

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

A Call to Shift the Public Health Focus Away From Weight.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/98g6f3xd>

Journal

American Journal of Public Health, 105(11)

ISSN

0090-0036

Authors

Hunger, Jeffrey M
Tomiyama, A Janet

Publication Date

2015-11-01

DOI

10.2105/ajph.2015.302845

Peer reviewed

LETTERS

A CALL TO SHIFT THE PUBLIC HEALTH FOCUS AWAY FROM WEIGHT

Fildes et al.¹ present sobering data on the reality of sustained weight loss for individuals with obesity. These authors concluded that current approaches to weight loss have failed and suggest, “research to develop wider reaching public health policies is needed to prevent obesity at the population level.” We agree that advances in research and policy should be leveraged to promote population health. However, we assert that this can best be achieved by shifting the focus *away* from markers of weight such as body mass index (BMI). BMI is a notoriously crude predictor of cardiometabolic health as well as mortality.² Indeed, individuals with grade 1 obesity (BMI = 30.00–34.99 kg/m²) actually have a comparable risk of all-cause mortality to individuals considered to have a “normal” weight (BMI = 18.50–24.99 kg/m²).³

Beyond merely relying on a crude marker of morbidity and mortality, the weight-focused approach to public health can actually contribute to poorer mental and physical health by promoting mood disorders (e.g., depression), poor body image, and eating pathology.⁴

Letters to the editor referring to a recent Journal article are encouraged up to 3 months after the article's appearance. By submitting a letter to the editor, the author gives permission for its publication in the Journal. Letters should not duplicate material being published or submitted elsewhere. The editors reserve the right to edit and abridge letters and to publish responses.

Text is limited to 400 words and 10 references. Submit online at www.editorialmanager.com/ajph for immediate Web posting, or at ajph.edmgr.com for later print publication. Online responses are automatically considered for print publication. Queries should be addressed to the Editor-in-Chief, Alfredo Morabia, MD, PhD, at editorajph@qc.cuny.edu.

Emphasizing weight (and weight loss in particular) can also perpetuate the stigma associated with obesity—stigma which itself has significant consequences for the health of individuals with obesity. Experiencing or anticipating weight stigma can lead to increased eating, activation of physiological stress systems, decreased physical activity, and health care avoidance. Moreover, weight stigma actually predicts weight gain over time in large longitudinal studies, including the nationally representative Health and Retirement Study.^{5,6} Therefore, when viewed through the lens of public health ethics, continuing the dominant weight-centric approach may violate the core principles of beneficence and nonmaleficence.⁷

Where, then, should we focus, if not on weight? There are many candidates that more directly assess an individual's health risk (e.g., blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, cholesterol, or triglycerides). We believe the evidence is particularly strong regarding the role of fitness as a more effective focus than weight. Cardiorespiratory fitness repeatedly outperforms measures of fatness (e.g., BMI) in predicting cardiovascular and metabolic health as well as cardiovascular and all-cause mortality.^{2,8}

The evidence is clear: sustained weight loss is an untenable goal for the vast majority of individuals with obesity and a fervent emphasis on weight is likely to do more harm than good. Thus, to effectively promote the health and well-being of all individuals (regardless of their size), researchers, clinicians, and policymakers should eschew weight as the primary outcome of interest. ■

Jeffrey M. Hunger, MA
A. Janet Tomiyama, PhD

About the Authors

Jeffrey M. Hunger is with the Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara. A. Janet Tomiyama is with the Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles.

Correspondence should be sent to Jeffrey M. Hunger, Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

(e-mail: hunger@psych.ucsb.edu). Reprints can be ordered at <http://www.ajph.org> by clicking the “Reprints” link.

This letter was accepted July 24, 2015.
doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302845

Contributors

J. M. Hunger conceptualized the letter. J. M. Hunger and A. J. Tomiyama wrote, edited, and approved the letter.

References

1. Fildes A, Charlton J, Rudisill C, Littlejohns P, Prevost AT, Gulliford MC. Probability of an obese person attaining normal body weight: cohort study using electronic health records. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;Epub ahead of print.
2. Lavie CJ, De Schutter A, Milani RV. Healthy obese versus unhealthy lean: the obesity paradox. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2015;11(1):55–62.
3. Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H, Graubard BI. Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2013;309(1):71–82.
4. Bacon L, Aphramor L. Weight science: evaluating the evidence for a paradigm shift. *Nutr J*. 2011;10:9.
5. Hunger JM, Major B, Blodorn A, Miller C. Weighed down by stigma: how weight-based social identity threat influences weight gain and health. *Soc Psychol Personal Compass*. 2015;9(6):255–268.
6. Tomiyama AJ. Weight stigma is stressful. A review of evidence for the cyclic obesity/weight-based stigma model. *Appetite*. 2014;82:8–15.
7. Tylka TL, Annunziato RA, Burgard D, et al. The weight-inclusive versus weight-normative approach to health: evaluating the evidence for prioritizing well-being over weight loss. *J Obes*. 2014;2014:983495.
8. Barry VW, Baruth M, Beets MW, Durstine JL, Liu J, Blair SN. Fitness vs. fatness on all-cause mortality: a meta-analysis. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2014;56(4):382–390.