# UC Santa Barbara

**UC Santa Barbara Previously Published Works** 

#### Title

A Simple Computational Proxy for Screening Magnetocaloric Compounds

#### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/95c3f2x4

#### Journal

Chemistry of Materials, 29(4)

#### ISSN

0897-4756 1520-5002

#### Authors

Bocarsly, Joshua D Levin, Emily E Garcia, Christina A. C <u>et al.</u>

#### **Publication Date**

2017-02-13

#### DOI

10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b04729

Peer reviewed

# A Simple Computational Proxy for Screening Magnetocaloric Compounds

Joshua D. Bocarsly,<sup> $\dagger,\ddagger$ </sup> Emily E. Levin,<sup> $\dagger,\ddagger$ </sup> Christina A. C. Garcia,<sup>¶</sup>

Kai Schwennicke, § Stephen D. Wilson,  $^{\ddagger,\dagger}$  and Ram Seshadri  $^{*,\ddagger,\dagger,\parallel}$ 

†Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

‡Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

Physics Program, College of Creative Studies, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

§Chemistry Program, College of Creative Studies, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

||Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

E-mail: seshadri@mrl.ucsb.edu

#### Abstract

Alternating cycles of isothermal magnetization and adiabatic demagnetization applied to a magnetocaloric material can drive refrigeration in very much the same manner as cycles of gas compression and expansion. The material property of interest in finding candidate magnetocaloric materials is their gravimetric entropy change upon application of a magnetic field under isothermal conditions. There is, however, no general method of screening materials for such an entropy change without actually carrying out the relevant, time- and effort-intensive magnetic measurements. Here we propose a simple computational proxy based on carrying out non-magnetic and magnetic density functional theory calculations on magnetic materials. This proxy, which we refer to as the magnetic deformation  $\Sigma_M$ , is a measure of how much the unit cell deforms when comparing the relaxed structures with and without the inclusion of spin polarization.  $\Sigma_M$  appears to correlate very well with experimentally measured magnetic entropy change values. The proxy has been tested against 33 known ferromagnetic materials, including nine materials newly measured for this study. It has then been used to screen 134 ferromagnetic materials for which the magnetic entropy has not yet been reported, identifying 30 compounds as being promising for further study. As a demonstration of the effectiveness of our approach, we have prepared one of these compounds and measured its isothermal entropy change. MnCoP, with  $T_C$  = 575 K, shows a maximum  $\Delta S_M$  = -6.0 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for an applied field of H = 5 T.

# Introduction



Figure 1: Illustration of the Carnot cycle for magnetic refrigeration, which is analogous to the conventional vapor-compression cycle.

Magnetic refrigeration, based on the magnetocaloric effect (MCE), <sup>1</sup> has been proposed as an energy efficient and environmentally friendly alternative to vapor compression refrigeration.<sup>2</sup> In a typical ferromagnet near its Curie temperature, application of a magnetic field, H, causes randomly oriented spins to align, leading to a decrease in magnetic entropy of the material, as depicted in Figure 1. If this magnetization is performed adiabatically, the decrease in magnetic entropy is compensated by a rise in temperature, and *vice-versa* for demagnetization. If the magnetization is performed isothermally, the material will decrease its total entropy and reject heat. By alternating adiabatic and isothermal magnetizations and demagnetizations, one can use the magnetocaloric effect to drive a thermodynamic cycle in direct analogy to the conventional vapor-compression cycle. With the use of a regenerator, this process can cool with a large coefficient of performance near room temperature.<sup>3,4</sup> Developments in magnetocaloric materials and in magnetic refrigerator engineering over the past several years have lead to the first prototype systems, and several companies are promising a new generation of energy-efficient refrigerators and air conditioners in the near future.<sup>5,6</sup>

To evaluate a magnetocaloric material, the isothermal entropy change  $\Delta S_M(T, H)$  and adiabatic temperature change  $\Delta T_{ad}(T, H)$  are typically the first parameters investigated. These two parameters represent the height and width, respectively, of the Carnot cycle diagram shown in Figure 1. For magnetic refrigeration near room temperature, several suitable materials are being studied in detail, including  $(Mn,Fe)_2(P,Si)$ ,<sup>7,8</sup> La(Fe,Si)<sub>13</sub> and its hydrides,<sup>9</sup> and Heusler compounds.<sup>10,11</sup> These systems are comprised of Earth-abundant, inexpensive elements and combine large  $\Delta S_M$  and  $\Delta T_{ad}$ , with low hysteresis, high mechanical and chemical stability, and good thermal properties. Despite great progress within these systems, discovery of new magnetocalorics with desirable properties is still important. As the first generation of magnetocalorics moves towards commercial viability, more and more diverse applications of the magnetocaloric effect are being proposed, including gas liquefaction,<sup>12</sup> small-scale solid state cooling,<sup>13</sup> and thermomagnetic generators.<sup>14</sup> These applications will each demand materials with different properties, including a range of active temperatures, cycling properties, thermal properties, and magnetic field responses.

Various competing interactions contribute to the magnetocaloric effect, creating challenges in the discovery of new magnetocaloric materials. The first materials investigated for magnetic cooling near room temperature were ferromagnets with standard secondorder paramagnetic to ferromagnetic phase transitions. The most common metric used to search for new materials is the saturation magnetization,  $M_S$ : materials with a high density of polarized spins are expected to show larger changes in entropy. For this reason, Gd, which has a saturation magnetization in excess of 250 emu/g and a Curie temperature near room temperature,<sup>15</sup> is the prototypical second order material. Indeed, Gd shows a sizeable magnetic entropy change of  $6.1 \, J \, kg^{-1} \, K^{-1}$  and adiabatic temperature change of  $6.4 \, K$  for an applied field  $H = 2 \, T$ .<sup>16</sup> Phenomenological models can be used to describe the entropy change in these materials, but these models are descriptive rather than predictive.<sup>17,18</sup>

The discovery of a "giant" magnetic entropy change in  $Gd_5(Si,Ge)_4$  in 1997 created new opportunities in the search for magnetocalorics. In  $Gd_5(Si,Ge)_4$ , a first-order coupled magnetic and structural transition leads to a greatly enhanced  $\Delta S_M$ .<sup>19–21</sup> After the discovery of this phenomena, several other systems with known first-order magnetostructural transitions were investigated, yielding some of the most promising magnetocaloric materials, including Fe<sub>2</sub>P-based and La(Fe,Si)<sub>13</sub>-based materials. In these systems, coupling of the spins and the lattice leads to a system with switchable magnetostructural state, so that a moderate magnetic field can induce a large change in the magnetic entropy of the system.<sup>18,20,22</sup>

Inspired by these ideas, we propose here the use of computational screening to aid in discovery of new magnetocalorics. Recently, several high-throughput projects have used density functional theory (DFT) to calculate the total energies and electronic structures of hundreds of thousands of known and hypothetical materials.<sup>23–25</sup> Many physical properties can be easily and reliably calculated with DFT. For more complicated properties such as the magnetocaloric effect, it is advantageous to design a computational "proxy" that correlates well with experimental results. The strategy of using proxies has been employed in the search for thermoelectrics,<sup>26</sup> phosphors,<sup>27</sup> and battery materials.<sup>28–30</sup> The screening parameter is, in each case, designed based on physical understanding and is verified against relevant experimental observations before being used to identify materials that merit further experimental or computational study.

Here, we introduce the magnetic deformation  $\Sigma_M$ , a simple DFT-based proxy for screening of new magnetocalorics. The experimental  $\Delta S_M$  is shown to correlate well with  $\Sigma_M$ across the full range of investigated transition metal-based magnetocalorics. We use  $\Sigma_M$ to screen 134 ferromagnetic materials that have not yet been characterized for magnetocaloric effect, identifying 30 candidates for further experimental study. As a preliminary validation of this strategy, we show magnetocaloric measurements on one of these candidates, MnCoP. MnCoP shows a peak  $\Delta S_M$  of  $-6.0 \,\mathrm{J\,kg^{-1}\,K^{-1}}$  for an applied field of H =5 T near its Curie temperature of  $T_C = 575 \,\mathrm{K}$ . This entropy change is much larger than expected for a typical ferromagnet, and MnCoP fits nicely into the trend of  $\Delta S_M$  vs.  $\Sigma_M$  established in this study. In carrying out this investigation, we have aggregated experimental data and performed calculations on more than 160 magnetic materials, which can potentially aid in data-driven approaches for materials screening.

### Methods

#### Data aggregation

A search of the scientific literature for magnetocaloric materials yielded 24 reported compounds and associated crystal structures, transition temperatures and maximum isothermal magnetic entropy changes,  $\Delta S_M$ , for applied fields of 2 T and 5 T. We have restricted this dataset to rare earth-free materials with simple unit cells that display ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transitions. In this case, simple unit cells means unit cells that do not contain atomic site disorder, and are therefore straightforward to model with DFT using periodic boundary conditions. In some instances where the reported unit cells contained atomic site disorder in the form of multiple atoms randomly mixed on a single crystallographic site, an ordered approximation of the unit cell was used. For example,  $(Mn,Fe)_2(P,Si)$  compounds are well-known to contain some mixing of Mn and Fe atoms and P and Si atoms;<sup>31</sup> however, MnFeP<sub>2/3</sub>Si<sub>1/3</sub> was still included in the dataset because a reasonable approximate unit cell is generated by assuming all of the the Mn is ordered on the 3*g* site, the Fe on the 3*f* site, the P on the 2*c* site, and the Si on the 1*b* site. Compounds containing rare earth atoms (except Y and La) were not included in this study due to difficulties in accurately modeling *f*-electrons with DFT.

This dataset naturally contains a bias towards materials with large  $\Delta S_M$  because poorer performing materials are seldom reported. Consequently, we supplemented the data set with new  $\Delta S_M$  measurements on nine ferromagnetic materials, including several with low  $\Delta S_M$  values. These values were obtained from magnetic measurements via the Maxwell relation:

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial H}\right)_T = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_H \tag{1}$$

This relation allows for the calculation of isothermal entropy change using:

$$\Delta S_M(H,T) = \int_0^H \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right)_{H'} dH'$$
(2)

The temperature derivatives required by this equation were calculated from smoothed magnetization vs. temperature curves collected at different magnetic fields between 0.1 T and 5 T using a Quantum Design DynaCool PPMS equipped with a Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM) with a high-temperature oven option. The measured materials are shown in the latter half of Table 1. Ni and Fe<sub>2</sub>P were purchased, FeRu<sub>2</sub>Sn was prepared as reported in a prior report,<sup>32</sup> and all others were prepared for this study. Details of the preparations and full  $\Delta S_M$  measurements for these materials are provided in the Supporting Information. In addition to these 33 materials with known  $\Delta S_M$ , we aggregated the crystal structures and Curie temperatures of 134 transition metal-based ferromagnets with known Curie temperatures (Table 2, Supporting Information Table S2) that have not yet been studied for magnetocaloric performance.

One of the materials we prepared and measured, MnCoP, was chosen because it was predicted to show a strong magnetocaloric effect based on the analysis performed in the present study. The details of the preparation may be found in the Supporting Information, along with a synchrotron X-ray diffraction structural characterization of the sample. The diffraction pattern was taken on the High Resolution Powder Diffraction beamline (11-BM) at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory and was analyzed by Rietveld refinement using the software packages GSAS<sup>33</sup> and EXPGUI.<sup>34</sup> The crystal structure of MnCoP was drawn using the program VESTA.<sup>35</sup>

#### Density functional theory calculations

For the materials studied, optimized structures were obtained using density functional theory (DFT) with and without spin-polarization, corresponding to a collinear ferromagnetic state and a nonmagnetic state, respectively. These calculations were performed using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP)<sup>36</sup> using projector augmented wave (PAW) psuedopotentials<sup>37,38</sup> within the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhor (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA).<sup>39</sup> Spin-orbit coupling was not included. The Python packages pymatgen and custodian were used to build a Python framework to automate, manage, and analyze the VASP calculations.<sup>40</sup> For each material, the crystal structure was obtained from the literature and reduced to the primitive cell. In cases where the reported crystal structure contained partial atomic site disorder, an ordered approximation of the unit cell was used. k-point meshes with a density of 2000 points per Å<sup>-3</sup> were used for all calculations. In the structural relaxations, the lowest energy unit cell shape was found using the conjugate-gradient algorithm, allowing ion positions to move within the cell and allowing the cell to deform and change volume. The relaxations were run iteratively until the volume change between subsequent relaxations was less than 2%. After each structure was fully converged, a final electronic optimization was performed while keeping the structure fixed. For each material, the first ferromagnetic structural relaxations were instantiated with magnetic moments of 3.0  $\mu_B$  on each transition metal ion. For all calculations, custodian<sup>40</sup> was used to automatically monitor jobs and resubmit those which failed due to common errors. From these calculations, various structural, energetic, and magnetic parameters were aggregated. The magnetic moment on each ion was approximated by the projection of the fully converged spin-polarized wavefunctions onto spherical harmonics within a Wigner-Seitz radius of each atom. The default Wigner-Seitz radii included with the VASP PAW psuedopotentials were used for this purpose.

#### Derivation of the magnetic deformation

The degree of lattice deformation has previously been employed to quantify changes in, or compare similar crystal structures.<sup>41,42</sup> Here we define the magnetic deformation,  $\Sigma_M$  as the degree of lattice deformation between the DFT-optimized nonmagnetic and magnetic unit cells. To calculate  $\Sigma_M$ , the transformation matrix, P, between the magnetic and nonmagnetic relaxed structures is determined from  $P = A_{NM}^{-1} \cdot A_M$  Where  $A_{NM}$  and  $A_M$  are respectively the lattice parameters of the non-magnetic and magnetic relaxed unit cell. In general, P may contain a rotational component, which does not contribute to deformation of the lattice. To remove this component, the Lagrangian finite strain tensor,  $\eta$ , is calculated from P:

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{P}^T \boldsymbol{P} - \boldsymbol{I}) \tag{3}$$

The degree of lattice deformation is the root mean square of the eigenvalues of  $\eta$ . Here, we express the magnetic deformation as a percentage:

$$\Sigma_M = \frac{1}{3} (\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 + \eta_3^2)^{1/2} \times 100$$
(4)

A Python implementation of this calculation is provided in the Supporting Information.

## **Results and discussion**

The experimental and computed properties for the 33 characterized magnetocalorics investigated in this study are shown in Table 1. For these materials, the experimental  $\Delta S_M$ for applied field of both 2 T and 5 T correlate strongly with the calculated magnetic deformation,  $\Sigma_M$  (Figure 2 and Figure 3). In particular, the "giant" magnetocaloric effect materials in the database (MnAs and Fe<sub>2</sub>P-based materials) are clearly separated from all other materials by their large values of lattice deformation ( $\Sigma_M > 3\%$ ). These materials



Figure 2: Comparison of the magnetic deformation,  $\Sigma_M$  and experimental maximum isothermal entropy change upon application of a 2 T magnetic field. The  $\Delta S_M$  measurements include those obtained from previous studies and new measurements presented in this contribution. MnCoP, which was synthesized and measured after using  $\Sigma_M$  to screen candidate materials, is shown as a red plus. Pearson's r is indicated in the top left.



Figure 3: Comparison of  $\Sigma_M$  and maximum isothermal entropy change upon application of a 5 T magnetic field. Pearson's r is indicated in the top left.

Table 1: Selected experimental and calculated data for the magnetocalorics investigated in this study. The Curie temperature  $(T_C)$  and peak isothermal entropy change  $(\Delta S_M)$ columns represent experimental results, either aggregated from literature (top section) or from new magnetic measurements in this study (bottom section). The magnetic deformation  $(\Sigma_M)$ , saturation magnetization  $(M_S)$ , percentage volume difference between the magnetic unit cell and the nonmangetic unit cell  $(\Delta V_M)$ , and density  $(\rho)$  are from DFT calculations performed in the present study. In each case, the  $\Delta S_M$  is for the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition. MnB has also been measured by Fries et al.<sup>64</sup>

	$T_C$	$\Delta S_M~({\rm Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}})$		ref.	$\Sigma_M$	$M_S$	$\Delta V_M$	ρ
	(K)	$H = 2 \mathrm{T}$	$H = 5 \mathrm{T}$		(%)	(emu/g)	(%)	(g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
MnAs	318	-20	-30	43	3.97	121.5	13.2	6.78
$MnFeP_{2/3}Si_{1/3}$	250	-16		44	5.02	171.1	7.10	6.67
MnFeGe $_{1/3}$ P $_{1/3}$ Si $_{1/3}$	275	-14	-35	45	6.14	154.7	5.80	7.13
MnFeP <sub>1/3</sub> As <sub>2/3</sub>	325	-12	-33	46	5.06	141.7	6.63	7.65
$MnFeP_{2/3}As_{1/3}$	225	-11	-16	46	3.14	146.0	7.10	7.22
CrO <sub>2</sub>	396	-6.8		47	3.09	133.0	3.90	4.83
AlFe <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	275	-4.5	-7.5	48	2.12	92.3	1.77	5.85
Mn <sub>5</sub> Ge <sub>3</sub>	300	-3.8	-9.4	49	2.33	152.5	11.3	7.49
Fe <sub>3</sub> C	475	-3.1		50	1.05	170.2	5.53	7.94
LaMnO <sub>3</sub>	300	-3	-7	51	1.43	71.2	7.42	6.55
MnCoGe	235	-3	-6	52	1.93	90.7	4.67	8.44
$Mn_5PB_2$	302	-2.6	-5	53	1.08	138.0	4.03	7.01
$Y_2Fe_{17}$	300	-2.5	-4.8	54	1.98	187.3	10.4	7.43
$Mn_3GaC$	250	-2.5	-4.5	55	0.44	95.0	2.31	7.40
MnSi	31	-2.2	-3.55	56	0.23	66.9	1.20	5.99
$Mn_3Sn_2$	280	-1.9	-3.5	57	2.76	107.3	8.15	7.91
MnP	290	-1.8	-3.8	58	0.41	46.9	1.22	6.17
Mn <sub>3</sub> AlC	288	-1.6	-3.5	59	0.43	107.0	2.26	6.17
MnNi <sub>2</sub> Ga	329	-1.5		60	0.75	93.7	3.93	8.26
Fe <sub>5</sub> Si <sub>3</sub>	373	-1	-2.8	49	0.96	111.7	3.13	6.70
La <sub>2</sub> MnNiO <sub>6</sub>	280	-1	-2.1	61	0.27	57.2	1.40	7.00
MnFeGe	235	-0.75	-1.6	52	1.42	83.8	3.68	8.36
SrRuO <sub>3</sub>	160	-0.6	-2	62	0.17	47.3	0.84	6.31
Fe <sub>3</sub> GeTe <sub>2</sub>	225		-1.1	63	1.24	70.8	6.12	7.00
Ni	627	-1.4	-2.6	this work	0.07	55.6	0.34	9.03
Fe <sub>2</sub> P	223	-1.5	-2.9	do	1.23	116.7	3.64	7.15
MnB	571	-5.1	-9.4	—do.—	1.72	155.1	3.64	6.58
FeB	573	-1.7	-3.2	do	2.17	96.6	1.52	7.00
MnNiSb	765	-1.5	-3.0	do	1.61	94.9	8.50	7.60
MnNi <sub>2</sub> Sn	350	-1.2	-2.1	do	0.93	80.0	4.85	8.74
FeRu <sub>2</sub> Sn	545	-0.3	-0.6	-do	0.67	61.7	3.49	10.4
MnFe <sub>2</sub> Si	250	-0.6	-1.6	-do	0.39	86.1	2.03	7.46
MnCoP	583	-3.1	-6.0	do	3.03	114.9	5.53	7.13

have discontinuous unit cell changes at their first-order magnetic phase transition, and  $\Sigma_M$  appears to capture this behavior. Interestingly,  $\Delta S_M$  also correlates with  $\Sigma_M$  for the other materials in the dataset, which are not believed to have discontinuous magnetostructural transitions. This correlation appears to hold quite well across the full dataset, which spans a large range of structure types, magnetic exchange mechanisms, and elemental compositions. It is important to note that the correlation is not perfect, and a low value of  $\Sigma_M$  does not guarantee a low  $\Delta S_M$ . However, the correlation does suggest that materials with higher values of  $\Sigma_M$  are much more likely to show high  $\Delta S_M$  than materials with lower values of  $\Sigma_M$ .  $\Sigma_M = 1.5$  % serves as a good cutoff: the compounds with  $\Sigma_M > 1.5$  are all remarkable magnetocalorics. Based on these results, we propose that  $\Sigma_M$  can be used as a screening parameter to identify compounds for experimental study.

The actual meaning of  $\Sigma_M$  is subtle. For the case of the Fe<sub>2</sub>P-type materials in this dataset (MnFeP<sub>2/3</sub>Si<sub>1/3</sub>, MnFeP<sub>1/3</sub>Si<sub>1/3</sub>Ge<sub>1/3</sub>, MnFeP<sub>1/3</sub>As<sub>2/3</sub>, and MnFeP<sub>2/3</sub>As<sub>1/3</sub>), the relaxed magnetic and nonmagnetic unit cell shapes qualitatively mirror the differences seen in the ferromagnetic and paramagnetic structures of these materials. Specifically, the relaxed magnetic unit cell has a larger hexagonal c axis and smaller a axis than the relaxed nonmagnetic cell, much like the experimental structural transition observed at the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition.<sup>65</sup> However, for most of the materials studied, there is no discontinuous structure change, and yet  $\Sigma_M$  is nonzero. Formally,  $\Sigma_M$  is indicating differences in equilibrium structure at 0 K between a material in a nonmagnetic state and in a ferromagnetic state. This 0 K nonmagnetic state is a poor representation of the hightemperature paramagnetic states, which has dynamically disordered moments. Therefore, it is best to describe  $\Sigma_M$  as an indication of the degree to which structural and magnetic degrees of freedom are coupled in a material, similar to magnetovolume coupling terms in the Bean and Rodbell model, which has been quite successful in analyzing magnetocalorics.<sup>18,66</sup> The strong correlation of  $\Delta S_M$  with  $\Sigma_M$  highlights the importance of magnetostructural coupling in first order materials, but also indicates that magnetostructural coupling is important for strong magnetocaloric performance in materials displaying second-order magnetic transitions.



Figure 4:  $\Sigma_M$  vs.  $T_C$  for the known magnetocalorics and the candidate ferromagnetic materials. For the known magnetocalorics, the area of the circle is proportional to the material's peak  $\Delta S_M$  with an applied field of 2 T. The grey line indicates  $\Sigma_M = 1.5$ ; candidates above this line are predicted to show large  $\Delta S_M$ . MnCoP, a candidate material with  $\Sigma = 3.03\%$  which we have synthesized and measured, is shown with area proportional to its  $\Delta S_M$ .<sup>67-126</sup>

The major advantages of  $\Sigma_M$  as a screening parameter is that it is computationally inexpensive and entirely material agnostic. Where more rigorous *ab initio* descriptions of exchange-volume coupling or magnetic entropy require detailed knowledge of a system, including the nature of the magnetic moments, the magnetic exchange mechanism, and the nature of the phase transition,  $\Sigma_M$  can be rapidly calculated for any material given only an ordered unit cell and no additional human input. Figure 4 shows  $\Sigma_M$  calculated for all 167 materials in this study, including the 134 that have not yet been studied for magnetocaloric effect, plotted against the experimental  $T_C$ . Thirty ferromagnets with  $\Sigma_M > 1.5$ are identified and listed in Table 2. Based on the correlations observed in known magnetocalorics, these materials are likely to show strong magnetocaloric effect and are therefore

Table 2: Ferromagnetic compounds with large values of magnetic deformation,  $\Sigma_M$  (>1.5), indicating potential for good magnetocaloric properties. For each material,  $T_C$  is the experimental magnetic transition temperature (found in given reference).  $\Sigma_M$  and saturation magnetization ( $M_S$ ) are the result of DFT calculations. The complete list of candidate compounds and calculated parameters can be found in the Supporting Information.

	$T_C$	ref.	$\Sigma_M$	$M_S$
	(K)		(%)	(emu/g)
Cr <sub>3</sub> Te <sub>4</sub>	317	67	6.09	83.7
MnCoAs	350	68	4.69	91.7
Cr <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub>	180	69	3.73	69.3
MnCoP	583	127	3.03	114.9
MnPtGa	220	70	2.40	65.5
CrNiAs	182	71	2.31	90.0
MnNb <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	48	72	2.25	45.0
Fe <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	810	73	2.17	213.4
FeCoP	425	68	2.16	78.0
Fe <sub>5</sub> SiB <sub>2</sub>	784	74	2.14	147.2
Fe <sub>3</sub> Pd	575	75	2.11	168.8
Fe <sub>3</sub> Pt	462	76	2.07	127.8
Fe <sub>4</sub> CoSiB <sub>2</sub>	675	77	2.03	117.9
Fe <sub>4</sub> N	769	73	1.93	232.0
Fe <sub>3</sub> N	550	78	1.88	187.8
MnGa <sub>2</sub> Sb <sub>2</sub>	310	79	1.85	34.7
Fe <sub>2</sub> CoN	488	80	1.84	155.4
MnTa <sub>4</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	75	81	1.84	21.7
CrNiP	140	68	1.84	104.3
MnGeAs <sub>2</sub>	340	82	1.82	83.6
Fe <sub>23</sub> Y <sub>6</sub>	485	83	1.79	152.8
Fe <sub>4</sub> MnSiB <sub>2</sub>	770	77	1.79	141.5
Fe₃Ge	640	84	1.70	147.8
Ba <sub>2</sub> MnReO <sub>6</sub>	110	85	1.67	35.8
MnGe <sub>4</sub>	340	86	1.60	25.2
Sr <sub>3</sub> Ru <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	104	87	1.59	39.7
MnGeP <sub>2</sub>	320	82	1.58	98.9
Fe <sub>3</sub> RhN	505	88	1.56	168.9
MnNb <sub>4</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	100	81	1.53	29.7
MnPdSb	500	89	1.52	66.3



Figure 5: Magnetocaloric characterization of MnCoP, which shows a high  $\Sigma_M$  of 3.03%. Top: Magnetization *vs.* temperature at different magnetic fields. Bottom:  $\Delta S_M$ , calculated from equation 2. The fields are 0.02 T, 0.05 T, 0.1 T, 0.3 T, 0.5 T, 1.0 T, 2.0 T, 3.0 T, and 5.0 T.

excellent candidates for experimental study.

We report here a first experimental verification of these predictions. MnCoP, an othorhombic material with the TiNiSi structure (Pnma),<sup>127</sup> has  $\Sigma_M = 3.03$  and is therefore expected to have strong  $\Delta S_M$  near its Curie temperature of 583 K. We prepared this compound and measured its isothermal entropy change, as shown in Figure 5. MnCoP shows a maximum  $\Delta S_M$  of  $3.1 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for an applied field of 2 T and  $6.0 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for an applied field of 5 T. As shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3, these values fit well into the observed correlation between  $\Delta S_M$  and  $\Sigma_M$ .

Currently, the most commonly used parameter in the search for new magnetocalorics is the saturation magnetization,  $M_S$ . Within systems, including some first order systems, the magnitude of  $\Delta S_M$  is found to scale with  $M_S$  and looking for high magnetization compounds has often been a design principal in searching for new magnetocalorics.<sup>128–130</sup> The theoretical  $M_S$  of each of the materials in this dataset is easily calculated from the magnetic DFT calculations. Surprisingly, despite the widespread acceptance of  $M_S$  as a key determiner of  $\Delta S_M$ , the data shows poor correlation between  $M_S$  and  $\Delta S_M$ . This can be seen in Figure 6, which is a visualization of the cross-correlation matrix for the properties investigated in this study. MnAs and the Fe<sub>2</sub>P-based "giant" magnetocalorics show larger  $\Delta S_M$  than Gd, despite having lower  $M_S$ . For the giant magnetocaloric effect materials, the failure of  $M_S$  as a predictor of  $\Delta S_M$  can be understood as arising from the dominant role that the first-order magnetostructural transition plays in determining the entropy change. However, even for second order materials with no known discontinuous structural transition at the Curie temperature, deviation is expected. Using the Arrott-Noakes equation of state<sup>131</sup> for a second order magnetic transition and making the mean field approximation gives the following:<sup>17</sup>

$$\Delta S_M(H_1) = -\frac{1}{2}a \big[ M(H_1) - M(0) \big]$$
(5)

Where the maximum entropy change upon isothermal application of a field  $H_1$  is determined by a, a phenomenological parameter dependent on the critical exponents.  $[M(H_1) - M(0)]$  is the difference between the magnetization under a field of  $H_1$  and the spontaneous magnetization. Therefore, while large  $M_S$  provides the potential for large  $[M(H_1) - M(0)]$ , the value of  $\Delta S_M(H_1)$  will ultimately depend heavily on the critical exponents and field-dependence of the magnetization curves.

The surprisingly poor correlation between calculated  $M_S$  and experimental  $\Delta S_M$  can therefore be explained in both in materials displaying first- and second-order magnetic transitions. In both cases, the possible entropy change of the spin system upon magnetization is determined by the  $M_S$ , but the portion of that total change that can be caused by a finite magnetic field (*e.g.* 2 T or 5 T) is limited by other material properties that are not captured in a calculation of  $M_S$ .

Analysis of aggregated materials data has become an important and insightful way



Figure 6: Visualization of the cross-correlation matrix for experimental ( $\Delta S_M$  and  $T_C$ ) and computed properties (all others).  $\mu_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum localized on any ion in the compound, and  $E_{NM} - E_M$  is the energy difference between the structure calculated without and with spin-polarization, in eV/atom. For each square, the color represents Pearson's r, which ranges from -1 (perfect negative correlation) to +1 (perfect positive correlation) through 0 (no correlation). Correlations involving  $\Delta S_M$  use the 33 magnetocaloric materials (Table 1), while all other correlations use the full dataset of 167 materials (Table 2, Supporting Information Table S2).

of understanding physical phenomena, especially as large databases of experimental and computational data have been established.<sup>132–139</sup> Statistical analysis, interactive visualization, and machine learning allow for new and insightful ways of understanding materials behavior and guiding research efforts. The experimental and computed data in this study, provided in full in the Supporting Information, afford opportunities for this type of analysis. For example, Figure 6 contains a cross-correlation analysis of the parameters investigated in this study, including measured  $\Delta S_M$  and  $T_C$  and several properties extracted from the DFT calculations. This analysis shows that  $\Delta S_M$  for applied fields of both 2 T and 5 T is more strongly correlated with  $\Sigma_M$  than with any other calculated property investigated. The percentage volume change between the nonmagnetic unit cell and the magnetic unit cell also shows some correlation with  $\Delta S_M$ , although less than the magnetic deformation. While the cell volume captures some of the structural differences that arise between the magnetic unit cell and nonmagnetic unit cell, it fails to account for cases where significant unit cell shape changes occur without large changes in cell volume. These sort of structural transitions can be important in magnetocaloric materials, for example in the case of the Fe<sub>2</sub>P-type compounds discussed earlier. Numerous other correlations are found between various parameters. For example,  $E_{\rm NM} - E_{\rm M}$ , which can be viewed as the energy benefit of a material becoming magnetic, is much more strongly related to the maximum ionic moment size  $\mu_{\rm max}$  than to any other parameter, including the overall magnetization  $M_S$ . This suggests that a large ionic moment is a sign of a highly stable magnetic ground state. Interestingly, this analysis also shows that none of the investigated parameters correlates particularly well with  $T_C$ . As  $T_C$  is an important parameter in screening magnets for a variety of applications, including magnetocalorics, it will be valuable to investigate simple and general computational methods of predicting  $T_C$ .

#### Conclusion

This contribution introduces a simple computational proxy for the screening of magnetic materials for magnetocaloric performance,  $\Sigma_M$ , the magnetic deformation. This proxy is validated using  $\Delta S_M$  values from reported magnetocalorics and new  $\Delta S_M$  measurements. Based on application of this proxy to ferromagnets that have not yet been measured for  $\Delta S_M$ , we propose 30 likely candidates for strong magnetocaloric performance. The calculation of  $\Sigma_M$  requires no knowledge of a material beyond it's crystal structure, so the method is easily applied to a large number of compounds. While this study has restricted screening to known ferromagnets with relatively simple unit cells and no rare earth elements, the proxy is quite general and could be used across a large variety of systems. For example, by combining this proxy with phase stability analysis,<sup>134</sup> this proxy could be applied to screen large numbers of hypothetical materials that are expected to be thermodynamically stable but have not yet been experimentally investigated. Alternatively, this proxy can be used to screen compositions within a single system to suggest compositions with optimal properties. Furthermore, as many known magnetocaloric materials have magnetic transitions other than the transition from paramagnetic to ferromagnetic states, it would be profitable to expand this proxy to a wider range of materials by comparing the structures of materials with other kinds of magnetic orderings, such as antiferromagnets and ferrimagnets.

# Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through DMR-1121053. J.D.B. is supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. 1650114. We thank Dr. Jason Douglas for providing a sample of FeRu<sub>2</sub>Sn. This work made use of the shared experimental facilities of the Materials Research Laboratory, supported by the NSF MRSEC Program (DMR-1121053), and the Center for Scientific Computing at UCSB, supported by NSF MRSEC (DMR-1121053), NSF CNS-0960316 and Hewlett Packard. The Materials Research Laboratory is a member of the NSF-supported Materials Research Facilities Network. Use of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

## References

- Giauque, W. F.; MacDougall, D. P. Attainment of temperatures below 1° absolute by demagnetization of Gd<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>· 8 H<sub>2</sub>O. *Phys. Rev.* **1933**, *43*, 768–768.
- (2) Franco, V.; Blázquez, J.; Ingale, B.; Conde, A. The magnetocaloric effect and magnetic refrigeration near room temperature: materials and models. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* 2012, *42*, 305–342.
- (3) Brown, G. V. Magnetic heat pumping near room temperature. J. Appl. Phys. 1976, 47, 3673.
- (4) Zimm, C.; Jastrab, A.; Sternberg, A.; Pecharsky, V.; Gschneidner, K.; Osborne, M.; Anderson, I. *Adv. Cryog. Eng.*; Springer US: Boston, MA, 1998; pp 1759–1766.
- (5) Takeuchi, I.; Sandeman, K. Solid-state cooling with caloric materials. *Phys. Today* 2015, 68, 48–54.
- (6) Pecharsky, V. K.; Cui, J.; Johnson, D. D. (Magneto)caloric refrigeration: Is there light at the end of the tunnel? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A* **2016**, *374*, 20150305.
- (7) Cam Thanh, D. T.; Brück, E.; Trung, N. T.; Klaasse, J. C. P.; Buschow, K. H. J.; Ou, Z. Q.; Tegus, O.; Caron, L. Structure, magnetism, and magnetocaloric properties of MnFeP<sub>1-x</sub>Si<sub>x</sub> compounds. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2008**, *103*, 07B318.
- (8) Boeije, M. F. J.; Roy, P.; Guillou, F.; Yibole, H.; Miao, X. F.; Caron, L.; Banerjee, D.; van Dijk, N. H.; de Groot, R. A.; Brück, E. Efficient Room-Temperature Cooling with Magnets. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 4901–4905.
- (9) Hu, F.-X.; Shen, B.-G.; Sun, J.-R.; Cheng, Z.-H.; Rao, G.-H.; Zhang, X.-X. Influence of negative lattice expansion and metamagnetic transition on magnetic entropy change in the compound LaFe<sub>11.4</sub>Si<sub>1.6</sub>. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2001**, *78*, 3675–3677.

- (10) Krenke, T.; Duman, E.; Acet, M.; Wassermann, E. F.; Moya, X.; Mañosa, L.; Planes, A. Inverse magnetocaloric effect in ferromagnetic NiMnSn alloys. *Nat. Mater.* 2005, *4*, 450–454.
- (11) Liu, J.; Gottschall, T.; Skokov, K. P.; Moore, J. D.; Gutfleisch, O. Giant magnetocaloric effect driven by structural transitions. *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, *11*, 620–626.
- (12) Pecharsky, V. K.; Gschneidner, K. A. Advanced magnetocaloric materials: What does the future hold? *Int. J. Refrig.* **2006**, *29*, 1239–1249.
- (13) Kitanovski, A.; Plaznik, U.; Tomc, U.; Poredoš, A. Present and future caloric refrigeration and heat-pump technologies. *Int. J. Refrig.* **2015**, *57*, 288–298.
- (14) Christiaanse, T.; Brück, E. Proof-of-concept static thermomagnetic generator experimental device. *Metall. Mater. Trans. E* **2014**, *1*, 36–40.
- (15) Elliott, J. F.; Legvold, S.; Spedding, F. H. Some magnetic properties of gadolinium metal. *Phys. Rev.* **1953**, *91*, 28–30.
- (16) Dan'kov, S.; Tishin, a.; Pecharsky, V.; Gschneidner, K. Magnetic phase transitions and the magnetothermal properties of gadolinium. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, *57*, 3478–3490.
- (17) Franco, V.; Conde, A. Scaling laws for the magnetocaloric effect in second order phase transitions: From physics to applications for the characterization of materials. *Int. J. Refrig.* **2010**, *33*, 465–473.
- (18) Belo, J. H.; Amaral, J. S.; Pereira, A. M.; Amaral, V. S.; Araújo, J. P. On the Curie temperature dependency of the magnetocaloric effect. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *100*, 242407.
- (19) Pecharsky, V. K.; Gschneidner, Jr., K. A. Giant magnetocaloric effect in Gd<sub>5</sub>(Si,Ge)<sub>2</sub>.
   *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 4494–4497.

- Magen, C.; Arnold, Z.; Morellon, L.; Skorokhod, Y.; Algarabel, P. A.; Ibarra, M. R.;
   Kamarad, J. Pressure-induced three-dimensional ferromagnetic correlations in the giant magnetocaloric compound Gd<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *91*, 207202.
- (21) Choe, W.; Miller, G. J.; Meyers, J.; Chumbley, S.; Pecharsky, A. O. Nanoscale zippers in the crystalline Solid. Structural variations in the giant magnetocaloric material Gd<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>1.5</sub>Ge<sub>2.5</sub>. *Chem. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 1413–1419.
- (22) Łażewski, l. J.; Piekarz, P.; Toboła, J.; Wiendlocha, B.; Jochym, P. T.; Sternik, M.;
   Parlinski, K. Phonon mechanism of the magnetostructural phase transition in MnAs.
   *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2010, *104*, 147205.
- (23) Jain, A.; Ong, S. P.; Hautier, G.; Chen, W.; Richards, W. D.; Dacek, S.; Cholia, S.; Gunter, D.; Skinner, D.; Ceder, G.; Persson, K. A. Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Mater.* 2013, *1*, 011002.
- (24) Saal, J. E.; Kirklin, S.; Aykol, M.; Meredig, B.; Wolverton, C. Materials design and discovery with high-throughput density functional theory: The Open Quantum Materials Database (OQMD). *JOM* **2013**, *65*, 1501–1509.
- (25) Curtarolo, S.; Setyawan, W.; Wang, S.; Xue, J.; Yang, K.; Taylor, R. H.; Nelson, L. J.; Hart, G. L.; Sanvito, S.; Buongiorno-Nardelli, M.; Mingo, N.; Levy, O. AFLOWLIB.ORG: A distributed materials properties repository from highthroughput *ab initio* calculations. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2012**, *58*, 227–235.
- (26) Yan, J.; Gorai, P.; Ortiz, B.; Miller, S.; Barnett, S. A.; Mason, T.; Stevanović, V.; Toberer, E. S. Material descriptors for predicting thermoelectric performance. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2015, *8*, 983–994.
- (27) Brgoch, J.; DenBaars, S. P.; Seshadri, R. Proxies from *ab initio* calculations for screening efficient Ce<sup>3+</sup> phosphor hosts. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, *117*, 17955–17959.

- (28) Adams, S.; Rao, R. P. High power lithium ion battery materials by computational design. *Phys. status solidi* 2011, 208, 1746–1753.
- Melot, B. C.; Scanlon, D. O.; Reynaud, M.; Rousse, G.; Chotard, J.-N.; Henry, M.; Tarascon, J.-M. Chemical and structural indicators for large redox potentials in Febased positive electrode materials. *ACS Appl. Mater. & Interfaces* 2014, 6, 10832– 10839.
- (30) Rong, Z.; Malik, R.; Canepa, P.; Sai Gautam, G.; Liu, M.; Jain, A.; Persson, K.; Ceder, G. Materials design rules for multivalent ion mobility in intercalation structures. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 6016–6021.
- (31) Miao, X. F.; Caron, L.; Gercsi, Z.; Daoud-Aladine, A.; van Dijk, N. H.; Brück, E. Thermal-history dependent magnetoelastic transition in (Mn,Fe)<sub>2</sub>(P,Si) *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2015, *107*, 042403.
- (32) Douglas, J. E.; Levin, E. E.; Pollock, T. M.; Castillo, J. C.; Adler, P.; Felser, C.; Krämer, S.; Page, K. L.; Seshadri, R. Magnetic hardening and antiferromagnetic/ferromagnetic phase coexistence in Mn<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>Sn Heusler solid solutions. *Phys. Rev. B* 2016, *94*, 094412.
- (33) Larson, A. C.; Von Dreele, R. B. General Structure Analysis System (GSAS). Los Alamos Natl. Lab. Rep. LAUR 2000, , 86–748.
- (34) Toby, B. H; EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2001, 34, 210–213.
- (35) Momma, K.; Izumi, F.; VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2011**, *44*, 1272–1276 .
- (36) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **1996**, *54*, 11169–11186.

- (37) Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *50*, 17953–17979.
- (38) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmentedwave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1999**, *59*, 1758–1775.
- (39) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- (40) Ong, S. P.; Richards, W. D.; Jain, A.; Hautier, G.; Kocher, M.; Cholia, S.; Gunter, D.; Chevrier, V. L.; Persson, K. A.; Ceder, G. Python Materials Genomics (pymatgen): A robust, open-source python library for materials analysis. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 2013, 68, 314–319.
- (41) Catti, M. Calculation of elastic constants by the method of crystal static deformation.*Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A Found. Crystallogr.* 1985, *41*, 494–500.
- (42) de la Flor, G.; Orobengoa, D.; Tasci, E.; Perez-Mato, J. M.; Aroyo, M. I. Comparison of structures applying the tools available at the Bilbao Crystallographic Server. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2016, 49, 653–664.
- (43) Wada, H.; Tanabe, Y. Giant magnetocaloric effect of MnAs<sub>1-x</sub>Sb<sub>x</sub>. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2001**, *79*, 3302.
- (44) Katagiri, K.; Nakamura, K.; Wada, H. Magnetocaloric properties and magnetic refrigerant capacity of  $MnFeP_{1-x}Si_x$ . J. Alloys Compd. 286–290.
- (45) Thanh, D. T. C.; Brück, E.; Tegus, O.; J. C. P., K.; Gortenmulder, T. J.; Buschow, K. H. J. Magnetocaloric effect in MnFe(P,Si,Ge) compounds. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2006, *99*, 08Q107.

- (46) Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Zhang, L.; Dagula,; Buschow, K. H. J.; de Boer, F. R. Magneticphase transitions and magnetocaloric effects. *Physica B: Condensed Matter* 2002, *319*, 174–192.
- (47) Zhang, X.; Chen, Y.; Lü, L.; Li, Z. A potential oxide for magnetic refrigeration application: CrO<sub>2</sub> particles. *J. Phys.: Condensed Matter* **2006**, *18*, L559–L566.
- (48) Tan, X.; Chai, P.; Thompson, C. M.; Shatruk, M. Magnetocaloric effect in AlFe<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>: toward Magnetic refrigerants from earth-abundant elements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 9553–9557.
- (49) Songlin; Dagula; Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Klaasse, J. C. P.; de Boer, F. R.; Buschow, K. H. J. Magnetic phase transition and magnetocaloric effect in Mn<sub>5-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>. *J. Alloys Compd.* 2002, *334*, 249–252.
- (50) Kaeswurm, B.; Friemert, K.; Gürsoy, M.; Skokov, K. P.; Gutfleisch, O. Direct measurement of the magnetocaloric effect in cementite. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2016, 410, 105–108.
- (51) Phan, M.-H.; Yu, S.-C. Review of the magnetocaloric effect in manganite materials. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 2007, *308*, 325–340.
- (52) Lin, S.; Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Dagula, W.; Gortenmulder, T.; Buschow, K. Structural and magnetic properties of  $MnFe_{1-x}Co_xGe$  Compounds. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2006**, *42*, 3776–3778.
- (53) Xie, Z. G.; Geng, D. Y.; Zhang, Z. D. Reversible room-temperature magnetocaloric effect in Mn<sub>5</sub>PB<sub>2</sub>. Appl. Phys. Lett. **2010**, *97*, 202504.
- (54) Llamazares, J. S.; Álvarez-Alonso, P.; Sánchez-Valdés, C.; Ibarra-Gaytán, P. J.;
  Blanco, J. A.; Gorria, P. Investigating the magnetic entropy change in single-phase
  Y<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>17</sub> melt-spun ribbons. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* 2016, *16*, 963–968.

- (55) Tong, P.; Wang, B.-S.; Sun, Y.-P. Mn-based antiperovskite functional materials: Review of research. *Chin. Phys. B.* **2013**, *22*, 067501.
- (56) Arora, P.; Chattopadhyay, M. K.; Roy, S. B. Magnetocaloric effect in MnSi. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, *91*, 062508.
- (57) Recour, Q.; Mazet, T.; Malaman, B. Magnetocaloric properties of Mn<sub>3</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub> from heat capacity measurements. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2009**, *105*, 033905.
- (58) Booth, R. A.; Majetich, S. A. Crystallographic orientation and the magnetocaloric effect in MnP. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2009**, *105*, 07A926.
- (59) Tong, P.; Wang, B.-S.; Sun, Y.-P. Mn-based antiperovskite functional materials: Review of research. *Chin. Phys. B.* **2013**, *22*, 067501.
- (60) Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Zhang, L.; Dagula,; Buschow, K. H. J.; de Boer, F. R. Magneticphase transitions and magnetocaloric effects. *Physica B: Condensed Matter* 2002, *319*, 174–192.
- (61) Balli, M.; Fournier, P.; Jandl, S.; Gospodinov, M. M. A study of the phase transition and magnetocaloric effect in multiferroic La<sub>2</sub>MnNiO<sub>6</sub> single crystals. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2014, *115*, 173904.
- (62) Zhang, X.-Y.; Chen, Y.; Li, Z.-Y. Magnetocaloric effect in 4d itinerant ferromagnet SrRuO<sub>3</sub>. J. Alloys Compd. 2008, 459, 51–54.
- (63) Verchenko, V. Y.; Tsirlin, A. A.; Sobolev, A. V.; Presniakov, I. A.; Shevelkov, A. V. Ferromagnetic order, strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy, and magnetocaloric effect in the layered telluride Fe<sub>3-δ</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 8598–8607.
- (64) Fries, M.; Gercsi, Z.; Ener, S.; Skokov, K. P.; Gutfleisch, O. Magnetic, magnetocaloric and structural properties of manganese based monoborides doped with iron and

cobalt – A candidate for thermomagnetic generators. *Acta Mater.* **2016**, *113*, 213–220.

- (65) Dung, N. H.; Zhang, L.; Ou, Z. Q.; Bruck, E. From first-order magneto-elastic to magneto-structural transition in (Mn,Fe)<sub>1.95</sub>P<sub>0</sub>.50Si<sub>0</sub>.50 compounds. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2011, *99*, 092511.
- (66) Bean, C. P.; Rodbell, D. S. Magnetic disorder as a first-order phase transformation. *Phys. Rev.* **1962**, *126*, 104–115.
- (67) Akram, M.; Nazar, F. M. Magnetic properties of CrTe, Cr<sub>23</sub>Te<sub>24</sub>, Cr<sub>7</sub>Te<sub>8</sub>, Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>, and Cr<sub>3</sub>Te<sub>4</sub> compounds. J. Mater. Sci. **1983**, 18, 423–429.
- (68) Nylund, M. A.; Roger, M. M. A.; Sénateur, J. P.; Fruchart, R. Evolution structurale des phosphures, arséniures et arséniophosphures M<sub>2</sub>P, M<sub>2</sub>As et M<sub>2</sub>(P<sub>1-x</sub>As<sub>x</sub>). J. Solid State Chem. 1972, 4, 115–122.
- (69) Yuzuri, M.; Kanomata, T.; Kaneko, T. The pressure effect on the Curie temperature and exchange striction of Cr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **1987**, *70*, 223–224.
- (70) Buschow, K. H. J.; Mooij, D. B. D. Crystal structure and magnetic properties of PtMnGa and PtMnAl. *J. Less Common Met.* **1984**, *99*, 125–130.
- (71) Bacmann, M.; Fruchart, D.; Koumina, A.; Wolfers, P. Low temperature magnetic structure of CrNiAs. *Mater. Sci. Forum* **2004**, *443-444*, 379–382.
- (72) Hulliger, F.; Pobitschka, E. On the magnetic behavior of new 2H-NbS<sub>2</sub>-type derivatives. J. Solid State Chem. 1970, 1, 117–119.
- (73) Coey, J. M. D. *Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*; Magnetism and Magnetic Materials; Cambridge University Press, 2010.

- (74) R, W.; Ericsson, T.; Häggström, L.; Andersson, Y. Magnetic properties of Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> and related compounds. *J. Phys. Colloq.* **1976**, *37*, C6–591–C6–593.
- (75) Cui, J.; James, R. D. Study of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pd and related alloys for ferromagnetic shape memory. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2001**, *37*, 2675–2677.
- (76) Seeger, M.; Kronmüller, H. The magnetic phase transition in ordered and disordered ferromagnets. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **1989**, *78*, 393–402.
- (77) McGuire, M. A.; Parker, D. S. Magnetic and structural properties of ferromagnetic Fe<sub>5</sub>PB<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> and effects of Co and Mn substitutions. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2015, *118*, 163903.
- (78) Gambino, R. J. Magnetic properties of the iron-group metal phosphides. J. Appl. Phys. 1967, 38, 1253.
- (79) Sakakibara, W.; Hayashi, Y.; Takizawa, H. MnGa<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>, a new ferromagnetic compound synthesized under high pressure. *J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn.* **2009**, *117*, 72–75.
- (80) Guo, K.; Rau, D.; Toffoletti, L.; Müller, C.; Burkhardt, U.; Schnelle, W.; Niewa, R.; Schwarz, U. Ternary metastable nitrides  $\epsilon$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>*TM*N (*TM* = Co, Ni): High-pressure, high-temperature synthesis, crystal structure, thermal stability, and magnetic properties. *Chem. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 4600–4606.
- (81) Onuki, Y.; Ina, K.; Hirai, T.; Komatsubara, T. Magnetic properties of intercalation compound: Mn<sub>1/4</sub>MX<sub>2</sub>. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **1986**, 55, 347–356.
- (82) Cho, S.; Choi, S.; Cha, G.-B.; Hong, S. C.; Kim, Y.; Freeman, A. J.; Ketterson, J. B.;
  Park, Y.; Park, H.-M. Synthesis of new pure ferromagnetic semiconductors: MnGeP<sub>2</sub> and MnGeAs<sub>2</sub>. *Solid State Commun.* 2004, *129*, 609–613.
- (83) Buschow, K. H. J. Hydrogen absorption and its effect on the magnetic properties of rare-earth iron intermetallics. *Solid State Commun.* **1976**, *19*, 421–423.

- (84) Drijver, J. W.; Sinnema, S. G.; van der Woude, F. Magnetic properties of hexagonal and cubic Fe<sub>3</sub>Ge. *J. Phys. F.* **1976**, *6*, 2165–2177.
- (85) Serrate, D.; Teresa, J. M. D.; Ibarra, M. R. Double perovskites with ferromagnetism above room temperature. *J. Phys.: Condensed Matter* **2006**, *19*, 023201.
- (86) Takizawa, H.; Sato, T.; Endo, T.; Shimada, M. High pressure synthesis and electrical and magnetic properties of MnGe<sub>4</sub> and CoGe<sub>4</sub>. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1990**, *88*, 384–390.
- (87) Cao, G.; McCall, S.; Crow, J. E. Observation of itinerant ferromagnetism in layered Sr<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> single crystals. *Phys. Rev. B.* **1997**, *55*, R672–R675.
- (88) Houben, A.; Müller, P.; von Appen, J.; Lueken, H.; Niewa, R.; Dronskowski, R. Synthesis, crystal structure, and magnetic properties of the semihard Itinerant Ferromagnet RhFe<sub>3</sub>N. *Angew. Chem.* 2005, 44, 7212–7215.
- (89) Endo, K. Magnetic studies of C1<sub>b</sub>-Compounds CuMnSb, PdMnSb and Cu<sub>1-x</sub>(Ni or Pd)<sub>x</sub>MnSb. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1970**, *29*, 643–649.
- (90) Klemmer, T.; Hoydick, D.; Okumura, H.; Zhang, B.; Soffa, W. A. Magnetic hardening and coercivity mechanisms in L1<sub>0</sub> ordered FePd ferromagnets. *Scripta Metal. Mater.* 1995, *33*, 1793–1805.
- (91) Webster, P. J. Heusler alloys. Contemp. Phys. 1969, 10, 559–577.
- (92) Grandjean, F.; Gerard, A. Study by Mossbauer spectroscopy of the series of perovskite carbides M<sub>3</sub>M'C with M=Fe or Mn, and M'=Al, Ga, Ge, Zn, Sn. J. Phys. F. 1976, 6, 451–467.
- (93) Miyatani, K.; Wada, Y.; Okamoto, F. Magnetic properties of single crystal chalcogenide spinels;  $CuCr_2X_3Y$  (X = S, Se and Te, Y = Cl, Br and I) system. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **1968**, 25, 369–372.

- (94) Iga, A.; Tawara, Y. Magnetic properties of molybdenum- and wolfram-Modified Mn<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1968**, *24*, 28–35.
- (95) Irkhin, V. Y.; Katsnel'son, M. I. Half-metallic ferromagnets. *Phys. Uspekhi* 1994, 37, 659–676.
- (96) Nakatani, I.; Nosé, H.; Masumoto, K. Magnetic properties of CuCr<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>4</sub> single crystals. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 1978, 39, 743–749.
- (97) Menyuk, N. Ferromagnetism in  $CdCr_2Se_4$  and  $CdCr_2S_4$ . J. Appl. Phys. **1966**, 37, 1387.
- (98) Shull, R. D.; Okamoto, H.; Beck, P. A. Transition from ferromagnetism to mictomagnetism in Fe-Al alloys. *Solid State Commun.* **1976**, *20*, 863–868.
- (99) Wojtowicz, P. Semiconducting ferromagnetic spinels. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **1969**, *5*, 840–848.
- (100) Tsuzuki, A.; Sago, S.; Hirano, S. I.; Naka, S. High temperature and pressure preparation and properties of iron carbides Fe<sub>7</sub>C<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>C. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1984**, *19*, 2513–2518.
- (101) Mattern, N.; Zhang, W. X.; Roth, S.; Reuther, H.; Baehtz, C.; Richter, M. Structural and magnetic properties of non-stoichiometric Fe<sub>2</sub>Zr. J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 2007, 19, 376202.
- (102) Sankar, S. G.; Wallace, W. E. Magnetic properties of ScFe<sub>2</sub>. AIP Conf. Proc. 1976.
- (103) Chikazumi, S. *Physics of Ferromagnetism 2e*; Int. Ser. Monogr. Phys.; OUP Oxford, 2009.
- (104) Zhang, Z.-D.; Kershaw, R.; Dwight, K.; Wold, A. Preparation and characterization of nickel substituted Fe<sub>5</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1986**, *21*, 979–983.

- (105) Buschow, K. H. J.; van Diepen, A. M. Effect of hydrogen absorption on the magnetic properties of YFe<sub>2</sub> and GdFe<sub>2</sub>. *Solid State Commun.* **1976**, *19*, 79–81.
- (106) Kanomata, T.; Kaneko, T.; Nakagawa, Y. Magnetic properties of the intermetallic compound Mn<sub>3</sub>InC. J. Solid State Chem. **1992**, 96, 451–454.
- (107) Marei, S. A.; Craig, R. S.; Wallace, W. E.; Tsuchida, T. Magnetic characteristics of some Laves phase systems containing Fe and Mn. J. Less Common Met. 1967, 13, 391–398.
- (108) Deka, B.; Kundu, A.; Ghosh, S.; Srinivasan, A. Experimental and *ab initio* studies on sub-lattice ordering and magnetism in  $Co_2Fe(Ge_{1-x}Si_x)$  alloys. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2015**, *118*, 133906.
- (109) Hayashi, N.; Yamamoto, T.; Kageyama, H.; Nishi, M.; Watanabe, Y.; Kawakami, T.;
   Matsushita, Y.; Fujimori, A.; Takano, M. BaFeO<sub>3</sub>: A ferromagnetic iron oxide. *Angew. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 12547–12550.
- (110) Shinjo, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Shikazono, N. Magnetic study of Fe<sub>3</sub>Si and Fe<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> by Mössbauer effect. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1963**, *18*, 797–801.
- (111) Cadeville, M. C.; Dahmani, C. E.; Kern, F. Magnetism and spatial order in Ni-Pt and Co-Pt alloys. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 1986, 54-57, 1055–1056.
- (112) Zhurakovskii, E. A.; Shashkina, T. B.; Kotlyar, V. I. X-ray absorption spectra of cobalt in ferromagnetic borides. *Soviet Phys. J.* **1970**, *13*, 14–17.
- (113) Barón-González, A. J.; Frontera, C.; García-Muñoz, J. L.; Blasco, J.; Ritter, C. Cation order and structural transition in La<sub>2</sub>MnCoO<sub>6</sub>. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 2011, *325*, 012007.

- (114) Kübler, J.; Fecher, G. H.; Felser, C. Understanding the trend in the Curie temperatures of Co<sub>2</sub>-based Heusler compounds: *Ab initio* calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* 2007, 76.
- (115) Cadeville, M. C. Proprietes magnetiques des diborures de manganese et de chrome: MnB<sub>2</sub> et CrB<sub>2</sub>. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1966**, *27*, 667–670.
- (116) Miyahara, S. Magnetic properties of FeS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>. J. Appl. Phys. **1968**, 39, 896.
- (117) Jin, C. Q.; Zhou, J. S.; Goodenough, J. B.; Liu, Q. Q.; Zhao, J. G.; Yang, L. X.;
  Yu, Y.; Yu, R. C.; Katsura, T.; Shatskiy, A.; Ito, E. High-pressure synthesis of the cubic perovskite BaRuO<sub>3</sub> and evolution of ferromagnetism in ARuO<sub>3</sub> (A = Ca, Sr, Ba) ruthenates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2008, 105, 7115–7119.
- (118) Wolcott, N. M.; Falge, R. L. Ferromagnetism of CrBe<sub>12</sub>. *Phys. Rev.* **1968**, *171*, 591–595.
- (119) Bainsla, L.; Suresh, K. G. Spin polarization studies in half-metallic  $Co_2TiX$  (X = Ge and Sn) Heusler alloys. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* **2016**, *16*, 68–72.
- (120) van Engen, P. G.; Buschow, K. H. J.; Erman, M. Magnetic properties and magnetooptical spectroscopy of Heusler alloys based on transition metals and Sn. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 1983, 30, 374–382.
- (121) Terada, M.; Endo, K.; Fujita, Y.; Kimura, R. Magnetic Properties of C1<sub>b</sub> Compounds;
  CoVSb, CoTiSb and NiTiSb. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1972**, *32*, 91–94.
- (122) Kouacou, M. A.; Pierre, J.; Skolozdra, R. V. Semiconductor-metal transition and the onset of itinerant ferromagnetism in the Heusler phases TiCoSn-TiCoSb. J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 1995, 7, 7373–7385.
- (123) Pal, L.; Gupta, S.; Suresh, K. Structural and magnetic properties of  $\text{Co}_2\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{Al}$ ( $0 \le x \le 0.5$ ) Alloys. AIP Conf. Proc. 2014; pp 1526–1528.

- (124) Takahashi, Y. On the origin of the Curie-Weiss law of the magnetic susceptibility in itinerant electron ferromagnetism. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *55*, 3553–3573.
- (125) Takei, W. J.; Cox, D. E.; Shirane, G. Magnetic structures in the MnSb-CrSb system. *Phys. Rev.* **1963**, *129*, 2008–2018.
- (126) Velge, W. A. J. J. Magnetic and crystallographic properties of some rare earth cobalt compounds with CaZn<sub>5</sub> Structure. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1968**, *39*, 1717.
- (127) Fruchart, R.; Roger, A.; Senateur, R. A. Crystallographic and magnetic properties of solid solutions of the phosphides  $M_2$ P, M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni. J. Appl. Phys. **1969**, 40, 1250.
- (128) Tishin, A. M. Magnetocaloric effect in lanthanide materials. J. Alloys Compd. 1997, 250, 635–641.
- (129) Mandal, K.; Yan, A.; Kerschl, P.; Handstein, A.; Gutfleisch, O.; Müller, K. H. The study of magnetocaloric effect in  $R_2$ Fe<sub>17</sub> (R = Y, Pr) alloys. *J. Phys. D-Applied Phys.* **2004**, *37*, 2628–2631.
- (130) Singh, S.; Caron, L.; D'Souza, S. W.; Fichtner, T.; Porcari, G.; Fabbrici, S.; Shekhar, C.; Chadov, S.; Solzi, M.; Felser, C. Large magnetization and reversible magnetocaloric effect at the second-order magnetic transition in Heusler materials. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 3321–3325.
- (131) Arrott, A.; Noakes, J. E. Approximate equation of state for nickel near its critical temperature. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1967**, *19*, 786–789.
- (132) Hill, J.; Mulholland, G.; Persson, K.; Seshadri, R.; Wolverton, C.; Meredig, B. Materials science with large-scale data and informatics: Unlocking new opportunities. *MRS Bull.* **2016**, *41*, 399–409.

- (133) Gutfleisch, O.; Gottschall, T.; Fries, M.; Benke, D.; Radulov, I.; Skokov, K. P.; Wende, H.; Gruner, M.; Acet, M.; Entel, P.; Farle, M. Mastering hysteresis in magnetocaloric materials. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2016, 374, 20150308.
- (134) Ward, L.; Wolverton, C. Atomistic calculations and materials informatics: A review. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2016**, In press.
- (135) Jain, A.; Hautier, G.; Ong, S. P.; Persson, K. New opportunities for materials informatics: Resources and data mining techniques for uncovering hidden relationships. *J. Mater. Res.* 2016, *31*, 977–994.
- (136) Kalidindi, S. R.; De Graef, M. Materials data science: Current status and future Outlook. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **2015**, *45*, 171–193.
- (137) Kalidindi, S. R.; Brough, D. B.; Li, S.; Cecen, A.; Blekh, A. L.; Congo, F. Y. P.; Campbell, C. Role of materials data science and informatics in accelerated materials innovation. *MRS Bull.* **2016**, *41*, 596–602.
- (138) Gaultois, M. W.; Sparks, T. D.; Borg, C. K. H.; Seshadri, R.; Bonificio, W. D.; Clarke, D. R. Data-driven review of thermoelectric materials: performance and resource considerations. *Chem. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 2911–2920.
- (139) Xu, Y.; Yamazaki, M.; Villars, P. Inorganic materials database for exploring the nature of material. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *50*, 11RH02.

# **Graphical TOC Entry**



# Supporting information: A Simple Computational Proxy for Screening Magnetocaloric Compounds

Joshua D. Bocarsly,<sup>†,‡</sup> Emily E. Levin,<sup>†,‡</sup> Christina A. C. Garcia,<sup>¶</sup> Kai Schwennicke,<sup>§</sup> Stephen D. Wilson,<sup>‡,†</sup> and Ram Seshadri<sup>\*,‡,†,||</sup>

†Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

‡Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

Physics Program, College of Creative Studies, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

§Chemistry Program, College of Creative Studies, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United States

||Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Santa Barbara, California 93106, United

States

E-mail: seshadri@mrl.ucsb.edu

Sample synthesis and  $\Delta S_M(\Delta H, T)$  measurements



Figure S1: Magnetocaloric characterization of Ni foil, Fe<sub>2</sub>P and Heusler MnFe<sub>2</sub>Si *via* magnetic measurements. For Ni and Fe<sub>2</sub>P, the M(T)s were taken at fields of 0.1 T, 0.3 T, 0.5 T, 1 T, 2 T, 3 T, and 5 T. For MnFe<sub>2</sub>Si, the M(T)s were taken at fields of 0.1 T, 0.2 T, 0.5 T, 1 T, 1.5 T, 2 T, and 5 T.

Ni foil (0.125 mm thickness,  $\geq$ 99.9% purity) and Fe<sub>2</sub>P powder (99.5% purity) were obtained from Aldrich.

The Heusler **MnFe<sub>2</sub>Si** was prepared by a rapid assisted microwave synthesis method, which has been shown to be an effective way to synthesize intermetallics.<sup>1</sup> Stoichiometric amounts of Mn, Fe, and Si powders, totaling about 1 g in mass, were weighted and ground together with a mortar and pestle. The powder was pressed into a pellet 6 mm in diameter and sealed in an evacuated silica ampoule which was then placed in an alumina crucible filled with 7 g activated charcoal. The charcoal acts as a microwave susceptor. This crucible was placed in an alumina foam housing, loaded into a 1200 W domestic Panasonic microwave (model NN-SN651B) and heated at 70% power (840 W) for 2.5 minutes and then 90% power (1080 W) for 3 minutes. The resulting compound was annealed in the evacuated ampoule for 5 days at 650°C to promote homogenization of the final product. The sample was confirmed to be the correct phase by laboratory powder X-ray diffraction.



Figure S2: Magnetocaloric characterization of TiNiSi-type MnB and FeB *via* magnetic measurements. For MnB, the M(T)s were taken at fields of 0.02 T, 0.05 T, 0.1 T, 0.3 T, 0.5 T, 1 T, 2 T, 3 T, and 5 T. For FeB, the M(T)s were taken at fields of 0.02 T, 0.06 T, 0.1 T, 0.2 T, 0.5 T, 1 T, 2 T, 3 T, and 5 T.

The compounds **MnB** and **FeB** were prepared by assisted microwave synthesis, using a procedure similar to MnFe<sub>2</sub>Si. Stoichiometric amounts of elemental Mn or Fe and B powders were weighed out, including an extra 2 wt.% B to account for losses during heating. The powders were ground and pressed into 300 mg pellets 6 mm in diameter. Each pellets was sealed in an evacuated fused silica ampoule. The ampoule was placed in a crucible with 6.5 g activated charcoal which had been preheated in the microwave to about 150°C, and this was placed in an alumina foam housing. The samples were microwaved at 70% power (840W) for 3 minutes and allowed to cool in the microwave. Melting of the pellet was not observed. Pellets were annealed in evacuated ampoules at 1100°C for 2 days, followed by air quenching. Weight loss of 1.9% was observed in the FeB sample, which we attribute to loss of boron during the microwave step. Formation of FeB and MnB were confirmed by laboratory powder X-ray diffraction.



Figure S3: Magnetocaloric characterization of half-Heusler MnNiSb and full-Heuslers MnNi<sub>2</sub>Sn and FeRu<sub>2</sub>Sn *via* magnetic measurements. The M(T)s were taken at fields of 0.1 T, 0.3 T, 0.5 T, 1 T, 2 T, 3 T, and 5 T.

Half-Heusler **MnNiSb** and Heusler **MnNi<sub>2</sub>Sn** were similarly prepared using assisted microwave synthesis. The pure elements were ground together and pressed into 1 g pellets and then sealed in evacuated silica ampoules and loaded into the microwaves as described for MnB and FeB. MnNiSb was microwaved at 70% power (840 W) for 2.5 minutes and then annealed in the evacuated ampoule at 650°C for 7 days before air quenching. MnNi<sub>2</sub>Sn was microwaved for 100% power (1200 W) for 3 minutes and then annealed in the evacuated ampoule at 850°C for 4 days before air quenching. The phases were verified by laboratory X-ray diffraction.

FeRu<sub>2</sub>Sn was synthesized as described previously.<sup>2</sup>

# Sample synthesis and structural characterization of MnCoP



Figure S4: Rietveld refinement of the synchrotron XRD pattern of the MnCoP sample. The pattern shows good agreement with the structural model previously reported (TiNiSi-type, Pnma).<sup>3</sup>

**MnCoP** was made by assisted microwave reaction. Stoichiometric amounts of  $Mn_3P_2$ , Co, and red P powders were ground in a mortar and pestle and pressed into a pellet 6 mm in diameter, which was then sealed in an evacuated silica ampoule. The ampoule was placed in an alumina crucible surrounded by 7 g of activated charcoal that had been preheated to 100°C, which acts as a susceptor material in the microwave. This crucible was then placed in a thermally insulating housing made from alumina foam and microwaved at 70% power (840 W) for 2.5 minutes. The silica ampoule was then transferred to a conventional furnace and the sample was annealed at 950°C for 17 hours before quenching in water. The sample was verified to show the *Pnma* TiNiSi-type structure *via* Rietveld refinement of the synchrotron X-ray diffraction pattern (Figure S4).

# Calculation of the magnetic deformation $\Sigma_M$

The following Python functions can be used to calculate the degree of lattice deformation between two unit cells. Tested on Python 2.7.10 with NumPy 1.10.1 and Pymatgen 3.2.10. Information on the pymatgen package can be found at: http://pymatgen.org/.<sup>4</sup>

```
__copyright__ = "Copyright 2017, Joshua D. Bocarsly"
__license__ = "MIT"
from os.path import join as j
import numpy as np
from numpy import linalg as LA
import pymatgen as mg
def magnetic_deformation(nm_struct, m_struct):
   ...
  Calculates the magnetic deformation, which is the degree of lattice
   deformation between an optimized nonmagnetic structure (non spin-polarized)
   and an optimized magnetic structure (spin-polarized).
   nm_struct and m_struct are pymatgen.core.structure.Structure objects
   containing the nonmagnetic optimized cell and the magnetic optimized cell.
   Returns the magnetic deformation expressed as a percentage (float)
   111
   lmn = nm_struct.lattice_vectors().T
   lm = m_struct.lattice_vectors().T
   return degree_of_lattice_deformation(lmn, lm)
def degree_of_lattice_deformation(L1, L2):
  Calculates the degree of lattice deformation between two
   unit cells.
   The unit cells are provided as a 3x3 matrix, with each column containing
   the cartesian representation of one of the lattice vector.
   Returns the degree of lattice deformation as a percentage (float)
   ...
   #calculate the transformation matrix from 1 to 2
   L1_i = LA.inv(L1)
   P = np.dot(L1_i, L2)
   #calculate the lagrangian finite strain tensor, eta
   I = np.identity(3)
   eta = 0.5*(np.dot(P.T,P)-I)
   #calculate the 3 eigenvalues of eta and return the root mean square
   w, v = LA.eig(eta)
   dold = 100*(1./3.)*np.sqrt(w[0]**2 + w[1]**2 + w[2]**2)
   return dold
```

# **Full datasets**

Table S1: Aggregated and computed data for magnetocaloric materials investigated in this study. The Curie temperature  $(T_C)$  and peak isothermal entropy change  $(\Delta S_M)$  columns represent experimental results, either aggregated from literature (top section) or from our own new magnetic measurements (bottom section). The magnetic deformation  $(\Sigma_M)$ , saturation magnetization  $(M_S)$ , percentage volume difference between the magnetic and nonmagnetic cells  $(\Delta V_M)$ , density  $(\rho)$ , energy of spin polarization  $(E_{NM-M})$ , and maximum ionic moment  $(\mu_{max})$ , are from DFT calculations performed in the present study. In each case, the  $\Delta S_M$  is for the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition.

	$T_C$	$\Delta S_M$ (J	$xg^{-1}K^{-1}$ )	ref.	$\Sigma_M$	$M_s$	$\Delta V_M$	ρ	$E_{NM-M}$	$\mu_{\rm max}$
	(K)	$H = 2 \mathrm{T}$	$H = 5 \mathrm{T}$		(%)	(emu/g)	(%)	(g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	(eV/atom)	$(\mu_B)$
MnAs	318	-20	-30	5	3.97	121.5	13.2	6.78	0.21	2.9
MnFeP <sub>2/3</sub> Si <sub>1/3</sub>	250	-16		6	5.02	171.1	7.10	6.67	0.10	2.9
MnFeGe <sub>1/3</sub> P <sub>1/3</sub> Si <sub>1/3</sub>	275	-14	-35	7	6.14	154.7	5.80	7.13	0.22	2.9
MnFeP <sub>1/3</sub> As <sub>2/3</sub>	325	-12	-33	8	5.06	141.7	6.63	7.65	0.20	3.0
MnFeP <sub>2/3</sub> As <sub>1/3</sub>	225	-11	-16	8	3.14	146.0	7.10	7.22	0.11	3.0
CrO <sub>2</sub>	396	-6.8		9	3.09	133.0	3.90	4.83	0.14	2.1
AlFe <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	275	-4.5	-7.5	10	2.12	92.3	1.77	5.85	0.03	1.4
Mn <sub>5</sub> Ge <sub>3</sub>	300	-3.8	-9.4	11	2.33	152.5	11.3	7.49	0.19	3.1
Fe <sub>3</sub> C	475	-3.1		12	1.05	170.2	5.53	7.94	0.08	1.9
LaMnO <sub>3</sub>	300	-3	-7	13	1.43	71.2	7.42	6.55	0.18	2.9
MnCoGe	235	-3	-6	14	1.93	90.7	4.67	8.44	0.17	2.7
$Mn_5PB_2$	302	-2.6	-5	15	1.08	138.0	4.03	7.01	0.07	1.7
Y <sub>2</sub> Fe <sub>17</sub>	300	-2.5	-4.8	16	1.98	187.3	10.4	7.43	0.15	2.6
Mn <sub>3</sub> GaC	250	-2.5	-4.5	17	0.44	95.0	2.31	7.40	0.10	1.8
MnSi	31	-2.2	-3.55	18	0.23	66.9	1.20	5.99	0.03	1.1
$Mn_3Sn_2$	280	-1.9	-3.5	19	2.76	107.3	8.15	7.91	0.13	2.8
MnP	290	-1.8	-3.8	20	0.41	46.9	1.22	6.17	0.01	0.8
Mn <sub>3</sub> AlC	288	-1.6	-3.5	21	0.43	107.0	2.26	6.17	0.09	1.6
MnNi <sub>2</sub> Ga	329	-1.5		22	0.75	93.7	3.93	8.26	0.31	3.4
Fe <sub>5</sub> Si <sub>3</sub>	373	$^{-1}$	-2.8	11	0.96	111.7	3.13	6.70	0.08	1.8
La <sub>2</sub> MnNiO <sub>6</sub>	280	$^{-1}$	-2.1	23	0.27	57.2	1.40	7.00	0.19	3.0
MnFeGe	235	-0.75	-1.6	14	1.42	83.8	3.68	8.36	0.20	2.4
SrRuO <sub>3</sub>	160	-0.6	$^{-2}$	24	0.17	47.3	0.84	6.31	0.02	1.4
Fe <sub>3</sub> GeTe <sub>2</sub>	225		-1.1	25	1.24	70.8	6.12	7.00	0.13	2.4
Ni	627	-1.4	-2.5	this work	0.07	55.6	0.34	9.03	0.06	0.6
Fe <sub>2</sub> P	223	-1.5	-2.9	do	1.23	116.7	3.64	7.15	0.07	2.3
MnB	571	-5.1	-9.4	do	1.72	155.1	3.64	6.58	0.09	1.9
FeB	573	-1.7	-3.2	do	2.17	96.6	1.52	7.00	0.06	1.3
MnNiSb	765	-1.5	$^{-3}$	do	1.61	94.9	8.50	7.60	0.53	3.7
MnNi <sub>2</sub> Sn	350	-1.15	-2.1	-do	0.93	80.0	4.85	8.74	0.36	3.6
FeRu <sub>2</sub> Sn	545	-0.29	-0.57	do	0.67	61.7	3.49	10.4	0.27	3.1
MnFe <sub>2</sub> Si	250	-1.7	-0.7	do	0.39	86.1	2.03	7.46	0.22	2.5
MnCoP	583	-3.1	-6	do	3.03	114.9	5.53	7.13	0.13	2.7

formula	$T_C$	ref.	$\sum_{(07)}$	$M_s$	$\Delta V_M$	$\rho$	$E_{NM-M}$	$\mu_{\rm max}$
	(K)		(%)	(ennu/g)	(%)	(g/ cm°)	(ev/atom)	$(\mu_B)$
Cr <sub>3</sub> Te <sub>4</sub>	317	26	6.09	83.7	22.67	6.40	0.27	3.4
MnCoAs	350	27	4.69	91.7	7.97	8.15	0.20	3.0
Cr <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub>	180	28	3.73	69.3	16.39	6.41	0.32	3.2
MnPtGa	220	29	2.40	65.5	8.22	11.74	0.37	3.7
CrNiAs	182	30	2.31	90.0	7.35	7.64	0.12	3.0
$MnNb_3S_6$	48	31	2.25	45.0	8.42	4.78	0.05	3.9
$Fe_{16}N_2$	810	32	2.17	213.4	11.47	7.73	0.04	2.8
FeCoP	425	27	2.16	78.0	3.28	7.45	0.09	1.8
$Fe_5SiB_2$	784	33	2.14	147.2	5.00	7.05	0.07	2.1
Fe <sub>3</sub> Pd	575	34	2.11	168.8	11.16	8.86	0.27	2.8
Fe <sub>3</sub> Pt	462	35	2.07	127.8	10.96	11.65	0.19	2.8
Fe <sub>4</sub> CoSiB <sub>2</sub>	675	36	2.03	117.9	3.76	7.23	0.05	1.6
Fe <sub>4</sub> N	769	32	1.93	232.0	10.20	7.27	0.26	3.0
Fe <sub>3</sub> N	550	37	1.88	187.8	6.89	7.48	0.16	2.1
$MnGa_2Sb_2$	310	38	1.85	34.7	4.85	6.85	0.06	2.9
Fe <sub>2</sub> CoN	488	39	1.84	155.4	5.90	7.68	0.12	2.2
$MnTa_4S_8$	75	40	1.84	21.7	7.57	7.13	0.05	3.9
CrNiP	140	27	1.84	104.3	5.32	6.70	0.05	2.5
MnGeAs <sub>2</sub>	340	41	1.82	83.6	9.62	4.84	0.18	3.8
$Fe_{23}Y_6$	485	42	1.79	152.8	9.45	6.98	0.15	2.5
Fe <sub>4</sub> MnSiB <sub>2</sub>	770	36	1.79	141.5	4.43	7.00	0.08	2.1
Fe <sub>3</sub> Ge	640	43	1.70	147.8	7.18	8.37	0.22	2.2
Ba <sub>2</sub> MnReO <sub>6</sub>	110	44	1.67	35.8	8.81	7.27	0.12	4.3
MnGe₄	340	45	1.60	25.2	1.10	6.68	0.02	1.8
Sr <sub>3</sub> Ru <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	104	46	1.59	39.7	1.89	5.90	0.01	1.4
MnGeP <sub>2</sub>	320	41	1.58	98.9	8.14	3.93	0.05	3.1
FeaRhN	505	47	1.56	168.9	8.24	8.39	0.17	2.6
MnNb <sub>4</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	100	40	1.53	29.7	6.32	4.72	0.04	3.7
MnPdSb	500	48	1.52	66.3	8.01	8.15	0.23	3.2
FePt	750	49	1.49	72.1	7.84	14.91	0.34	2.9
MnCu <sub>2</sub> Sn	530	50	1 49	72.9	7.83	8 28	0.35	37
Fe <sub>2</sub> P	716	37	1.17	1573	5 76	7 44	0.03	2.2
Mn <sub>o</sub> 7nC	353	51	1.17	161 7	7 56	6 94	0.13	2.2
CuCroTe.	320	52	1.77	101.7 44 3	7.30	6 40	0.14	2.7
Eq. NiN	JZ⊅ J34	30	1.30	126 2	/.2/	0. <del>1</del> 0 7.64	0.33	5.0 2.1
$C_{11}C_{r_{a}}$	204 275	57	1.57	120.3	т./J 715	/.0 <del>4</del> / 12	0.13	2.1 97
$S_{r_2} S_4$	373 120	5∠ ∧∧	1.50	7 <del>7</del> .7 7 0	7.13	4.13 5 56	0.24	2./ 07
$T_2 M_{P} P$	420 700	++ ⊑0	1.33	7.3 66 7	7.11 7.27	2.30 2.00	-0.04	0./ ე1
$1a_1v_{112}D_4$	700 220	53 E 4	1.34		۵.34 ۵ م	0.77 10 05	0.00	ム.1 つの
WIIIPISII	330	54	1.30	55.5	0.82	10.25	0.56	<b>3.</b> 8

Table S2: Experimental Curie temperature  $T_C$  and calculated properties for the ferromagnetic candidate materials investigated in this study.

formula	$T_C$	ref.	$\Sigma_M$	$M_s$	$\Delta V_M$	ρ	$\mathbf{E}_{NM-M}$	$\mu_{\rm max}$
	(K)		(%)	(emu/g)	(%)	$(g/cm^3)$	(eV/atom)	$(\mu_B)$
$MnPd_2Sb$	247	50	1.29	62.0	6.79	9.60	0.51	4.0
$CuCr_2Se_4$	430	55	1.27	59.3	6.69	5.71	0.30	2.9
$CdCr_2S_4$	86	56	1.25	97.2	6.55	4.19	0.38	2.9
Fe <sub>3</sub> Al	400	57	1.23	169.9	6.48	6.88	0.24	2.4
$HgCr_2S_4$	36	58	1.23	77.3	6.47	5.22	0.36	2.9
Fe <sub>3</sub> Y	549	42	1.22	82.4	5.51	7.18	0.10	2.1
$Fe_4MnPB_2$	650	36	1.21	140.7	4.23	7.19	0.08	2.2
MnCu <sub>2</sub> Al	600	50	1.20	94.9	6.29	6.68	0.27	3.4
$Ba_2FeMoO_6$	308	44	1.19	42.8	6.26	6.41	0.10	3.7
$CdCr_2Se_4$	130	56	1.17	63.0	6.14	5.53	0.41	3.0
Sr <sub>2</sub> FeReO <sub>6</sub>	400	44	1.16	32.7	6.07	6.73	0.10	3.7
$HgCr_2Se_4$	106	58	1.13	53.9	5.93	6.39	0.39	3.0
MnCu <sub>2</sub> In	520	50	1.13	69.9	5.91	8.33	0.36	3.6
MnNi <sub>2</sub> Sb	360	50	1.12	75.6	5.86	8.80	0.35	3.6
$CrMn_2B_4$	440	53	1.11	90.9	1.92	6.15	0.04	1.8
CrTa <sub>3</sub> Se <sub>6</sub>	120	31	1.08	16.0	4.08	8.78	0.07	3.1
$WMn_2B_4$	560	53	1.07	58.4	1.26	9.37	0.06	1.8
$MnNi_2Sn$	344	50	1.07	78.7	5.61	8.71	0.35	3.6
$MnPd_2Sn$	189	50	1.03	60.1	5.41	9.73	0.49	3.9
$Fe_7C_3$	523	59	1.02	151.3	3.71	7.86	0.07	2.0
$MoMn_2B_4$	590	53	1.01	78.7	1.54	6.96	0.06	1.8
Fe <sub>2</sub> Zr	600	60	1.01	84.3	5.30	7.80	0.11	2.0
$ScFe_2$	545	61	1.00	94.2	3.80	6.23	0.09	1.8
MnCoSb	478	54	1.00	70.9	5.24	7.98	0.38	3.2
Co <sub>5</sub> Y	921	62	0.98	105.2	4.82	7.72	0.11	1.6
$Fe_5PB_2$	640	36	0.97	143.1	4.94	7.26	0.07	2.0
$Mn_5SiB_2$	628	33	0.94	131.5	3.64	6.83	0.07	1.7
$Fe_5C_2$	519	63	0.93	153.2	4.81	7.83	0.09	2.1
$Fe_2Y$	550	64	0.93	86.0	4.89	7.04	0.17	2.0
Ni <sub>3</sub> Mn	750	32	0.93	119.4	4.88	8.52	0.26	3.2
Mn <sub>3</sub> InC	272	65	0.93	116.0	4.88	7.89	0.13	2.5
CrNb <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	160	31	0.92	32.1	3.73	4.90	0.06	2.8
Ba <sub>2</sub> FeReO <sub>6</sub>	303	44	0.92	27.3	4.82	7.50	0.14	3.7
CrNb <sub>3</sub> Se <sub>6</sub>	105	31	0.92	21.1	3.43	6.57	0.08	3.0
ZrFe <sub>2</sub>	633	66	0.91	83.7	4.79	7.85	0.11	2.0
FeCo <sub>2</sub> Ge	980	67	0.83	126.1	4.35	8.74	0.32	2.9
FeCo <sub>2</sub> Si	1015	67	0.83	148.9	4.34	7.58	0.30	2.8
Fe <sub>3</sub> Ge	740	43	0.82	125.2	4.27	8.60	0.28	2.6
BaFeO <sub>3</sub>	110	68	0.81	83.8	4.25	6.42	0.22	3.0

Table S2: Experimental Curie temperature  $T_C$  and calculated properties for the ferromagnetic candidate materials investigated in this study.

formula	$T_C$	ref.	$\sum_{M}$	$M_s$	$\Delta V_M$	ρ	$\mathbf{E}_{NM-M}$	$\mu_{\rm max}$
	(K)		(%)	(emu/g)	(%)	(g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	(eV/atom)	$(\mu_B)$
Fe <sub>4</sub> CoPB <sub>2</sub>	515	36	0.80	108.6	3.51	7.46	0.05	1.6
Fe <sub>3</sub> Si	600	69	0.78	146.0	4.07	7.42	0.25	2.6
MnCo <sub>2</sub> Si	985	50	0.75	138.9	3.94	7.51	0.36	3.0
MnNi <sub>2</sub> Ga	379	50	0.74	93.7	3.89	8.26	0.31	3.4
FePd <sub>3</sub>	543	35	0.74	63.5	3.88	10.65	0.35	3.3
CoPt	840	70	0.74	49.0	3.12	15.73	0.16	1.9
$Co_2B$	156	71	0.72	83.1	1.02	8.23	0.05	1.0
$La_2MnCoO_6$	230	72	0.69	68.7	3.58	6.82	0.15	2.9
FeNiP	95	27	0.68	45.6	1.46	7.37	0.05	1.4
FeCoAs	300	27	0.68	64.5	2.95	8.51	0.14	2.1
$MnTa_3S_6$	80	31	0.62	12.6	1.27	7.78	0.01	1.8
Co <sub>3</sub> B	474	71	0.61	105.6	2.09	8.35	0.08	1.3
$MnCo_2Sn$	829	50	0.61	96.5	3.17	9.07	0.43	3.2
MnCo <sub>2</sub> Ge	905	50	0.59	113.7	3.07	8.69	0.39	3.1
MnCo <sub>2</sub> Al	697	73	0.46	112.6	2.39	7.21	0.31	2.7
Fe <sub>3</sub> ZnC	368	51	0.46	93.8	2.38	7.60	0.11	1.7
MnCo <sub>2</sub> Ga	694	50	0.45	94.3	2.37	8.67	0.32	2.8
$MnB_2$	157	74	0.42	133.3	1.71	5.63	0.14	1.8
MnAl	650	32	0.41	129.8	1.99	5.35	0.17	1.9
VMn <sub>2</sub> Al	760	73	0.38	59.5	1.97	6.38	0.06	1.4
$CoS_2$	130	75	0.36	45.2	1.86	4.92	0.01	0.9
BaRuO <sub>3</sub>	60	76	0.34	43.3	1.80	7.11	0.02	1.5
CrCo <sub>2</sub> Al	334	73	0.34	85.2	1.79	7.06	0.12	1.6
Fe <sub>3</sub> GaC	510	51	0.34	70.1	1.78	7.80	0.04	1.2
$Sr_2CrMoO_6$	420	44	0.31	26.7	1.60	5.73	0.07	0.5
Sr <sub>2</sub> CrReO <sub>6</sub>	620	44	0.31	10.8	1.59	6.96	0.07	1.1
$CrBe_{12}$	50	77	0.29	47.4	1.06	2.49	0.01	1.7
$VCo_2Sn$	95	73	0.27	57.3	1.42	8.80	0.06	1.1
$Sr_2CrWO_6$	458	44	0.25	22.0	1.31	6.86	0.07	2.3
TiCo <sub>2</sub> Sn	371	78	0.25	39.4	1.30	8.40	0.04	1.1
HfCo <sub>2</sub> Sn	394	79	0.25	26.9	1.28	11.31	0.04	1.1
VCoSb	58	80	0.24	24.1	1.27	7.80	0.04	1.2
$ZrCo_2Sn$	448	79	0.24	34.1	1.26	8.80	0.05	1.1
CrCo <sub>2</sub> Ga	495	73	0.23	70.5	1.22	8.54	0.13	1.6
CoPt <sub>3</sub>	400	70	0.23	25.2	1.19	18.36	0.11	2.0
Mn₃GeC	330	51	0.22	65.1	1.14	7.61	0.08	1.2
TiCoSn	134	81	0.21	9.2	1.10	6.50	0.00	0.6
MnNi <sub>2</sub> In	323	50	0.21	81.3	1.08	8.95	0.36	3.5
TiCo <sub>2</sub> Ge	384	78	0.20	47.2	1.07	7.96	0.04	1.0

Table S2: Experimental Curie temperature  $T_C$  and calculated properties for the ferromagnetic candidate materials investigated in this study.

formula	$T_C$	ref.	$\Sigma_M$	$M_s$	$\Delta V_M$	ρ	$E_{NM-M}$	$\mu_{\rm max}$
	(K)		(%)	(emu/g)	(%)	(g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	(eV/atom)	$(\mu_B)$
VCo <sub>2</sub> Ga	358	82	0.19	46.8	0.99	8.32	0.05	0.9
CrFe <sub>2</sub> Al	210	57	0.18	5.0	0.93	7.00	0.00	0.2
NbCo <sub>2</sub> Sn	105	79	0.13	29.0	0.68	9.32	0.02	0.9
TiCo <sub>2</sub> Al	120	83	0.13	28.8	0.65	6.47	0.01	0.6
$Au_4V$	44	84	0.12	12.7	0.56	16.36	0.06	1.8
MnBi	633	32	0.10	60.4	0.44	11.15	0.08	2.9
Ni <sub>3</sub> Pt	450	70	0.10	34.8	0.51	12.70	0.04	0.8
FePd	760	49	0.09	110.2	0.46	10.48	0.39	2.9
NiPt	200	70	0.09	23.4	-0.46	15.85	0.01	0.7
Ni <sub>3</sub> Al	41.5	84	0.08	22.2	0.40	7.47	0.00	0.3
$WMn_2Sn$	258	73	0.06	0.0	0.33	12.07	0.00	0.0
CrTa <sub>3</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	170	31	0.03	21.7	0.15	7.70	0.04	2.7
MnSb	600	85	0.03	73.0	0.12	8.35	0.00	2.4
$Co_7La_2$	490	86	0.02	2.2	0.03	7.86	0.00	0.4
$Co_3Sn_2S_2$	177	59	0.02	11.6	-0.08	7.23	0.01	0.4
MnPtSb	572	54	0.01	58.2	-0.07	11.20	0.20	3.6
$ZrZn_2$	17	84	0.01	22.1	0.05	7.31	0.02	0.5

Table S2: Experimental Curie temperature  $T_C$  and calculated properties for the ferromagnetic candidate materials investigated in this study.

# References

- (1) Birkel, C. S.; Zeier, W. G.; Douglas, J. E.; Lettiere, B. R.; Mills, C. E.; Seward, G.; Birkel, A.; Snedaker, M. L.; Zhang, Y.; Snyder, G. J.; Pollock, T. M.; Seshadri, R.; Stucky, G. D. Rapid microwave preparation of thermoelectric TiNiSn and TiCoSb half-Heusler compounds. *Chem. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 2558–2565.
- (2) Douglas, J. E.; Levin, E. E.; Pollock, T. M.; Castillo, J. C.; Adler, P.; Felser, C.; Krämer, S.; Page, K. L.; Seshadri, R. Magnetic hardening and antiferromagnetic/ferromagnetic phase coexistence in Mn<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>Sn Heusler solid solutions. *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *94*, 094412.
- (3) Fruchart, R.; Roger, A.; Senateur, R. A. Crystallographic and magnetic properties of solid solutions of the phosphides  $M_2$ P, M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni. J. Appl. Phys. **1969**, 40, 1250.
- (4) Ong, S. P.; Richards, W. D.; Jain, A.; Hautier, G.; Kocher, M.; Cholia, S.; Gunter, D.; Chevrier, V. L.; Persson, K. A.; Ceder, G. Python Materials Genomics (pymatgen): A robust, open-source python library for materials analysis. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2013**, *68*, 314–319.

- (5) Wada, H.; Tanabe, Y. Giant magnetocaloric effect of  $MnAs_{1-x}Sb_x$ . Appl. Phys. Lett. **2001**, *79*, 3302.
- (6) Katagiri, K.; Nakamura, K.; Wada, H. Magnetocaloric properties and magnetic refrigerant capacity of MnFeP<sub>1-x</sub>Si<sub>x</sub>. *J. Alloys Compd.* 286–290.
- (7) Thanh, D. T. C.; BrüÂĹck, E.; Tegus, O.; J. C. P., K.; Gortenmulder, T. J.; Buschow, K. H. J. Magnetocaloric effect in MnFe(P,Si,Ge) compounds. J. Appl. Phys. 2006, 99, 08Q107.
- (8) Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Zhang, L.; Dagula,; Buschow, K. H. J.; de Boer, F. R. Magneticphase transitions and magnetocaloric effects. *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **2002**, *319*, 174–192.
- (9) Zhang, X.; Chen, Y.; Lü, L.; Li, Z. A potential oxide for magnetic refrigeration application: CrO<sub>2</sub> particles. *J. Phys.: Condensed Matter* **2006**, *18*, L559–L566.
- (10) Tan, X.; Chai, P.; Thompson, C. M.; Shatruk, M. Magnetocaloric effect in AlFe<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub>: toward Magnetic refrigerants from earth-abundant elements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 9553–9557.
- (11) Songlin,; Dagula,; Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Klaasse, J. C. P.; de Boer, F.; Buschow, K. Magnetic phase transition and magnetocaloric effect in Mn<sub>5-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>. *J. Alloys Compd.* 2002, *334*, 249–252.
- (12) Kaeswurm, B.; Friemert, K.; Gürsoy, M.; Skokov, K. P.; Gutfleisch, O. Direct measurement of the magnetocaloric effect in cementite. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2016, 410, 105–108.
- (13) Phan, M.-H.; Yu, S.-C. Review of the magnetocaloric effect in manganite materials. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2007**, *308*, 325–340.
- (14) Lin, S.; Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Dagula, W.; Gortenmulder, T.; Buschow, K. Structural and magnetic properties of  $MnFe_{1-x}Co_xGe$  Compounds. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2006**, *42*, 3776–3778.
- (15) Xie, Z. G.; Geng, D. Y.; Zhang, Z. D. Reversible room-temperature magnetocaloric effect in Mn<sub>5</sub>PB<sub>2</sub>. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *97*, 202504.
- (16) Llamazares, J. S.; Álvarez-Alonso, P.; Sánchez-Valdés, C.; Ibarra-Gaytán, P. J.; Blanco, J. A.; Gorria, P. Investigating the magnetic entropy change in single-phase Y<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>17</sub> melt-spun ribbons. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* **2016**, *16*, 963–968.
- (17) Tong, P.; Wang, B.-S.; Sun, Y.-P. Mn-based antiperovskite functional materials: Review of research. *Chin. Phys. B.* **2013**, *22*, 067501.
- (18) Arora, P.; Chattopadhyay, M. K.; Roy, S. B. Magnetocaloric effect in MnSi. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, *91*, 062508.

- (19) Recour, Q.; Mazet, T.; Malaman, B. Magnetocaloric properties of Mn<sub>3</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub> from heat capacity measurements. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2009**, *105*, 033905.
- (20) Booth, R. A.; Majetich, S. A. Crystallographic orientation and the magnetocaloric effect in MnP. J. Appl. Phys. **2009**, 105, 07A926.
- (21) Tong, P.; Wang, B.-S.; Sun, Y.-P. Mn-based antiperovskite functional materials: Review of research. *Chin. Phys. B.* **2013**, *22*, 067501.
- (22) Tegus, O.; Brück, E.; Zhang, L.; Dagula,; Buschow, K. H. J.; de Boer, F. R. Magneticphase transitions and magnetocaloric effects. *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **2002**, *319*, 174–192.
- (23) Balli, M.; Fournier, P.; Jandl, S.; Gospodinov, M. M. A study of the phase transition and magnetocaloric effect in multiferroic La<sub>2</sub>MnNiO<sub>6</sub> single crystals. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2014**, *115*, 173904.
- (24) Zhang, X.-Y.; Chen, Y.; Li, Z.-Y. Magnetocaloric effect in 4d itinerant ferromagnet SrRuO<sub>3</sub>. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2008**, *459*, 51–54.
- (25) Verchenko, V. Y.; Tsirlin, A. A.; Sobolev, A. V.; Presniakov, I. A.; Shevelkov, A. V. Ferromagnetic order, strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy, and magnetocaloric effect in the layered telluride Fe<sub>3-δ</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 8598–8607.
- (26) Akram, M.; Nazar, F. M. Magnetic properties of CrTe, Cr<sub>23</sub>Te<sub>24</sub>, Cr<sub>7</sub>Te<sub>8</sub>, Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>, and Cr<sub>3</sub>Te<sub>4</sub> compounds. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1983**, *18*, 423–429.
- (27) Nylund, M. A.; Roger, M. M. A.; Sénateur, J. P.; Fruchart, R. Evolution structurale des phosphures, arséniures et arséniophosphures M<sub>2</sub>P, M<sub>2</sub>As et M<sub>2</sub>(P<sub>1-x</sub>As<sub>x</sub>). J. Solid State Chem. **1972**, 4, 115–122.
- (28) Yuzuri, M.; Kanomata, T.; Kaneko, T. The pressure effect on the Curie temperature and exchange striction of Cr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **1987**, *70*, 223–224.
- (29) Buschow, K. H. J.; Mooij, D. B. D. Crystal structure and magnetic properties of PtMnGa and PtMnAl. *J. Less Common Met.* **1984**, *99*, 125–130.
- (30) Bacmann, M.; Fruchart, D.; Koumina, A.; Wolfers, P. Low temperature magnetic structure of CrNiAs. *Mater. Sci. Forum* **2004**, *443-444*, 379–382.
- (31) Hulliger, F.; Pobitschka, E. On the magnetic behavior of new 2H-NbS<sub>2</sub>-type derivatives. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1970**, *1*, 117–119.
- (32) Coey, J. M. D. *Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*; Magnetism and Magnetic Materials; Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- (33) R, W.; Ericsson, T.; Häggström, L.; Andersson, Y. Magnetic properties of Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> and related compounds. *J. Phys. Colloq.* **1976**, *37*, C6–591–C6–593.

- (34) Cui, J.; James, R. D. Study of Fe<sub>3</sub>Pd and related alloys for ferromagnetic shape memory. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **2001**, *37*, 2675–2677.
- (35) Seeger, M.; Kronmüller, H. The magnetic phase transition in ordered and disordered ferromagnets. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **1989**, *78*, 393–402.
- (36) McGuire, M. A.; Parker, D. S. Magnetic and structural properties of ferromagnetic Fe<sub>5</sub>PB<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>5</sub>SiB<sub>2</sub> and effects of Co and Mn substitutions. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2015**, *118*, 163903.
- (37) Gambino, R. J. Magnetic properties of the iron-group metal phosphides. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1967**, *38*, 1253.
- (38) Sakakibara, W.; Hayashi, Y.; Takizawa, H. MnGa<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>, a new ferromagnetic compound synthesized under high pressure. *J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn.* **2009**, *117*, 72–75.
- (39) Guo, K.; Rau, D.; Toffoletti, L.; Müller, C.; Burkhardt, U.; Schnelle, W.; Niewa, R.; Schwarz, U. Ternary metastable nitrides  $\epsilon$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>*TMN* (*TM* = Co, Ni): High-pressure, high-temperature synthesis, crystal structure, thermal stability, and magnetic properties. *Chem. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 4600–4606.
- (40) Ōnuki, Y.; Ina, K.; Hirai, T.; Komatsubara, T. Magnetic properties of intercalation compound: Mn<sub>1/4</sub>*MX*<sub>2</sub>. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *55*, 347–356.
- (41) Cho, S.; Choi, S.; Cha, G.-B.; Hong, S. C.; Kim, Y.; Freeman, A. J.; Ketterson, J. B.; Park, Y.; Park, H.-M. Synthesis of new pure ferromagnetic semiconductors: MnGeP<sub>2</sub> and MnGeAs<sub>2</sub>. *Solid State Commun.* **2004**, *129*, 609–613.
- (42) Buschow, K. H. J. Hydrogen absorption and its effect on the magnetic properties of rare-earth iron intermetallics. *Solid State Commun.* **1976**, *19*, 421–423.
- (43) Drijver, J. W.; Sinnema, S. G.; van der Woude, F. Magnetic properties of hexagonal and cubic Fe<sub>3</sub>Ge. *J. Phys. F.* **1976**, *6*, 2165–2177.
- (44) Serrate, D.; Teresa, J. M. D.; Ibarra, M. R. Double perovskites with ferromagnetism above room temperature. *J. Phys.: Condensed Matter* **2006**, *19*, 023201.
- (45) Takizawa, H.; Sato, T.; Endo, T.; Shimada, M. High pressure synthesis and electrical and magnetic properties of MnGe<sub>4</sub> and CoGe<sub>4</sub>. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1990**, *88*, 384–390.
- (46) Cao, G.; McCall, S.; Crow, J. E. Observation of itinerant ferromagnetism in layered Sr<sub>3</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> single crystals. *Phys. Rev. B.* **1997**, *55*, R672–R675.
- (47) Houben, A.; Müller, P.; von Appen, J.; Lueken, H.; Niewa, R.; Dronskowski, R. Synthesis, crystal structure, and magnetic properties of the semihard Itinerant Ferromagnet RhFe<sub>3</sub>N. *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 7212–7215.
- (48) Endo, K. Magnetic studies of C1<sub>b</sub>-Compounds CuMnSb, PdMnSb and Cu<sub>1-x</sub>(Ni or Pd)<sub>x</sub>MnSb. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1970**, *29*, 643–649.

- (49) Klemmer, T.; Hoydick, D.; Okumura, H.; Zhang, B.; Soffa, W. A. Magnetic hardening and coercivity mechanisms in L1<sub>0</sub> ordered FePd ferromagnets. *Scripta Metal. Mater.* 1995, *33*, 1793–1805.
- (50) Webster, P. J. Heusler alloys. Contemp. Phys. 1969, 10, 559–577.
- (51) Grandjean, F.; Gerard, A. Study by Mossbauer spectroscopy of the series of perovskite carbides M<sub>3</sub>M'C with M=Fe or Mn, and M'=Al, Ga, Ge, Zn, Sn. J. Phys. F. 1976, 6, 451–467.
- (52) Miyatani, K.; Wada, Y.; Okamoto, F. Magnetic properties of single crystal chalcogenide spinels;  $CuCr_2X_3Y$  (X = S, Se and Te, Y = Cl, Br and I) system. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1968**, *25*, 369–372.
- (53) Iga, A.; Tawara, Y. Magnetic properties of molybdenum- and wolfram-Modified Mn<sub>3</sub>B<sub>4</sub>. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1968**, *24*, 28–35.
- (54) Irkhin, V. Y.; Katsnel'son, M. I. Half-metallic ferromagnets. *Phys. Uspekhi* **1994**, *37*, 659–676.
- (55) Nakatani, I.; Nosé, H.; Masumoto, K. Magnetic properties of CuCr<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>4</sub> single crystals. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1978**, *39*, 743–749.
- (56) Menyuk, N. Ferromagnetism in CdCr<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>4</sub> and CdCr<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>. J. Appl. Phys. **1966**, 37, 1387.
- (57) Shull, R. D.; Okamoto, H.; Beck, P. A. Transition from ferromagnetism to mictomagnetism in Fe-Al alloys. *Solid State Commun.* **1976**, *20*, 863–868.
- (58) Wojtowicz, P. Semiconducting ferromagnetic spinels. IEEE Trans. Magn. 1969, 5, 840–848.
- (59) Tsuzuki, A.; Sago, S.; Hirano, S. I.; Naka, S. High temperature and pressure preparation and properties of iron carbides Fe<sub>7</sub>C<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>C. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1984**, *19*, 2513–2518.
- (60) Mattern, N.; Zhang, W. X.; Roth, S.; Reuther, H.; Baehtz, C.; Richter, M. Structural and magnetic properties of non-stoichiometric Fe<sub>2</sub>Zr. *J. Phys.: Condensed Matter* 2007, 19, 376202.
- (61) Sankar, S. G.; Wallace, W. E. Magnetic properties of ScFe<sub>2</sub>. AIP Conf. Proc. 1976.
- (62) Chikazumi, S. Physics of Ferromagnetism 2e; Int. Ser. Monogr. Phys.; OUP Oxford, 2009.
- (63) Zhang, Z.-D.; Kershaw, R.; Dwight, K.; Wold, A. Preparation and characterization of nickel substituted Fe<sub>5</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1986**, *21*, 979–983.
- (64) Buschow, K. H. J.; van Diepen, A. M. Effect of hydrogen absorption on the magnetic properties of YFe<sub>2</sub> and GdFe<sub>2</sub>. *Solid State Commun.* **1976**, *19*, 79–81.

- (65) Kanomata, T.; Kaneko, T.; Nakagawa, Y. Magnetic properties of the intermetallic compound Mn<sub>3</sub>InC. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 451–454.
- (66) Marei, S. A.; Craig, R. S.; Wallace, W. E.; Tsuchida, T. Magnetic characteristics of some Laves phase systems containing Fe and Mn. J. Less Common Met. 1967, 13, 391–398.
- (67) Deka, B.; Kundu, A.; Ghosh, S.; Srinivasan, A. Experimental and *ab initio* studies on sub-lattice ordering and magnetism in Co<sub>2</sub>Fe(Ge<sub>1-x</sub>Si<sub>x</sub>) alloys. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2015**, *118*, 133906.
- (68) Hayashi, N.; Yamamoto, T.; Kageyama, H.; Nishi, M.; Watanabe, Y.; Kawakami, T.; Matsushita, Y.; Fujimori, A.; Takano, M. BaFeO<sub>3</sub>: A ferromagnetic iron oxide. *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 12547–12550.
- (69) Shinjo, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Shikazono, N. Magnetic study of Fe<sub>3</sub>Si and Fe<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> by Mössbauer effect. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1963**, *18*, 797–801.
- (70) Cadeville, M. C.; Dahmani, C. E.; Kern, F. Magnetism and spatial order in Ni-Pt and Co-Pt alloys. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **1986**, 54-57, 1055–1056.
- (71) Zhurakovskii, E. A.; Shashkina, T. B.; Kotlyar, V. I. X-ray absorption spectra of cobalt in ferromagnetic borides. *Soviet Phys. J.* **1970**, *13*, 14–17.
- (72) Barón-González, A. J.; Frontera, C.; García-Muñoz, J. L.; Blasco, J.; Ritter, C. Cation order and structural transition in La<sub>2</sub>MnCoO<sub>6</sub>. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2011**, *325*, 012007.
- (73) Kübler, J.; Fecher, G. H.; Felser, C. Understanding the trend in the Curie temperatures of Co<sub>2</sub>-based Heusler compounds: *Ab initio* calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **2007**, *76*.
- (74) Cadeville, M. C. Proprietes magnetiques des diborures de manganese et de chrome: MnB<sub>2</sub> et CrB<sub>2</sub>. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1966**, *27*, 667–670.
- (75) Miyahara, S. Magnetic properties of FeS<sub>2</sub> and CoS<sub>2</sub>. J. Appl. Phys. **1968**, 39, 896.
- (76) Jin, C. Q.; Zhou, J. S.; Goodenough, J. B.; Liu, Q. Q.; Zhao, J. G.; Yang, L. X.; Yu, Y.; Yu, R. C.; Katsura, T.; Shatskiy, A.; Ito, E. High-pressure synthesis of the cubic perovskite BaRuO<sub>3</sub> and evolution of ferromagnetism in *A*RuO<sub>3</sub> (*A* = Ca, Sr, Ba) ruthenates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2008**, *105*, 7115–7119.
- (77) Wolcott, N. M.; Falge, R. L. Ferromagnetism of CrBe<sub>12</sub>. *Phys. Rev.* **1968**, *171*, 591–595.
- (78) Bainsla, L.; Suresh, K. G. Spin polarization studies in half-metallic  $Co_2TiX$  (X = Ge and Sn) Heusler alloys. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* **2016**, *16*, 68–72.
- (79) van Engen, P. G.; Buschow, K. H. J.; Erman, M. Magnetic properties and magnetooptical spectroscopy of Heusler alloys based on transition metals and Sn. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 1983, 30, 374–382.

- (80) Terada, M.; Endo, K.; Fujita, Y.; Kimura, R. Magnetic Properties of C1<sub>b</sub> Compounds; CoVSb, CoTiSb and NiTiSb. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1972**, *32*, 91–94.
- (81) Kouacou, M. A.; Pierre, J.; Skolozdra, R. V. Semiconductor-metal transition and the onset of itinerant ferromagnetism in the Heusler phases TiCoSn-TiCoSb. J. Phys.: Condensed Matter 1995, 7, 7373–7385.
- (82) Nishihara, H.; Furutani, Y.; Wada, T.; Kanomata, T.; Kobayashi, K.; Kainuma, R.; Ishida, K.; Yamauchi, T. Magnetization process near the Curie temperature of a ferromagnetic Heusler Alloy Co<sub>2</sub>VGa. *J. Supercond. Novel Magn.* **2010**, *24*, 679–681.
- (83) Pal, L.; Gupta, S.; Suresh, K. Structural and magnetic properties of  $\text{Co}_2\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{Al}$  (0  $\leq x \leq 0.5$ ) Alloys. AIP Conf. Proc. 2014; pp 1526–1528.
- (84) Takahashi, Y. On the origin of the Curie-Weiss law of the magnetic susceptibility in itinerant electron ferromagnetism. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **1986**, *55*, 3553–3573.
- (85) Takei, W. J.; Cox, D. E.; Shirane, G. Magnetic structures in the MnSb-CrSb system. *Phys. Rev.* **1963**, *129*, 2008–2018.
- (86) Velge, W. A. J. J. Magnetic and crystallographic properties of some rare earth cobalt compounds with CaZn<sub>5</sub> Structure. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1968**, *39*, 1717.