

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Ethics Issues in Social Media-Based HIV Prevention in Low- and Middle-Income Countries

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/95c3m7km>

Journal

Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics, 24(3)

ISSN

0963-1801

Authors

Chiu, Chingche J
Menacho, Luis
Fisher, Celia
et al.

Publication Date

2015-07-01

DOI

10.1017/s0963180114000620

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Camb Q Healthc Ethics. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 February 16.

Published in final edited form as:

Camb Q Healthc Ethics. 2015 July ; 24(3): 303–310. doi:10.1017/S0963180114000620.

Ethics Issues in Social Media–Based HIV Prevention in Low- and Middle-Income Countries

CHINGCHE J. CHIU, LUIS MENACHO, CELIA FISHER, and SEAN D. YOUNG

Abstract

Questions have been raised regarding participants' safety and comfort when participating in e-health education programs. Although researchers have begun to explore this issue in the United States, little research has been conducted in low- and middle-income countries, where Internet and social media use is rapidly growing. This article reports on a quantitative study with Peruvian men who have sex with men who had previously participated in the Harnessing Online Peer Education (HOPE) program, a Facebook-based HIV education program. The survey assessed participants' ethics-relevant perspectives during recruitment, consent, intervention, and follow-up.

Keywords

ethics; men who have sex with men (MSM); social media; social networking technologies; HIV/AIDS

Introduction

The Internet has provided a platform to reach large numbers of individuals for health education because of its immense popularity and accessibility. As of January 2014, it was estimated that 87% of American adults are Internet users.¹ Many Internet users have used the Internet as their primary source for health-related information. According to a 2012 Internet use survey, as many as 72% of adult Internet users searched for health information online in the past year.² Moreover, half of the participants in the 2003 Health Information National Trends Survey ($n = 6,369$) indicated that they tended to look for health information online first before seeking medical advice from physicians.³

The growing demand of health information and healthcare access on the Internet encouraged many researchers to embrace the practice of e-health. E-health can be broadly defined as “the use of emerging information and communication technology, especially the Internet, to improve or enable health or health care.”⁴ Because of its interactivity and customizability, researchers have postulated that e-health education programs might help to better engage individuals than traditional methods of achieving desired behavioral change.^{5,6} So far, e-health education programs have focused on a variety of health conditions, such as sexually transmitted infections (STIs), HIV, weight loss, eating disorders, poor nutrition, chronic disease and its management, and mental health issues.^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13}

Social media are one of the fastest-growing Internet technologies. According to a recent survey, 74% of adult and 90% of young adult (aged 18–29) American Internet users use

some form of social media.¹⁴ In addition, social media use is also being explored in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). A recent study found that the individuals in LMICs who use the Internet are avid social media users, and the percentages of social media users in some LMICs have bypassed those of high-income nations.¹⁵ Peru is no exception. A 2014 survey suggested that there are currently more than 12.4 million Peruvian Facebook users; this number represents 55% of Peru's population.¹⁶

Compared to other Internet technologies, social media are unique in that they connect people and establish virtual communities based on common interests, lifestyles, and activities.¹⁷ These virtual communities can be effectively used to facilitate health education, because participants will be able to share their knowledge and experiences with one another.¹⁸ This is particularly salient for stigmatized diseases, such as HIV and STIs, for which it is difficult to engage high-risk individuals in health education in person because of high levels of stigma and discrimination.^{19,20}

Although many studies have evaluated the effectiveness of e-health education programs, ^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27} only a handful of studies have explored ethical issues regarding participants' safety and comfort. These studies have recounted several key ethical issues encountered by e-health researchers, such as autonomy, privacy, confidentiality, informed consent, and equity.^{28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35} Only a few studies have assessed participants' perspectives on their experiences in e-health education programs. In general, participants reported a positive experience in the study and found the study content to be helpful.^{36,37,38,39,40} However, no known research thus far has assessed, specifically, participants' perspectives on their experiences in social media-based e-health education programs. In addition, as increasing numbers of e-health programs are implemented in LMICs, there exists an urgent need for researchers to address the ethical issues in the global context.^{41,42}

These ethical issues are particularly important when using social media for health education. The term "social media" refers to a variety of social networking sites that offer a multitude of services and ways to connect individuals. Each site has different terms and conditions and privacy settings. This requires researchers to stay up-to-date with how different social media sites are used in order to protect participants, particularly when working with vulnerable populations, such as men who have sex with men (MSM) and youth.^{43,44} However, currently, little is known about participants' risks and benefits or privacy and confidentiality when participating in social media-based health education programs.

This study recontacted participants from a previous social media-based HIV education study to assess their experiences. Potential concerns include the following: (1) Did the participants understand the online consent forms? (2) How did the participants perceive the risks involved in participation? (3) What were the participants' perspectives on whether the benefits of participation outweighed the risks? (4) Did combining MSM at risk of HIV actually increase sexual risk by increasing the number of their sexual partners? And (5) what concerns did participants have regarding the privacy and confidentiality of their online communications? Through examining the ethical issues associated with using social media for HIV education, we hope to inform future e-health education programs regarding recruitment, informed consent, intervention, and follow-up.

Methods

Institutional review boards (IRBs) at Epicentro (Lima, Peru) and the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) approved the study protocol. Participants completed an online informed consent form.

The social media–based HIV education study on which the current study was founded—the HOPE Peru study—was a 12-week, Facebook-based intervention designed to deliver peer-led HIV education to increase HIV testing and preventive behaviors. The study included an intervention group for HIV-related discussions and a control group in which participants received general health information. The HOPE study included 556 MSM, and all participants (1) were male, (2) were 18 years of age or older, (3) had had sex with a man in the past 12 months, (4) were Lima residents, and (5) were current Facebook users. The participants were recruited online using banner ads, email lists, and Facebook ads. The study adheres to the current recommendations on using social media in HIV research.⁴⁵

In June 2014, approximately one and a half years after initiation of the HOPE study, HOPE Peru participants were recontacted via email, social media, and phone and were asked if they would like to complete a survey to assess their experience with the social media–based HIV education study. Interested participants provided their informed consent online and were compensated for their participation with a payment equivalent to \$11. After 211 participants agreed to complete the survey, we closed the opportunity for further survey completion.

Measures

The survey assessed the ethics-relevant experiences of MSM who had participated in the HOPE study with regard to recruitment, informed consent, intervention, and follow-up or intervention afterward.

Basic Demographics

The participants were asked to provide basic demographic information on their age, sexual identity (gay, bisexual, and heterosexual/other), educational attainment (secondary, vocational, university, and above), and race/ethnicity (native Peruvian, white, black, mixed, and Asian).

Recruitment Items (n = 11)

Participants were asked to indicate whether they had ever participated in HIV or online studies other than the HOPE study. In addition, participants were asked to rate their level of comfort/discomfort on a five-point Likert-type scale in regard to banner ads, online privacy (e.g., how concerned were you that your behavior online would be tracked by companies such as Google or Facebook if you clicked on the ad to participate?), and the characteristics of the study (e.g., the fact that it was online, HIV and MSM related, and Facebook based).

Consent Items (n = 3)

Participants were asked to indicate on a scale of one (did not at all understand) to five (completely understood) their understanding of the consent form. Moreover, participants

were asked to rate how similar or different their experience participating in the study was to or from the description provided in the consent form.

Intervention Items (n = 15)

Using a five-point Likert-type scale, the survey assessed the comfort levels of different aspects of the intervention, such as whether the participants were initially comfortable joining the Facebook group and posting and reading discussion topics. Participants were also asked to rate their trust in fellow participants, in the validity of group members' posts (how much did you trust that the other people in the group were telling the truth in their posts?), and in the researchers (how much did you trust that the investigators were telling the truth about the study?). In addition, participants were asked to identify if they have met up with or had sex (including unprotected sex) with other participants in the group.

Postintervention Follow-Up Items (n = 21)

Participants were asked to indicate on a five-point Likert scale the extent to which they benefited in the following areas as a result of participating in the study: (1) health, (2) sexual health, (3) friendship, (4) connection to the MSM community, (5) self-esteem, (6) HIV testing knowledge, (7) HIV care knowledge, (8) knowledge of the availability of sexual health services, (9) job opportunities, (10) understanding of research studies, (11) trust in other people, and (12) trust in research studies. In addition, participants were asked, retrospectively, if they would participate in the study again and if they would recommend the study to a friend.

Analysis

All analysis was conducted using R.

Results

Basic Demographics

MSM respondents were predominately gay (88%), university educated (58.9%), and mestizos, or of mixed racial background. The age of the participants ranged from 20 to 54, and the mean age was 31.8.

Recruitment

Most participants learned about the study online (58.3%) and had not participated in an HIV (67.3%) or online (70.5%) study before. The majority of participants (> 80%) expressed that they were indifferent to or comfortable with the idea of participating in the study and the online banner ads used for recruitment. Out of all the study characteristics, participants were most uncomfortable with the fact that the study was conducted over Facebook (15.3%). In addition, 13% of participants also indicated that they were uncomfortable with the idea that they were being asked to join a Facebook group with strangers.

Informed Consent

Close to 90% of participants indicated that they understood or completely understood the consent form. In addition, almost 72% of participants thought that the study was similar to what they had expected based on the consent process. Almost 11% of participants thought the consent process was missing information that they needed to know about the study beforehand.

Intervention

In general, participants were comfortable with the study procedures, such as completing the baseline survey, posting on Facebook groups, having other group members talk to them online, and seeing other people's discussion topics. A small percentage of participants were uncomfortable with having to accept an invitation to join the Facebook group (14.9%) and with joining the group and seeing other people in the group (15.4%). Seventy-eight participants indicated that they posted content on the group wall (38.6%), and 10.2% indicated that people in the group posted something that made them uncomfortable. Study participants trusted the researchers (65.2%) more than they trusted other study group members (51.2%). Only 30 people met up with other members of the group (15%), and only 4 people met new sexual partners as a result of joining the group (2%). Among those who met new sexual partners as a result of joining the group, only 1 person engaged in unprotected sex. Moreover, slightly more than a quarter of participants wished that something about the study had been done differently (33.2%).

Postintervention Follow-Up

As a result of participating in the study, more than half of participants indicated that they benefited positively in learning about health and sexual health, feeling better about themselves, learning about HIV, improving HIV care, learning where to receive sexual health services, and developing increased trust in research. In addition, a large number of participants thought the study did not affect them in gaining new friends (67.3%), feeling closer to the MSM community (45.4%), gaining a job (73.3%), learning about research (44.2%), and developing increased trust in other people (44.4%). Close to all of the participants indicated that they would have still participated in the study (93.4%) and that they would recommend the study to their friends (93.4%). Most participants said that, after participating in the study, they were more likely or much more likely to maintain a healthy lifestyle, have safe sex, get tested for HIV regularly, and participate in research studies.

Discussion

Although a small number of studies have discussed the ethical challenges encountered in using e-health education programs,^{46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53} to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study from any LMIC to quantitatively assess the safety and comfort of a longitudinal, social media-based HIV education program from participants' perspectives. Although most participants found online communities to be generally acceptable for use in HIV education, some participants were concerned about the use of Facebook and about joining a Facebook group with strangers. Individuals might be hesitant to participate in HIV-related social media-based studies out of fear that sensitive HIV-related and sexual

information might permeate into their preexisting online social networks. For example, they might fear that accepting an invitation to the study group would result in this information showing up on their friends' newsfeeds. Previous studies have also addressed this issue and have urged researchers to become familiar with privacy settings, such as private versus public groups and sharing settings on social media.^{54,55} Future researchers need to actively address privacy issues in recruitment and during intervention to ensure that participants are comfortable with their involvement in social media and e-health education programs.

Although many studies expressed concerns over electronic/online informed consent,^{56,57} this study found that most participants understood the consent form and felt that the study matched the descriptions in the consent form. However, some participants thought that the consent document was missing information that they needed to know beforehand. In addition, a small proportion of participants also expressed discomfort with other group members' posts. In online informed consent, participants are often confined to the information provided, without further opportunities to ask for clarification. To provide participants with a thorough understanding of the study, previous studies have suggested that e-health education programs should allow participants to preview the content of the study,^{58,59} for example, by providing information such as a snapshot of the program and discussion topics.

Participants reported that participation in the study improved their knowledge about HIV and health-related behaviors and attitudes. In developing this study, some researchers had expressed the concern that using online communities for HIV and sexual health education might actually increase participants' sexual risk. However, only a very small number of participants ($n = 4$) met new sexual partners through the study, and, among them, 1 individual engaged in unprotected sex with sexual partners met through the group. This finding suggests that the concern that social media-based HIV prevention communities could actually increase sexual risk might be an unnecessary fear.

This study is limited by the time delay between when the original study took place and when participants were asked to complete surveys on their study participation (approximately one and a half years); by the difficulty of generalizing these results outside of Peru, Peruvian MSM, and HIV prevention studies; and by the fact that the survey's quantitative nature made it difficult to identify specific details. Future research can attempt to use qualitative interviews to gain a more thorough understanding of the ethics-related issues identified in this analysis.

Internet use, including participation in social media, is increasing rapidly worldwide and might provide a sustainable and cost-effective alternative for global health education. Although this study focused on HIV and Facebook, some of the issues identified from participants' perspectives can be of cross-platform importance. It is recommended that future e-health education programs include ethics-related questions as part of the regular assessment to further understand the ethical issues involved in using information and communication technologies for health education.

Notes

1. Pew Research Center. Internet User Demographics. Pew Research Center's Internet Project; Washington, DC; 2014.
2. Fox S, Duggan M. Health Online 2013. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center; 2013.
3. Hesse BW, Nelson DE, Kreps GL, Croyle RT, Arora NK, Rimer BK, et al. Trust and sources of health information: The impact of the Internet and its implications for health care providers: Findings from the first Health Information National Trends Survey. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2005; 165 (22): 2618–24. [PubMed: 16344419]
4. Neuhauser L, Kreps GL. Rethinking communication in the e-health era. *Journal of Health Psychology* 2003; 8 (1): 7–23, at 12. [PubMed: 22113897]
5. See note 4, Neuhauser, Kreps 2003.
6. Gill HK, Gill N, Young SD. Online technologies for health information and education: A literature review. *Journal of Consumer Health on the Internet* 2013; 17 (2): 139–50. [PubMed: 24465171]
7. Crutzen R, Roosjen JL, Poelman J. Using Google Analytics as a process evaluation method for Internet-delivered interventions: An example on sexual health. *Health Promotion International* 2012; das008v1–das008.
8. Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR. Effects of Internet behavioral counseling on weight loss in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes: A randomized trial. *JAMA* 2003; 289 (14): 1833–6. [PubMed: 12684363]
9. Winzelberg AJ, Eppstein D, Eldredge KL, Wilfley D, Dasmahapatra R, Dev P, et al. Effectiveness of an Internet-based program for reducing risk factors for eating disorders. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 2000; 68 (2): 346. [PubMed: 10780136]
10. Bowen AM, Horvath K, Williams ML. A randomized control trial of Internet-delivered HIV prevention targeting rural MSM. *Health Education Research* 2007; 22 (1): 120–7. [PubMed: 16849391]
11. Lorig KR, Ritter PL, Laurent DD, Plant K. Internet-based chronic disease self-management: A randomized trial. *Medical Care* 2006; 44 (11): 964–71. [PubMed: 17063127]
12. Griffiths KM, Christensen H. Internet-based mental health programs: A powerful tool in the rural medical kit. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2007; 15 (2): 81–7. [PubMed: 17441815]
13. Oenema A, Brug J, Lechner L. Web-based tailored nutrition education: Results of a randomized controlled trial. *Health Education Research* 2001; 16 (6): 647–60. [PubMed: 11780705]
14. Pew Research Center. Social Networking Fact Sheet. Pew Research Center; Washington, DC; 2014.
15. Rainie L, Poushter J. Emerging Nations Catching Up to U.S. on Technology Adoption, Especially Mobile and Social Media Use. Pew Research Center; Washington, DC; 2014.
16. Pereyra RC, Santillana SA. Facebook apunta a premiar a las empresas que paguen por publicidad. *Gestion* 2014 3 21.
17. Ellison NB. Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 2007; 13 (1): 210–30.
18. See note 6, Gill et al. 2013.
19. Bull SS, Levine DK, Black SR, Schmiege SJ, Santelli J. Social media-delivered sexual health intervention: A cluster randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 2012; 43 (5): 467–74. [PubMed: 23079168]
20. Young S, Jaganath D. Online social networking for HIV education and prevention: A mixed methods analysis. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases* 2013; 40 (2): 162–7. [PubMed: 23324979]
21. See note 7, Crutzen et al. 2012.
22. See note 8, Tate et al. 2003.
23. See note 9, Winzelberg et al. 2000.
24. See note 10, Bowen et al. 2007.
25. See note 11, Lorig et al. 2006.
26. See note 12, Griffiths, Christensen 2007.
27. See note 13, Oenema et al. 2001.

28. Rosser SB, Gurak L, Horvath KJ, Oakes MJ, Konstan J, Danilenko GP. The challenges of ensuring participant consent in internet-based sex studies: A case study of the men's INternet sex (MINTS-I and II) studies. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 2009; 14 (3): 602–26.
29. Young SD. Recommended guidelines on using social networking technologies for HIV prevention research. *AIDS and Behavior* 2012; 16 (7): 1743–5. [PubMed: 22821067]
30. Bull SS, Breslin LT, Wright EE, Black SR, Levine D, Santelli JS. Case study: An ethics case study of HIV prevention research on Facebook: The just/us study. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 2011; 36 (10): 1082–92. [PubMed: 21292724]
31. Kind T, Silber TJ. Ethical issues in pediatric e-health. *Clinical Pediatrics* 2004; 43 (7): 593–9. [PubMed: 15378143]
32. Merrell RC, Doarn CR. Ethics in telemedicine research. *Telemedicine and e-Health* 2009; 15 (2): 123–4. [PubMed: 19292618]
33. Fisher CB, Fried AL. Internet-mediated psychological services and the American Psychological Association Ethics Code. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training* 2003; 40 (1–2): 103.
34. Fleming DA, Edison KE, Pak H. Telehealth ethics. *Telemedicine and e-Health* 2009; 15 (8): 797–803. [PubMed: 19780693]
35. Cornford T, Klecun-Dabrowska E. Ethical perspectives in evaluation of telehealth. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics* 2001; 10 (2): 161–9. [PubMed: 11302093]
36. Nicholas A, Bailey JV, Stevenson F, Murray E. The Sexunzipped Trial: Young people's views of participating in an online randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2013; 15 (12): e276. [PubMed: 24334198]
37. Villegas N, Santisteban D, Cianelli R, Ferrer L, Ambrosia T, Peragallo N, et al. The development, feasibility and acceptability of an Internet-based STI–HIV prevention intervention for young Chilean women. *International Nursing Review* 2014; 61 (1): 55–63. [PubMed: 24512261]
38. Dombrowski SU, Sniehotta FF, Johnston M, Broom I, Kulkarni U, Brown J, et al. Optimizing acceptability and feasibility of an evidence-based behavioral intervention for obese adults with obesity-related co-morbidities or additional risk factors for co-morbidities: An open-pilot intervention study in secondary care. *Patient Education and Counseling* 2012; 87 (1): 108–19. [PubMed: 21907528]
39. Winstead-Derlega C, Rafaly M, Delgado S, Freeman J, Cutitta K, Miles T, et al. A pilot study of delivering peer health messages in an HIV clinic via mobile media. *Telemedicine and e-Health* 2012; 18 (6): 464–9. [PubMed: 22732025]
40. Vandelanotte C, De Bourdeaudhuij I. Acceptability and feasibility of a computer-tailored physical activity intervention using stages of change: Project FAITH. *Health Education Research* 2003; 18 (3): 304–17. [PubMed: 12828232]
41. Blaya JA, Fraser HS, Holt B. E-health technologies show promise in developing countries. *Health Affairs* 2010; 29 (2): 244–51. [PubMed: 20348068]
42. Lucas H. Information and communications technology for future health systems in developing countries. *Social Science & Medicine* 2008; 66 (10): 2122–32. [PubMed: 18343005]
43. See note 29, Young 2012.
44. See note 30, Bull et al. 2011.
45. See note 29, Young 2012.
46. See note 28, Rosser et al. 2009.
47. See note 29, Young 2012.
48. See note 30, Bull et al. 2011.
49. See note 31, Kind, Silber 2004.
50. See note 32, Merrell, Doarn 2009.
51. See note 33, Fisher, Fried 2003.
52. See note 34, Fleming et al. 2009.
53. See note 35, Cornford, Klecun-Dabrowska 2001.
54. See note 29, Young 2012.

55. See note 30, Bull et al. 2011.
56. See note 28, Rosser et al. 2009.
57. Pequegnat W, Rosser BR, Bowen AM, Bull SS, DiClemente RJ, Bockting WO, et al. Conducting Internet-based HIV/STD prevention survey research: Considerations in design and evaluation. *AIDS and Behavior* 2007; 11 (4): 505–21. [PubMed: 17053853]
58. See note 28, Rosser et al. 2009.
59. See note 57, Pequegnat et al. 2007.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript