

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Burden of Aging: Health Outcomes Among Adolescents and Young Adults With Sickle Cell Disease

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9jq7555z>

Journal

HemaSphere, 7(8)

ISSN

2572-9241

Authors

Howell, Kristen E

Pugh, Norma

Longoria, Jennifer

et al.

Publication Date

2023

DOI

10.1097/hs9.0000000000000930

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Letter

Open Access

Burden of Aging: Health Outcomes Among Adolescents and Young Adults With Sickle Cell Disease

Kristen E. Howell¹, Norma Pugh², Jennifer Longoria³, Nirmish Shah⁴, Abdullah Kutlar⁵, Victor R. Gordeuk⁶, Allison A. King⁷, Jeffrey Glassberg⁸, Mariam Kayle⁹, Cathy Melvin¹⁰, Marsha Treadwell¹¹, Jane S. Hankins¹², Jerlym S. Porter³, on behalf of the Sickle Cell Disease Implementation Consortium*

Correspondence: Jerlym S. Porter (Jerlym.Porter@stjude.org).

Although ≈95% of children with sickle cell disease (SCD) will reach adulthood in high-income settings,^{1–4} adolescents and young adults (AYA) face difficulties in establishing adult care and experience progression of disease severity as they age.^{5–7} As patients leave pediatric care, they undergo life events as emerging adults such as graduating high school, attending college, or joining the work force. Along with the progression of their disease severity, these life changes introduce stressors impacting their mental health and psychosocial functioning, but are not well characterized. We aimed to identify differences in health-related outcomes (ie, clinical and psychosocial), and transition barriers between adolescents (age, 15–17 years) and young adults (YAs) (age, 18–25 years) to help inform the burden of aging with SCD. We hypothesized that YAs with SCD experience increased severity of health-related outcomes (ie, increased clinical outcomes and decreased psychosocial functioning) and increased transition barriers compared with adolescents with SCD.

This analysis was conducted as part of the Sickle Cell Disease Implementation Consortium (SCDIC), a cooperative research program aimed at using implementation science research to accelerate the translation of evidence-based therapies into clinical care among individuals with SCD ages 15–45 years through research studies and a longitudinal registry.⁸ The current study included AYA aged 15–25 years with SCD, enrolled in the SCDIC registry.^{9,10} As previously described,¹¹ baseline data were gathered from 2016 to 2019. This study was approved by the institutional review board and written informed consent was

obtained from all participants or their legal guardian if the participant was a minor.

Demographics included gender, age, SCD genotype, insurance type, race, ethnicity, primary language, marital status, number of children and adults living in the household, household income, education, and occupation. Other covariates included age at SCD diagnosis, transfusion history, pain history, hydroxyurea utilization, and type of healthcare professional providing the majority of SCD care in the past 2 years. Participants were stratified by age at the baseline assessment to compare adolescents (age, 15.0–17.9 years) and YAs (age, 18.0–25.0 years).

Clinical outcomes included records of common SCD-related dysfunctional organs: joint osteonecrosis, chronic kidney disease, stroke, hypertension, skin ulcers, retinopathy, and chronic refractory pain. Clinical outcomes were extracted from the medical records using standardized definitions¹⁰ and summarized to reflect the total number of clinical outcomes ever experienced since study enrollment (0, 1, and ≥2 outcomes). No weights were given to different outcomes, as a consensus of severity scores in SCD is lacking and infrequently accounts for the patient's experience.

Healthcare resource utilization was extracted from the medical records to reflect the total number of visits in the past 12 months, including acute pain/infusion center, emergency department, and hospitalizations. Barriers to receiving medical care in the past 12 months included 11 items about concerns about cost, insurance, timing, transportation, severity of the complications, previous poor experiences, and language barriers. Barriers

¹Department of Epidemiology and Cancer Control, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA

²RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

³Department of Psychology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

⁵Department of Medicine, Augusta University, Augusta, GA, USA

⁶University of Illinois at Chicago, IL, USA

⁷Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

⁸Department of Emergency Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

⁹Clinical Health Systems and Analytics Division, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

¹⁰Department of Public Health Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC, USA

¹¹Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Francisco Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹²Department of Hematology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA

JSH and JSP have contributed equally as co-senior authors to this work.

*All Sickle Cell Disease Implementation Consortium participants are listed in the Acknowledgments section.

Ethics approval and patient consent statement: This study was approved by the institutional review boards and written informed consent was obtained from the subjects.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article.

Copyright © 2023 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the European Hematology Association. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

HemaSphere (2023) 7:8(e930).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HS9.0000000000000930>.

Received: January 30, 2023 / Accepted: June 15, 2023

were summarized to reflect the total number of barriers experienced in the past 12 months.

Psychosocial factors were measured by the National Institutes of Health resource HealthMeasures, which includes 4 validated health-related quality of life measurement systems.¹² The systems used in this study were the Adult Sickle Cell Quality of Life Measurement Information System (ASCQ-Me),¹³ Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders (Neuro-QoL),¹⁴ and Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS).¹⁵ Item responses for the ASCQ-Me and Neuro-QoL outcomes were uploaded to the HealthMeasures Scoring Service, where T-scores were generated. The ASCQ-Me¹³ assessed sleep impact and reliance on others. Higher T-scores indicated more desirable outcomes (ie, better sleep and less reliance on others).¹³ The Neuro-QoL¹⁴ assessed cognitive functioning and task management. Higher T-scores indicated more desirable outcomes (ie, better cognitive function and better task management).¹⁴ Depression was measured using the 4-item PROMIS¹⁵ short form for Emotional Distress-Depression. Higher T-scores indicated less desirable outcomes (ie, more severe depression).

The aim was to identify differences in 10 health-related outcomes between adolescents and YAs. Univariate models examined the relationships between each outcome and the covariates. All covariates statistically significant at $P < 0.1$ were included as candidate variables for the final multivariable models. To prevent collinearity, variables significantly correlated with age group, the primary covariate of interest, were not included in the model. Backward elimination, using a significance cutoff of 0.05, was used to identify the best fitting models, and age group was included in each multivariable model, regardless of significance. Analyses were conducted in SAS Version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

A total of 996 SCDIC registry participants met inclusion criteria. Baseline characteristics of the adolescents ($n = 214$; 21.5%) and YAs ($n = 782$; 78.5%) are presented in Table 1. YAs were more likely to be high school graduates, employed, receive regular blood transfusions, have severe pain, have pain so bad that it was hard to finish tasks, less likely to see a SCD specialist or hematologist for most of their care, and more likely to have taken hydroxyurea compared with adolescents.

At the time of study enrollment, 51.9% of YAs and 30.4% of adolescents had experienced at least 1 event of organ dysfunction (Table 1). YAs having a severe SCD genotype, receiving regular blood transfusions, and higher pain frequency were associated with more dysfunctional organs (Table 2). Compared with adolescents, YAs experienced significantly more avascular necrosis, stroke, pulmonary hypertension, retinopathy, and chronic pain (Suppl. Table S1).

The mean number of acute visits over the past 12 months was 5.0 (± 8.8) for YAs and 2.2 (± 2.6) for adolescents (Table 1). YAs and those with prior use of hydroxyurea had significantly more acute visits over the past 12 months (Table 2). The mean number of barriers to medical care was 0.6 (± 1.3) among YAs and 0.1 (± 0.4) among adolescents (Table 1). YAs and females experienced more barriers to medical care (Table 2).

The mean depression t-score was 46.1 (± 8.1) among YAs and 43.7 (± 7.0) among adolescents (Table 1). YAs and those with more frequent pain were associated with higher self-reported depression (Table 2). As reported by participants, 79.0% of YAs and 87.1% of adolescents had never received treatment for depression (Table 1). Current depression treatment was significantly associated with females, Hispanic ethnicity, and pain frequency (Table 2). Age group was not significantly associated with current depression treatment. According to the medical record, 19.4% of YAs and 10.8% of adolescents had record of anxiety (Table 1). Anxiety was significantly associated with regular blood transfusions and prior use of hydroxyurea (Table 2). Age was borderline significantly associated with anxiety.

The mean sleep impact score was 50.3 (± 10.0) among YAs and 53.8 (± 8.7) among adolescents (Table 1). YAs, females,

and higher pain frequency were associated with worse sleep (Table 2). The mean self-reported cognitive functioning score was higher among YAs (49.2 [± 9.5]) compared with adolescents (47.8 [± 9.0]) (Table 1). Younger age and increased pain frequency were associated with worse cognition (Table 2). The mean task management score was 53.2 (± 8.2) among YAs and 51.7 (± 8.7) among adolescents (Table 1). YAs had significantly better task management skills (Table 2). The mean reliance score was 52.2 (± 9.9) among YAs and 54.7 (± 8.9) among adolescents (Table 1). More frequent pain was associated with more reliance on others (Table 2).

AYAs with SCD are a vulnerable population due to the increasing SCD severity. As hypothesized, the current study found that YAs with SCD experience more dysfunctional organs, increased acute visits, increased medical barriers, depression, and poorer sleep compared with adolescents with SCD. On the contrary, YAs reported higher cognitive function and task management than adolescents.

It is well known that the frequency of acute events and SCD-related mortality increases as patients age.^{2,16-18} The current study expanded prior work demonstrating that YAs were more likely to experience dysfunctional organs and mental health complications than adolescents, supporting and possibly explaining the rising mortality rates in young adulthood. Transition programs must anticipate the increased frequency of clinical outcomes (ie, increased disease burden) that starts in adolescence and into adulthood, thus preparing emerging adults to remain vigilant and aware of their progressive symptoms. We found that YAs were more likely to be treated with hydroxyurea, contrasting with a previous study where only 37% of YAs with SCD were prescribed hydroxyurea and prescription fills decreased as individuals aged.¹⁹ This difference is likely attributable to differences in study design, as population-level data that included community clinics may reflect lower access to disease-modifying therapies than our current study, which primarily comprises academic institutions.²⁰

Previous research has found that among AYA with SCD, patients with elevated distress/depression reported significantly higher pain frequency than those with minimal distress/depression.^{21,22} Poor sleep has also been linked to worsened depression.^{22,23} The current study confirmed these associations between pain frequency, depression, and sleep. Although the current study found that YAs have an increased prevalence of depression, there was no difference in the treatment of depression between adolescents and YAs. This demonstrates the importance of allocating mental health resources during health-care transitions to monitor AYAs and provide interventions to prevent added distress from life changes.

Although the adjusted association between age and anxiety was insignificant, it is important to consider how anxiety might increase during transition. During transition, AYAs often shift to an unfamiliar care environment⁶ and face financial/insurance and time-constraint barriers. The current study showed that YAs face more barriers to receiving medical care than adolescents and are less likely to receive care from an SCD specialist. Addressing anxiety and barriers to care throughout transition is important.

Strengths of this study include that it is a large sample of SCD AYA, providing sufficient power to detect age differences. Limitations of this study include that some outcomes are reported at enrollment, where participants are asked to recall events in the past year, which may introduce recall bias. Additionally, individuals potentially sought care at facilities other than the included sites; therefore, health care utilization may not be completely ascertained. Finally, the nature of the study limits our ability to explore any of causal association between outcomes and covariates.

As individuals with SCD transition to adulthood, it is crucial to anticipate the increased severity of health outcomes and have

Table 1
Study Characteristics

Characteristic	Young Adults (N=782)	Adolescents (N=214)	P-Value*
Demographics			
Age (years)^W , Mean (std)	21.7 (2.3)	15.9 (0.8)	<.001
Gender^C , N (%)			
Male	352 (45.0%)	106 (49.5%)	.240
Female	430 (55.0%)	108 (50.5%)	
Genotype^C , N (%)			
Non-severe Sickling: SC/S Beta+/S-HPFH	204 (26.1%)	65 (30.4%)	.211
Severe Sickling: SS/S Beta 0/SD/SO/SE	578 (73.9%)	149 (69.6%)	
Insurance type^C , N (%)			
Private	239 (31.9%)	64 (30.5%)	.065
Public	468 (62.5%)	142 (67.6%)	
None	42 (5.6%)	4 (1.9%)	
Marital Status			
Married or living as married	29 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	.391
Other	677 (95.9%)	35 (100.0%)	
Household income^C , N (%)			
\$25,000 or less	353 (53.0%)	85 (47.2%)	.169
\$25,001+	313 (47.0%)	95 (52.8%)	
Education^C , N (%)			
Less than high school graduate	87 (11.3%)	194 (94.6%)	<.001
High school graduate or higher	684 (88.7%)	11 (5.4%)	
Employment^C , N (%)			
Engaged	209 (28.7%)	19 (9.3%)	<.001
Unengaged	520 (71.3%)	185 (90.7%)	
Other Covariates			
Regular blood transfusions^C , N (%)			
Yes	211 (27.3%)	40 (19.0%)	.014
No	563 (72.7%)	171 (81.0%)	
Frequency of very severe pain (past 6 mo)^C , N (%)			
Never	101 (13.0%)	42 (20.2%)	<.001
Rarely	163 (21.0%)	68 (32.7%)	
Sometimes	263 (33.9%)	69 (33.2%)	
Often	213 (27.4%)	26 (12.5%)	
Always	36 (4.6%)	3 (1.4%)	
What type of healthcare professional has been providing the majority of care for your sickle cell disease in the past 2 years^C , N (%)			
Sickle cell specialist or hematologist	595 (87.4%)	181 (94.3%)	.039
Primary care or general practice	41 (6.0%)	7 (3.6%)	
Emergency department	34 (5.0%)	4 (2.1%)	
I don't currently receive care for my sickle cell disease	11 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
Have you ever taken hydroxyurea^C , N (%)			
Yes	529 (74.0%)	136 (66.0%)	.025
No	186 (26.0%)	70 (34.0%)	

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued)

Characteristic	Young Adults (N=782)	Adolescents (N=214)	P-Value*
Clinical Outcomes			
No. of dysfunctional organs^C , N (%)			
0	376 (48.1%)	149 (69.6%)	<.001
1	260 (33.2%)	52 (24.3%)	
2+	146 (18.7%)	13 (6.1%)	
No. visits in the past year for acute pain/crisis^N , N	665	190	
Mean (std)	5.0 (8.8)	2.2 (2.6)	<.001
Mental Health			
Depression T-score^W , N	771	209	
Mean (std)	46.1 (8.1)	43.7 (7.0)	<.001
Depression treatment^C , N (%)			
Currently receiving treatment	60 (7.9%)	16 (7.6%)	.006
Treated in the past but not now	100 (13.1%)	11 (5.2%)	
Never received treatment	602 (79.0%)	183 (87.1%)	
Anxiety (Medical Abstraction Form)^C , N (%)			
Yes	96 (19.4%)	19 (10.8%)	.009
No	399 (80.6%)	157 (89.2%)	
Functioning			
Sleep Impact T-score^W , N	772	208	
Mean (std)	50.3 (10.0)	53.8 (8.7)	<.001
Cognitive Functioning T-score^W , N	772	209	
Mean (std)	49.2 (9.5)	47.8 (9.0)	.041
Task Management T-score^W , N	778	210	
Mean (std)	53.2 (8.2)	51.7 (8.7)	.024
Reliance on others T-score^W , N	775	210	
Mean (std)	52.2 (9.9)	54.7 (8.9)	.002
Barriers to Medical Care			
No. barriers summed 0-12 in the last 12M^M , N	782	214	
Mean (std)	0.6 (1.3)	0.1 (0.4)	<.001

C = Chi-square test; F = Fisher's Exact test; HPFH = hereditary persistence of fetal hemoglobin; N = negative binomial test; SC = compound heterozygous for hemoglobin S and hemoglobin C; SD = compound heterozygous for hemoglobin S and hemoglobin D; SE = compound heterozygous for hemoglobin S and hemoglobin E; SO = compound heterozygous for hemoglobin S and hemoglobin O; SS = homozygous for hemoglobin S; W = Wilcoxon Rank Sum test.

*Participants with both private and public insurance are categorized as 'private'. 'Engaged' employment includes participants who are students and/or employed. 'Unengaged' employment includes participants who are unemployed and/or disabled. Dysfunctional organs include: Avascular Necrosis, Chronic kidney disease, Stroke, Pulmonary arterial hypertension, skin ulcers, retinopathy, and Chronic refractory pain. For Depression T-Score: higher scores indicate less desirable outcomes (i.e., more severe depression). For Functioning T-scores (Sleep Impact, Cognitive Functioning, Task Management, Reliance on others): higher scores indicate more desirable outcomes (i.e., better sleep, less reliance on others).

Bold text is used to identify P-values less than 0.05.

heightened attention to mental health. This study provides evidence to inform future guideline development, research investigation, and health services planning. Specifically, the AYA period requires interventions, such as (1) allocating resources toward mental health services, (2) addressing anxieties and barriers to transition programming, (3) building self-management skills to ensure patients remain engaged with their care, and (4) addressing the high frequency of pain interference and severity in YAs. These interventions must be implemented as an integral part of transition programming and continued throughout adult care.

Table 2

Multivariable model for clinical and psychosocial outcomes

Outcome: Dysfunctional Organs	Odds ratio (95% CI) of '2+ dysfunctional organs'	P-value*
Covariate		
Age group (YA vs. A)	2.57 (1.778, 3.760)	<.001
Genotype (Severe vs. Less Severe)	1.51 (1.070, 2.128)	.020
Regular blood transfusions (Yes vs. No)	1.87 (1.330, 2.637)	<.001
How often have very severe pain		.009
Never	1.00 (Reference)	1.00
Rarely	1.14 (0.694, 1.892)	.609
Sometimes	1.81 (1.134, 2.909)	.014
Often	1.96 (1.193, 3.247)	.009
Always	2.60 (1.038, 6.500)	.035
Majority of care		.005
Not currently receiving care	1.00 (Reference)	1.00
SCD specialist	5.58 (0.928, 106.851)	.122
PCP	1.80 (0.258, 36.464)	.615
Emergency department	2.92 (0.420, 59.003)	.359
Outcome: Number of acute pain/crisis visits in past 12 months		
Covariate	Point Estimate	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	2.58 (1.290, 3.878)	<.001
Hydroxyurea (Ever vs. Never)	2.29 (1.079, 3.511)	<.001
Outcome: Barriers to medical care in the past 12 months		
Covariate	Point Estimate	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	0.48 (0.297, 0.669)	<.001
Gender (Male vs. Female)	-0.32 (-0.470, -0.161)	<.001
Majority of care		
Not currently receiving care	0.00 (Reference)	1.00
SCD specialist	-0.17 (-0.857, 0.525)	.638
PCP	-0.05 (-0.805, 0.714)	.907
Emergency department	0.77 (-0.004, 1.551)	.051
Outcome: Depression		
Covariate	Point Estimate	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	1.75 (0.459, 3.033)	.008
Income (>\$25K vs ≤\$25K)	-1.04 (-2.091, 0.003)	.051
How often have very severe pain		
Never	0.00 (Reference)	1.00
Rarely	1.36 (-0.371, 3.099)	.123
Sometimes	3.14 (1.517, 4.761)	<.001
Often	5.84 (4.086, 7.596)	<.001
Always	8.22 (5.261, 11.176)	<.001
Outcome: Depression Treatment		
Covariate	Odds ratio (95% CI) of 'current treatment'	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	1.35 (0.835, 2.262)	.229
Gender (Male vs. Female)	0.65 (0.442, 0.9941)	.024
Insurance		
None	1.00 (Reference)	1.00
Private	1.02 (0.415, 2.882)	.974
Public	1.71 (0.738, 4.662)	.255
Ethnicity (Hispanic vs. Non-Hispanic)	2.39 (0.937, 6.179)	.022
How often have very severe pain		
Never	1.00 (Reference)	1.00
Rarely	1.49 (0.699, 3.394)	.320
Sometimes	2.32 (1.174, 5.026)	.022
Often	3.75 (1.877, 8.212)	<.001
Always	3.94 (1.387, 11.131)	.008
Outcome: Anxiety		
Covariate	Odds ratio (95% CI) of 'Anxiety'	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	7.07 (1.452, 127.564)	.058
Regular blood transfusions (Yes vs. No)	2.26 (1.362, 3.740)	.002
Hydroxyurea (Yes vs. No)	2.19 (1.184, 4.340)	.017
Outcome: Sleep Impact		
Covariate	Point Estimate	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	-1.96 (-3.403, -0.516)	<.001

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued)

Outcome: Dysfunctional Organs	Odds ratio (95% CI) of '2+ dysfunctional organs'	P-value*
Covariate		
Gender (Male vs. Female)	1.36 (0.188, 2.529)	.008
How often have very severe pain		
Never	0.00 (Reference)	1.00
Rarely	-2.97 (-4.898, -1.048)	.003
Sometimes	-5.90 (-7.719, -4.088)	<.001
Often	-8.98 (-10.929, -7.041)	<.001
Always	-11.32 (-14.603, -8.040)	<.001
Outcome: Cognitive Functioning		
Covariate	Point Estimate	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	1.99 (0.561, 3.450)	.007
How often have very severe pain		
Never	0.00 (Reference)	1.00
Rarely	-2.33 (-4.277, -0.383)	.019
Sometimes	-4.10 (-5.935, -2.263)	<.001
Often	-4.55 (-6.502, -2.591)	<.001
Always	-6.79 (-10.098, -3.474)	<.001
Outcome: Task Management		
Covariate	Point Estimate	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	1.46 (0.175, 2.737)	<.001
Outcome: Reliance on Others		
Covariate	Point Estimate	P-value
Age group (YA vs. A)	-0.54 (-1.882, 0.803)	.431
How often have very severe pain		
Never	0.00 (Reference)	1.000
Rarely	-4.07 (-5.868, -2.272)	<.001
Sometimes	-8.08 (-9.781, -6.389)	<.001
Often	-12.55 (-14.347, -10.743)	<.001
Always	-16.61 (-19.671, -13.552)	<.001

A = adolescents; CI = confidence interval; PCP = primary care provider; SCD = sickle cell disease; YA = young adults.

*Bold text is used to identify P-values less than 0.05.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the members of the Sickle Cell Disease Implementation Consortium.

- Jason Hodges, PhD, MA
- Yvonne Carroll, RN, JD
- Lisa Klesges, PhD, MS
- Hamda Khan, MA
- Matthew Smeltzer, PhD, MS
- Chinonyelum Nwosu, MPH
- James Gurney, PhD
- Nicole Alberts, PhD
- Reginald French
- Sherif Badawy, MD, MS, MBBCh
- Michael DeBaun, MD, MPH
- Guolian Kang, PhD
- Jeremie Estep, MD
- Winfred Wang, MD
- Curtis Owens, MD
- Margaret Debon, PhD
- Ray Osarogiagbon, MD
- Marquita Nelson, MD
- Elliott Vichinsky, MD
- Ted Wun, MD
- Michael Potter, MD
- Danielle Hessler, PhD
- Ward Hagar, MD
- Anne Marsh, MD
- Lynne Neumayr, MD
- Julie Kanter, MD
- Shannon Phillips, PhD, RN
- Robert Adams, MD
- Martina Mueller, PhD
- Paula Tanabe, PhD, MSN

Hayden Bosworth, PhD
 George Jackson, PhD
 Fred Johnson, MBA
 Rachel Richesson, PhD
 Janet Prvu-Bertger, ScD
 Ana Baumann, PhD
 Cecilia Calhoun, PhD
 Robert Gibson, PhD
 Angie Snyder, PhD
 Maria Fernandez, PhD
 Richard Lottenberg, MD
 Lynne D. Richardson, MD
 Jena Simon, MS, APRN-BC
 Nicholas G. Genes, MD, PhD
 George T. Loo, DrPH
 Jason S. Shapiro, MD, MA
 Kimberly Souffront PhD, FNP-BC, RN
 Cindy Clesca, MA
 Elizabeth Linton, MPH
 Gery Ryan PhD, MA
 Barbara L. Kroner, PhD
 Lucia Rojas-Smith, DrPH
 Tabitha Hendershot, BA
 Lisa DiMartino, PhD, MPH
 Sara Jacobs, PhD
 Whitney Battestilli, BA
 Donald Brambilla, PhD
 Sharon M. Smith, PhD
 William P. Tonkins, Dr. PH, J.D.
 Marlene Peters-Lawrence, BSN, RN
 Cheryl Boyce, PhD
 Whitney Barfield, PhD
 Alexis Thompson, MD
 Melissa Gutierrez, MS
 Jana Hirschtick, PhD
 Lewis Hsu, MD, PhD
 Jerry Krishnan, MD, PhD
 Nadew Sebro, MD
 Larissa Verda, MD, PhD
 Abe Wandersman, PhD
 Michael Berbaum, PhD
 Kishore Bobba, MD
 Joe Colla, MD
 Kim Erwin, MDes
 Andrea Lamont, PhD
 Molly Martin, MD. MAPP
 Sarah Norell, MDes, MFA
 Ananta Pandit, MD
 Kay Saving, MD
 Robin Shannon, DNP, RN
 Robert Winn, MD
 Leslie Zun, MD

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KEH, JSH, and JSP conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial article, and reviewed and revised the article. NP performed data analysis, drafted the initial article, and reviewed and revised the article. JL, NS, AK, VRG, AAK, JG, MK, CM, and MT reviewed and revised the article. All authors approved the final article as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data availability statement: Data will be publicly available from the NHLBI Data Repository at <https://biolincc.nhlbi.nih.gov/home/> starting July 2023. Until that time, please contact byk@rti.org for the original data.

DISCLOSURES

JSH receives consultancy fees from Global Blood Therapeutics, CVS Health and Forma Therapeutics during the conduct of this study. JSP received consultancy fees from Forma Therapeutics and funding from the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute K01 HL125495 during the conduct of this study. All the other authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

The Sickle Cell Disease Implementation Consortium has been supported by US Federal Government cooperative agreements U24HL133948, U01HL133964, U01HL133990, U01HL133996, U01HL133994, U01HL133997, U01HL134004, U01HL134007, and U01HL134042 from the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute and the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (Bethesda, MD).

REFERENCES

- Saulsberry AC, Porter JS, Hankins JS. A program of transition to adult care for sickle cell disease. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2019;2019:496–504.
- Payne AB, Mehal JM, Chapman C, et al. Trends in sickle cell disease-related mortality in the United States, 1979 to 2017. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2020;76:S28–S36.
- Hamideh D, Alvarez O. Sickle cell disease related mortality in the United States (1999-2009). *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013;60:1482–1486.
- Lanzkron S, Carroll CP, Haywood C Jr. Mortality rates and age at death from sickle cell disease: U.S., 1979-2005. *Public Health Rep*. 2013;128:110–116.
- Darbari I, Jacobs E, Gordon O, et al. Correlates of successful transition in young adults with sickle cell disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2019;66:e27939.
- de Montalembert M, Guitton C, French Reference Centre for Sickle Cell Disease. Transition from paediatric to adult care for patients with sickle cell disease. *Br J Haematol*. 2014;164:630–635.
- Varty M, Popejoy LL. Young adults with sickle cell disease: challenges with transition to adult health care. *Clin J Oncol Nurs*. 2020;24:451–454.
- DiMartino LD, Baumann AA, Hsu LL, et al. The sickle cell disease implementation consortium: Translating evidence-based guidelines into practice for sickle cell disease. *Am J Hematol*. 2018;93:E391–E395.
- Knisely MR, Pugh N, Kroner B, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in sickle cell disease and association with clinical and psychosocial factors: report from the sickle cell disease implementation consortium. *Am J Hematol*. 2020;95:1066–1074.
- Glassberg JA, Linton EA, Burson K, et al. Publication of data collection forms from NHLBI funded sickle cell disease implementation consortium (SCDIC) registry. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2020;15:178.
- Glassberg JA, Linton EA, Burson K, et al. Publication of data collection forms from NHLBI funded sickle cell disease implementation consortium (SCDIC) registry. *Orphanet J Rare Dis*. 2020;15:178.
- Smith AW, Mitchell SA, C KDA, et al. News from the NIH: person-centered outcomes measurement: NIH-supported measurement systems to evaluate self-assessed health, functional performance, and symptomatic toxicity. *Transl Behav Med*. 2016;6:470–474.
- Keller SD, Yang M, Treadwell MJ, et al. Patient reports of health outcome for adults living with sickle cell disease: development and testing of the ASCQ-Me item banks. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2014;12:125.
- Gershon RC, Lai JS, Bode R, et al. Neuro-QOL: quality of life item banks for adults with neurological disorders: item development and calibrations based upon clinical and general population testing. *Qual Life Res*. 2012;21:475–486.
- Cook KF, Jensen SE, Schalet BD, et al. PROMIS measures of pain, fatigue, negative affect, physical function, and social function demonstrated clinical validity across a range of chronic conditions. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016;73:89–102.
- Kayle M, Docherty SL, Sloane R, et al. Transition to adult care in sickle cell disease: a longitudinal study of clinical characteristics and disease severity. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2019;66:e27463.
- Blinder MA, Duh MS, Sasane M, et al. Age-related emergency department reliance in patients with sickle cell disease. *J Emerg Med*. 2015;49:513–522.e1.
- Blinder MA, Vekeman F, Sasane M, et al. Age-related treatment patterns in sickle cell disease patients and the associated sickle cell complications and healthcare costs. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013;60:828–835.
- Mathias JG, Nolan VG, Klesges LM, et al. Hydroxyurea use after transitions of care among young adults with sickle cell disease and tennessee medicaid insurance. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4:e2128971.
- Kanter J, Smith WR, Desai PC, et al. Building access to care in adult sickle cell disease: defining models of care, essential components, and economic aspects. *Blood Adv*. 2020;4:3804–3813.
- Woodward KE, Johnson YL, Cohen LL, et al. Psychosocial risk and health care utilization in pediatric sickle cell disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2021;68:e29139.
- Wallen GR, Minniti CP, Krumlauf M, et al. Sleep disturbance, depression and pain in adults with sickle cell disease. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014;14:207–207.
- Naughton F, Ashworth P, Skevington SM. Does sleep quality predict pain-related disability in chronic pain patients? The mediating roles of depression and pain severity. *Pain*. 2007;127:243–252.