UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Relations Between Anthropocentric Thinking and Biological Misconceptions in Students attending Urban and Rural Universities

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/96s2c537

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 45(45)

Authors

Coley, John D Davenport, Eloise Bissonnette, Sarah et al.

Publication Date

2023

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Relations Between Anthropocentric Thinking and Biological Misconceptions in Students attending Urban and Rural Universities

John Coley

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States

Eloise Davenport

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States

Sarah Bissonnette

Stanislaus State University, Turlock, California, United States

Andrea Burton

San Francisco State University, San Francisco, California, United States

Kimberly Tanner

San Francisco State University, San Francisco, California, United States

Abstract

Intuitive conceptual systems impact how university students learn formal biological science. One such conceptual system–anthropocentric thinking–involves anchoring our understanding of the biological world in human terms. Prior research indicates that anthropocentric thinking, like other intuitive conceptual systems, can engender misconceptions, but also varies with richness of informal biological experience. To examine the impact of informal experience on anthropocentric thinking and formal biology learning, we assessed students from a rural institution (n=212) and an urban institution (n=188) on their use of anthropocentric reasoning and their agreement with biological misconceptions using both qualitative coding of free responses and quantitative analysis of close-ended measures. Our findings indicate linkages between anthropocentric thinking and misconceptions in both populations, with no clear differences between urban and rural students. This work demonstrates the ubiquity of anthropocentric thinking in US undergraduates and underlines the implications of intuitive thinking for STEM learning.