

UC Irvine

Faculty Publications

Title

H

²
O

²
levels in rainwater collected in south Florida and the Bahama Islands

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/96b26222>

Journal

Journal of Geophysical Research, 87(C7)

ISSN

0148-0227

Authors

Zika, R.

Saltzman, E.

Chameides, W. L.

et al.

Publication Date

1982

DOI

10.1029/JC087iC07p05015

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

H₂O₂ Levels in Rainwater Collected in South Florida and the Bahama Islands

R. ZIKA AND E. SALTZMAN

School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami, Miami, Florida 33149


W. L. CHAMEIDES AND D. D. DAVIS

School of Geophysical Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Measurements of H₂O₂ in rainwater collected in Miami, Florida, and the Bahama Islands area indicate the presence of H₂O₂ concentration levels ranging from $(1-7) \times 10^{-5} M$. No systematic trends in H₂O₂ concentration were observed during an individual storm, in marked contrast to the behavior of other anions (e.g., [NO₃⁻], [SO₄⁻], and [Cl⁻]). The data suggest that a substantial fraction of the H₂O₂ found in precipitation is generated by aqueous-phase reactions within the cloudwater rather than via rainout and washout of gaseous H₂O₂.

INTRODUCTION


Gaseous H₂O₂ is recognized to be a key component in the photochemistry of the earth's lower atmosphere, and, as a result, there is much interest in understanding the chemical and physical processes that determine its abundance. It is presently believed that atmospheric H₂O₂ is generated exclusively by gas-phase photochemical reactions. In the remote troposphere, the primary gas-phase photochemical H₂O₂ source is via reaction (1)



while H₂O₂ may be removed by photolysis



reaction with OH



or by heterogeneous loss processes such as rainout and washout [cf Levy, 1973].

On the basis of this mechanism, current photochemical model calculations predict lower and mid-tropospheric H₂O₂ levels of the order of 1 ppbv (cf Chameides and Tan, 1981; Logan et al., 1981). In the urban or polluted atmosphere, significantly higher H₂O₂ concentrations are believed to occur as a result of the oxidation of reactive hydrocarbons [cf Bufalini et al., 1972]. To test the accuracy of these predictions, attempts have been made to measure the gas-phase levels of H₂O₂ by using wet-chemical techniques [cf Kok et al., 1978]. Unfortunately, because H₂O₂ is itself generated in solution by a series of complex reactions when air is bubbled through water, it appears that this technique must be considered unreliable [Zika and Saltzman, 1982]. As a result, model-predicted H₂O₂ concentrations still remain unconfirmed by direct atmospheric observations.

Because the reaction of HSO₃⁻ with H₂O₂ dissolved in cloudwater may be a major pathway by which atmospheric SO₂ is converted to SO₄⁼ [Penkett et al., 1979; Moller, 1980], there is currently great interest in characterizing H₂O₂

concentrations levels in cloudwater and rainwater. In this regard, it is commonly believed that the H₂O₂ in cloudwater arises from the incorporation into the droplets of gaseous H₂O₂ present in the air within and surrounding the cloud. In fact, the measurements of Kok [1980], who found [H₂O₂] levels ranging from about $1 \times 10^{-6} M$ to $3 \times 10^{-5} M$ in rainwater collected in southern California are not inconsistent with this premise. For instance note that the complete removal of 1 ppbv of H₂O₂ in a cloud containing 1 g of liquid H₂O m⁻³ would result in a [H₂O₂] level in the cloudwater of $\sim 10^{-5} M$. However, in view of the very limited number of rainwater H₂O₂ measurements and the absence of reliable gas-phase H₂O₂ measurements, we view this agreement between measured and observed [H₂O₂] as qualitative rather than quantitative. Many more measurements of H₂O₂ in rainwater under a variety of conditions are needed to quantitatively test our understanding of the processes that control atmospheric H₂O₂ levels in both the gas and the aqueous phases. Toward this end we report here on recent field measurements of the concentrations of H₂O₂ in rainwater gathered in southern Florida and around the Bahama Islands; to the best of our knowledge these measurements are the first to be carried out in maritime air. Interestingly, these measurements appear to suggest that the chemistry of H₂O₂ in cloudwater is considerably more complex than had been previously assumed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Rainwater samples were collected and analyzed for H₂O₂ concentration by using the fluorescence technique described by Perschke and Broda [1961] and Zika and Zelmer [1982]. The method involves the addition of a known amount of scopoletin (6-methoxy-7-hydroxy-1,2-benzopyrene) to a pH 7.0 buffered sample. Subsequent addition of a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) phenol mixture catalyzes the oxidation of the scopoletin by H₂O₂, thereby reducing the fluorescence of the sample. The H₂O₂ content of the sample is thus determined from the difference in fluorescence of the sample measured before and after addition of HRP. Calibration curves were obtained by analyzing a series of solutions of known peroxide concentrations prepared by dilution of a 0.01 M H₂O₂ stock solution. By varying the amount of scopoletin, hydrogen peroxide concentrations of $2 \times 10^{-9} M$

Copyright 1982 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 2C0193.
0148-0227/82/002C-0193\$02.00

TABLE 1. Concentration of H₂O₂ In Rainwater

Time	H ₂ O ₂ × 10 ⁵ , Moles l ⁻¹	Average Rainfall Rate for Col- lection Interval (ml cm ⁻² min ⁻¹)	Total Accumulated Rainfall, cm	Wind Velocity, mph
<i>Miami, Florida</i>				
Feb. 2, Noon-4:20 continuous sampling	2.25	0.0014	0.036	SW 15-20
	2.75	0.015	0.072	
	2.05	0.015	0.11	
	2.10	0.015	0.14	
	1.40	0.015	0.18	
4:32	1.80	0.015	0.22	
Feb. 12, 7:00-7:10 P.M.	4:05	NE 10-20
	7:10-7:20 P.M.	3.95	...	
Feb. 18, 8:30 P.M.	1.75	SE 15
March 13, 4:20-4:30 P.M.	4.00	WNW 10
	4:30-4:35 P.M.	2.65	0.20	
April 17, 2:00 P.M.	3.45	...	0.06	ESE 15-20
May 7, 4:20 P.M. continuous sampling	7.50	
	4.75	
	4.85	
	3.15	
	3.43	
	5:00 P.M.	4.9
	6:55 P.M.	0.9
	2.6	
	1.15	...	1.90	
<i>Bahamas, 25°20' N 77°55' 42" W</i>				
May 13, 11:10 A.M.	2.10	0.013	0.10	

to $1 \times 10^{-6} M$ can be accurately determined with a precision of $\pm 2\%$. Laboratory tests have confirmed that this technique can be used to discriminate between H₂O₂ and other oxidants, such as organic peroxides [Zika and Zelmer, 1982]. No interfering oxidants were detected in this work.

The rainwater samples from the Miami area were gathered by using a wet-dry precipitation collector modified by the addition of a linear polyethylene funnel (radius = 13.5 cm) and tube so that the rainwater was delivered directly into a laboratory buret for analysis. A similar system was employed in the region of the Bahama Islands with H₂O₂ determinations carried out in a shipboard laboratory. Measurements of samples obtained by injecting a known quantity of H₂O₂ and distilled water into our precipitation collector confirmed that our collection procedures did not introduce spurious H₂O₂ decay or formation characteristics.

During one rainstorm (i.e., July 22) the concentration of cations and anions in the rainwater was measured in addition to H₂O₂. This measurement was accomplished by using standard ion chromatography and atomic absorption procedures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Measured concentrations of H₂O₂, [H₂O₂], in rainwater samples collected in Miami, Florida, and the Bahama Islands area, are indicated in Table 1 and Figure 1. We find the range in [H₂O₂] varies from about $1.4 \times 10^{-5} M$ to $7.5 \times 10^{-5} M$. Since [H₂O₂] determined at the urban sampling sites was consistent with that obtained from the Bahamas, it appears that the city samples were not predominantly influenced by pollutants and the associated products of photochemical smog. In addition, our measurements do not give any obvious indication of a correlation between [H₂O₂] and wind direction.

The [H₂O₂] levels reported here tend to be generally somewhat higher than those obtained by Kok [1980] for

rainwater collected in California. Conceivably, this difference could reflect higher levels of SO₂ in California relative to those of Florida and Bahama Islands, since HSO₃⁻ (the dissolved form of SO₂) by reacting with H₂O₂ in solution can cause lower [H₂O₂] levels. It is also possible that greater concentrations of H₂O₂ precursors were present in the air we sampled relative to that of Kok [1980].

During three rainstorms, the rainwater analysis was carried out continuously to obtain the concentration of dissolved H₂O₂ as a function of time during the course of each storm. As indicated in Table 1 and Figure 1, for the storms of February 2 and July 22, 1981, which occurred during the midday hours, H₂O₂ levels remained fairly constant as a function of time. By contrast, however, H₂O₂ was found to decline significantly as a function of time during the storm of May 7, 1981, which occurred in the early evening. Given the limited data, it is not yet possible to discern if this difference in the H₂O₂ temporal trends is related to the time of day that the storm occurred or to another parameter.

An interesting facet of our data is the striking difference between the variation in the measured [H₂O₂] as a function of time during the course of the storm on July 22 compared with those of [NO₃⁻], [SO₄⁼], and [Cl⁻] (see Figure 1). In the case of [NO₃⁻] and excess [SO₄⁼], the concentration levels decreased monotonically by a factor of 2-4 over the rainstorm's lifetime. (Note that excess [SO₄⁼] is defined as total dissolved [SO₄⁼] minus the component due to the marine aerosol, where [SO₄⁼] due to the marine aerosol is scaled from the observed [Na⁺] level.) On the other hand, [Cl⁻] exhibited a more complicated pattern, an initial decrease, then a sharp increase, coinciding with a second pulse in rainfall intensity, and then a precipitous decline. In contrast to these species, [H₂O₂] levels illustrated in Figure 1 gave no indication of a downward trend with time and in fact tended to remain fairly constant over the entire course of the storm.




Fig. 1. Observed levels of $[H_2O_2]$, $[NO_3^-]$, excess $[SO_4^=]$, $[Cl^-]$, and rainfall rate during the storm of July 22, 1981, in Miami, Florida, as a function of time. The onset of the storm at $t = 0$ corresponds to 12:50 P.M. EDT and the vertical arrow at approximately $t = 16$ min indicates the brief presence of sunshine during the storm. (Note that excess $[SO_4^=]$ is derived from the difference between total observed $[SO_4^=]$ and 0.06 times observed $[Na^+]$, see text.)

The difference in the variation of $[Cl^-]$ compared with those of $[NO_3^-]$ and excess $[SO_4^=]$ indicates that different mechanisms were responsible for incorporating these species into our rainwater samples. While Cl^- most likely originated from marine aerosols scavenged from the atmosphere by cloud and rain droplets, it is probable that the NO_3^- and excess $SO_4^=$ present in the rainwater we analyzed was derived in large part from the scavenging of gaseous species. Given the high solubility of H_2O_2 , we would expect that if the H_2O_2 in our rain samples had been derived from the dissolution of gaseous H_2O_2 , then $[H_2O_2]$ would have exhibited a trend similar to that observed for $[NO_3^-]$ and excess $[SO_4^=]$. However, the difference between the time patterns of $[H_2O_2]$ and these latter two species in Figure 1 appears to suggest that the H_2O_2 dissolved in the rain of July 22 was derived from a mechanism different from the mechanism which caused the presence of NO_3^- and excess $SO_4^=$. One interpretation of our data is that while NO_3^- and excess $SO_4^=$ was derived from the dissolution of gaseous species, a significant fraction of the H_2O_2 in our samples had been generated in the cloudwater as a result of aqueous-phase

chemical reactions such as those recently proposed by Chameides and Davis [1982]. The results of Zika and Saltzman [1982] and Heikes et al. [1982] documenting the aqueous-phase generation of H_2O_2 when air is bubbled through water is not inconsistent with the above conclusion.

Because of the very limited amount of data presented here, our hypothesis concerning the chemical generation of aqueous-phase H_2O_2 must be considered, at this time, to be highly speculative. Nevertheless, our data does appear to suggest that the processes which control the levels of H_2O_2 in precipitation may be considerably more complex than had been previously assumed. We believe therefore that further laboratory studies of the aqueous-phase chemistry of H_2O_2 as well as direct measurements of H_2O_2 levels in cloudwater and in the gas phase are needed to more accurately establish the budget of H_2O_2 in precipitation.

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank the following agencies for supporting this work: The National Science Foundation through grants OCE 78-25628 and ATM 79-09239 (to R.Z.), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through grant NAG 1-85 (to W.L.C.), and the Office of Naval Research through grant NO0014-80-C-0042.

REFERENCES

- Bufalini, J. J., B. W. Gay, Jr., and K. L. Brubaker, Hydrogen peroxide formation from formaldehyde photooxidation and its presence in urban atmospheres, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, **6**, 816-821, 1972.
- Chameides, W. L., The photochemical role of tropospheric nitrogen oxides, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **5**, 11-20, 1978.
- Chameides, W. L., and A. Tan, The two-dimensional diagnostic model for tropospheric OH: An uncertainty analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, 5209-5223, 1981.
- Chameides, W. L., and D. D. Davis, The free radical chemistry of cloud droplets and its impact upon the composition of rain, *J. Geophys. Res.*, this issue.
- Heikes, B. G., A. L. Lazrus, G. L. Kok, S. M. Kunen, B. W. Gandrud, S. N. Gitlin, and D. D. Sperry, Evidence for aqueous phase hydrogen peroxide synthesis in the troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **87**, 3045, 1982.
- Hoffmann, M. R., and J. O. Edwards, Kinetics of the oxidation of sulfite by hydrogen peroxide in acidic solutions, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **79**, 2096-2098, 1975.
- Kok, G. L., K. R. Darnell, A. M. Winer, J. N. Pitts, and B. W. Gay, Ambient air measurements of hydrogen peroxide in the California south coast air basin, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, **9**, 1077-1080, 1978.
- Kok, G. L., Measurements of hydrogen peroxide in rainwater, *Atmos. Environ.*, **14**, 653-656, 1980.
- Levy, H., Photochemistry of minor constituents in the troposphere, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **21**, 575-591, 1973.
- Logan, J. A., M. J. Prather, S. C. Wofsy, and M. B. McElroy, Tropospheric chemistry: A global perspective, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **86**, 7210-7254, 1981.
- Moller, D., Kinetic model of atmospheric SO_2 oxidation based on published data, *Atmos. Environ.*, **14**, 1067-1076, 1980.
- Penkett, S. A., B. M. R. Jones, K. A. Brice, and A. E. J. Eggleton, The importance of atmospheric ozone and hydrogen peroxide in oxidizing sulphur dioxide in cloud and rainwater, *Atmos. Environ.*, **13**, 123-137, 1979.
- Perschke, H., and E. Broda, Determination of very small amounts of hydrogen peroxide, *Nature*, **190**, 257-258, 1961.
- Zika, R., and E. Saltzman, Production of H_2O_2 in the aqueous phase via aeration of water samples with ambient air, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **9**, 231-234, 1982.
- Zika, R. G., and P. Zelmer, An evaluation of the HRP-Scopoletin method for the measurement of hydrogen peroxide in natural waters, submitted to *Anal. Chem.*, 1982.

(Received December 21, 1981;
revised February 4, 1982;
accepted February 5, 1982.)