UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Decreased functional connectivity is associated with increased levels of Cerebral Spinal Fluid soluble-PDGFR β , a marker of blood brain barrier breakdown, in older adults.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9546f52n

Journal Brain Imaging and Behavior, 18(6)

Authors

Contreras, Joey Fujisaki, Kimiko Ortega, Nancy <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024-12-01

DOI

10.1007/s11682-024-00912-8

Peer reviewed

RESEARCH



Decreased functional connectivity is associated with increased levels of Cerebral Spinal Fluid soluble-PDGFRβ, a marker of blood brain barrier breakdown, in older adults

Joey A. Contreras¹ · Kimiko Fujisaki² · Nancy E. Ortega¹ · Giuseppe Barisano³ · Abhay Sagare³ · Ioannis Pappas² · Helena Chui⁴ · John M. Ringman⁴ · Elizabeth B. Joe⁴ · Berislav V. Zlokovic³ · Arthur W. Toga² · Judy Pa¹

Accepted: 12 August 2024 / Published online: 10 September 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Resting-state functional connectivity (FC) is suggested to be cross-sectionally associated with both vascular burden and Alzheimer's disease (AD) pathology. For instance, studies in pre-clinical AD subjects have shown increases of cerebral spinal fluid soluble platelet-derived growth factor receptor- β (CSF sPDGFR β , a marker of BBB breakdown) but have not demonstrated if this vascular impairment affects neuronal dysfunction. It's possible that increased levels of sPDGFR β in the CSF may correlate with impaired FC in metabolically demanding brain regions (i.e. Default Mode Network, DMN). Our study aimed to investigate the relationship between these two markers in older individuals that were cognitively normal and had cognitive impairment. Eighty-nine older adults without dementia from the University of Southern California were selected from a larger cohort. Region of interest (ROI) to ROI analyses were conducted using DMN seed regions. Linear regression models measured significant associations between BOLD FC strength among seed-target regions and sPDGFR β values, while covarying for age and sex. Comparison of a composite ROI created by averaging FC values between seed and all target regions among cognitively normal and impaired individuals was also examined. Using CSF sPDGFR β as a biomarker of BBB breakdown, we report that increased breakdown correlated with decreased functional connectivity in DMN areas, specifically the PCC, and while the hippocampus exhibited an interaction effect using CDR score, this was an exploratory analysis that we feel can lead to further research. Ultimately, we found that BBB breakdown, as measured by CSF sPDGFR β , is associated with neural networks, and decreased functional connections.

Keywords Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI) \cdot Default mode network (DMN) \cdot BBB breakdown \cdot Soluble platelet-derived growth factor receptor- β (sPDGFR β) \cdot Cognitive impairment

Introduction

Although beta-amyloid and phosphorylated tau are the characteristic neuropathological hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease (AD), cerebrovascular dysfunction and vascular pathology have been reported to play an important role in the onset and progression of AD. This is the premise of the two-hit vascular hypothesis for AD (Zlokovic, 2011). The first hit proposes an initial insult that damages blood vessels, leading to blood–brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction. The subsequent hit is the resulting diminished brain perfusion,

which increases AD pathology in the brain and ultimately leads to neuronal loss.

Patients with AD also show disruptions in functional connectivity, especially within default mode network (DMN) regions (Jones et al., 2011). The DMN is a collection of brain regions that exhibit synchronized low-frequency blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) activity, measured using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI). Although these brain regions are spatially segregated, they are intrinsically coactivated and deactivated over time and are considered functionally connected under taskfree conditions. The DMN consists of the bilateral parietal lobes, posterior cingulate cortex, medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC), and hippocampi (Raichle et al., 2001).

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Decreased functional connectivity among DMN regions has been associated with the neurodegeneration and cognitive decline related to AD (Staffaroni et al., 2018; Hedden et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2010), possibly due to the DMN's functional role in autobiographical memory, future thinking, and introspection (Buckner and Carroll, 2007; Buckner et al., 2008).

Although beta-amyloid and phosphorylated tau are the characteristic neuropathological hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease, cerebrovascular dysfunction and vascular pathology have been reported to serve an important role in the onset and progression of AD. This is the premise of the two-hit vascular hypothesis for AD (Zlokovic, 2011), such that the first hit proposes an initial insult that causes damage to blood vessels leading to BBB dysfunction. Then, the subsequent hit is the resulting diminished brain perfusion which leads to increased AD pathology in the brain and ultimate neuronal loss. Patients with AD also show disruptions in functional connectivity, especially within DMN regions (Jones et al., 2011). The DMN is a collection of brain regions that exhibit synchronized low temporal frequency blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) activity measured using resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI). Although the brain regions are spatially segregated, they are intrinsically coactivated and deactivated across time and considered to be functionally connected under task free conditions. The DMN consists of the bilateral parietal lobes, posterior cingulate cortex, medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC), and hippocampi (Raichle et al., 2001). Decreased functional connectivity among DMN regions has been associated with the neurodegeneration and cognitive decline related to AD (Staffaroni et al., 2018; Hedden et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2010), possibly for the DMN's functional role in autobiographic memory, future thinking, and introspection (Buckner and Carroll, 2007; Buckner et al., 2008).

The BBB plays a crucial role in regulating the composition of the neuronal internal milieu, which is essential for proper neuronal and synaptic functioning (Sagare et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015). Notably, BBB breakdown has been proposed as an early biomarker for Alzheimer's disease (AD), independent of amyloid and tau. For instance, BBB breakdown has been observed in DMN brain regions among individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (Montagne et al., 2016; Nation et al., 2019; Barisano et al., 2022; Hussain et al., 2021). Using dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI), increased BBB permeability was observed in the hippocampi of individuals with very early cognitive impairment compared to age-matched cognitively unimpaired older adults (Montagne et al., 2016). This finding correlated with increased levels of soluble platelet-derived growth factor receptor- β (sPDGFR β), suggesting that cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) sPDGFRβ may be a biomarker of BBB breakdown via pericyte injury.

Since regions within the DMN appear to function as connectivity 'hubs' and as sites of pathological insults in AD, it is noteworthy that the connections between DMN functional connectivity and BBB breakdown have not been explored despite their relevance to AD risk and pathogenesis. Our study aimed to investigate the correlation between vascular and functional activity changes in individuals with no cognitive impairment and early cognitive impairment. We hypothesized that those with early cognitive impairment would show a significant interaction between sPDGFR β levels and functional connectivity values. Ultimately, this study evaluated the association between CSF sPDGFR β and DMN functional connectivity to better characterize the link between these two early indicators of AD risk.

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited through the University of Southern California Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (ADRC) in Los Angeles, CA. The study and procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board indicating compliance with all ethical regulations, and informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to study enrollment. All participants underwent neurological and neuropsychological evaluations performed using the Uniform Data Set (UDS) and additional neuropsychological tests, as described below. Eighty-nine, mostly white participants were included based on availability of T1-weighted MPRAGE scan, rsfMRI scan, Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score, and CSF sPDGFR β biomarker data that was collected within 90 days of the MRI scan date. All biomarker assays and quantitative MRI scans were conducted by investigators blinded to the clinical status of the participant.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Individuals were eligible for inclusion if they were aged 45 or above, displayed either normal cognitive function or early cognitive dysfunction, and had no existing or previous neurological or psychiatric disorders that could account for any identified cognitive decline. These disorders included organ failure, brain tumors, epilepsy, hydrocephalus, schizophrenia, major depression, Parkinson's disease, Lewy body dementia or frontotemporal dementia. Participants could not have current contraindications to MRI and use medications that might explain any observed cognitive impairment.

Clinical dementia rating (CDR)

Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) assessments followed the standardized process for CDR interviews. All participants underwent a comprehensive clinical interview, which included their medical history as well as a physical examination. Additionally, individuals with relevant knowledge were also interviewed. For the study's classification, participants with a CDR score of 0 were designated as having no cognitive impairment, while those with a score higher than 0 were classified as experiencing cognitive impairment (Khan, 2016; Mendez, 2022).

Lumbar puncture and molecular biomarkers in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) assays

Participants underwent lumbar puncture in the morning after an overnight fast. CSF was collected in polypropylene tubes, processed (centrifuged at 2000; relative centrifugal force (RCF) for 10 min at 4 °C), aliquoted into polypropylene tubes and stored at -80 °C until the time of assay.

APOE genotyping

APOE genotyping was performed as described in Nation et al. (2019). Participants with at least one copy of the E4 allele were considered APOE4 carriers. There were no E4 homozygous carriers.

Quantitative western blotting of sPDGFRß

Quantitative Western blot analysis was used to determine CSF levels of sPDGFR β in human CSF (ng/mL). Standard curves were generated using recombinant human PDGFR β (Cat. No. 385-PR-100/CF, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) (as described in Nation et al., 2019).

MRI data acquisition, preprocessing and analysis

All images were obtained on a Siemens TrioTim 3 T scanner using a 20-channel head coil. Anatomical T1-weighted 3D gradient echo pulse sequence scans were acquired with the following parameters: flip angle = 8°, TR/TE = 2400/3.16 ms, FOV 256 × 256 mm, voxel size was $1.1 \times 1.1 \times 1.2 \text{ mm}^3$ isotropic, length of scan = 7.04 min. rsfMRI scans were acquired eyes closed with the following parameters: flip angle 90°, TR/TE = 2200/27 ms, FOV 384 × 384 mm, voxel size: 4 mm³ isotropic, scan time = 6.01 min.

rsfMRI images were preprocessed using the CONNtoolbox v20a (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012) in SPM12 for data analysis. The preprocessing pipeline of the functional images consisted of motion correction, co-registration to structural images, spatial normalization to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template, smoothing with a 5 mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel, and band-pass filtering of 0.009–0.1 Hz. After preprocessing, the CompCor strategy (Behzadi et al., 2007) was implemented to account for white matter and CSF noise using principal component analysis. The analyses did not include global signal regression to avoid potential false anticorrelations (Murphy et al., 2009). Motion parameters, cerebrospinal fluid, and white matter were included in the model and considered as variables of no interest. The mean BOLD signal time course was then extracted from every ROI predefined by the Harvard–Oxford atlas and resting state networks as defined by Shen and colleagues.

(Shen et al., 2013), except for cerebellar and primary sensory areas. The total number of ROIs included in this analysis was, therefore, 138. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated for all pairwise comparisons between ROIs making this an ROI-to-ROI, seed-based analysis.

Analysis of sPDGFRβ with resting-state functional connectivity using FDR corrected p-value

Linear regression was used to compute the correlation between all seed and target ROIs functional connectivity (FC) strength and sPDGFR β . DMN regions of bilateral parietal lobes, posterior cingulate cortex, medial prefrontal cortex, and hippocampi were used as seed regions (Fig. 1). Age and sex were included as model covariates with false discovery rate (FDR) corrected p-value set at 0.05 using the five above mentioned DMN seed regions.



Fig. 1 Seed Regions. Default mode network brain regions were selected as seed regions which consisted of medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), bilateral parietal brain regions (LP-left, LP-right), and bilateral hippocampi regions

Exploratory analysis of sPDGFR β with resting-state functional connectivity using uncorrected p-value of 0.05

Additionally, an uncorrected p-value at 0.05 was also used for an exploratory analysis limiting the number of target ROIs (see supplemental material, Table 1 for complete list of target ROIs used). For these exploratory analyses, a composite ROI was created by averaging the functional connectivity across all DMN seed regions and target regions. This approach revealed other potentially meaningful patterns that could be considered in future analyses.

Multiple regression analysis using CDR as an interaction term

To understand how cognitive status (cognitively unimpaired vs. cognitively impaired) affected the relationship between sPDGFR β values and resting-state functional connectivity, all data were plotted to visualize differences between cognitively normal individuals (colored in grey) versus those with mild cognitive impairment (colored in orange), Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5 and an interaction analysis was done to determine if there was a difference in the relationship between sPDGFR β (y variable) with Resting-State Functional Connectivity (x-variable) and using CDR as the interaction term.

Results

Demographic characteristics of the cohort

A total of 89 participants (67 cognitively unimpaired, 22 cognitively impaired individuals) were included in this study. Of the total sample, 41 participants were male and 41 were *APOE*4 carriers. Between cognitively unimpaired and impaired individuals, there was no difference in age, or CSF marker sPDGFR β values. Results are summarized in Table 1.

sPDGFRβ values negatively correlated with resting-state functional connectivity using PCC as seed region

Using the DMN seed region posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), a significant negative correlation was found between sPDGFR β and functional connectivity values. Specifically, the functional connectivity between PCC and both the left inferior frontal (R²=0.13, *t*(85)=-3.59, CI 95% [-2.1×10^-4, 6×10^-5], p=0.047) and posterior cingulate gyrus (R²=0.135, *t*(85)=-3.52, CI 95% -2×10^-4, 6×10^-5], p=0.047) was lower as sPDGFR β values increased (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2 sPDGFRβ Values Negatively Correlated with Resting-State Functional Connectivity using PCC as seed region. Linear regression analysis revealed the functional connectivity between PCC and both the left inferior frontal (R^2 =0.13, *t*(85)=-3.59, CI 95% [-2.1×10^-4, 6×10^-5], p=0.047) and posterior cingulate gyrus (R^2 =0.14, *t*(85)=-3.52, CI 95% -2×10^-4, 6×10^-5], p=0.047) was lower as sPDGFRβ values increased displayed on a glass brain shown in Panel

A. Data were plotted to visualize differences between cognitively normal individuals (colored in grey) versus those with mild cognitive impairment (colored in orange). Panel **B** shows functional connectivity values between PCC and inferior frontal gyrus on the y axis and its relationship to sPDGFR β values on the x axis. Panel **C** plots functional connectivity between PCC and the posterior cingulate gyrus. Age and sex were used as covariates



Fig. 3 sPDGFR β Values Negatively Correlated with Resting-State Functional Connectivity using MPFC as seed region with uncorrected p-value. Panel **A** shows decreased FC between DMN seed region medial prefrontal cortex and ROIs within the parietal and frontal lobe are correlated with increased CSF sPDGFR β values projected

on a glass brain. This data is plotted in Panel **B** which uses an avg FC between seed region MPFC and significant target region shown in panel A on the y axis and sPDGFR β on the x axis. Patients with CDR scores 0.5 and above show a more marked decrease



Fig. 4 Bilateral parietal seed ROIs revealed both negative and positive correlations between sPDGFR β values and target ROIs. Panels A and D show the significant FC between DMN bilateral parietal seed regions that are correlated with increased CSF sPDGFR β values projected on a glass brain. Significant posterior target brain regions were

averaged and plotted in panels **B** and **E** by corresponding sPDGFR β on the x axis. Plotted data is stratified by color to appreciate how cognitive status affects FC's relationship with sPDGFR β (CDR scores above 0.5 coded in orange, CDR scores equaling 0 coded in grey)

Exploratory analysis using uncorrected p-value of 0.05

When using the MPFC as a seed region, there was a significant negative correlation between higher sPDGFR β

values and functional connectivity values between MPFC and 6 ROIs (Fig. 3) which include the precuneus, right cuneal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), right lingual gyrus (rLG), right superior parietal lobule (rSPL), and right intracalcarine cortex (rICC).



Fig. 5 Correlations Between sPDGFR^β Values and Resting-State Functional Connectivity Differed Based on CDR Score when using hippocampus as seed region. The main effect of functional connectivity between right hippocampus and target ROIs in the superior temporal and parietal regions and sPDGFR^β values was significant $(F(1,84) = 14.64, CI 95\% [-2 \times 10^{-4}, 3 \times 10^{-5}], p < 0.001, Panel$ A). A significant interaction effect was seen using CDR score as an interaction term showing patients with CDR score higher than zero had greater negative correlation between averaged significant FC and sPDGFR β values (F(1,84)=6.15, p=0.015, Panel B). Left hip-

pocampal seed region showed two clusters that exhibited both positive and negative correlations between FC and $sPDGFR\beta$ values (Panel C). Panel D shows the plots the averaged significant FC values (y-axis) against sPDGFR^β values (x-axis). Panel E shows the positive correlation between CSF marker sPDGFR β and FC values between the left hippocampus and caudate and thalamus (F(1.84) = 13.16, CI)95% $[3 \times 106-4, 2 \times 106-5]$, p < 0.001) showing a significant interaction with CDR score. Panel F shows the negative correlation between sPDGFR β and FC consisted of target regions in the fusiform gyrus, parahippocampus and amygdala region (Fig. 5F, Table 2)

Table 1 Dertiginant					
Demographics Cohort		Total Sample ($N = 89$)	CDR = 0 (N = 67)	CDR > 0 (N = 22)	P-Value
characteristics used in analysis. Race information was provided for 80 participants (9 participants did not have recorded data). Significance was set at p-value < 0.05	MRI age, mean(s.d.)	64.83 (11.73)	64.57 (10.43)	65.5 (15.43)	0.68
	Sex (m/f)	41/48	31/36	11-Nov	0.64
	Race	80	58	22	_
	White	66	49	17	
	African-American	1	1	0	
	Native American	4	2	2	
	Eskimo	1	0	1	
	Aluet	8	6	2	
	Missing data	9	9	0	
	APOE4 status (noncarrier/carrier)	48/41	37/30	11-Nov	0.73
	CDR (0/0.5/1/3)	67/18/3/1	n/a	n/a	_
	sPDGFRβ (ng/mL), mean(s.d.)	685.63 (351.72)	695.99 (347.30)	704.96 (369.54)	0.92
	Averaged FC among DMN regions, mean (s.d.)	0.06 (0.07)	0.06 (0.07)	0.05(0.07)	0.86

Bilateral parietal seed ROIs revealed both negative and positive correlations between sPDGFR^β values and seed and target ROIs (listed in Table 2).

When brain regions were divided via an anterior-posterior axis, different relationships with sPDGFR β values were observed. FC between parietal seed regions and anterior regions correlated negatively to increased BBB breakdown whereas FC between parietal seed regions and posterior regions correlated positively to BBB breakdown (shown in Fig. 4, Table 2).

The correlation between functional connectivity and sPDGFR β in the right hippocampus and target ROIs in the superior temporal and parietal regions was significant $(F(1,84) = 14.64, CI 95\% [-2 \times 10^{-4}, 3 \times 10^{-5}], p < 0.001,$ Fig. 5A-B). The left hippocampal seed region showed two clusters that exhibited both positive and negative

 Table 2
 Significant
 Target
 Regions
 using
 DMN
 brain
 regions
 as
 Seeds

DMN Seed Region 1: Medial Prefrontal Cortex (MPFC)				
Targets	T(85)	p-value		
SPL r (Superior Parietal Right)	-3.13	0.002		
Precuneous (Precuneous Cortex)	-2.5	0.014		
ICC r (Intracalcarine Cortex right)	-2.32	0.023		
Cuneal r (Cuneal Cortex Right)	-2.31	0.023		
LG r (Lingual Gyrus Right)	-2.2	0.031		
DefaultMode RSN: Posterior Cingulate Cortex (PCC)	-2.07	0.041		
DMN Seed Region 2: Posterior Cingulate Cortex (Po	CC)			
Targets	T(85)	p-value		
IFG oper l (Inferior Frontal Left)	-3.59	0.001		
PC (Posterior Cingulate Gyrus)	-3.52	0.001		
FO l (Frontal Operculum Left)	-2.78	0.007		
Caudate r	-2.73	0.008		
aMTG r (Middle Temporal Gyrus Right)	-2.6	0.011		
SFG r (Superior Frontal Gyrus Right)	-2.54	0.013		
Caudate 1	-2.48	0.015		
PaCiG r (Paracingulate Gyrus Right)	-2.3	0.024		
Accumbens r	-2.27	0.026		
aITG r (Inferior Temporal Right)	-2.2	0.031		
PaCiG l (Paracingulate Gyrus Left)	-2.17	0.033		
pMTG l (Middle Temporal Gyrus Left)	-2.15	0.034		
Language RSN: pSTG (L)	-2.12	0.037		
DefaultMode RSN: Medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC)	-2.07	0.041		
AG l (Angular Gyrus Left)	-2.07	0.042		
aITG l (Inferior Temporal Left)	-2.05	0.044		
toMTG r (Middle Temporal Right)	-2.04	0.045		
DMN Seed Region 3: Hippocampus (R)				
Targets	T(85)	p-value		
Language RSN: pSTG (L)	-2.48	0.015		
SPL r (Superior Parietal Lobe)	-2	0.049		
Hippocampus (L)				
Amygdala l	-2.64	0.010		
aPaHC 1 (anterior Parahippocampal Cortex)	-2.41	0.018		
aTFusC 1 (anterior Temporal Fusiform)	-2.23	0.029		
Thalamus r	2.11	0.038		
Caudate l	2.04	0.045		
pTFusC 1 (posterior Temporal Fusiform)	-2.01	0.047		
DMN Seed Region 4: Lateral Parietal Right (LP)				
Targets	T(85)	p-value		
aMTG r (Middle Temporal Gyrus Right)	-2.51	0.014		
aMTG l (Middle Temporal Gyrus Left)	-2.35	0.021		
IEG oper 1 (Inferior Frontal Left)	-2.29	0.025		
aITG r (Inferior Temporal Right)	-2.28	0.025		
Caudate r	-2.17	0.033		
OP r (Occipital Pole Right)	2.14	0.035		
Lateral Parietal Left (LP)		0.000		
IFG oper 1 (Inferior Frontal Left)	-2.96	0.004		

Table 2 (continued)

DMN Seed	Region	1: Medial	Prefrontal	Cortex	(MPFC)
Diminorea	region	1. 1.10 0101	1 renomen	0010011	(1111-0)

Targets	T(85)	p-value
FrontoParietal RSN: Lateral Prefrontal Cortex (LPFC)	-2.59	0.011
SubCalC (Subcallosal Cortex)	-2.56	0.012
SFG l (Superior Frontal G*eft)	-2.51	0.014
ICC l (Intracalcarine Cortex Left)	2.49	0.015
aMTG r (Middle Temporal Gyrus right)	-2.45	0.016
FP l (Frontal Pole Left)	-2.45	0.016
Visual RSN: Medial	2.41	0.018
SFG r (Superior Frontal Gyrus right)	-2.38	0.019
OP l (Occipital Pole Left)	2.32	0.023
DorsalAttention RSN: IPS	2.3	0.024
Visual RSN: BiLateral Regions	2.27	0.030
IC r (Insular Cortex Right)	2.16	0.034
SPL l (Superior Parietal Left)	2.15	0.034
OP r (Occipital Pole Right)	2.13	0.036
LG l (Lingual Gyrus Left)	2.12	0.037
PaCiG r (Paracingulate Gyrus Right)	-2.12	0.037
SensoriMotor RSN: Lateral Region	2.1	0.039
Sensory Motor Area R (SMA)	2.08	0.041
Caudate 1	-2.07	0.041
CO r (Central Opercular Right)	2.02	0.046
Visual.Occipital	2.02	0.047
CO l (Central Opercular Left)	2.02	0.047
Caudate r	-1.99	0.049

correlations between FC and sPDGFR β values. Specifically, there was found to be a positive correlation between CSF marker sPDGFR β and FC values between the left hippocampus, caudate and thalamus (*F*(1,84)=13.16, CI 95% [3×106–4, 2×106–5], *p* < 0.001). The negative correlation between sPDGFR β and FC consisted of target regions in the fusiform gyrus, parahippocampus, and amygdala region (Fig. 5F, Table 2).

Correlations between sPDGFRß values and resting-state functional connectivity by CDR score

When using seed regions PCC (Fig. 2C) and mPFC (Fig. 3B), there was no significant interaction using CDR score. The bilateral hippocampi regions exhibited an interaction effect. A significant interaction effect was observed for functional connectivity between right hippocampus and temporal/parietal regions and sPDGFR β values when using CDR score as an interaction term, such that cognitively impaired patients (CDR > 0) had greater negative correlation between FC and sPDGFR β values (*F*(1,84)=6.15, *p*=0.015, Fig. 5B). The left hippocampus had a significant interaction

with CDR score such that a CDR score higher than zero had a greater positive correlation between functional connectivity between left hippocampus and thalamus and caudate and sPDGFR β (*F*(1,84)=13.16, *p*=0.045, Fig. 5C,E). When the bilateral parietal regions were used as seed ROIs, no interaction effects were observed with CDR score.

Across all participants, we found an overall decrease in functional connectivity using a composite ROI (which combined the functional connectivity across all seeds and targets) when sPDGFR β values were high (t(88) = 6.96, 95%CI [4×10^-3, 0.20], p = 0.01, Fig. 6).

Discussion

Previous studies have shown that the loss of structural integrity of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) correlates with early cognitive dysfunction (Nation et al., 2019; Sengillo et al., 2013; Montagne et al., 2020), independent of amyloid-beta (A β) and tau PET levels. However, it is unknown whether this structural breakdown of the BBB is reflected in restingstate functional connectivity. Resting-state MRI can measure functional connectivity changes in the early stages of AD, particularly in brain areas known to be affected, such as the DMN.

To gain a more complete understanding of the pathogenic role of BBB breakdown in the brain, we felt it important to investigate the relationship between vascular and functional activity changes in brain regions known to be adversely affected in both cognitively unimpaired individuals and those with very early cognitive impairment. While we did not find a significant interaction between individuals with early cognitive impairment and those with no cognitive impairment, we did report that increased BBB breakdown correlated with decreased functional connectivity in DMN areas, specifically the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), when using cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) soluble plateletderived growth factor receptor- β (sPDGFR β) as a biomarker of BBB breakdown.

The PCC is an important region of the traditional DMN and plays key roles in episodic memory, spatial attention, self-evaluation, and other cognitive functions (Braak & Braak, 1991; Greicius et al., 2003; Gusnard et al., 2001; Ries et al., 2006). Numerous studies have found diminished functional connectivity between the PCC and the brain neocortex in patients with early AD and those carrying AD-susceptible genes, suggesting reduced connectivity between the PCC and the medial temporal lobe, where initial histopathological changes occur in AD (Braak & Braak, 1991). It is believed that injury to the medial temporal lobe directly affects its functional connectivity with the PCC, leading to decreased metabolic activity within the PCC (Wang et al., 2009). Additionally, there is a widespread loss of connectivity within the neocortex.

Zhong et al. (2014) found that the PCC serves as a convergence center that receives interactions from most other regions in the DMN. It has been speculated that the PCC integrates signals within the DMN and plays a role



Fig. 6 sPDGFR β Values Negatively Correlated with Resting-State Functional Connectivity overall. An overall decrease in functional connectivity using DMN seed regions and significant target regions was found when sPDGFR β values were high (t(88) = 6.96, p = 0.01)

in memory identification, storage, and extraction (Miao et al., 2011). Additionally, Esposito et al. (2013) found that outside of the DMN, functional connectivity between the PCC and the left frontal gyrus was weakened in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) patients. This is consistent with our findings, which show lower functional connectivity between the PCC and the frontal and cingulate gyri in participants with more BBB breakdown, as measured by CSF sPDGFR β .

Using dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) with gadolinium-based contrast agents, it was revealed that BBB breakdown occurs early in individuals with MCI and ADtype dementia, as indicated by the presence of gadolinium (an indicator of subtle BBB leaks) in the brain (Montagne et al., 2015; Nation et al., 2019). With the finding that lower functional connectivity is associated with higher CSF sPDGFR β , we further conclude that BBB permeability is associated with resting-state functional connectivity, suggesting a link between BBB leakage and neural pathology in AD.

To expand this knowledge, we explored whether other DMN regions showed similar results. Examining uncorrected significant correlations between functional connectivity and CSF sPDGFR β , we found many consistent results showing decreased functional connectivity between DMN regions correlating with increased BBB breakdown. Notably, bilateral parietal seed ROIs revealed both negative and positive correlations with sPDGFRβ values. These relationships were distinctly different along an anterior-posterior division, such that functional connectivity between the lateral parietal and anterior regions correlated negatively with increased BBB breakdown, while posterior regions correlated positively with BBB breakdown. This anterior-posterior division supports a disconnection syndrome due to alterations in structural and functional integrity (Delbeuck et al., 2003). The disconnection in long-distance functional connectivity between DMN hubs and the anterior brain area, significantly correlated with cognitive impairment (Liu et al., 2014), is another characteristic of AD (Zhang et al., 2009). One study found that cognitively impaired individuals demonstrated decreased functional connectivity in the posterior region of the retrosplenial cortex and the more anterior prefrontal cortex (Yasuno et al., 2015). Similar to our findings, Tao et al. (2020) found a transitional stage of functional connectivity in AD progression that presents as a disconnection between anterior and posterior brain regions among individuals in the earliest stages of cognitive decline. It is possible that we are observing an early consequence of pathology that results in a distinct disconnect between how the anterior and posterior portions of the brain communicate with each other. Ultimately, although results are inconsistent, we hope to continue adding knowledge to this field to understand the neurological mechanisms underlying cognitive decline, BBB breakdown, and functional connectivity in the context of AD.

To gain a clearer understanding of whether cognitive impairment drives the relationship between BBB breakdown and functional connectivity, all data were plotted to visualize differences between cognitively normal individuals (colored in grey) and those with mild cognitive impairment (colored in orange). Interaction effects were tested and observed only in the hippocampus. Using the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score as an interaction term, we found that the relationship between $sPDGFR\beta$ and functional connectivity between the right hippocampus and target ROIs in the superior temporal and parietal regions differed significantly compared to individuals with a CDR score of 0. This was also the case when examining the relationship between sPDGFR β and the left hippocampus, caudate, and thalamus regions. We had expected to find greater effects among our cognitively impaired group across multiple DMN brain regions. We hypothesize that increased sPDGFR^β levels may affect functional connectivity first, making this marker more sensitive to neuronal disruption before it is present in cognitively impaired individuals, as also discussed in Nation et al., 2019. However, the fact that we observed effects only in the hippocampus is consistent with previous work showing that BBB permeability is specifically increased in the hippocampus. Montagne and colleagues also reported that BBB breakdown during normal aging and MCI starts in the hippocampus (Montagne et al., 2015). Our results add to this knowledge by incorporating functional connectivity and indicate that these measures are sensitive to cognitive impairment.

The main limitation of the present study is the smaller sample size, which was not adequately powered to stratify results by CDR and APOE4 carriers versus non-carriers, as well as an unequal sample of those with a CDR score greater than zero. This limitation was primarily due to the requirement of matching MRI, CSF, and CDR data within 90 days of each other. For this reason, we wanted to expand our results to be more exploratory, directing future research but recognizing that this approach increases the risk of type I error. Additionally, due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, causality in these relationships cannot be assumed. Given that this was not longitudinal data, it cannot be assumed that all individuals are on an AD trajectory, making this population potentially heterogeneous in disease/pathology. Lastly, we acknowledge that obtaining a representative and diverse population for this study was not prioritized during recruitment, and we therefore cannot determine if race played a significant role in the relationship between BBB breakdown and functional connectivity.

Conclusions

We conclude that BBB breakdown, as measured by CSF sPDGFR β , is associated with neural networks and decreased functional connectivity, independent of cognitive impairment. This observation suggests a potential relationship between BBB breakdown and functional connectivity, possibly resulting from disruptions in cerebral blood flow as shown in previous literature. This may serve as an earlier indicator of brain degeneration, preceding cognitive impairment.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-024-00912-8.

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge the Clinical and Translational Science Institute (CTSI) for their support with Redcap, our aid for participant recruitment.

Author contributions Joey Annette Contreras, Kimiko Fujisaki and Nancy Ortega contributed to the study conception and design. Giuseppe Barisano and Abhay Sagare performed participants biomarker data collection and analysis. Helena Chui, John Ringman, Elizabeth Joe contributed to the participant's recruitment, material preparation, magnetic resonance imaging data collection, and participants' clinical symptoms assessment. Berislav Zlokovic, Arthur Toga, and Judy Pa performed validation and supervision. Joey Annette Contreras performed data analysis and visualization as well as wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by ADRC grant P30AG066530 and by grants UL1TR001855 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS) of the U.S. National Institutes of Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The work of B.V.Z. is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants: 2P01AG052350 and 5P30AG066530.

Data availability All imaging and demographic data are available in the Image and Data Archive (IDA) at Loni: https://ida.loni.usc.edu. All other data are available upon request from corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were by the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests All authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Consent to participate Informed consent was obtained for all individual participants included in the study.

Consent for publication All authors approved the final version for publication.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long

as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Barisano, G., Montagne, A., Kisler, K., Schneider, J. A., Wardlaw, J. M., & Zlokovic, B. V. (2022). Blood-brain barrier link to human cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's Disease. *Nature Cardiovascular Research*, 1(2), 108–115. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s44161-021-00014-4
- Behzadi, Y., Restom, K., Liau, J., & Liu, T. T. (2007). A component based noise correction method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. *Neuroimage*, 37(1), 90–101. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.04.042
- Braak, H., & Braak, E. (1991). Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer- related changes. Acta Neuropathologica, 82(4), 239–259.
- Buckner, R. L., & Carroll, D. C. (2007). Self-projection and the brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(2), 49–57. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.11.004
- Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). The Brain's Default Network. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1124, 138. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1440.011
- Delbeuck, X., Van der Linden, M., & Collette, F. (2003). Alzheimer's disease as a disconnection syndrome? *Neuropsychology Review*, 13(2), 79–92. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023832305702
- Esposito, R., Mosca, A., Pieramico, V., Cieri, F., Cera, N., & Sensi, S. L. (2013). Characterization of resting state activity in MCI individuals. *PeerJ*, 1, e135.
- Greicius, M. D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A. L., & Menon, V. (2003). Functional connectivity in the resting brain: A network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 100(1), 253–258.
- Gusnard, D. A., Raichle, M. E., & Raichle, M. E. (2001). Searching for a baseline: Functional imaging and the resting human brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(10), 685–694.
- Hedden, T., Van Dijk, K. R., Becker, J. A., Mehta, A., Sperling, R. A., Johnson, K. A., & Buckner, R. L. (2009). Disruption of functional connectivity in clinically normal older adults harboring amyloid burden. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(40), 12686– 12694. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3189-09.2009
- Hussain, B., Fang, C., & Chang, J. (2021). Blood–brain barrier breakdown: An emerging biomarker of cognitive impairment in normal aging and dementia. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 15, 688090.
- Jones, D. T., Machulda, M. M., Vemuri, P., McDade, E. M., Zeng, G., Senjem, M. L., Gunter, J. L., Przybelski, S. A., Avula, R. T., Knopman, D. S., Boeve, B. F., Petersen, R. C., & Jack, C. R., Jr. (2011). Age-related changes in the default mode network are more advanced in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*, 77(16), 1524–1531. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318233b33d
- Khan, T. K. (2016). Chapter 2 Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. In T. K. Khan (Ed.), *Biomarkers in Alzheimer's Disease* (pp. 27–48). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804832-0.00002-X
- Liu, Y., Yu, C., Zhang, X., et al. (2014). Impaired long distance functional connectivity and weighted network architecture in Alzheimer's disease. *Cerebral Cortex*, 24(6), 1422–1435.

- Mendez, M. (2022). Chapter 16 General mental status scales, rating instruments, and behavior inventories. In M. Mendez (Ed.), *The mental status examination handbook* (pp. 181–199). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-69489-6.00016-4
- Miao, X., Wu, X., Li, R., Chen, K., & Yao, L. (2011). Altered connectivity pattern of hubs in default-mode network with Alzheimer's disease: An Granger causality modeling approach. *PLoS ONE*, 6(10), e25546.
- Montagne, A., et al. (2015). Blood-brain barrier breakdown in the aging human hippocampus. *Neuron*, *85*, 296–302. [PubMed: 25611508].
- Montagne, A., Nation, D. A., Pa, J., Sweeney, M. D., Toga, A. W., & Zlokovic, B. V. (2016). Brain imaging of neurovascular dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathologica*, 131(5), 687–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1570-0
- Montagne, A., Nation, D. A., Sagare, A. P., Barisano, G., Sweeney, M. D., Chakhoyan, A., Pachicano, M., Joe, E., Nelson, A. R., D'Orazio, L. M., Buennagel, D. P., Harrington, M. G., Benzinger, T. L. S., Fagan, A. M., Ringman, J. M., Schneider, L. S., Morris, J. C., Reiman, E. M., Caselli, R. J., ... Zlokovic, B. V. (2020). APOE4 leads to blood-brain barrier dysfunction predicting cognitive decline. *Nature*, *581*(7806), 71–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2247-3
- Murphy, K., Birn, R. M., Handwerker, D. A., Jones, T. B., & Bandettini, P. A. (2009). The impact of global signal regression on resting state correlations: Are anti-correlated networks introduced? *Neuroimage*, 44(3), 893–905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro image.2008.09.036
- Nation, D. A., et al. (2019). Blood–brain barrier breakdown is an early biomarker of human cognitive dysfunction. *Nature Medicine*, 25, 270–276.
- Raichle, M. E., MacLeod, A. M., Snyder, A. Z., Powers, W. J., Gusnard, D. A., & Shulman, G. L. (2001). A default mode of brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98, 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.98.2.676
- Ries, M. L., Schmitz, T. W., Kawahara, T. N., Torgerson, B. M., Trivedi, M. A., & Johnson, S. C. (2006). Task-dependent posterior cingulate activation in mild cognitive impairment. *NeuroImage*, 29(2), 485–492.
- Sagare, A. P., Sweeney, M. D., Makshanoff, J., & Zlokovic, B. V. (2015). Shedding of soluble platelet-derived growth factor receptor-β from human brain pericytes. *Neuroscience Letters*, 607, 97–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2015.09.025
- Sengillo, J. D., Winkler, E. A., Walker, C. T., Sullivan, J. S., Johnson, M., & Zlokovic, B. V. (2013). Deficiency in mural vascular cells coincides with blood-brain barrier disruption in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Pathology*, 23(3), 303–310. https://doi.org/10.1111/ bpa.12004
- Shen, X., Tokoglu, F., Papademetris, X., & Constable, R. T. (2013). Groupwise whole-brain parcellation from resting-state fMRI data for network node identification. *Neuroimage*, 82, 403–415. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.081

- Staffaroni, A. M., Brown, J. A., Casaletto, K. B., Elahi, F. M., Deng, J., Neuhaus, J., Cobigo, Y., Mumford, P. S., Walters, S., Saloner, R., Karydas, A., Coppola, G., Rosen, H. J., Miller, B. L., Seeley, W. W., & Kramer, J. H. (2018). The longitudinal trajectory of default mode network connectivity in healthy older adults varies as a function of age and is associated with changes in episodic memory and processing speed. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *38*(11), 2809–2817. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3067-17.2018
- Tao, W., Sun, J., Li, X., Shao, W., Pei, J., Yang, C., Wang, W., Xu, K., Wang, J., & Zhang, Z. (2020). The Anterior-posterior Functional Connectivity Disconnection in the Elderly with Subjective Memory Impairment and Amnestic Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 17(4), 373–381. https://doi.org/10. 2174/1567205017666200525015017
- Wang, H., Golob, E., Bert, A., Nie, K., Chu, Y., Dick, M. B., et al. (2009). Alterations in regional brain volume and individual MRIguided perfusion in normal control, stable mild cognitive impairment, and MCI-AD converter. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* and Neurology, 22(1), 35–45.
- Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., & Nieto-Castanon, A. (2012). CONN: A functional connectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks. *Brain Connectivity*, 2(3), 125–141.
- Yasuno, F., Kazui, H., Yamamoto, A., et al. (2015). Resting-state synchrony between the retrosplenial cortex and anterior medial cortical structures relates to memory complaints in subjective cognitive impairment. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 36(6), 2145–2152.
- Zhang, H.-Y., Wang, S.-J., Xing, J., et al. (2009). Detection of PCC functional connectivity characteristics in resting-state fMRI in mild Alzheimer's disease. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 197(1), 103–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2008.08.012
- Zhao, Z., Nelson, A. R., Betsholtz, C., & Zlokovic, B. V. (2015). Establishment and dysfunction of the blood-brain barrier. *Cell*, 163(5), 1064–1078.
- Zhong, Y., Huang, L., Cai, S., Zhang, Y., von Deneen, K. M., Ren, A., et al. (2014). Altered effective connectivity patterns of the default mode network in Alzheimer's disease: An fMRI study. *Neuroscience Letters*, 578, 171–175.
- Zhou, J., Michael, D., Greicius, E. D., Gennatas, M. E., Growdon, J. Y., Jang, G. D., Rabinovici, J. H., Kramer, M. W., Miller, B. L., & Seeley, W. W. (2010). Divergent network connectivity changes in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*, 133(5), 1352–1367. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/ awq075
- Zlokovic, B. V. (2011). Neurovascular pathways to neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease and other disorders. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 12(12), 723–738. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3114

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Joey A. Contreras¹ · Kimiko Fujisaki² · Nancy E. Ortega¹ · Giuseppe Barisano³ · Abhay Sagare³ · Ioannis Pappas² · Helena Chui⁴ · John M. Ringman⁴ · Elizabeth B. Joe⁴ · Berislav V. Zlokovic³ · Arthur W. Toga² · Judy Pa¹

🖂 Judy Pa

jpa@ucsd.edu Joey A. Contreras jaalbrecht@health.ucsd.edu

Kimiko Fujisaki fujisaki@alumni.usc.edu

Nancy E. Ortega n1ortega@health.ucsd.edu

Giuseppe Barisano gbarisano@ini.usc.edu

Abhay Sagare abhay.sagare@med.usc.edu

Ioannis Pappas ioannis.pappas@loni.usc.edu

Helena Chui chui@usc.edu

John M. Ringman ringman@usc.edu

Elizabeth B. Joe joee@usc.edu Berislav V. Zlokovic zlokovic@usc.edu

Arthur W. Toga toga@usc.edu

- ¹ Department of Neurosciences, Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study (ADCS), University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
- ² Mark and Mary Stevens Neuroimaging and Informatics Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- ³ Department of Physiology and Neuroscience, Zilkha Neurogenetic Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- ⁴ Department of Neurology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA