		8.65								8.65 (3.88-19.26)
Leukocytosis		2.43								2.43 (1.31-4.52)
Injury#	Ulcer*	12.73	11.80 (2.47-56.33)	Leg ulcer 20.6 ^u (6.7 - 63.0) Pressure ulcer 6.0 ^u (1.4 t - 26.0)	21.45 (8.25-55.76)		2.0 ^u (0.1-31.9)	9.0 (3.7-21.8)		
	Trauma [%]	19.11		6.8 ^u (4.0 - 11.7)	43.18 (22.11-84.32)	3.8 ^u (1.2-11.3)	19.9 (6.7-58.8)	Cutaneous barrier disruption 22.0 (9.4-51.4)	12.4 (3.9-39.1)	
Tender										
Instant Onset ^{3,8}										
Systemic Signs	Heart rate ≥ 90 ²	1.94								1.94 (1.02-3.67)
	Fever ^{3,8,12-16}									

§All odds ratios are adjusted for confounding factors and are multivariate except when marked u: univariate.

* Current leg ulcer only (median OR 14.88). Pressure ulcer has lower OR: 6.0.^[11] History of ulcer has lower median OR: 5.06.^[7,10,11,13]

Intertrigo often included as a current injury. Median intertrigo OR was 3.81, but in Cameroon, OR was 51.4.⁷⁻¹⁴ Environment may be confounding factor.^[7-14] % Nijm limits trauma onset to ≤4 weeks before cellulitis onset.⁸ Other studies do not specify.^[7,9,11-13]

& Surgery and excoriating skin disease not included in history due to median ORs only 2.7^[1-8] and 4.37,^[2-5,7] respectively.

2 Only Raff study used ORs vs. pseudocellulitis. Age (adj. OR 2.71) included in Raff predictive model, However average age for those with cellulitis 63.2 years vs. 62.6 years without cellulitis; differences are not significant.

3 Warmth and fever > 38C or chills of instant onset (< 24 hr.) required for case inclusion.

5 Traumatic wounds included in ulcer total.

7 ORs for Halpern study obtained from Quirke.

8 Fever > 38C or chills of instant onset (< 24 hr.) required for case inclusion.