Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Exploring the roles of DNA methylation in the metal-reducing bacterium Shewanella oneidensis MR-1

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9mk301pp

Authors

Bendall, Matthew L. Luong, Khai Wetmore, Kelly M. et al.

Publication Date

2013-12-31

Exploring the roles of DNA methylation in the metal-reducing bacterium *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1

Matthew L. Bendall 1, Khai Luong 2, Kelly M. Wetmore 3, Matthew Blow 1, Jonas Korlach 2, Adam Deutschbauer 3, and Rex R. Malmstrom 1#

- 1) DOE Joint Genome Institute, Genomics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA
- 2) Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA
- 3) Physical Biosciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

1#To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: rrmalmstrom@lbl.gov

August 26, 2013

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The work conducted by the US Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the DOE under Contract Number DE-AC02-05CH11231.

DISCLAIMER:

[LBNL] This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California

Exploring the roles of DNA methylation in the metal-reducing bacterium Shewanella oneidensis MR-1

Matthew L. Bendall ¹, Khai Luong ², Kelly M. Wetmore ³, Matthew Blow ¹, Jonas Korlach ², Adam Deutschbauer ³, and Rex R. Malmstrom ^{1#}

- 1) DOE Joint Genome Institute, Genomics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA
- 2) Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA
- 10 3) Physical Biosciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,
- 11 Berkeley, CA, USA

Corresponding author: rrmalmstrom@lbl.gov

ABSTRACT

We performed whole genome analyses of DNA methylation in Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 to examine its possible role in regulating gene expression and other cellular processes. Single-Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing revealed extensive methylation of adenine (N6mA) throughout the genome. These methylated bases were located in five sequence motifs, including three novel targets for Type I restriction/modification enzymes. The sequence motifs targeted by putative methyltranferases were determined via SMRT sequencing of gene knockout mutants. In addition, we found S. oneidensis MR-1 cultures grown under various culture conditions displayed different DNA methylation patterns. However, the small number of differentially methylated sites could not be directly linked to the much larger number of differentially expressed genes in these conditions, suggesting DNA methylation is not a major regulator of gene expression in S. oneidensis MR-1. The enrichment of methylated GATC motifs in the origin of replication indicate DNA methylation may regulate genome replication in a manner similar to that seen in Escherichia coli. Furthermore, comparative analyses suggest that many Gammaproteobacteria, including all members of the Shewanellaceae family, may also utilize DNA methylation to regulate genome replication.

INTRODUCTION

DNA methylation plays a variety of functional roles in bacteria [1-3]. For example, restriction-modification (R-M) systems use methylation patterns to identify and destroy foreign DNA during viral infections [4, 5]. Bacteria also use DNA methylation to regulate genome replication [6], DNA mismatch repair [7], and gene expression [8-12]. Methylation can even serve as an epigenetic modifier, influencing the expression patterns of daughter cells based on environmental conditions [13, 14]. Because of these varied regulatory roles, DNA methylation should be incorporated into our emerging systems-level view of model microorganisms.

Despite the functional significance of DNA methylation, our understanding of its role in bacterial genetics and physiology remains incomplete due to methodological limitations. For example, bisulfite conversion can identify 5-methylcytosine modifications [15, 16], but there is no corresponding conversion assay for other common modifications in bacteria such as N6-methyladenine or 4-methylcytosine [17]. Methyl-sensitive restriction enzymes have been used to identify the methylation state of specific sequence motifs [18-20], but complete methylome analyses are not possible without 1) prior knowledge of the entire set of methyltransferases and their sequence targets within a genome, and 2) access to methyl-sensitive restriction enzymes targeting these motifs. Single-Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing overcomes these limitations and enables genome-wide analysis of DNA methylation with single base resolution [21]. In this approach, modifications in the native state DNA are revealed by

deviations in the polymerase kinetics observed during sequencing. The specific type of DNA methylation can often be determined from the polymerase kinetics, e.g. N6-methyladenine or 4-methylcytosine. With SMRT sequencing it is now possible to identify the complete set of methylated sequence motifs within a microbial genome as well as the methylation state for each instance of a motif [22-24]. This represents a powerful tool for characterizing the functional roles of DNA methylation in a wide variety of bacteria.

Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 is a bacterial isolate belonging to the Shewanellacea, a family distinguished by the wide variety of electron acceptors they can utilize (e.g. iron, manganese, uranium, chromium, and plutonium) [25-28]. Because of their flexible respiratory pathways, Shewanella sp. are recognized as potential agents for bioremediation at sites contaminated with heavy metals and radionuclides [29]. To better exploit its metabolic potential, S. oneidensis MR-1 has been characterized extensively, including analysis of gene expression [30, 31], identification of regulatory regions [32], and the determination of fitness levels for thousands of gene knockout mutants [33]. However, the developing systems-level view of Shewanella does not yet incorporate DNA methylation and its potential regulatory roles. Genomic analyses reveal multiple putative methyltransferases in S. oneidensis MR-1 [34, 35], including several apparent 'orphans' that lack corresponding restriction enzymes. It remains unclear what role these orphan methyltransferases might play.

Here we use SMRT sequencing to provide the first look at DNA methylation in *S. oneidensis* MR-1. We identify methylated sites throughout the genome as well as the sequence motifs targeted by predicted methyltransferases. To determine if DNA methylation regulates gene expression, we examine whether changes in expression level correspond with changes in DNA methylation state when cultures are transferred from one set of growth conditions to another. Finally, we examine the finished genomes of all *Gammaproteobacteria*, including the *Shewanellacea*, to determine which groups appear to use DNA methylation for regulating genome replication and DNA mismatch repair.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Methylation profile of S. oneidensis MR-1

To identify methylated sites within the genome of *S. oneidensis MR-1*, we performed SMRT sequencing on DNA extracted from triplicate exponential-phase cultures grown aerobically on minimal media. Our analysis revealed 42,965 nucleotides that exhibited significant variations in polymerase kinetics that were diagnostic of DNA modification [21]. Of those modified nucleotides, 41,853 were identified as N6-methyladenine (N6mA) based on their distinct kinetic fingerprint. The remaining kinetic variants included 396 cytosine, 301 guanine, and 415 thymine bases (Supplementary Table 1). While some of these were likely analytical artifacts, the agreement among all three biological replicates suggests additional, unidentified mechanisms for DNA modification at work in *S.*

oneidensis MR-1, e.g. glucosylation, putrescinylation, and glutamylation [36, 37]. The nature of these putative modifications could not be determined in this study, but represent an interesting avenue for future investigation.

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

Next we analyzed the local sequence context of these N6mA bases to determine if they were located within specific sequence motifs. We identified two palindromic motifs (GATC and ATCGAT) and three bipartite motifs (GCAN₄GTC / GACN₄TGC, TACN₆GTNGT / ACNACN₆GTA, and TGAYN₆TGAC / GTCAN₆RTCA) enriched with N6mA bases (methylated bases are underlined). Over 99% of all N6mA residues could be assigned to one of these five sequence motifs, suggesting that methylation was performed by DNA methyltransferases targeting specific DNA sequences. Genome annotations of S. oneidensis MR-1 predicted three Type I RM systems with unknown target sequences [34]. The discovery of three bipartite motifs is consistent with Type I R-M systems [38], but the specific motif sequences we detected were novel R-M targets. The two methylated palindromes GATC and ATCGAT were also predicted in restriction enzyme database REBASE (http://rebase.neb.com/rebase/rebase.html) based on sequence homology to methylases with known targets [35]. However, S. oneidensis MR-1 has four putative Type II methyltransferases, which is more than the number of methylated motifs detected once the three Type-I-like bipartite motifs were excluded. All putative methyltransferases were expressed under these growth conditions, suggesting either redundancy among the methyltransferases in targeted motifs or possible misannotation of some genes.

To identify the specific target of each methyltransferase, we performed SMRT sequencing on methyltransferase transposon mutants. In these tests, a target motif was assigned to a predicted methyltransferase if the motif was not methylated in the transposon mutant. For example, the sequence motif ATCGAT was no longer methylated in a mutant lacking putative methyltransferase SOA0004, thus indicating the target of this methyltransferase. The three bipartite motifs were also clearly assigned to the three predicted Type I methyltransferases using this approach (Table 2). However, the protein(s) targeting GATC could not be identified unequivocally because this motif was always methylated in the individual transposon mutants. Interestingly, we were unable to generate a viable transposon mutant for predicted methyltransferase SO 0289, suggesting this gene maybe required for viability. Two of the putative methyltransferases show homology to dam (SO_0289 & SO_0690) and were suspected to target GATC (Table 2). Gene SO_3004 does not show strong homology to dam, but was also predicted in REBASE to target GATC. Thus, it appears that S. oneidensis MR-1 may use multiple genes to methylate GATC. Similar redundancies have been observed in *E. coli*, which has three separate enzymes that methylate GATC [3, 22]. In addition, some strains of Salmonella enterica may have up to five copies of dam genes (Supplementary Table 3). The potential redundancy of GATC-targeting methyltransferases and the lack of corresponding restriction enzymes suggest that S. oneidensis MR-1 may use GATC methylation in some regulatory capacity.

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

To examine the efficiency of methyltransferases in *S. oneidensis* MR-1, we determined the methylation state for each instance of the five sequence motifs throughout the genome. After excluding 418 motif locations with low sequence coverage, we found that 99.2% of the remaining 21,118 motif locations were methylated on both strands in all three replicates. The degree of methylation varied by motif from as low as 96.9% to greater than 99.9% (Table 1). Interestingly, 5 sites were confidently hemi-methylated, i.e. methylated on only one strand, in all three replicates, whereas 8 sites were not methylated on either strand (Supplementary Table 2). Five of the non-methylated sites were in Type I R-M motifs, two were located in GATC motifs, and one was found in an ATCGAT motif (Table 1; Supplementary Table 2). Non-methylated and hemi-methylated sites have been detected in other bacteria [8, 20, 22, 23, 39, 40], and these sites are often protected from methyltransferases by DNA binding proteins.

Changes in DNA methylation can impact gene expression levels by altering the binding affinity of regulatory proteins. For example, the binding of leucine responsive protein (Lrp), which regulates expression levels of the *pap* operon in *E. coli*, is controlled by methylation state of two GATC sites in the Lrp binding site [8, 41]. In *S. oneidensis* MR-1, GATC motifs are commonly found in the binding sites for transcription factors Crp and Fnr [32]. Indeed, 64 of the 174 Crp binding sites and 21 of 30 Fnr binding sites have one or more Type II motif (Table 3). This enrichment of GATC motifs in transcription factor binding sites presents an opportunity for methylation to impact gene expression on a large scale. That is, if DNA binding activities of Crp and Fnr are sensitive to the DNA

methylation, then changes in the methylation of GATC sites could potentially influence gene expression in *S. oneidensis* MR-1.

Dynamics of DNA methylation and gene expression

To explore changes in genome-wide methylation patterns and their possible impact on gene expression, we measured DNA methylation and mRNA levels in exponential-phase *S. oneidensis* MR-1 cultures grown under various conditions. More specifically, triplicate cultures grown aerobically in minimal media were first analyzed by SMRT sequencing and RNAseq, and then analyzed again after transfer to either aerobic rich media or anaerobic minimal media. Not surprisingly, gene expression varied from one condition to another, with 426 genes differentially expressed between minimal and rich media (201 upregulated, 225 down-regulated), and 99 genes differentially expressed between aerobic and anaerobic conditions (51 up-regulated, 48 down-regulated) (Fig 2; Supplementary Tables 4&5).

We did not observe widespread changes in methylation in cultures growing under different conditions. However, reproducible differences in methylation state were observed at 8 sites (Table 4, Supplementary Table 2). Of these sites, 5 were GATC motifs located within intergenic regions. One of these GATC sites (genome position 4,061,174) was located within the binding site of a ferric uptake regulator protein (Fur) transcription factor (Table 4), although neither gene regulated by this transcription factor was differentially expressed. The methylation state of two other GATC motifs flanking another Fur binding site

(genome positions 3,823,765 and 3,823,792) also differed between minimal and rich media. One of the nine genes (SO_3667) regulated by this binding site was up regulated when cultures were transferred to rich media (Supplementary Table 4). Finally, a differentially methylated GATC motif was detected 215 bp upstream of argC (SO_0275), a gene involved in arginine synthesis. The expression of argC and four other genes in the same operon was repressed when cultures were transferred from minimal media lacking arginine into rich media containing arginine (Supplementary Table 4). The latter two cases were suggestive of a possible connection between DNA methylation and gene expression, although the nature of the connection remains unclear. That is, did changes in methylation state influence expression levels, or did binding of regulatory proteins inadvertently protect these sites from methylation? Establishing a direct causal link was not possible with the current dataset and will require additional future investigations.

While these methylation dynamics are intriguing, it is clear that widespread changes in gene expression were not accompanied by equally widespread changes in DNA methylation (Figure 2). Nor was there an obvious relationship between methylation at known transcription factor binding sites and expression. For example, 69 Crp-regulated genes were differentially expressed when cultures were transferred from minimal media to rich media, but none of the Crp binding sites were differentially methylated (Table 3, Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). The only differentially methylated transcription factor binding site we observed did not exhibit a significant difference in expression for the

corresponding genes. It is worth noting that *Shewanella* cultures were not synchronized, and it is conceivable that short-term changes in methylation state and gene expression within a small subset of the culture could have gone undetected. Still, while methylation may impact the expression of a few genes, our data suggest that methylation does not play a large and direct role in regulating gene expression in *S. oneidensis* MR-1, at least not under the conditions we tested.

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

To our knowledge this is the first study to directly measure the genomewide dynamics of methylation and gene expression in a wild-type bacterium. Three previous studies examined gene expression in *E. coli* mutants lacking dam (Dam-), and in each case the expression patterns of the mutants differed from those of the wild-type strain [42-44]. However, support for a direct regulatory role for methylation was inconsistent among studies. For example, Oshima et al. (2002) found that a disproportionately large number of the differentially expressed genes in Dam- mutants were regulated by transcription factors with GATC motifs within their binding sites. In contrast, Lobner-Olesen et al (2003) and Robbins-Menke et al (2005) found that the regulatory regions of differentially expressed genes were not enriched with GATC for both Dam- and Dam-overproducing mutants. While there are clear cases where methylation directly regulates gene expression E. coli [45], the latter two studies suggest that most differential gene expression in dam mutants results from indirect effects of variable Dam concentrations on cell physiology, not from direct regulation of expression via methylation. Similarly, >30% of genes in E. coli C227-11 were

differentially expressed after deleting RM.EcoGIII methyltransferase, yet there were no clear connections to RM.EcoGIII methylation sites for most of these genes [22]. These studies highlight the challenges of interpreting direct regulatory roles for DNA methylation by examining methyltransferase knockout mutants. Monitoring methylation dynamics in wild-type bacteria, which is now possible using SMRT sequencing, should provide a clearer picture of the regulatory roles of DNA methylation in bacteria.

Roles for DNA methylation in genome replication and DNA mismatch repair

oneidensis MR-1, then why does its genome encode orphan methyltransferases? One possibility is that DNA methylation plays a critical role in DNA mismatch repair and/or genome replication. For example, *S. oneidensis* MR-1 contains the DNA mismatch repair gene *mutH*, which in *E. coli* nicks the unmethylated strand near hemi-methylated GATC sites when mismatches occur during genome replication. This enables removal of the unmethylated strand and resynthesis of the correct sequence from the methylated template [7]. In addition, the non-uniform distribution of GATC sites throughout the genome suggests *S. oneidensis* MR-1 may use DNA methylation to regulate genome replication in manner similar to *E. coli*. Specifically, the origin of replication (*oriC*) is enriched with GATC sites in both organisms (Figure 3; Supplementary Figure 1), and in *E. coli* the methylation state of these sites plays an important role in regulating genome replication [46-49]. Briefly, genome replication in *E. coli* is

initiated in part by the binding of DnaA to the origin of replication. Shortly after replication begins SeqA binds to newly-formed hemi-methylated GATC sites in the origin, thus preventing additional binding of DnaA and the re-initiation of replication [46, 50]. SeqA also binds to hemi-methylated GATC sites in the promoter region of *dnaA* and reduces transcription of *dnaA* once the replication fork has passed [6, 51], thereby decreasing the chance of replication re-initiation. We hypothesize *S. oneidensis* MR-1 uses similar mechanisms to control DNA replication based on the presence of *dam* and *seqA* in the genome as well as enrichment of GATC sites in the *oriC*.

To determine if DNA methylation regulates genome replication and mismatch repair in *S. oneidensis* MR-1, we attempted to construct *dam*- and *seqA*- mutants. DNA replication and cell division are not synchronized in *dam*- and *seqA*- mutants of *E. coli* [51, 52], and we wished to observe if the same was true for *S. oneidensis* MR-1. However, both *dam* (locus id SO_0289) and *seqA* appear to be essential for viability as determined by high-throughput transposon mutagenesis and sequencing (TnSEQ) [53] (unpublished data; KMW, MB, AD), so mutants could not be recovered. *Dam* is also essential for fellow *Gammaproteobacteria Vibrio cholerae* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*, where it plays a role in regulating genome replication [54, 55]. Interestingly, mutants were viable for the two other *S. oneidensis* MR-1 genes that either showed some homology to *dam* (SO_0690) or were predicted to methylate GATC by REBASE (SO_3004). Both genes were expressed in wild type *S. oneidensis* MR-1, indicating that they were functional, but not completely redundant to

SO_0289. The non-viability of both *dam-* and *seqA-* mutants suggests that methylation of GATC motifs plays a critical functional role in *S. oneidensis* MR-1, mostly likely in regulating genome replication.

Next we asked if other *Shewanella* species might utilize methylation to regulate genome replication or mismatch repair by searching their genomes for *dam*, *seqA*, *mutH*, and GATC enrichment around at the origin or replication. In addition to *S. oneidensis* MR-1, there are 21 finished *Shewanella* genomes isolated from diverse environments including marine and freshwater sediments, hydrothermal vents, and the nidamental gland of a squid. Nineteen isolates encoded mismatch repair protein MutH, whereas all 21 isolates were positive for *dam*, *seqA*, and GATC enrichment around *oriC* (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 3), the same pattern observed in *S. oneidensis* MR-1 and *E. coli*. This suggests that regulation of genome replication through DNA methylation might be a universal feature of the *Shewanellaceae* family.

Looking beyond the *Shewanellaceae*, we searched all finished *Gammaproteobacteria* genomes for the presence of *dam*, *mutH*, *seqA*, and GATC enrichment in *oriC*. Of the 448 finished *Gammaproteobacteria* genomes in the Integrated Microbial Genomes database [56], 331 encoded one or more putative *dam* genes, while 266 encoded *seqA* and 278 encoded *mutH* (Figure 4; Supplementary Figure 2; Supplementary Table 3). Interestingly, virtually all *Gammaproteobacteria* arising after a single evolutionary branch point were positive for *dam*, *mutH*, *seqA*, and GATC enrichment at *oriC* (Figure 4; Supplementary Figure 2). There were 24 exceptions beyond this branch point

that lacked seqA and GATC enrichment, and of these, 23 were endosymbionts with massively reduced genomes (Supplementary Table 3). Genome reduction, including loss of regulatory elements, is a common feature of endosymbionts [57], and it appears both dam and seqA were lost during these reductions.

Glaciecola nitratireducens FR1064 is not an endosymbiont, but its genome is >1Mbp smaller than the two other sequenced members of the genus Glaciecola [58], suggesting it too may have lost seqA during genome reduction. While some basal lineages within the Gammaproteobacteria encoded dam and/or mutH genes, none encoded seqA or showed enrichment of GATC sites at the origin of replication (Figure 4). Using the limited number of genomes available at the time, Lobner-Olesen et al. (2005) identified a "DamMT clade" within the Gammaproteobacteria. Our more comprehensive analysis generally agrees with this earlier report, although it would be more appropriate to discuss a "seqA/GATC-oriC clade" since dam and mutH were not exclusive to one clade of Gammaproteobacteria (Figure 4).

The development of epigenetic regulation of genome replication appears to be a key evolutionary event within the *Gammaproteobacteria*. The phylogenetic pattern of *dam*, *seqA*, and GATC enrichment at the origin indicates this mechanism for regulating chromosome replication via DNA methylation was acquired and maintained by more recent lineages, not lost by the more basal groups within the clade. Moreover, this mechanism has been maintained throughout the evolution of multiple families, even as these groups have diversified and expanded into a wide range of different aquatic, terrestrial, and

host-associated environments. Dam has even become essential for viability of some *Gammaproteobacteria* such as *S. oneidensis* MR-1 (this study), *Vibrio cholerae*, and *Yersina pseudotuberculosis* [54]. The maintenance of *dam*, *seqA*, and GATC enrichment at *oriC* throughout their evolutionary history suggests many *Gammaproteobacteria*, regardless of their environment, experience strong selective pressure to synchronize genome replication with cell division, and that more recently evolved lineages do so with DNA methylation. Presumably, the more basal lineages of *Gammaproteobacteria* lacking *seqA* and GATC enrichment at *oriC* use alternative strategies. Interestingly, many of these basal Gammaproteobacteria lineages encode *dam*, but its functional role is remains a mystery since they do not appear to use methylation for DNA mismatch repair or genome replication. Further analyses with SMRT sequencing will shed additional light on the role of DNA methylation in these bacteria.

Conclusions

DNA methylation appears to serve a variety of functions in *S. oneidensis* MR-1 including restriction/modification, DNA mismatch repair, and regulation of genome replication. Methylation may also regulate expression of a few genes, but it does not appear to be a major regulator of gene expression. This connection to gene expression is one of the more interesting, but poorly understood, facets of DNA methylation, and it remains to be seen if it is a major regulator of expression in any bacteria. However, with the exception of *E. coli* and *Caulobacter crescentus*, our understanding of DNA methylation is extremely

limited for the vast majority of prokaryotes. The further application of SMRT sequencing will dramatically expand our understanding of DNA methylation in these understudied clades. Large scale surveys of diverse microbial groups using SMRT sequencing will help provide new insights into the scope and variety of DNA methylation in various phylogenetic groups, whereas analyses of wild-type and knockout mutants will help uncover and experimentally verify the functional roles methylation.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Strains, culture conditions, and nucleic acid isolation

S. oneidensis MR-1 was obtained from the American Type Culture

Collection (catalog number 700550). The aerobic minimal media contained per
liter: 1.5 g NH₄Cl, 0.1 g KCl, 1.75 g NaCl, 0.61 g MgCl₂-6H₂0, 0.6 g NaH₂PO₄, 30

mM PIPES buffer, 20 mM DL-lactate, Wolfe's vitamins, and Wolfe's minerals
(pH7). Fumarate was added as an electron acceptor to anaerobic minimal media
(30mM final conc.). Anaerobic minimal media experiments were set up in an
anaerobic chamber (Coy) with a gas mix of 5% H₂, 10% CO₂, and 85% N₂, and
incubated in serum bottles closed with butyl rubber stopper at 200 rpm. Aerobic
minimal media experiments were conducted in 10 mL culture tubes or 250 ml
culture flasks at 200 rpm. All cultures were incubated at 30°C.

To conduct the initial survey of DNA methylation, wild-type cells were collected during exponential growth in minimal media (OD600 = 0.32). DNA and RNA were isolated with the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen) and RNeasy

mini kit (Qiagen), respectively. Cells were treated with RNAprotect (Qiagen) according the manufacturers instructions prior to RNA extraction, and total RNA was isolated after an on-column DNAse treatment. To examine changes in methylation and gene expression in wild-type cells, we inoculated exponentially growing cells from aerobic minimal media (OD600 = 0.32) into either rich media (Luria-Bertani broth) or anaerobic minimal media. DNA and RNA were collected after cultures reached exponential phase in the new media (OD600 of 0.45 for rich media, ~5 population doublings post transfer; OD600 of 0.16 for anaerobic media, ~3 population doublings post transfer).

DNA methylation patterns were also determined in mutant strains whose putative methyltransferases were inactivated by transposon insertions [33]. DNA from transposon mutants was collected from stationary phase cultures growing in aerobic minimal media (OD600 of ~0.80). All mutants grew to the same density and did not display any substantial growth differences from wild-type.

DNA methylation detection

Libraries of replicate of wild-type *S. oneidensis* MR-1 cultures were prepared for SMRT sequencing using a library construction protocol described previously [59]. These libraries were sequenced to a mean genome coverage depth of 118-222X on the Pacific Biosciences RS instrument using C2 chemistry. One replicate grown in anaerobic minimal media was excluded from further analysis due to poor coverage (<70X). Methyltransferase transposon mutants were sequenced to a depth 72-113X. Average read lengths ranged from 2,296-

2,889bp in all libraries. Reads were mapped to the reference genome (RefSeq NC_004347.1) using BLASR [60]. Base modification and motif detection were performed using the Modification and Motif Detection protocol in SMRTPipe v.1.3.3. Positions with coverage >25X and kinetic scores ≥ QV 40 were considered modified. The kinetic score is the -10*log (p-value) where p-value was determined from a t-test between the sample and the in silico model (http://pacb.com/applications/base_modification/index.html). Positions with coverage <25X were excluded from analysis.

Gene expression analyses

Total RNA collected from biological replicates was sequenced on the Illumina platform to determine gene expression levels of *S. oneidensis* MR-1 grown under the three experimental conditions described above. Ribosomal RNA was removed using the Ribo-Zero Meta-Bacteria kit (Epicentre) prior to creation of a strand-specific RNAseq library [61]. Libraries were sequenced on the Ilumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Ten million mRNA reads randomly selected from each library were analyzed for differential expression using DEseq [62], edgeR [63], and RankProd [64] tools. Differentially expressed genes were those confidently identified by all three tests (Q<0.005; FDR<0.01) as having a fold change >2.

Detecting dam, seqA, mutH, and GATC enrichment at the origin of replication

We analyzed all 448 finished *Gammaproteobacteria* genomes available in the Joint Genome Institute's Integrated Microbial Genomes database (http://img.jgi.doe.gov/) [56] as of March 6, 2013. The number of genes assigned to COGs 0338, 3057, and 3066 was determined in order to quantify the number of *dam*, *seqA*, and *mutH* genes, respectively. The origin of replication was determined by in silico and/or in vivo predictions retrieved from the DoriC database v6.5 (http://tubic.tju.edu.cn/doric/index.php) [65, 66]. For the small number of genomes missing from DoriC, the origin was found using Ori-Finder (http://tubic.tju.edu.cn/Ori-Finder) [67]. The origin of replication was considered to be enriched in GATC motifs if the observed number of motifs with the origin was significantly greater than would be expected if GATC motifs were uniformly distributed throughout the genome (i.e. the total number of GATC sites divided by genome size). Significance was calculated using a binomial test with a p-value threshold of 0.01.

In four of the genomes examined, we found that the origin annotated in DoriC was not enriched for GATC despite the presence of *dam* and *seqA*. The annotated origins shared the following characteristics: 1) the origin was predicted by in silico analysis, which considers both *dnaA* and *gidA* to be "indicator genes" of the origin; 2) the proposed origin was located upstream of *dnaA*, whereas the predicted origin in other closely related strains was located upstream of *gidA*; and 3) the region upstream of *gidA* was significantly enriched with GATC motifs. This suggested the apparent anomalies were due to misannotation of the origin or replication. The origin was re-defined around *gidA* in these cases.

Phylogeny of Gammaproteobacteria

16S rRNA sequences from finished *Gammaproteobacteria* genomes were aligned using MAFFT v6.864b [68] and used to construct a maximum likelihood phylogeny with RAxmL v7.2.6 [69] using the GTRGAMMA model and rapid bootstrap algorithm (1,000 iterations).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The DOE Joint Genome Institute was supported by the US Department of Energy Office of Science under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Locations of methylated sequence motifs in the chromosome and megaplasmid of *S. oneidensis* MR-1. Moving from the outer ring to the inner ring, the methylated motifs are: GATC, ATCGAT, GCAN₄GTC/GACN₄TGC (motif 3), TACN6GTNGT/ACNACN6GTA (motif 4), and TGAYN6TGAC/GTCAN6RTCA (motif 5). The length of each methylation site marker is proportional to the confidence of methylation; all indicated sites have a p-value < 0.0001. Hemimethylated (♠) and non-methylated (♠) sites in cultures grown aerobically in minimal media are indicated. OriC indicates the position of the origin of replication.

Figure 2: Locations of differentially expressed genes (red and blue rings) and 478 differentially methylated motifs in S. oneidensis MR-1 cultures transferred from 479 480 aerobic minimal media to rich media (A) or anaerobic minimal media (B). Up-481 regulated genes are red and down-regulated genes are blue. Motif locations that became methylated (●), hemi-methylated (●), or non-methylated (○) after 482 transfer to different culture conditions are indicated. No differentially methylated 483 484 motifs were found in the megaplasmid. 485 Figure 3: Distribution of GATC motifs throughout the genomes of S. oneidensis 486 MR-1 and *E. coli*. The arrow indicates the origin of replication (oriC). 487 488 489 Figure 4: Phylogenetic patterns of DNA methylation usage in 490 Gammaproteobacteria. The presence of dam, seqA, and GATC enrichment at 491 the origin of replication is illustrated on a phylogenetic tree constructed from the 16S sequences of 448 finished genomes. The scale bar represents the fraction 492 of substitutions per site. The star indicates a node beyond which all but 24 493 genomes encoded dam, seqA, and were enriched for GATC motifs in the origin 494 495 of replication. Although this node does not have strong bootstrap support based on 16S rRNA sequences, this node was supported by a rare indel in RpoB [70, 496 497 71]. 498

477

REFERENCES

- 1. Casadesus J, Low D: **Epigenetic gene regulation in the bacterial world**.

 Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 2006, 70(3):830-+.
- Low DA, Casadesus J: Clocks and switches: bacterial gene regulation by DNA adenine methylation. Current Opinion in Microbiology 2008, 11(2):106-112.
- Lobner-Olesen A, Skovgaard O, Marinus MG: **Dam methylation: coordinating** cellular processes. Current Opinion in Microbiology 2005, **8**(2):154-160.
- 510 4. Bickle TA, Kruger DH: **Biology of DNA Restriction**. *Microbiological Reviews* 1993, **57**(2):434-450.
- 512 5. Vasu K, Nagaraja V: Diverse Functions of Restriction-Modification Systems in
 513 Addition to Cellular Defense. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews
 514 2013, 77(1):53-72.
- Katayama T, Ozaki S, Keyamura K, Fujimitsu K: Regulation of the replication
 cycle: conserved and diverse regulatory systems for DnaA and oriC. Nature
 reviews Microbiology 2010, 8(3):163-170.
- Modrich P, Lahue R: Mismatch repair in replication fidelity, genetic
 recombination, and cancer biology. Annual review of biochemistry 1996,
 65:101-133.
- Braaten BA, Platko JV, Vanderwoude MW, Simons BH, Degraaf FK, Calvo JM,
 Low DA: Leucine-Responsive Regulatory Protein Controls the Expression of
 Both the Pap and Fan Pili Operons in Escherichia-Coli. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1992, 89(10):4250-4254.
- Braun RE, Wright A: DNA Methylation Differentially Enhances the
 Expression of One of the 2 Escherichia-Coli Dnaa Promoters Invivo and
 Invitro. Molecular & General Genetics 1986, 202(2):246-250.
- 529 10. Brunet YR, Bernard CS, Gavioli M, Lloubes R, Cascales E: An epigenetic switch 530 involving overlapping fur and DNA methylation optimizes expression of a 531 type VI secretion gene cluster. *Plos Genetics* 2011, 7(7):e1002205-e1002205.
- Camacho EM, Casadesus J: Regulation of traJ transcription in Salmonella virulence plasmid by strand-specific DNA adenine hemimethylation.
 Molecular Microbiology 2005, 57(6):1700-1718.
- 535 12. Srikhanta YN, Fox KL, Jennings MP: **The phasevarion: phase variation of type**536 **III DNA methyltransferases controls coordinated switching in multiple**537 **genes**. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2010, **8**(3):196-206.
- Hernday AD, Braaten BA, Low DA: The mechanism by which DNA adenine
 methylase and PapI activate the pap epigenetic switch. *Molecular cell* 2003,
 12(4):947-957.
- Lim HN, van Oudenaarden A: A multistep epigenetic switch enables the stable inheritance of DNA methylation states. *Nature Genetics* 2007, **39**(2):269-275.
- Kahramanoglou C, Prieto AI, Khedkar S, Haase B, Gupta A, Benes V, Fraser
 GM, Luscombe NM, Seshasayee AS: Genomics of DNA cytosine methylation
 in Escherichia coli reveals its role in stationary phase transcription. Nature
 communications 2012, 3:886.

- 547 16. Bormann Chung CA, Boyd VL, McKernan KJ, Fu Y, Monighetti C, Peckham 548 HE, Barker M: Whole methylome analysis by ultra-deep sequencing using 549 two-base encoding. *Plos One* 2010, 5(2):e9320.
- 550 17. Ehrlich M, Wilson GG, Kuo KC, Gehrke CW: N4-Methylcytosine as a Minor Base in Bacterial-DNA. *J Bacteriol* 1987, 169(3):939-943.
- Wang MX, Church GM: A Whole Genome Approach to Invivo DNA-Protein
 Interactions in Escherichia-Coli. Nature 1992, 360(6404):606-610.
- Ringquist S, Smith CL: The Escherichia-Coli Chromosome Contains Specific,
 Unmethylated Dam and Dcm Sites. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences of the United States of America 1992, 89(10):4539-4543.
- Hale WB, Vanderwoude MW, Low DA: Analysis of Nonmethylated Gate Sites
 in the Escherichia-Coli Chromosome and Identification of Sites That Are
 Differentially Methylated in Response to Environmental Stimuli. Journal of
 Bacteriology 1994, 176(11):3438-3441.
- Flusberg BA, Webster DR, Lee JH, Travers KJ, Olivares EC, Clark TA, Korlach
 J, Turner SW: Direct detection of DNA methylation during single-molecule,
 real-time sequencing. Nature Methods 2010, 7(6):461-U472.
- Fang G, Munera D, Friedman DI, Mandlik A, Chao MC, Banerjee O, Feng Z,
 Losic B, Mahajan MC, Jabado OJ, Deikus G, Clark TA, Luong K, Murray IA,
 Davis BM, Keren-Paz A, Chess A, Roberts RJ, Korlach J, Turner SW, Kumar V,
 Waldor MK, Schadt EE: Genome-wide mapping of methylated adenine
 residues in pathogenic Escherichia coli using single-molecule real-time
 sequencing. Nature Biotechnology 2012, 30(12):1232-1239.
- Clark TA, Murray IA, Morgan RD, Kislyuk AO, Spittle KE, Boitano M,
 Fomenkov A, Roberts RJ, Korlach J: Characterization of DNA
 methyltransferase specificities using single-molecule, real-time DNA
- sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40(4).
 Murray IA, Clark TA, Morgan RD, Boitano M, Anton BP, Luong I
- Murray IA, Clark TA, Morgan RD, Boitano M, Anton BP, Luong K, Fomenkov
 A, Turner SW, Korlach J, Roberts RJ: The methylomes of six bacteria. Nucleic
 Acids Res 2012, 40(22):11450-11462.
- 577 25. Boukhalfa H, Icopini GA, Reilly SD, Neu MP: Plutonium(IV) reduction by the 578 metal-reducing bacteria Geobacter metallireducens GS15 and Shewanella 579 oneidensis MR1. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2007, 73(18):5897-580 5903.
- 581 26. Fredrickson JK, Romine MF, Beliaev AS, Auchtung JM, Driscoll ME, Gardner TS, Nealson KH, Osterman AL, Pinchuk G, Reed JL, Rodionov DA, Rodrigues JLM, Saffarini DA, Serres MH, Spormann AM, Zhulin IB, Tiedje JM: Towards environmental systems biology of Shewanella. *Nature reviews Microbiology* 2008, 6(8):592-603.
- 586 27. Myers CR, Nealson KH: **Bacterial manganese reduction and growth with**587 **manganese oxide as the sole electron acceptor**. *Science (New York, NY)* 1988,
 588 **240**(4857):1319-1321.
- 28. Caccavo F, Blakemore RP, Lovley DR: A Hydrogen-Oxidizing, Fe(Iii)Seducing Microorganism from the Great Bay Estuary, New-Hampshire.
- 591 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 1992, **58**(10):3211-3216.

- 592 29. Hau HH, Gralnick JA: Ecology and biotechnology of the genus Shewanella.
 593 Annual Review of Microbiology 2007, 61:237-258.
- Kolker E, Picone AF, Galperin MY, Romine MF, Higdon R, Makarova KS,
 Kolker N, Anderson GA, Qiu X, Auberry KJ, Babnigg G, Beliaev AS, Edlefsen P,
- Elias DA, Gorby YA, Holzman T, Klappenbach JA, Konstantinidis KT, Land
- 597 ML, Lipton MS, McCue L-A, Monroe M, Pasa-Tolic L, Pinchuk G, Purvine S, 598 Serres MH, Tsapin S, Zakrajsek BA, Zhu W, Zhou J, Larimer FW, Lawrence CE,
- 599 Riley M, Collart FR, Yates JR, Smith RD, Giometti CS, Nealson KH, Fredrickson
- JK, Tiedje JM: Global profiling of Shewanella oneidensis MR-1: expression of
- hypothetical genes and improved functional annotations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2005, 102(6):2099-
- National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2005, 102(6):2099-603 2104.
- Price MN, Deutschbauer AM, Skerker JM, Wetmore KM, Ruths T, Mar JS, Kuehl JV, Shao WJ, Arkin AP: Indirect and suboptimal control of gene expression is widespread in bacteria. *Molecular Systems Biology* 2013, 9.
- Novichkov PS, Laikova ON, Novichkova ES, Gelfand MS, Arkin AP, Dubchak I,
 Rodionov DA: RegPrecise: a database of curated genomic inferences of
 transcriptional regulatory interactions in prokaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res
 2010, 38(Database issue):D111-118.
- Deutschbauer A, Price MN, Wetmore KM, Shao W, Baumohl JK, Xu Z, Nguyen M, Tamse R, Davis RW, Arkin AP: Evidence-based annotation of gene function in Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 using genome-wide fitness profiling
- across 121 conditions. Plos Genetics 2011, 7(11):e1002385-e1002385.
 Heidelberg JF, Paulsen IT, Nelson KE, Gaidos EJ, Nelson WC, Read TD, Eisen JA, Seshadri R, Ward N, Methe B, Clayton RA, Meyer T, Tsapin A, Scott J,
- Beanan M, Brinkac L, Daugherty S, DeBoy RT, Dodson RJ, Durkin AS, Haft
- DH, Kolonay JF, Madupu R, Peterson JD, Umayam LA, White O, Wolf AM,
- Vamathevan J, Weidman J, Impraim M, Lee K, Berry K, Lee C, Mueller J, Khouri H, Gill J, Utterback TR, McDonald LA, Feldblyum TV, Smith HO,
- 621 Venter JC, Nealson KH, Fraser CM: Genome sequence of the dissimilatory
- metal ion-reducing bacterium Shewanella oneidensis. *Nature Biotechnology* 2002, **20**(11):1118-1123.
- Roberts RJ, Vincze T, Posfai J, Macelis D: **REBASE-a database for DNA**restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res*2010, **38**:D234-D236.
- 627 36. Gommers-Ampt JH, Borst P: **Hypermodified bases in DNA**. *Faseb J* 1995, 628 9(11):1034-1042.
- 629 37. Iyer LM, Zhang D, Maxwell Burroughs A, Aravind L: Computational identification of novel biochemical systems involved in oxidation,
- 631 glycosylation and other complex modifications of bases in DNA. Nucleic Acids 632 Res 2013.
- 633 38. Dryden DTF, Murray NE, Rao DN: Nucleoside triphosphate-dependent restriction enzymes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2001, **29**(18):3728-3741.
- 635 39. Lluch-Senar M, Luong K, Llorens-Rico V, Delgado J, Fang G, Spittle K, Clark TA, Schadt E, Turner SW, Korlach J, Serrano L: Comprehensive methylome

- characterization of Mycoplasma genitalium and Mycoplasma pneumoniae at single-base resolution. *Plos Genetics* 2013, 9(1):e1003191.
- Tavazoie S, Church GM: Quantitative whole-genome analysis of DNA-protein
 interactions by in vivo methylase protection in E-coli. Nature Biotechnology
 1998, 16(6):566-571.
- Low DA, Weyand NJ, Mahan MJ: Roles of DNA adenine methylation in
 regulating bacterial gene expression and virulence. *Infection and Immunity* 2001, 69(12):7197-7204.
- Oshima T, Wada C, Kawagoe Y, Ara T, Maeda M, Masuda Y, Hiraga S, Mori H:
 Genome-wide analysis of deoxyadenosine methyltransferase-mediated
 control of gene expression in Escherichia coli. *Molecular Microbiology* 2002,
 45(3):673-695.
- Lobner-Olesen A, Marinus MG, Hansen FG: Role of SeqA and Dam in
 Escherichia coli gene expression: A global/microarray analysis. Proceedings
 of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2003,
 100(8):4672-4677.
- 653 44. Robbins-Manke JL, Zdraveski ZZ, Marinus M, Essigmann JM: Analysis of
 654 global gene expression and double-strand-break formation in DNA adenine
 655 methyltransferase-and mismatch repair-deficient Escherichia coli. Journal of
 656 Bacteriology 2005, 187(20):7027-7037.
- 657 45. Casadesus J, Low DA: **Programmed heterogeneity: epigenetic mechanisms in bacteria**. *J Biol Chem* 2013, **288**(20):13929-13935.
- 46. Slater S, Wold S, Lu M, Boye E, Skarstad K, Kleckner N: E. coli SeqA protein
 binds oriC in two different methyl-modulated reactions appropriate to its
 roles in DNA replication initiation and origin sequestration. Cell 1995,
 82(6):927-936.
- Boye E, Lobner-Olesen A, Skarstad K: Limiting DNA replication to once and only once. *Embo Reports* 2000, 1(6):479-483.
- Oka A, Sugimoto K, Takanami M, Hirota Y: Replication Origin of the
 Escherichia-Coli K-12 Chromosome the Size and Structure of the Minimum
 DNA Segment Carrying the Information for Autonomous Replication.
 Molecular and General Genetics 1980, 178(1):9-20.
- 669 49. Ogden GB, Pratt MJ, Schaechter M: The Replicative Origin of the Escherichia 670 Coli Chromosome Binds to Cell-Membranes Only When Hemimethylated.
 671 Cell 1988, 54(1):127-135.
- Vonfreiesleben U, Rasmussen KV, Schaechter M: Seqa Limits Dnaa Activity in
 Replication from Oric in Escherichia-Coli. Molecular Microbiology 1994,
 14(4):763-772.
- Lu M, Campbell JL, Boye E, Kleckner N: Seqa a Negative Modulator of
 Replication Initiation in Escherichia-Coli. Cell 1994, 77(3):413-426.
- Boye E, Lobner-Olesen A: The role of dam methyltransferase in the control of
 DNA replication in E. coli. Cell 1990, 62:981-989.
- van Opijnen T, Bodi KL, Camilli A: Tn-seq: high-throughput parallel
 sequencing for fitness and genetic interaction studies in microorganisms.
 Nature Methods 2009, 6(10):767-772.

- 54. Julio SM, Heithoff DM, Provenzano D, Klose KE, Sinsheimer RL, Low DA,
- Mahan MJ: DNA adenine methylase is essential for viability and plays a role in the pathogenesis of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis and Vibrio cholerae.
- 685 Infection and Immunity 2001, **69**(12):7610-7615.
- 55. Demarre Gl, Chattoraj DK: **DNA adenine methylation is required to replicate**both Vibrio cholerae chromosomes once per cell cycle. *Plos Genetics* 2010,
 6(5):e1000939-e1000939.
- 689 56. Markowitz VM, Chen IM, Palaniappan K, Chu K, Szeto E, Grechkin Y, Ratner A, Jacob B, Huang J, Williams P, Huntemann M, Anderson I, Mavromatis K,
- 691 Ivanova NN, Kyrpides NC: **IMG: the Integrated Microbial Genomes database**692 **and comparative analysis system**. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012, **40**(Database issue):D115-122.
- 694 57. Moran NA: Microbial Minimalism: Genome Reduction in Bacterial Pathogens. Cell 2002, 108(5):583-586.
- 58. Bian F, Qin Q-L, Xie B-B, Shu Y-L, Zhang X-Y, Yu Y, Chen B, Chen X-L, Zhou
 B-C, Zhang Y-Z: Complete genome sequence of seawater bacterium
 Glaciecola nitratireducens FR1064(T). Journal of Bacteriology 2011,
 193(24):7006-7007.
- 700 59. Travers KJ, Chin CS, Rank DR, Eid JS, Turner SW: A flexible and efficient
 701 template format for circular consensus sequencing and SNP detection.
 702 Nucleic Acids Res 2010, 38(15):e159.
- 703 60. Chaisson MJ, Tesler G: Mapping single molecule sequencing reads using basic
 704 local alignment with successive refinement (BLASR): application and theory.
 705 Bmc Bioinformatics 2012, 13:238.
- 706 61. Parkhomchuk D, Borodina T, Amstislavskiy V, Banaru M, Hallen L, Krobitsch S,
 707 Lehrach H, Soldatov A: Transcriptome analysis by strand-specific sequencing
 708 of complementary DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 2009, 37(18).
- 709 62. Anders S, Huber W: **Differential expression analysis for sequence count data**.
 710 Genome Biology 2010, **11**(10):R106.
- 711 63. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK: edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 2010, **26**(1):139-140.
- Hong F, Breitling R, McEntee CW, Wittner BS, Nemhauser JL, Chory J:
- RankProd: a bioconductor package for detecting differentially expressed genes in meta-analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2006, **22**(22):2825-2827.
- 717 65. Gao F, Luo H, Zhang CT: **DoriC 5.0: an updated database of oriC regions in both bacterial and archaeal genomes**. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013, **41**(Database issue):D90-93.
- 720 66. Gao F, Zhang CT: **DoriC: a database of oriC regions in bacterial genomes**.
 721 *Bioinformatics* 2007, **23**(14):1866-1867.
- 722 67. Gao F, Zhang CT: Ori-Finder: a web-based system for finding oriCs in unannotated bacterial genomes. *Bmc Bioinformatics* 2008, 9:79.
- 724 68. Katoh K, Toh H: Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence 725 alignment program. *Briefings in bioinformatics* 2008, 9(4):286-298.

- 726 69. Stamatakis A: RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic 727 analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 2006, 728 22(21):2688-2690.
- 729 70. Gao B, Mohan R, Gupta RS: Phylogenomics and protein signatures
 730 elucidating the evolutionary relationships among the Gammaproteobacteria.
 731 International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology 2009, 59(Pt
 732 2):234-247.
- 733 71. Williams KP, Gillespie JJ, Sobral BW, Nordberg EK, Snyder EE, Shallom JM,
 734 Dickerman AW: **Phylogeny of gammaproteobacteria**. *Journal of Bacteriology*735 2010, **192**(9):2305-2314.

Table 1. Sequence motifs containing N6mA modifications (underlined bases) in *S. oneidensis* MR-1 grown aerobically on minimal media. The total number of motifs and their their location in either coding (CDS) or intergenic regions was determined. The methylation state of motifs with <25X coverage could not be confidently determined and were excluded from these counts. 'Methylated' motifs were modified on both strands, while 'Hemi' motifs were modified on only one strand. Motifs with disagreements among replicates were in 'Conflict'. The percentage of total motifs methylated is indicated in ()'s. Methylated bases occurring simultaneously in two motifs were counted towards each motif, e.g. the 225 instances of the methylated sequence ATCGATC were included in the tallies of both GATC and ATCGAT motifs.

Motif	Total	CDS	Intergenic	Methylation state				
				Meth	ylated	Hemi	Not	Conflict
G <u>A</u> TC	16,376	14,328	2,048	16,281	(99.4%)	0	2	93
ATCG <u>A</u> T	2,342	2,052	290	2,292	(97.9%)	3	1	46
GCAN₄GTC / GACN₄TGC	1,803	1,543	260	1,793	(99.4%)	1	0	9
TACN ₆ GTNGT / ACNACN ₆ GTA	306	246	60	301	(98.4%)	0	0	5
TGAYN ₆ TGAC / GTCAN ₆ RTCA	291	243	48	282	(96.9%)	1	5	3

Table 2. Assigning target motifs to putative methyltransferases using SMRT sequencing of gene knockout mutants. Underlined bases were methylated. Target motifs were predicted for three putative methyltransferase in REBASE. Loss of a methylated motif in mutants confirmed the target of the knockedout gene. Motifs for three predicted methyltransferases were not detected (ND). No mutant was available for SO_0289, whereas no methylated motifs were lost for SO_0690 and SO_3004.

Locus ID	R-M System	Predicted motif	Confirmed motif
SO_0383	Type I		TG <u>A</u> YN ₆ TGAC / GTC <u>A</u> N ₆ RTCA
SO_1457	Type I*		GC <u>A</u> N₄GTC / G <u>A</u> CN₄TGC
SO_4265	Type I		TACN6GTNGT / ACNACN6GTA
SO_0289	Type II	GATC	ND
SO_0690	Type II		ND
SO_3004	Type II	GATC	ND
SO_A0004	Type II	ATCGAT	ATCG <u>A</u> T

^{*} Type II according to REBASE, but annotated as Type I elsewhere (e.g. Genbank, COG)

Table 3. Transcription factor binding sites containing Type II motifs. The number of genes and operons regulated by these transcription factors, as well as the number of genes differentially expressed when transferred to either Rich media or Anaerobic minimal media, is indicated. The number of up-regulated genes is indicated in ()'s next to the total number of differentially expressed genes. Bindings sites with different methylation states under different growth conditions are also displayed.

Regulator	Total Sites	Sites with motif	Operons regulated	Genes regulated	Differentially expressed genes		Differentially methylated sites	
					Rich media	Anaerobic	Rich media	Anaerobic
ArgR	34	1	22	39	30 (4)	13 (11)	0	0
Crp	174	64	150	286	69 (48)	12 (6)	0	0
Fnr	30	21	26	73	34 (28)	8 (6)	0	0
Fur	32	1	32	58	12 (7)	7 (2)	1	0
ModE	2	2	2	4	0	0	0	0
SO1578	2	2	2	3	0	0	0	0
SO3385	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0
SO3393	6	2	2	2	1 (1)	0	0	0

Table 4. Genomic context of motifs with differences in methylation state among conditions. Left and right flanking genes, as well as their orientation, are provided for motifs found within intergenic regions. Motifs were down stream of a left flanking gene if the arrow points to the left, and down stream of right flanking genes of the arrow points to the right. "P" indicates motif located in the plasmid, while * indicated motif in a Fur transcription factor binding site.

		Methylation state			Genomic context		
Position (+/-)	Motif	Min Media Aerobic	Rich medi <u>a</u>	Min Media Anaerobic	Left flanking gene	Within gene	Right flanking gene
280309/280310	G <u>A</u> TC	•	0	•	← SO_0274	-	SO_0275 →
1642124/1642125	G <u>A</u> TC	-	0	•	← SO_1563	-	SO_1565 →
3823765/3823766	G <u>A</u> TC	0	•	0	← SO_3669	•	SO_3670 →
3823792/3823793	G <u>A</u> TC	0	•	0	← SO_3669	-	SO_3670 →
4061174/4061175*	G <u>A</u> TC	-	•	0	← SO_3914	-	SO_3915 →
1965318/1965324	GC <u>A</u> N₄GTC / G <u>A</u> CN₄TGC	•	•	•	→ SO_1871	-	SO_1872 ←
938801/938809	TG <u>A</u> YN₅TGAC / GTC <u>A</u> N₅RTCA	0	•	•	-	SO_0912	-
160490/160498 P	TG <u>A</u> YN₀TGAC / GTC <u>A</u> N₀RTCA	•	<u>.</u>	•	-	SO_A0172	<u>-</u>

^{● =} methylated ○ = non-methylated ⊖ :

^{→ =} hemi-methylated









