Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

OBSERVATION OF THE TYPE II SUPERNOVA 19611 IN M99

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9jg3w39f

Authors

Pennypacker, C Burns, S. Crawford, F.

Publication Date

1988-05-01



LBL-25262

-BL-25262



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Observations of the Type II Supernova 1986I in M99

C. Pennypacker, S. Burns, F. Crawford, P. Friedman, J. Graham, J. Kare, R. Muller, S.Perlmutter, C. Smith, R. Treffers¹, R. Williams

> Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Space Science Laboratory University of California at Berkeley

G. Basri¹, J. Bixler^{6*}, A. V. Filippenko¹, C. Foltz³, D.R. Garnett², R. P.Harkness², V. Junkkarinen^{5*}, R. Kennicutt⁴, P. J. McCarthy¹, H. Spinrad¹, J.C. Wheeler², J. Willick¹, B.J. Wills²

Submitted to Astronomical Journal

Abstract

We report spectroscopic and photometric results on a supernova in M99, SN1986I, from first detection to several months after the explosion. This supernova is distinct from other supernovæ (except possibly SN1987A in the LMC) in that it exhibits strong H α emission in the early spectra, less than 10 days after it exploded. The spectra also show an ultraviolet deficit below the blackbody extrapolated from longer wavelengths. The light curves in V, R, and I dropped slowly during the first few months after detection, resembling a Type II "plateau" supernova, and dropped precipitously near 200 days past maximum. We have also measured the wavelengths and velocities of the stronger emission and absorption features, with tentative identifications.

¹ Department of Astronomy, University of California at Berkeley

² Department of Astronomy, University of Texas at Austin

³ Steward Observatory, University of Arizona at Tuscon

⁴ Department of Astronomy, University of Minnesota

⁵ CASS, University of California at San Diego

⁶ Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley

* Visiting Astronomer, Kitt Peak National Observatory, National Optical Astronomical Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation.

I. Introduction

On May 17, 1986 (UT), an $m_v \sim 14$ magnitude supernova was discovered at Leuschner Observatory as part of a systematic search by the Berkeley Automated Supernova Search team (the search is described in Kare, et al, 1988). It was known from a search image taken May 8.3 (UT) that the supernova was younger than nine days. Follow-up spectra obtained on May 20, with the Cryogenic Camera on the KPNO 4-meter telescope showed broad hydrogen (H α) emission; hence this was a Type II supernova. Spectroscopic and photometric observations at other observatories were arranged, which spanned the period from the explosion to nine months later. This paper reports the early photometric and spectroscopic results. Later papers will report analysis and interpretation of these data, and second epoch results.

II. Photometry

Search data from May 8.3 showed no object brighter than about $m_v \sim 16.6$ (95% confidence level) at the supernova's position, and the supernova was first recorded on May 17.3, 1986 UT. The brightness of SN1986I was measured by comparing it to stars in the same CCD field. After the photometric suitability of stars in the same CCD frame was established, one star located ~68" E and ~40" S of the supernova was chosen as the standard of comparison, and calibrated against a standard star (UBVRI standard star #4752, Astronomical Almanac, 1985, a type A0p) under photometric conditions at the same air mass. Photometric observations were made over the period from the discovery date until the supernova was inaccessible behind the sun. Our present CCD has little blue sensitivity, so we did not obtain blue light curves.

Since the supernova search uses the broad-band wavelength response of the CCD, the initial photometric datum was obtained as a broad band "CCD magnitude", which is a mixture of V,R, and I magnitudes. The light curve in Figure 1a includes the pre-discovery and discovery data. Subsequent measurements were made using this broad-band magnitude in addition to the normal bandpasses, for consistency. The "CCD magnitude", like others, was normalized to A0 stars.

The CCD magnitude light curve brightened at least three magnitudes to 13.8 ± 0.06 in less than 10 days, reached a maximum of 13.7 a week or two later, and then gradually faded at 0.003 ± 0.001 magnitude per day for the next few months. By six months after discovery, SN1986I had dimmed by two magnitudes; it dropped ~1.5 magnitudes below a linear extrapolation of the

light curve at early times. The brightness of the supernova in the later epoch was 15.89 magnitude at 200 days after discovery.

The V-magnitude light curve rose to 14.2 ± 0.2 magnitude, and then dimmed at an average rate of 0.01 ± 0.003 magnitude per day during the first 100 days. By day 200 the supernova dropped about two magnitudes compared to the discovery brightness, very roughly at the same time (within our sampling window) the observed CCD magnitude dropped. The visual light curve dropped 1.5 mag. below the light curve linearly extrapolated from the early rate.

The R and I light curves rose to 14.5 ± 0.06 and 14.0 ± 0.09 magnitudes, respectively, and then exhibited more gradual declines (rates of ~1/4 of the visual light curves) of 0.0028 ± 0.009 and 0.0022 ± 0.0018 magnitudes per day after observed maximum light. The late-time photometric points for R and I magnitudes are about 1.5 - 2 magnitudes below the curves extrapolated from the earlier data.

III. Spectra

A) The Continuum

The spectra in Fig. 2 and Table 2 show the evolution of the supernova from $\sim 10 - 60$ days after explosion. During this interval the spectra can be approximately characterized as a thermal continuum upon which are superimposed a number of P Cygni profiles. If these spectra are heavily smoothed, one concludes that the lines (with the exception of the feature at 6560Å) merely redistribute the flux and make an insignificant contribution to the net flux. Hence, during this phase of the supernova evolution, the continuum carries nearly all of the radiant energy. The spectra blueward of 4000Å indicate that there is an ultraviolet flux deficit compared to a blackbody which fits the longer wavelength data. In another study of supernovæ, Kirshner et al. (1973) note that the blackbody which best fits the flux between 5000Å and 10,000Å is generally too bright in the UV. A UV deficit is reminiscent of Type I supernovae (Wheeler, Harkness, and Swartz, 1986). This UV deficit was also seen in the LMC (SN1987A) supernova (see, e.g., Wheeler, Harkness, and Barkat 1988). Many Type II supernovæ show a UV excess which may be indicative of an extended envelope or circumstellar shell.

SN1986I was observed by one of us (G.B.) on May 25 UT at the Lick 3 meter telescope with the Hamilton echelle spectrograph using a resolution of ~0.1 Å a. Three components of interstellar Na I D λ 5889 Å lines were observed at velocities of 2367, 2395, and 2502 km/sec, with respective equivalent widths of 0.15, 0.10, and 0.15Å (uncertainty of 0.04Å); for comparison, the systematic velocity of M99 is listed as 2413 kilometers per second by Sandage and Tammann (1981). The total equivalent width of the Na I D lines is 0.8 ± 0.1 Å, which corresponds to a reddening within M99 of E(B-V) = 0.09 ± 0.1 mag. (c.f. Penston and Blades, 1980). The Galactic reddening towards M99 (de Vaucouleurs et al.,1976) is E(B-V) = 0.045 (assuming R = 3.1), and so we estimate that the total reddening to the supernova is E(B-V) = 0.14, and the total visual extinction is $A_V = 0.42$ mag.

1

i N

V

B) Hydrogen Lines

The hydrogen Balmer lines H α , H β , H γ , and possibly H δ are present in the spectra. H α is strong even in the first spectrum, less than 12 days from the explosion date, and shows clear P Cygni structure with an absorption minimum at -11,400 km/s, with repect to the rest frame of M99. The emission to the red of the absorption line center indicates material expanding at a velocity of +12,000 km/s. The H α features evolves significantly during the period of our observations; as the supernova ages the H α feature becomes more prominent and the absorption shift to the blue drops to 4700 km/s on 6 July. The maximum equivalent width of H α is 120Å; it was recorded around 7 June. On this date the ratio of the equivalent widths of the absorption to emission was ~1/4. By 6 July the equivalent width of the H α emission had fallen to 70Å, and the ratio of absorption to emission was ~1/2.

It is difficult to establish the location of the continuum near H β , and therefore to measure its equivalent width as well. Nevertheless it is clear that any H β emission is significantly weaker than the H α emission, although in early June the depth of H β is comparable to H α . The velocity derived from the minimum of the H β absorption tracks that derived from H α , but the velocity remains ~1000 km/s lower; H β is formed deeper within the ejecta because its optical depth is lower.

The absorption which one would naively attribute to $H\gamma$ is probably blended with other lines, (most likely Fe II), that became increasingly strong, since the velocity derived from identification with $H\gamma$ does not compare well with the velocities derived from $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$.

C) Tentative Identifications of Other Lines

The absorption minimum at 3850Å, the most prominent non-hydrogen feature, is consistent with the CaII $\lambda\lambda$ 3934,3968 resonance doublet (Kirshner et al. 1973). The May 20 spectrum shows that the IR CaII triplet λ 8600Å is not present. Since the strength of these two CaII lines should be comparable, CaII $\lambda\lambda$ 3934,3968 must have developed between May 20 and June 5, presumably reflecting a rapid change in the ionization state during this interval. Unfortunately, none of our later spectra recorded the subsequent development of the infrared triplet. The July 6 spectrum shows very marginal evidence for CaII $\lambda\lambda$ 7291+7323; however, a continuum is clearly present on this date, and the supernova is still too young to show distinct forbidden line emission.

Another resonance line, Na I D λ 5890, is a likely identification for the 5700Å absorption. The structure of the absorption in the early June spectra is unlike the other P Cygni profiles. This may simply be because the line is broader; the Na I D line profile implies a velocity 2000 km/s greater than the velocities from any of the other identifications considered here. We do not understand this difference.

Less confident identifications have been made for most of the remaining lines by attributing them to FeII; specifically FeII $\lambda 4352$ (blended with H γ), 4583, 5018, 5215, and 5581. Identifications have been guided by the FeII lines identified in the type I supernova 1981b by Branch et al. (1983).

The velocity evolution shows appartently linear behavior -- subsequent data shows a departure from this behavior. A more detailed analysis of the hydrogen lines and the distance to this supernova using the Baade method are presented elsewhere (Perlmutter et al., 1988).

D) Formation of H α emission.

The large net emission often seen in the H α line is a persistent problem to those who study the atmospheres of type II supernovae, and SN1986I is no exception. One can easily show that the

rate of mass (m) flowing through the photosphere at time t is given by

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = 8\pi(1-n) \, \mathrm{v}_p \, \frac{\mathrm{d}v_p}{\mathrm{d}t} \, \frac{t^2}{3k},$

where n is the index of the assumed power-law density distribution, v_p is the photospheric velocity, and k is the opacity, here set to be 0.4 cm²/gm (probably somewhat too large a value because of non-hydrogenic contributions to the opacity, but not large enought to affect the following argument). If we assume that the H α emission feature is due to the recombination of H ions flowing through the photosphere, then we can calculate the expected H α luminosity. (This is a plausible assumption since the photospheric temperature corresponds roughly to the temperature at which H recombines.) With typical numbers for this explosion, we calculate ~10⁴⁹ atoms per second flow through the photosphere, whereas there are ~10⁵² H α photons per second arising in the envelope. This indicates that an additional source of ionization or excitation is required. Perhaps a substantial population is maintained in the n = 2 level by some mechanism (such as Lyman α trapping), so that the radiation field from the photosphere can cause ionization. Another possibility is the direct excitation of n=3 by electron collisions (Kirshner et al. 1973, Branch et al. 1981).

IV. Comparison with other Supernovæ

SN1986I resembles SN1969L (Ciatti, et al. 1971) photometrically, and shows some of the same lines. Photometrically SN1969L evolved a little more rapidly. The V magnitude plateau of SN1986I either lasted ~ 40 days longer, or the decline from the plateau was less precipitious. Both spectra exhibit clear P Cygni H α profiles a few weeks after detection (unlike SN1979C for example). However, the H α emission early on in the spectra of SN1986I is markedly different from that in SN1969L SN1987A does show the early strong H α feature, and the comparison between these two supernovæ might be more appropriate in some ways.

SN1986I also resembles SN1969L in the velocities derived from the spectra. The H α velocity near maximum light in SN1969L is 12,000 km/s declining to 7,000 after 50 days (Ciatti et al. 1971). Given this similarity it is interesting to speculate whether the presence of an H α P Cygni absorption is correlated with this photometric class of the SN. Both SN1969L and SN1986I are plateau type whereas SN1979C is a linear type.

V

The differences between SN1986I and others indicate the importance of discovering more supernovæ and acquiring frequent spectra, from pre-maximum through the nebular phase. The

early strong H α feature distinguishes this from others, except for SN1987A. Future work will help us understand the underlying physics of the explosion.

Acknowledgements:

This work has been partially supported under Dept. of Energy contract DEAC03-76F000098.

References

Branch, D., Falk, S. W., McCall, M. L., Rybski, P., Uomoto, A. K., & Wills, B. J. 1981, Ap. J., 244, 780.

Branch, D., Submitted to Ap. J. Letters, May 14, 1987.

Ciatti, F., Rosino, L., & Bertola, F. 1971, Mem, Soc. Astr. Italia, 42, 163.

Kare, J., et al. To Be Published, Reviews of Scientific Instruments., 1988.

Kirshner, R. P., Oke, J. B., Penston, M. V., & Searle, L. 1973, Ap. J. 185, 303.

Penston, M.V. and Blades, J.C., 1980, M.N.R.A.S., 190, p51.

Perlmutter, S., et al., submitted to Ap.J. Letters, 1988.

Sandage, A., and Tammann, G.A., 1981A REvised Shapley-Ames Catalog of Bright Galaxies, (Washington, Carnegie Institution of Washington).

7

Wheeler, J. C., Harkness, R. P., Swartz, D., 1986, PASP, 98, p1018.

Wheeler, J.C., Harkness, R.P., and Barkat, Z., 1988, IAU Colloquiom 108, in press.

De Vaucoulers, G. 1976, Ap.J., 205, 13.

Figure Captions

Figure 1(a-d): Light curves of SN1986I. The supernova was calibrated against the standard star 4752. "CCD magnitude" is a non-standard, unfiltered response of the CCD, and is a weighted sum of V,R, and I photometric bands. The lines are fit to the early data (hollow points), and the data points noted with the solid symbol are not included in the linear fit.

Figure 2: Representative spectra of SN1986I, with identification of absorption minima (for the earlier spectra) of H α and H β . The spectra for the various dates have been multiplied by the number printed below the date, and then plotted. For example, to find the measured flux from the May 20th data, read the flux from the ordinate and divide by 1000.

Figure 3(a-b): Gas velocities determined from H α and H β . Other lines exhibit similar velocity evolution.

Table Captions

Table 1: Measured magnitudes of SN1986I for "CCD" (see section II), V,R, and I magnitudes.

 Table 2: Observing Log of Spectra taken of supernova 1986I in M99.

Table 3: Absorption minima and emission maxima; tentative identifications of strong spectral features.

	date (UT)	epoch (days)	CCD(mag)	V(mag)	R(mag)	l(mag)
1	5/8/86	8 .	>15.6			
2	5/17/86	17.	13.88			
3	5/20/86	20.	13.88			
4	5/24/86	24		13.00	14.52	14.01
5	5/25/86	25	13.72			
6	5/26/86	26	13.82			
7	5/27/86	27	13.66			
8	5/28/86	28	13.72			
9	5/29/86	29	13.88	14.47	14.57	14.14
10	5/30/86	30	13.74	14.28	14.57	14.11
11	6/4/86	35	13.64	14.45	14.57	14.14
12	6/9/86	40	13.93	14.42	14.65	13.98
13	6/10/86	41	13.90	14.50	14.60	13.96
14	6/13/86	44	13.93			
15	6/17/86	48		14.53	14.65	14.01
16	6/20/86	51	13.85	14.56		13.96
17	6/29/86	60	13.96	14.64	14.70	
18	7/3/86	64	13.82	14.50	14.76	14.01
19	7/7/86	68.	13.77	14.42	14.65	14.14
20	7/9/86	70.	13.88	14.56	14.62	14.11
21	7/17/86	78 .	13.99	15.15	14.81	14.25
22	7/20/86	81	14.09	14.81	14.88	
23	7/31/86	92.	13.74	14.68		
24	8/3/86	95		14.64		13.96
25	8/5/86	97 .	13.96	14.93	14.60	14.01
26	8/11/86	103.	14.28	14.64		14.55
27	10/25/86	178	15.62	15.93	16.40	16.25
28	11/12/86	196 🖫	16.00	16.88	16.61	, 16.00
				40.00	•	10.04
31	11/30/86	214	16.04	16.89	4.0.70	16.21
32	12/7/86	221	15.99	17.07	16.73	16.21

Table 1: Light Curve Data

P

Table 2:

Records of Spectra of SN 1986I in M99

Observ. UT Date	Band	Resolution		Observer		
01 Dud	[A]	[A]	Instrument	Telescope		
20 May	6041-9463	12-15	Cryogenic Camera	4m Kitt Peak	Junkkarinen	
27 May	6139-7485	≈6	IIDS	2.1m Kitt Pk	Kennicutt	
5 June	3591-6603	≈10	IDS Spectrometer	2.7m McDonald	Wills	
7 June	4041-7225	≈4	Schmidt Spectrograph	3m Lick	Spinrad	
9 June	3200-7600	≈4	MMT Spectrograph	MMT	Foltz	
30 June	4056-5723	1.3 - 8	CCD Spectrograph	1m Lick	Bixler	
6 July	3200-7600	≈4	MMT Spectrograph	ММГ	Foltz	

		Castura										
		reature	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10
Date	Epoch	Tentative I.D.'s	Ca 11 3933	HY 4340	Fe II 4583	HB 4861	Fe II 5018	Fe II 4924	Fe II 5215	Na I 5889	Fe II 5581	Ha 6563
May 20	20	Min: [*] Max:										6313 6530
May 27	27	Min: Max:										6339
June 5	36	Min: Max:	3844 3949	4221 4399	4444 4576	4737 4859	4908 4961		5056 5152	5693		6380
June 7	38	Min: Max:		4227 4388	4447 4586	4732 4853	4918 4972		5064 5150	5687		6373 6522
June 6/9	38.5	Min: Max:	3851 3953	4222 4338	4460 4587	4746 4870	4925 4971	•	5071 5157	5691	•	6380 6522
June 30	61	Min: Max:		4235 4297	4483	4797 4828	4954 5012	4866 4903	5102 5186		5458 5516	
July 6	67	Min: Max:	3863 3950	4252 4307	4497	4804 4837	4963 5015	4868 4913	5112 5189	5827 5884	5474 5519	6459 6537

Table 3: 1986I Rest Wavelengths

<

£

*Absorption Minimum and Emission Maximum

Ę

۰.



Fig. 1a: CCD Light Curve

. با



Fig. 1b: V Light Curve



Fig. 1c: R Light Curve

 $\overset{}{\sim}$

\$













Fig. 3b: Velocity of H β Line

Contraction and an operation

.....

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

Ľ

• •

•