

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Early patterning of ABCB, ABCC, and ABCG transporters establishes unique territories of small molecule transport in embryonic mesoderm and endoderm

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/94m6j17z>

Authors

Schrankel, Catherine S

Hamdoun, Amro

Publication Date

2021-04-01

DOI

10.1016/j.ydbio.2020.12.021

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Dev Biol. 2021 April ; 472: 115–124. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2020.12.021.

Early patterning of ABCB, ABCC, and ABCG transporters establishes unique territories of small molecule transport in embryonic mesoderm and endoderm.

Catherine S. Schrankel, Amro Hamdoun*

Center for Marine Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego. 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0202, USA.

Abstract

Directed intercellular movement of diverse small molecules, including metabolites, signal molecules and xenobiotics, is a key feature of multicellularity. Networks of small molecule transporters (SMTs), including several ATP Binding Cassette (ABC) transporters, are central to this process. While small molecule transporters are well described in differentiated organs, little is known about their patterns of expression in early embryogenesis. Here we report the pattern of ABC-type SMT expression and activity during the early development of sea urchins. Of the six major ABCs in this embryo (*ABCB1*, *-B4*, *-C1*, *-C4*, *-C5* and *-G2*), three expression patterns were observed: 1) *ABCB1* and *ABCC1* are first expressed ubiquitously, and then become enriched in endoderm and ectoderm-derived structures. 2) *ABCC4* and *ABCC5* are restricted to a ring of mesoderm in the blastula and *ABCC4* is later expressed in the coelomic pouches, the embryonic niche of the primordial germ cells. 3) *ABCB4* and *ABCG2* are expressed exclusively in endoderm-fated cells. Assays with fluorescent substrates and inhibitors of transporters revealed a ring of *ABCC4* efflux activity emanating from *ABCC4*⁺ mesodermal cells. Similarly, *ABCB1* and *ABCB4* efflux activity was observed in the developing gut, prior to the onset of feeding. This study reveals the early establishment of unique territories of small molecule transport during embryogenesis. A pattern of *ABCC4/C5* expression is consistent with signaling functions during gut invagination and germ line development, while a later pattern of *ABCB1/B4* and *ABCG2* is consistent with roles in the embryonic gut. This work provides a conceptual framework with which to examine the function and evolution of SMT networks and define the specific developmental pathways that drive the expression of these genes.

Graphical Abstract


*corresponding author (hamdoun@ucsd.edu).

Authors' contributions.

CSS and AH conceived the study and designed experiments. CSS performed the research and data analysis. CSS and AH wrote and edited the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials. All data generated and analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript and its supplementary information files.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.



Keywords

ABC transporters; MRP; gut development; mesoderm signaling; small molecule; sea urchin

INTRODUCTION

A core feature of multicellularity is the precisely regulated movement of small molecules between cells (1,2). Regulation of small molecule movement is central to signaling, metabolic homeostasis and interaction with the external environment. Small molecule transporters (SMTs) are key regulators of uptake and efflux. In adult organisms, SMTs are distributed among tissues and connected through the circulation in a coordinated process termed remote sensing and signaling (3–5). In embryos and stem cells, regulation of specific metabolites and small molecules drives cell fate and function (6–9), but the associated patterns of SMT expression (10–12) are not fully described.

A major set of SMTs belongs to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) or Solute Carrier (SLC) families (13,14). These membrane protein families include a variety of uptake and efflux transporters that handle diverse small molecule ligands important for development. Many of these proteins, such as ABCB1 and ABCC1, have been extensively studied in association with xenobiotic and endobiotic transport, as well as variable drug absorption and deposition along the gastrointestinal tract (15,16). Upregulation of these genes is also responsible for acquired chemotherapy resistance in cancers (17). However, in addition to effluxing drugs, it is now appreciated that these and other ABC transporters contribute to disease by transporting signaling molecules that govern migratory and morphogenetic behaviors of cells (18–22).

Several transporters have been demonstrated to play important endogenous signaling roles in development. Examples include transporters in auxin-mediated root guidance (23), slime mold stalk development (24), nematode diapause (25), ciliogenesis in zebrafish (19), germ cell chemoattraction in flies and ascidians (26–29), and hindgut invagination in the sea urchin (30). However, to date, few studies have characterized the spatial and temporal patterns of transporter networks during development. This is important to understand, because embryos express multiple transporters simultaneously, many of which have overlapping substrates and thus can act in concert to regulate signal and metabolite distribution (31).

The sea urchin embryo provides a powerful system for understanding the regulation and activity of transporters across development stages. Embryogenesis is highly synchronous and utilizes a limited number of cells. Germ layers are specified within the first 24 hours of development, and endomesoderm differentiation has been mapped experimentally at the gene regulatory network (GRN) level (32). The embryo develops a gut tube by 48 hours post-fertilization (hpf), which becomes morphologically compartmentalized by 72 hpf (33,34). Larval feeding can start by 3 days post-fertilization (dpf), and most digestion and adsorption occurs in the stomach (35,36).

The sea urchin is arguably the best characterized embryo model for the study of ABC transporters, with a preexisting foundation of knowledge for transporter expression. In the first 72 hours of embryogenesis several important SMTs in the *ABCB*, *ABCC* and *ABCG* subfamilies are differentially regulated (10,37). These include *ABCB1* which is active in protecting early embryos (38,39), *ABCG11* which is expressed in pigment cells (40), and *ABCC5* which is also expressed in pigment cells and contributes to proper gut development (30). In addition, specific fluorescent substrates have been characterized for each subfamily (41,42), which enables *in-vivo* tracking of transporter-mediated efflux activity.

Here, we describe the spatial expression domains of homologs of *ABCB1*, *ABCB4*, *ABCC1*, *ABCC4*, and *ABCG2* using whole mount *in-situ* hybridization. We next adapted live-cell transport and inhibition assays to determine how differential transporter expression leads to distinct regions of active transport during key stages of embryogenesis. The results reveal unexpected compartmentalization of gene expression and protein activity of *ABCB*, *ABCC*, and *ABCG* transporters along regions of early mesoderm and differentiating endoderm. Collectively, this map of transporter expression illuminates the emergence of unique territories of transport activity in the sea urchin embryo, with implications for signaling, metabolism, and embryonic protection. Our observations provide a novel conceptual framework for studying the regulation, function and evolution of SMT networks across animal development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Spawning, culturing and feeding

Purple sea urchins, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, were collected at San Diego, California and housed in aquaria with running filtered seawater at 12°C. Adults were fed *Macrocystis pyrifera*. Animals were spawned, fertilized, and embryos cultured in 0.22 µM filtered seawater (FSW) at 15°C.

Probe generation for whole mount in-situ hybridization (WMISH)

Templates for WMISH probes were generated from PCS2+8 plasmids containing full-length coding sequences of transporter genes *ABCB1a*, *ABCB4a*, *ABCC1a*, *ABCC4a*, and *ABCG2a* (42). Primers are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Primer pairs had a T7 promoter site incorporated into either the forward (for sense probe transcription) or reverse primer (for antisense probe transcription). Probe templates of ~900 to 1500 bp were amplified from plasmids using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB) and cleaned by PCR-column

purification (Qiagen). RNA antisense probes were transcribed with T7 synthesis and digoxigenin (DIG) or Fluorescein-labeling kits (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) according to manufacturer protocols.

Whole-mount in-situ hybridization

WMISH was performed as previously described (10) with minor modifications. Briefly, embryos were fixed in a 4% paraformaldehyde EPPES buffer overnight at 4°C and stored long-term in 100% methanol. Embryos were washed several times through Tris-buffered saline + Tween-20 (TBST: 100 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) and transferred into a pre-hybridization buffer (HB: 50% formamide, 5X saline-sodium citrate [SSC], 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween-20, 2X Denhardt's Solution, 50 µg/mL heparin, 500 µg/mL yeast tRNA) in several steps (30% HB-TBST, 60% HB-TBST, and 100% HB). Embryos were pre-hybridized in HB for one hour at 62°C. Probes were hybridized overnight at 62°C, at a final probe concentration of 0.5 to 1 ng/µL. Samples were washed through SSC and TBST buffers for several hours, blocked in 10% sheep serum with BSA for one hour (SS/BSA), and incubated with anti-DIG-AP antibody (1:1500; Roche, Indianapolis, IN) in 5% SS/BSA overnight at 4°C. Colorimetric alkaline-phosphatase (AP) staining was performed using NBT/BCIP (Sigma) in filtered AP Buffer (100mM Tris 9.5, 100mM NaCl, 50 mM MgCl₂, 1mM Levamisole, 0.1% Tween, 10% DMF) for several hours to overnight, depending on the probe. Double colorimetric *in-situ* staining was performed using FastRed stain (Sigma-Aldrich). Stained embryos were imaged on a Zeiss AxioImager M2 microscope using a 20X Apochromat 0.9 NA air objective and AxioCam 506 color camera.

Double fluorescent *in-situ* (FISH) staining was performed using POD-conjugated anti-Fluorescein and anti-DIG antibodies (1:1500; Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and TSA amplification with Cy-3 and Cy-5 (1:400, Perkin-Elmer) as previously described (30). FISH-stained embryos were counterstained with DAPI and imaged on a Zeiss LSM 700 laser scanning confocal microscope using a 20x Plan-Apo 0.8 NA air objective.

In-vivo transporter dye efflux assays on tagged embryos

Transporter substrate assays: To assess where ABC transporter subfamilies are active in different tissues during development, live-cell efflux assays using fluorescence substrates and inhibitors (41,42) were optimized across different developmental stages (Fig. S3). Fertilized eggs were first injected with LCK^{mCherry} or H2B^{CFP} mRNA constructs (75 ng/µL) in order to visualize membrane or nuclei features during accumulation assays, which do not alter signal accumulation patterns ((42); Fig. S4). The relative amount of substrate accumulation within tissues is used as a proxy for transporter activity. To observe ABCC1 and ABCC4 efflux activity, embryos were incubated with fluorescein diacetate (FDA; Sigma-Aldrich) at a final concentration of 20 nM at 15°C for 60 min in the dark. Embryos were washed six times with FSW after incubation and recovered for 30 min in the dark before imaging. For ABCC4-specific inhibitor assays, embryos were co-incubated with 10 µM Ceefourin1 or 2 (Abcam) along with the FDA. To assess ABCB1 and ABCB4 efflux activity, embryos were incubated with BODIPY-verapamil (B-ver, Invitrogen) in FSW at a final concentration of 50 nM at 15°C for 60 min in the dark. Embryos were washed three times with FSW and immediately imaged. For ABCB-specific inhibitor assays, embryos

were co-incubated in 1 μ M PSC833 (Sigma-Aldrich) along with the B-ver substrate. All substrates and inhibitors were rehydrated into 1000X stock solutions with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at -20°C . All stocks were diluted such that the final DMSO concentration did not exceed 0.15%.

Imaging: Embryos were imaged on a Zeiss LSM 700 laser scanning confocal microscope using a 20x Plan-Apo 0.8 NA air objective. Images were obtained using optical sectioning and multi-position acquisition settings in ZEN software (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). For high quality representative micrographs, some embryos were imaged on a Leica TCS SP8 laser scanning confocal microscope (20x objective, NA 0.8), equipped with the Lightning deconvolution module (Leica Software). All embryos exposed to the same substrate or substrate + inhibitor were imaged with identical confocal settings (pinhole size, gain, laser power, zoom, and scan speed). Z-stack slices were acquired at 0.2 μm thickness (Lightning modules) or 1.5 μm thickness (substrate accumulation analysis). 3D reconstruction of confocal stacks into video format was performed with Imaris Software (Bitplane, Oxford Instruments).

Accumulation Substrate accumulation analysis: Post-acquisition image analysis was performed using ImageJ software (43). The relative efflux activity of each transporter was quantified by measuring the intracellular substrate fluorescence intensity per pixel in specific regions using the ‘Measure’ module of ImageJ. Measurements were made from single-plane, equatorial confocal sections of embryos in which the mesoderm (blastulae), coelomic pouches and foregut (gastrulae), or midgut (larvae) were in maximum focus for the developmental stage in question. Mean raw intensity measurements were compared across tissues within control embryos, or between control and inhibited embryos. To calculate the mean fold change in accumulation relative to controls, raw fluorescence intensity values of each individual inhibited embryo were divided by the mean of the raw intensity values of the WT control embryos. Fold changes in accumulation were determined from raw intensity measurements of several embryos ($n > 6$) pooled from at least three experimental batches and tested by 1-way ANOVA analysis with Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons correction, blocked by batch.

RESULTS

ABCC1 is expressed ubiquitously while ABCC4 is localized to non-skeletal mesoderm and the embryonic germ cell niche

In addition to xenobiotics, ABCC transporters handle a wide range of developmental signaling molecules such as cyclic nucleotides, sphingolipids, leukotrienes, and eicosanoids (19,44–46), thus we first explored embryonic *ABCC1* and *ABCC4* expression. *In-situ* hybridization in the sea urchin embryo reveals that *ABCC1* mRNA is present in the unfertilized egg (Fig. 1A; Control sense probes shown in Fig. S1). It is ubiquitously expressed through blastula stages (Fig. 1, B–C) and early gastrulation (Fig. 1D). During gastrulation (Fig. 1, E–F), transcripts further accumulate in the apical plate, a specialized region of neuroepithelium that develops into an innervated larval sensory structure called the

apical organ (47). At the early pluteus larval stage (Fig. 1G), *ABCC1* expression becomes enriched in the sensory ciliary band as well as the stomach.

In contrast, *ABCC4* develops a more restricted pattern in the mesoderm and its derivatives (Fig. 1, H–S). *ABCC4* expression is first evident at low levels throughout the egg and early blastula stages (Fig. 1, H–I). Transcripts accumulate in the non-skeletal mesoderm (NSM) of blastulae and early gastrulae (Fig. 1, J–K). *ABCC4* remains present at the tip of the archenteron during gastrulation (Fig. 1L) and continues to accumulate in the coelomic pouches at both the prism and pluteus larval stages (Fig. 1, M–N). The coelomic pouches provide the embryonic niche for primordial germ cells (PGCs), which will seed the adult with egg or sperm stem cells (48–50). The PGCs of the sea urchin are specified from small micromeres that appear at the 5th cleavage and sit at the vegetal pole of the blastula until they migrate to the archenteron tip during gastrulation (51,52).

Because the expression pattern of *ABCC4* mirrors that of many mesodermal, small micromere, and coelomic pouch-specific markers, we co-stained with *gcm*, a marker for aboral mesoderm (53), and *SoxE* (Fig. 1, O–S), a marker for coelomic pouch precursors and the left coelomic pouch (54,55). At the mesenchyme blastula stage (24 hpf), *ABCC4* expression is present in the entire ring of NSM and is absent from the small micromeres located at the center of the vegetal plate (Fig. 1, O–P). *ABCC4* is expressed throughout both oral and aboral mesoderm, compared to *gcm* alone (Fig. 1, Q–R). By late gastrulation (59 hpf; Fig. 1S), *ABCC4* transcripts are present in both the coelomic pouches, whereas *SoxE* is restricted to the left pouch (Fig. 1S, insets; Fig. S2A).

ABCC4-specific efflux activity is restricted to the early mesoderm and the coelomic pouches

Next, we assayed the efflux of fluorescent substrates of the ABCC transporters (Fig. 2). In these assays, live embryos are incubated with an ABCC-specific substrate (fluorescein-diacetate, FDA) that is effluxed by the transporter (30,41,42) (Fig. S3). In regions of high transporter activity, the fluorescent compound is effluxed from cells and the region remains dark. In regions of low (or pharmacologically inhibited) transporter activity, the substrate accumulates and the areas become brightly fluorescent.

In late blastulae, the ectodermal and primary mesenchyme (skeletal) cells quickly saturate with FDA (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the NSM exhibits significantly less FDA signal than the ectoderm (Fig. 2, A–I), indicative of high efflux activity (Table 1, $p < 0.0001$). Blastulae consistently exhibit a 3.65-fold mean change in FDA accumulation between the ectoderm and the NSM across several independent batches (Table 1, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. S4A).

Three-dimensional projections of blastulae show a torus of cells with high efflux activity, overlapping with the expected location of the ring of NSM surrounding the small micromeres (Video 1). This torus includes clusters of wedge-shaped “bottle cells” (57) within the mesoderm. The small micromeres, which are inside of this ring, do not appear to have high levels of efflux activity at this stage, as evidence by accumulation of FDA (Fig. 2A-i; Fig. S4A).

Because *ABCC4* mRNA is expressed in the NSM cells similar to those that are low in FDA accumulation (Fig. 1I, O–R), we next examined whether inhibitors of *ABCC4* (56) would alter the observed FDA pattern. Consistent with the notion that the mesodermal ring of low FDA accumulation is mediated by *ABCC4*, exposure to both Ceefourin1 (CF1, 10 μ M) and Ceefourin2 (CF2, 10 μ M) results in stronger FDA signal in this territory (Fig. 2A-ii; Fig. S4A). The NSM of CF2-inhibited embryos accumulate 2.58-fold greater mean levels of FDA signal compared to WT embryos (Table 2, $p < 0.0001$). Similar increases were noted for CF1 exposure (Table 2, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. S4A).

Next we tested gastrulae for the presence of *ABCC4* efflux activity in the coelomic pouches (Fig. 2B; Fig. S4B). In WT embryos, FDA signal accumulates at significantly lower levels in the coelomic pouches and foregut region, compared to the adjacent midgut tissue (Fig. 2B). When compared across multiple independent batches, the coelomic pouches accumulate almost 5-fold less substrate than the midgut (Table 1, $p = 0.0004$). Similar to the earlier NSM field, FDA re-accumulates in areas of high *ABCC4* expression after co-incubation with the inhibitor CF2 (Fig. 2B-ii). On average the CP-localized FDA signal increases 2-fold under *ABCC4* inhibition across independent batches (Table 2, $p < 0.0001$). Importantly, ectodermal FDA accumulation was not significantly affected under CF2 exposure at the gastrula stage (Table 2), indicating that the inhibitors were primarily acting in regions with highest localized *ABCC4* expression. Together these *in situ* and efflux assay data indicate efflux activity in the NSM and coelomic pouch regions is largely derived from *ABCC4*-mediated transport.

ABCB and ABCG transporters become enriched in hindgut and midgut-fated tissues

Next, we investigated expression domains of *ABCB* and *ABCG* subfamilies, which often perform protective functions against xenobiotics (15,16). Consistent with previous reports (10), *ABCB1* is expressed during oogenesis and remains ubiquitous throughout early gastrula stages (Fig. 3A–D). By late gastrulation (Fig. 3, E–F), *ABCB1* transcripts accumulate in the blastopore (Fig. S2B), the hindgut (presumptive intestine) and midgut (presumptive stomach). Expression also becomes more localized in the apical plate (Fig. 3E, F). By the early pluteus larval stage (Fig. 3G), *ABCB1* is most enriched in the stomach and the ciliary band.

The closely related *ABCB1* ortholog, *ABCB4*, demonstrates a contrasting pattern of absence in the egg and early stages (Fig. 3, H–K), followed by strong expression during gastrulation in the blastopore (Fig. S2B) and hindgut (Fig. 3L). This domain expands to include the midgut and stomach of prism and larval stages, respectively, but not the foregut and coelomic pouches (Fig. 3, M–N). *ABCG2* follows a similar expression profile as *ABCB4* (Fig. 3, O–U). *ABCG2* transcripts appear relatively low in the egg, blastula stages, and at the onset of gastrulation (Fig. 3, O–K). Transcript accumulation first becomes evident in the blastopore (Fig. S2B) and hindgut of late gastrulae (Fig. 3S). The *ABCG2* expression domain expands to the midgut of prism and stomach of larval stages, but is not evident in the foregut or coelomic pouches (Fig. 3T–U). Co-expression of *ABCB1*, *ABCB4* and *ABCG2* occurs across the endodermal tissue, and is further concentrated in some presumptive stomach cells at the gastrula and larval stage (Fig. 3V–W).

Transport of an ABCB substrate in the gut before feeding

To define regions of ABCB-specific active transport in live embryos, we repeated *in-vivo* substrate accumulation assays with the ABCB1 and ABCB4-specific substrate BODIPY-verapamil, B-ver and inhibitor PSC833 (Fig S3; Fig. 4). At the blastula stage, ABCB efflux activity is evident across the entire embryo, and co-incubation with the inhibitor causes an increase in B-ver signal accumulation (Fig. 4A). Given that ABCB proteins are expressed in the gut of several animals and that we observed enrichment of *ABCB1* and *ABCB4* transcripts in the hindgut and midgut (Fig. 3), we next examined if the respective efflux activity is more prominent in gastrulae and larvae. At late-gastrula stages (54 hpf, Fig. 4B), the ectoderm accumulates low levels of B-ver signal, and remains sensitive to PSC833 inhibition. However, B-ver signal is not observed in the developing midgut, foregut and coelomic pouches unless embryos are co-incubated with the PSC833 inhibitor (Fig. 4B), indicating a strong endogenous ABCB-specific efflux activity in these regions.

At 70–73 hpf, when larvae exhibit a complete tripartite compartmentalization of esophagus, stomach and intestine, the stomach accumulates significantly less B-ver than the ectoderm (Fig. 4C–D, $p < 0.0001$), and to a lesser extent, the foregut region (WT panels, Fig. 4C). The ectoderm accumulates 4.23-fold higher mean levels of B-ver signal than the stomach across multiple independent batches (Table 1, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. S4C). Both stomach and ectodermal B-ver accumulation increase significantly after exposure to PSC833 (Fig. 4C–D, $p < 0.0001$). However, the stomach region shows the greatest increase in accumulation compared to the ectoderm (4.88-fold increase compared to a 3.20-fold increase in mean signal accumulation upon inhibition, respectively; Table 3, $p < 0.0001$).

Overall, transport of the ABCB1 and ABCB4 substrate B-ver is evident in the ectoderm across embryogenesis, becomes enriched in gut compartments of late gastrulae and larvae, and is most sensitive to PSC833 inhibition. These data indicate an enrichment of ABCB-specific protein activity in the gut, in agreement with *ABCB1* and *ABCB4* mRNA expression domains.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to determine territorial maps for the expression of several subfamilies of ABC transporters during deuterostome development (Fig. 5). Transporter transcripts are clearly partitioned across germ layers throughout the first 72 hours of sea urchin embryogenesis (Table 4). Three features of this pattern are: 1) a strong overlap of *ABCC4* and *ABCC5* expression in the mesoderm, 2) sustained expression of *ABCB1* and *ABCC1* in the ectoderm, and 3) the early emergence of protective transporters *ABCB1*, *ABCB4*, and *ABCG2* in distinct compartments of the endoderm. Our results also show that *ABCC4*-specific transport of FDA in living embryos faithfully recapitulates the expression domains of *ABCC4*, whereas ABCB-specific transport mirrors the gut-specific expression domains of *ABCB1* and *ABCB4*. Collectively, this map of transport overlaps with many key specification and morphogenetic events in the mesoderm, endoderm and ectoderm (Fig. 5).

Patterns of *ABCC4* expression in the mesoderm are associated with primary invagination of the gut and development of the embryonic germ line niche

One of the most interesting patterns we observed was the enrichment of *ABCC4* transcripts and efflux activity from a broad profile post-fertilization, to an NSM-specific domain in blastulae that is concentrated in the coelomic pouches of larvae (Fig. 5A). Active transport can be a mechanism for the secretion of molecules that act in morphogenesis, either as short-range signals between neighboring cells, or long-range signals that work across larger distances (58). Known signaling substrates of *ABCC4* and *ABCC5* include cyclic nucleotides (30,45,59,60), prostaglandins (19,61), lipid-derived molecules and glucuronide or glutathione (GSH)-conjugated metabolites (46,62). In the early phase of its expression domain, *ABCC4* is partially overlapping with *ABCC5*, which is expressed on one side of the NSM (30). Given these observations, we hypothesize that *ABCC4* activity, in concert with *ABCC5*, may be involved in the export of mesodermal signaling substrates. Notably, strong efflux of FDA is also present in the mesoderm of sea star blastulae (Fig. S5), indicating a potentially conserved role for a transporter signaling center across the 500 million years of evolution spanned by these two species (63).

The activity of *ABCC4* in the NSM and its derivatives may function in gut differentiation or morphogenesis if exported signals are received by the adjacent endoderm. Lipid derivatives and other small molecules have been shown to drive endoderm development in human embryonic stem cells and zebrafish (9,64–66). In the sea urchin, cAMP is exported by *ABCC5* in nascent pigment cells (derivates of the aboral NSM), and this signal is thought to coordinate late invagination (30). Alternatively, *ABCC* transporter activity may be relevant for the development or behavior of other NSM cell types, which include immune cells and muscle progenitors (67–70).

ABCC4-mediated transport could also mediate autocrine or paracrine signaling to the small micromeres or play a role in their recruitment to the coelomic pouches (71). Genes with a similar profile to *ABCC4* include classic germ-line determinants *seawi*, *vasa*, and *ovo*, which are broadly present early and later become enriched in coelomic pouch precursor cells (CPCs) in the vegetal plate and tip of the archenteron (50). The CPC gene regulatory network (GRN) is first initiated by *FoxY* and D/N signaling from the small micromeres, which also activates the transcription factors *foxF*, *pitx2*, *nanos* and *soxE* in the NSM (54). These genes, along with *FoxY*, stay restricted in the coelomic pouches (49,50,54,55), and thus may control *ABCC4* expression as part of the CPC GRN. A subset of transcription factors in the CPC GRN is required for the homing of small micromeres to the coelomic pouches (72), and may control PGC migration indirectly through the regulation of *ABCC4* expression during gastrulation. In *Drosophila*, a mesodermally-expressed ABCB ortholog is involved in the export of lipid molecules and hedgehog signaling ligands that guide PGC migration (26,27). Sphingolipids and eicosanoid-based signaling are required for PGC migration in ascidians, and *ABCC* transporters may play a significant role in this context (28,29).

ABCB1, B4, G2 transporters are part of an early differentiation gene battery active in gut epithelia

ABCB1 and ABCG2 have been well studied in the protection and homeostasis of enterocytes. ABCB, ABCC and ABCG transcripts and proteins are differentially regulated along the anterior-to-posterior (A/P) regions of the small and large intestines in adult mammals (16). Human ABCB1 and ABCG2 transcripts and protein have been detected in fetal enterocytes as early as 6–12 weeks of gestation (73,74), at which time the gut is still an undifferentiated tube. In zebrafish, an ABCB1-ortholog becomes concentrated in the intestinal bulb and larval intestine (75). However, the transcriptional regulation of endoderm-specific ABC transporters during embryogenesis has yet to be characterized in any animal model (76). This would provide insight as to when active transport becomes partitioned into the different digestive and absorptive regions of the gut.

During endoderm development, several transcription factors and signaling pathways lead to the regionalization of gut epithelial structures and compartment-specific genes along the A/P axis (36,77). In our data, compartmentalization of transporters along the A/P axis of the gut was evident as early as mid-gastrulation, in cells fated to form the stomach and intestine (Fig 3; Fig 5). In the sea urchin, other gut differentiation markers are controlled by conserved *Xlox*, *Cdx*, and Wnt10-mediated regulatory pathways in the midgut and hindgut (34,36). It will be informative to link the endoderm GRN with *ABCB1*, *ABCB4* and *ABCG2* expression. Additional candidate regulators include members of the nuclear hormone receptor system, which control ABC transporter expression in terminally differentiated cells (16,78) and are developmentally regulated in the sea urchin embryo (79).

Active transport domains related to gut detoxification in larvae are established prior to feeding

Our *in-situ* hybridization data show that the enrichment and co-localization of *ABCB1*, *B4* and *G2* genes in the gut is broadly evident across the endodermal tissue (Fig. 3). This is in contrast to genes that are specific to highly specialized cells in the stomach (*e.g.*, pancreatic-like exocrine cells, (80)). The broad expression of ABC transporters within the gut is likely for protection across the whole tissue, which is evident from the observed patterns of active fluorescent substrate efflux (Fig. 4). Indeed, ABCB and ABCC activity in the cell membrane of zygotes provide protection from xenobiotics immediately following fertilization (38,39). Our inhibitor experiments demonstrate that this global activity persists through larval stages for the ABCB subfamily and becomes strongest in the gut (Fig. 4). While *ABCG2* expression also closely mirrors *ABCB1* orthologs in the gut, we do not yet have fluorescent substrates that give reliable results in accumulation assays at the gastrula and larval stages.

Remarkably, the concentrated ABCB-specific transport activity in the gut is evident as early as the prism stage (Fig 4B; 54 hpf), despite the fact that feeding commences by 3 dpf. We predict that in addition to global reserves of ABCB1 across development, ABCB1, ABCB4 and ABCG2 transporters may be placed in the differentiating gut membrane early on to enable rapid detoxification capabilities as soon as the animal begins feeding. In the wild, sea urchin larvae encounter numerous harmful secondary metabolites derived from their phytoplankton-based diets (81,82). Other xenobiotic stressors include ubiquitous marine

microbes, which can be commensal or pathogenic in nature (83,84). Notably, *ABCB1* plays an important role in detoxification upon exposure to bacteria in mice and marine worms (85–87). Future work in the sea urchin larva will help dissect the cross-talk between host transporters and microbial metabolism during gut development and maturation (Fleming, Schrinkel et al, *in press*).

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides the first systematic map of SMT domains in development. The compartmentalization of gene expression across germ layers indicates that ABC transporters are under tightly regulated developmental control. This suggests that transporter activity is involved in key morphogenetic events, in addition to the metabolism or protection of specific cell types, such as the gut epithelia (Fig. 5). Collectively, these results lay the groundwork for characterizing how GRNs give rise to distinct territories of functional transport during embryogenesis. This lends insight towards the evolution of developmental functions for SMTs, and could be important for understanding how SMT activity can become recapitulated in disease settings through the reactivation of developmental pathways.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements.

The authors thank Victor D. Vacquier for critical reading of the manuscript. Jose Espinoza designed the WMISH primers and Travis Fleming and Himanshu Vyas assisted in larval B-ver accumulation analysis.

Funding.

This work is supported by NIH F32 ES029843 to CSS, and NIH ES 027921 and 030318, and NSF 1840844 to AH.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	ATP-binding cassette
B-ver	BODIPY-Verapamil
CB	ciliary band
CPs	coelomic pouches
CF	Ceefourin
Dpf	days post-fertilization
Fg	foregut
FDA	fluorescein diacetate
FISH	fluorescent <i>in-situ</i> hybridization
GRN	gene regulatory network

Hg	hindgut
Hpf	hours post-fertilization
MDR	multidrug resistance
Mg	midgut
MRP	multidrug resistance protein
NSM	non-skeletal mesoderm
PGC	primordial germ cell
SLC	solute-carrier
SMM	small micromeres
WMISH	whole mount <i>in-situ</i> hybridization

REFERENCES


- Babonis LS, Martindale MQ. Phylogenetic evidence for the modular evolution of metazoan signalling pathways. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci.* 2017 2 5;372(1713).
- Titus MA, Goodson HV. Developing Evolutionary Cell Biology. *Dev Cell.* 2018 11;47(4):395–6. [PubMed: 30458131]
- Nigam SK. What do drug transporters really do? *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2015;14(1):29–44. [PubMed: 25475361]
- Rosenthal SB, Bush KT, Nigam SK. A Network of SLC and ABC Transporter and DME Genes Involved in Remote Sensing and Signaling in the Gut-Liver-Kidney Axis. *Sci Rep.* 2019 12 15;9(1):11879. [PubMed: 31417100]
- Ahn S-Y, Nigam SK. Toward a Systems Level Understanding of Organic Anion and Other Multispecific Drug Transporters: A Remote Sensing and Signaling Hypothesis. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2009 9;76(3):481–90. [PubMed: 19515966]
- Onjiko RM, Moody SA, Nemes P. Single-cell mass spectrometry reveals small molecules that affect cell fates in the 16-cell embryo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2015 5 26;112(21):6545–50. [PubMed: 25941375]
- Yanes O, Clark J, Wong DM, Patti GJ, Sánchez-Ruiz A, Benton HP, et al. Metabolic oxidation regulates embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2010 6 2;6(6):411–7. [PubMed: 20436487]
- Butcher RA. Small-molecule pheromones and hormones controlling nematode development. Vol. 13, *Nature Chemical Biology.* *Nat Chem Biol.* 2017. p. 577–86. [PubMed: 28514418]
- Helker CSM, Mullapudi S-T, Mueller LM, Preussner J, Tunaru S, Skog O, et al. A whole organism small molecule screen identifies novel regulators of pancreatic endocrine development. *Development.* 2019 7 15;146(14):dev172569.
- Shipp LE, Hamdoun A. ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter expression and localization in sea urchin development. *Dev Dyn.* 2012;241(6):1111–24. [PubMed: 22473856]
- Zúñiga A, Hódar C, Hanna P, Ibáñez F, Moreno P, Pulgar R, et al. Genes encoding novel secreted and transmembrane proteins are temporally and spatially regulated during *Drosophila melanogaster* embryogenesis. *BMC Biol.* 2009;7(1):61. [PubMed: 19772636]
- Engelhart DC, Azad P, Ali S, Granados JC, Haddad GG, Nigam SK. *Drosophila* SLC22 Orthologs Related to OATs, OCTs, and OCTNs Regulate Development and Responsiveness to Oxidative Stress. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020 3 15;21(6).
- Dean M The Human ATP-Binding Cassette (ABC) Transporter Superfamily. *Genome Res.* 2001 7 1;11(7):1156–66. [PubMed: 11435397]

14. Almén M, Nordström KJ, Fredriksson R, Schiöth HB. Mapping the human membrane proteome: a majority of the human membrane proteins can be classified according to function and evolutionary origin. *BMC Biol.* 2009;7(1):50. [PubMed: 19678920]
15. Szakács G, Váradi A, Özvegy-Laczka C, Sarkadi B. The role of ABC transporters in drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion and toxicity (ADME-Tox). *Drug Discov Today.* 2008;13(9–10):379–93. [PubMed: 18468555]
16. Müller J, Keiser M, Drozdik M, Oswald S. Expression, regulation and function of intestinal drug transporters: an update. *Biol Chem.* 2017 2 1;398(2):175–92. [PubMed: 27611766]
17. Chen ZS, Tiwari AK. Multidrug resistance proteins (MRPs/ABCCs) in cancer chemotherapy and genetic diseases. *FEBS J.* 2011 9;278(18):3226–45. [PubMed: 21740521]
18. Fletcher JI, Haber M, Henderson MJ, Norris MD. ABC transporters in cancer: more than just drug efflux pumps. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2010;10(2):147–56. [PubMed: 20075923]
19. Jin D, Ni TT, Sun J, Wan H, Amack JD, Yu G, et al. Prostaglandin signalling regulates ciliogenesis by modulating intraflagellar transport. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2014 9;16(9):841–51. [PubMed: 25173977]
20. Cole SPC. Targeting Multidrug Resistance Protein 1 (MRP1, ABCC1): Past, Present, and Future. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2014;54:95–117. [PubMed: 24050699]
21. Hannun YA, Obeid LM. Principles of bioactive lipid signalling: lessons from sphingolipids. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2008;9(2):139–50. [PubMed: 18216770]
22. Randolph GJ, Beaulieu S, Pope M, Sugawara I, Hoffman L, Steinman RM, et al. A physiologic function for p-glycoprotein (MDR-1) during the migration of dendritic cells from skin via afferent lymphatic vessels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998;95(12):6924–9. [PubMed: 9618515]
23. Robert HS, Friml J. Auxin and other signals on the move in plants. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2009 5 17;5(5):325–32. [PubMed: 19377459]
24. Miranda ER, Nam EA, Kuspa A, Shaulsky G. The ABC transporter, AbcB3, mediates cAMP export in *D. discoideum* development. *Dev Biol.* 2015;397(2):203–11. [PubMed: 25448698]
25. Yabe T, Suzuki N, Furukawa T, Ishihara T, Katsura I. Multidrug resistance-associated protein MRP-1 regulates dauer diapause by its export activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development.* 2005 7 15;132(14):3197–207. [PubMed: 15983401]
26. Ricardo S, Lehmann R. An ABC transporter controls export of a *Drosophila* germ cell attractant. *Science.* 2009;323(5916):943–6. [PubMed: 19213920]
27. Deshpande G, Manry D, Jourjine N, Mogila V, Mozes H, Bialistoky T, et al. Role of the ABC transporter Mdr49 in hedgehog signaling and germ cell migration. *Dev.* 2016 6 15;143(12):2111–20.
28. Kassmer SH, Rodriguez D, Langenbacher AD, Bui C, De Tomaso AW. Migration of germline progenitor cells is directed by sphingosine-1-phosphate signalling in a basal chordate. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:8565. [PubMed: 26456232]
29. Kassmer SH, Rodriguez D, De Tomaso AW. Evidence that ABC transporter-mediated autocrine export of an eicosanoid signaling molecule enhances germ cell chemotaxis in the colonial tunicate *Botryllus schlosseri*. *Development.* 2020 8 1;147(15):dev184663.
30. Shipp LE, Hill RZ, Moy GW, Gökirmak T, Hamdoun A. Abcc5 is required for cAMP-mediated hindgut invagination in sea urchin embryos. *Dev.* 2015;142(20):3537–48.
31. Giacomini KM, Huang S-MM, Tweedie DJ, Benet LZ, Brouwer KLR, Chu X, et al. Membrane transporters in drug development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2010;9(3):215–36. [PubMed: 20190787]
32. Davidson EH, Rast JP, Oliveri P, Ransick A, Calestani C, Yuh C-H, et al. A genomic regulatory network for development. *Science.* 2002;295(2002):1669–78. [PubMed: 11872831]
33. Peter IS, Davidson EH. A gene regulatory network controlling the embryonic specification of endoderm. *Nature.* 2011 6;474(7353):635–9. [PubMed: 21623371]
34. Annunziata R, Perillo M, Andrikou C, Cole AG, Martinez P, Arnone MI. Pattern and process during sea urchin gut morphogenesis: The regulatory landscape. *Genesis.* 2014;52(3):251–68. [PubMed: 24376127]
35. Burke RD. Structure of the digestive tract of the pluteus larva of *Dendraster excentricus* (Echinodermata: Echinozoa). *Zoomorphology.* 1981;98(3):209–25.

36. Annunziata R, Andrikou C, Perillo M, Cuomo C, Arnone MI. Development and evolution of gut structures: from molecules to function. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2019 9 24;377(3):445–58. [PubMed: 31446445]
37. Tu Q, Cameron RA, Davidson EH. Quantitative developmental transcriptomes of the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*. *Dev Biol.* 2014;385(2):160–7. [PubMed: 24291147]
38. Hamdoun AM, Cherr GN, Roepke TA, Epel D. Activation of multidrug efflux transporter activity at fertilization in sea urchin embryos (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*). *Dev Biol.* 2004;276(2):452–62. [PubMed: 15581878]
39. Bošnjak I, Uhlinger KR, Heim W, Smital T, Franeki - oli J, Coale K, et al. Multidrug Efflux Transporters Limit Accumulation of Inorganic, but Not Organic, Mercury in Sea Urchin Embryos. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2009 11;43(21):8374–80. [PubMed: 19924972]
40. Perillo M, Oulhen N, Foster S, Spurrell M, Calestani C, Wessel G. Regulation of dynamic pigment cell states at single-cell resolution. *Elife.* 2020 8 19;9.
41. Gökirmak T, Shipp LE, Campanale JP, Nicklisch SCT, Hamdoun A. Transport in technicolor: Mapping ATP-binding cassette transporters in sea urchin embryos. *Mol Reprod Dev.* 2014;81(9):778–93. [PubMed: 25156004]
42. Gökirmak T, Campanale JP, Shipp LE, Moy GW, Tao H, Hamdoun A. Localization and substrate selectivity of sea urchin multidrug (MDR) efflux transporters. *J Biol Chem.* 2012;287(52):43876–83. [PubMed: 23124201]
43. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods.* 2012;9(7):676–82. [PubMed: 22743772]
44. Cole SPC. Multidrug resistance protein 1 (MRP1, ABCC1), a “multitasking” ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter. *J Biol Chem.* 2014 11;289(45):30880–8. [PubMed: 25281745]
45. van Aubel R a MH, Smeets PHE, Peters JGP, Bindels RJM, Russel FGM. The MRP4/ABCC4 gene encodes a novel apical organic anion transporter in human kidney proximal tubules: putative efflux pump for urinary cAMP and cGMP. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2002;13(3):595–603. [PubMed: 11856762]
46. Wen J, Luo J, Huang W, Tang J, Zhou H, Zhang W. The Pharmacological and Physiological Role of Multidrug-Resistant Protein 4. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2015;354(3):358–75. [PubMed: 26148856]
47. Angerer LM, Yaguchi S, Angerer RC, Burke RD. The evolution of nervous system patterning: insights from sea urchin development. *Development.* 2011;138:3613–23. [PubMed: 21828090]
48. Pehrson JR, Cohen LH. The fate of the small micromeres in sea urchin development. *Dev Biol.* 1986 2;113(2):522–6. [PubMed: 3512335]
49. Juliano CE, Yajima M, Wessel GM. Nanos functions to maintain the fate of the small micromere lineage in the sea urchin embryo. *Dev Biol.* 2010;337(2):220–32. [PubMed: 19878662]
50. Juliano CE, Voronina E, Stack C, Aldrich M, Cameron AR, Wessel GM. Germ line determinants are not localized early in sea urchin development, but do accumulate in the small micromere lineage. *Dev Biol.* 2006 12 1;300(1):406–15. [PubMed: 16970939]
51. Campanale JP, Gökirmak T, Espinoza J a, Oulhen N, Wessel GM, Hamdoun A. Migration of sea urchin primordial germ cells. *Dev Dyn.* 2014;243(7):917–27. [PubMed: 24677545]
52. Yajima M, Wessel GM. Autonomy in specification of primordial germ cells and their passive translocation in the sea urchin. *Development.* 2012;139:3786–94. [PubMed: 22991443]
53. Ransick A, Rast JP, Minokawa T, Calestani C, Davidson EH. New early zygotic regulators expressed in endomesoderm of sea urchin embryos discovered by differential array hybridization. *Dev Biol.* 2002;246:132–47. [PubMed: 12027439]
54. Materna SC, Swartz SZ, Smith J. Notch and Nodal control forkhead factor expression in the specification of multipotent progenitors in sea urchin. *Development.* 2013;140(8):1796–806. [PubMed: 23533178]
55. Luo Y-J, Su Y-H. Opposing Nodal and BMP Signals Regulate Left–Right Asymmetry in the Sea Urchin Larva. Hamada H, editor. *PLoS Biol.* 2012 10 9;10(10):e1001402. [PubMed: 23055827]

56. Cheung L, Flemming CL, Watt F, Masada N, Yu DMT, Huynh T, et al. High-throughput screening identifies Ceefourin 1 and Ceefourin 2 as highly selective inhibitors of multidrug resistance protein 4 (MRP4). *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2014;91(1):97–108. [PubMed: 24973542]
57. Nakajima Y, Burke RD. The Initial Phase of Gastrulation in Sea Urchins Is Accompanied by the Formation of Bottle Cells. *Dev Biol.* 1996 11;179(2):436–46. [PubMed: 8903358]
58. Müller P, Rogers KW, Yu SR, Brand M, Schier AF. Morphogen transport. *Development.* 2013;140:1621–38. [PubMed: 23533171]
59. Wielinga PR, van der Heijden I, Reid G, Beijnen JH, Wijnholds J, Borst P. Characterization of the MRP4- and MRP5-mediated Transport of Cyclic Nucleotides from Intact Cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2003 5 16;278(20):17664–71. [PubMed: 12637526]
60. Wijnholds J, Mol CAAM, van Deemter L, de Haas M, Scheffer GL, Baas F, et al. Multidrug-resistance protein 5 is a multispecific organic anion transporter able to transport nucleotide analogs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2000 6 20;97(13):7476–81. [PubMed: 10840050]
61. Reid G, Wielinga P, Zelcer N, van der Heijden I, Kuil A, de Haas M, et al. The human multidrug resistance protein MRP4 functions as a prostaglandin efflux transporter and is inhibited by nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2003;100(16):9244–9. [PubMed: 12835412]
62. Jedlitschky G, Leier I, Buchholz U, Barnouin K, Kurz G, Keppler D. Transport of glutathione, glucuronate, and sulfate conjugates by the MRP gene-encoded conjugate export pump. *Cancer Res.* 1996;56(5):988–94. [PubMed: 8640791]
63. Wada H, Satoh N. Phylogenetic relationships among extant classes of echinoderms, as inferred from sequences of 18S rDNA, coincide with relationships deduced from the fossil record. *J Mol Evol.* 1994 1;38(1).
64. Korostylev A, Mahaddalkar PU, Keminer O, Hadian K, Schorpp K, Gribbon P, et al. A high-content small molecule screen identifies novel inducers of definitive endoderm. *Mol Metab.* 2017 7;6(7):640–50. [PubMed: 28702321]
65. Bogacheva MS, Khan S, Kanninen LK, Yliperttula M, Leung AW, Lou Y-R. Differences in definitive endoderm induction approaches using growth factors and small molecules. *J Cell Physiol.* 2018;233(4):3578–89. [PubMed: 29044512]
66. Chen S, Borowiak M, Fox JL, Maehr R, Osafune K, Davidow L, et al. A small molecule that directs differentiation of human ESCs into the pancreatic lineage. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2009 4;5(4):258–65. [PubMed: 19287398]
67. Solek CM, Oliveri P, Loza-Coll M, Schrankel CS, Ho ECH, Wang G, et al. An ancient role for Gata-1/2/3 and Scl transcription factor homologs in the development of immunocytes. *Dev Biol.* 2013 10;382(1):280–92. [PubMed: 23792116]
68. Schrankel CS, Solek CM, Buckley KM, Anderson MK, Rast JP. A conserved alternative form of the purple sea urchin HEB/E2–2/E2A transcription factor mediates a switch in E-protein regulatory state in differentiating immune cells. *Dev Biol.* 2016;416(1):149–61. [PubMed: 27265865]
69. Andrikou C, Pai C-Y, Su Y-H, Arnone MI. Logics and properties of a genetic regulatory program that drives embryonic muscle development in an echinoderm. *Elife.* 2015 7 28;4:1–22.
70. Andrikou C, Iovene E, Rizzo F, Oliveri P, Arnone M. Myogenesis in the sea urchin embryo: the molecular fingerprint of the myoblast precursors. *Evodevo.* 2013;4(1):33. [PubMed: 24295205]
71. Campanale JP, Hamdoun A. Programmed reduction of ABC transporter activity in sea urchin germline progenitors. *Development.* 2012;139(4):783–92. [PubMed: 22274698]
72. Martik ML, McClay DR. Deployment of a retinal determination gene network drives directed cell migration in the sea urchin embryo. *Elife.* 2015 9 24;4:e08827. [PubMed: 26402456]
73. Konieczna A, Erdšová B, Lichnovská R, Jandl M, řízková K, Ehrmann J. Differential expression of ABC transporters (MDR1, MRP1, BCRP) in developing human embryos. *J Mol Histol.* 2011;42(6):567–74. [PubMed: 22012127]
74. Miki Y, Suzuki T, Tazawa C, Blumberg B, Sasano H. Steroid and xenobiotic receptor (SXR), cytochrome P450 3A4 and multidrug resistance gene 1 in human adult and fetal tissues. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2005;231:75–85. [PubMed: 15713537]

75. Fischer S, Klüver N, Burkhardt-Medicke K, Pietsch M, Schmidt A-M, Wellner P, et al. Abcb4 acts as multixenobiotic transporter and active barrier against chemical uptake in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos. *BMC Biol.* 2013;11(1):69. [PubMed: 23773777]
76. Han LW, Gao C, Mao Q. An update on expression and function of P-gp/ABCB1 and BCRP/ABCG2 in the placenta and fetus. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2018 8 3;14(8):817–29. [PubMed: 30010462]
77. Thompson CA, DeLaForest A, Battle MA. Patterning the gastrointestinal epithelium to confer regional-specific functions. *Dev Biol.* 2018 3;435(2):97–108. [PubMed: 29339095]
78. Li H, Wang H. Activation of xenobiotic receptors: Driving into the nucleus. *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism and Toxicology.* 2010.
79. Goldstone JV, Hamdoun A, Cole BJ, Howard-Ashby M, Nebert DW, Scally M, et al. The chemical defenseome: Environmental sensing and response genes in the *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* genome. *Dev Biol.* 2006;300(1):366–84. [PubMed: 17097629]
80. Perillo M, Wang YJ, Leach SD, Arnone MI. A pancreatic exocrine-like cell regulatory circuit operating in the upper stomach of the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* larva. *BMC Evol Biol.* 2016;16(1):117. [PubMed: 27230062]
81. Strathmann RR. Larval Feeding. In: Giese AC, Pearse JS, Pearse VB, editors. *Reproduction of Marine Invertebrates Volume IX: General Aspects: Seeking Unity in Diversity.* Pacific Grove, CA: Blackwell Sci. Publ., Palo Alto/Boxwood Press; 1987. p. 465–550.
82. Turner JT, Tester PA. Toxic marine phytoplankton, zooplankton grazers, and pelagic food webs. *Limnol Oceanogr.* 1997 7;42(5):1203–13.
83. Ho ECH, Buckley KM, Schrinkel CS, Schuh NW, Hibino T, Solek CM, et al. Perturbation of gut bacteria induces a coordinated cellular immune response in the purple sea urchin larva. *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2016;94(9):861–74. [PubMed: 27192936]
84. Schuh NW, Carrier TJ, Schrinkel CS, Reitzel AM, Heyland A, Rast JP. Bacterial Exposure Mediates Developmental Plasticity and Resistance to Lethal *Vibrio lentus* Infection in Purple Sea Urchin (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*) Larvae. *Front Immunol.* 2020 1 14;10:3014. [PubMed: 31993052]
85. Panwala CM, Jones JC, Viney JL. A novel model of inflammatory bowel disease: mice deficient for the multiple drug resistance gene, *mdr1a*, spontaneously develop colitis. *J Immunol.* 1998;161(10):5733–44. [PubMed: 9820555]
86. Toomey BH, Epel D. Multixenobiotic Resistance in *Urechis caupo* Embryos: Protection From Environmental Toxins. *Biol Bull.* 1993 12;185(3):355–64. [PubMed: 29300623]
87. Toomey BH, Kaufman MR, Epel D. Marine bacteria produce compounds that modulate multixenobiotic transport activity in *Urechis caupo* embryos. *Mar Environ Res.* 1996 6;42(1–4):393–7.

**Fig. 1.**

ABCC1 is broadly expressed and ABCC4 genes are differentially expressed in the mesoderm and coelomic pouches throughout embryogenesis. ABCC1 and ABCC4 transporter transcripts were visualized by whole mount in situ hybridization. The name of each hybridized gene is shown on the left, and developmental time (hours post fertilization, hpf) and stages are indicated at the top. (A–G) ABCC1 is broadly expressed across development and becomes enriched in the stomach. The diffuse global staining of ABCC1 indicates ubiquitous constitutive expression in the egg and throughout development up to the gastrula stage (A–E). Additional transcript accumulation is present in the apical plate of gastrulae (E). By the larval stage (G), ABCC1 transcripts also accumulate in the stomach (sto) and the ciliary band (cb). (H–N) ABCC4 is expressed in early mesoderm and coelomic pouches. ABCC4 is present in the egg (H) and becomes enriched in the early mesoderm at the vegetal plate of blastulae (I–J) and the tip of the archenteron of gastrulae (K–L). During prism to larval stages (M–N), ABCC4 is localized in both the coelomic pouches (cp). (O–S) ABCC4 co-localizes with markers of the non-skeletal mesoderm (NSM) and coelomic pouches. ABCC4 expression is present in the ring of NSM and overlaps with *gcm*, a marker for aboral mesoderm (O–P), but is not localized in the small micromeres located at the vegetal pole of the blastula (P, R). Single stains are shown for *gcm* (Q, vegetal view) and ABCC4 (R, vegetal view). During late-gastrulation, ABCC4 (cyan) is co-expressed with SoxE (magenta), a marker for coelomic pouch cells (S). ABCC4 is present throughout both coelomic pouches, whereas SoxE is restricted to the left pouch (S, 59 hpf insets). All animals in panels A–O and S are oriented as front view. Images in S are maximum projections of several merged confocal stacks. Scale bars, 20 μ M.




Fig. 2. FDA efflux activity mirrors ABCC4 mRNA expression domains in blastulae and gastrulae. Membrane-tagged embryos were incubated with fluorescent substrates specific to ABCC transporters (fluorescein diacetate, FDA (Shipp et al., 2015; Gökirmak et al., 2014; Gökirmak et al., 2012);). Regions with high transporter activity remain dark, whereas regions with brighter intracellular signal indicate lower substrate efflux. ABCC4 transporter activity can be blocked with Ceefourin2 (CF2 (Cheung et al., 2014);). (A) A ring of mesoderm in blastulae exhibits significant FDA efflux activity. FDA accumulates at lower levels in the non-skeletal mesoderm (NSM) compared to the surrounding ectoderm and the small micromeres (SMMs, future primordial germ cells) located at the vegetal pole. Insets (i-ii) show magnification of the vegetal plate. Efflux of FDA is abrogated by co-incubation

with CF2 (ii; 10 μM). (B) Efflux activity in the coelomic pouches is sensitive to ABCC4-specific inhibition. FDA assays were performed on membrane-tagged gastrulae. Insets (i-ii) show magnification of the foregut and coelomic pouch region. FDA accumulates at low levels in control WT pouches compared to the rest of the gut. FDA re-accumulates in this region after co-incubation with CF2 (10 μM). All images in A and C are maximum intensity projections of several 0.2 μM confocal stacks around the equatorial plane. Membrane overlay is shown in the bottom panels. Scale bars, 20 μM . CPs, coelomic pouches and foregut region. Hpf, hours post-fertilization. Images are representative of embryos from at least three independent mate pairs (Table 1, Table 2). Representative single-plane confocal slices are shown in Fig. S4.

In late blastulae, the ectodermal and primary mesenchyme (skeletal) cells quickly saturate with FDA (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the NSM exhibits significantly less FDA signal than the ectoderm (Fig. 2A-i), indicative of high efflux activity (Table 1, $p < 0.0001$). Blastulae consistently exhibit a 3.65-fold mean change in FDA accumulation between the ectoderm and the NSM (Table 1, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. S4A).




Fig. 3.

ABCB and ABCG transporter genes become enriched in endoderm-fated tissues throughout embryogenesis. ABCB1, B4, and G2 transcripts were visualized with whole mount in situ hybridization. The name of each hybridized gene is shown on the left, and developmental time (hours post fertilization, hpf) and stages are indicated at the top. (A–G) ABCB1 is ubiquitously expressed and becomes enriched in the gut. Staining indicates ubiquitous ABCB1 expression throughout development up to the gastrula stage. By late gastrula and prism stages (E–F), ABCB1 becomes enriched in the entire gut tube and in the apical plate (*), the site of a future sensory organ. By larval stage (G), ABCB1 is enriched in the stomach (sto) and faintly in the ciliary band (cb). (H–U) ABCB4 and ABCG2 are exclusively expressed in the gut. ABCB4 and ABCG2 are first expressed at the late gastrula stage (L, S) and are only present in the hindgut (hg) and midgut or stomach (mg/sto), but not the foregut (fg) of gastrulae and larvae (N, U). Expression is also absent in the coelomic pouches (cp). (V–W) Co-expression of ABCB1, ABCB4, and ABCG2 in gut tissues. Double FISH of gastrulae (V) and larvae (W) are shown, counterstained with DAPI. Examples of stronger co-localization in cells are highlighted with white arrows in gut insets (V2, W2). Images in V–W are maximum projections of several merged confocal stacks. All animals in

A-W are oriented as front view, except for M and T (shown in lateral view). Scale bars, 20 μ M.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 4. ABCB-specific activity is present throughout development and becomes enriched in gut tissues in prism and pluteus larvae. Membrane or histone-tagged embryos were incubated with fluorescent substrates that are specific to ABCB transporters (BODIPY-verapamil, B-ver). Transporter activity can be blocked with the ABCB-specific inhibitor PSC833 (Gökirmak et al., 2012). (A) Blastulae exhibit global ABCB transporter activity. B-ver accumulates at low levels throughout wildtype (WT) blastulae, compared to ABCB-inhibited embryos (PSC833; 1 μ M), indicating global ABCB efflux activity at this stage. (B–C) The stomach, intestine, and coelomic pouches exhibit the highest ABCB-specific efflux activity in gastrulae and larvae. B-ver accumulates at low levels in WT guts compared to the ectoderm of gastrulae (B) and larvae (C). Efflux of B-ver across all tissues can be abrogated

by co-incubation with PSC833 (1 μM). (D) Quantification of B-ver accumulation. Mean raw intensity measurements ($\pm\text{S.E.M}$) were compared across WT and PSC833-inhibited larvae. Differences between WT and inhibited embryos were tested by 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test on raw fluorescent values, blocked by batch (****, $p < 0.0001$; $n = 31\text{--}93$ pooled from 7 females). All images in A-C are maximum intensity projections of several 1.5 μM confocal stacks around the equatorial plane; membrane or histone overlay is shown in the bottom panels. All animals in A-B are oriented as front view, and the larval stage (C) is shown in lateral view. Scale bars, 20 μM . Ecto, ectoderm. Hpf, hours post-fertilization. MG, midgut (stomach). Images are representative of embryos from at least three independent mate pairs (Table 1, Table 3; Fig. S4). Representative single-plane confocal slices are shown in Fig. S4C.




Fig. 5. Summary of ABC transporter expression and activity domains throughout embryogenesis. For each schematic, times in hours post-fertilization (hpf) or days post-fertilization (dpf) and approximate stages are shown at the top, with key developmental events indicated at the bottom. (A) Summary of ABCC1 (white), ABCC4 (blue), and ABCC5 (magenta) expression domains. ABCC1 appears globally expressed and becomes enriched in ectoderm and endoderm-derived sensory and feeding structures by the end of embryogenesis. In contrast, ABCC4 exhibits ubiquitous expression in the egg and blastula, excluding the small micromeres, and then accumulates in the NSM and later expands to the mesodermally derived embryonic niche of PGCs, the coelomic pouches. The NSM domain partially overlaps with ABCC5, which is expressed in the aboral NSM and a subset of its derivatives, the pigment cells. Shade intensity of gray indicates the enrichment of ABCC1 expression in certain tissues. *ABCC5 expression and efflux data are from Shipp et al. (2012;2015). ABCG11 is also present in pigment cells by the gastrula stage (Perillo et al., 2020). A, aboral. O, oral. CP, coelomic pouch. PGC, primordial germ cell. (B) Summary of ABCB1 (light green), ABCB4 (yellow), and ABCG2 (orange) expression domains. Similar to ABCC1, transcript accumulation of ABCB1 exhibits a ubiquitous pattern that concentrates in feeding and sensory organs post-gastrulation. In contrast, significant transcript accumulation of ABCB4 and ABCG2 is first present in endoderm-fated tissues of the hindgut and midgut. Shade intensity of green indicates the enrichment of ABCB1 expression in certain tissues.

Table 1.

Fluorescent substrate accumulation patterns.

Substrate	Time	Tissue	Mean fold-change in accumulation	<i>P</i> value	n
FDA	24–27 hpf	Ectoderm vs NSM	3.646 ± 0.293	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	n = 37 from 5 females
	50–54 hpf	Midgut vs CPs/Foregut	4.997 ± 0.375	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	n = 31 from 4 females
B-ver	70–73 hpf	Ectoderm vs Midgut	4.227 ± 0.307	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	n = 44 from 7 females

The mean fold-changes in raw fluorescent intensity values were calculated (\pm S.E.M) from pooled embryos. Differences between tissues were tested by 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test on raw fluorescent values, blocked by batch. B-ver, BODIPY-Verapamil. CPs, Coelomic pouches. FDA, fluorescein diacetate. Hpf, hours post-fertilization. NSM, non-skeletal mesoderm.

Table 2.

FDA accumulation under ABCC4 inhibition

Time	Tissue	Inhibitor	Mean fold-change in FDA accumulation	P value	n
24–27 hpf	NSM:	CF1	2.575 ± 0.163	****, $p < 0.0001$	n = 38 from 5 females
		CF2	2.312 ± 0.122	****, $p < 0.0001$	n = 32 from 4 females
	Ectoderm:	CF1	1.275 ± 0.069	*, $p = 0.0262$	n = 38 from 5 females
		CF2	1.521 ± 0.129	**, $p = 0.0053$	n = 32 from 4 females
50–54 hpf	CPs/foregut:	CF2	2.040 ± 0.109	****, $p < 0.0001$	n = 23 from 3 females
	Ectoderm:	CF2	1.440 ± 0.092	ns, $p = 0.4717$	n = 23 from 3 females

The mean fold-changes in raw fluorescent intensity values were calculated (\pm S.E.M) from pooled embryos. Differences between WT and inhibited embryos were tested by 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test on raw fluorescent values, blocked by batch. CF1, Ceefourin 1. CF2, Ceefourin 2. CPs, coelomic pouches. FDA, fluorescein diacetate. Hpf, hours post-fertilization. NSM, non-skeletal mesoderm.

Table 3.**B-ver accumulation under ABCB inhibition**

Time	Tissue	Inhibitor	Mean fold-change in B-ver accumulation	P value	n
70–73 hpf	Stomach	PSC833	4.878 ± 0.271	****, $p < 0.0001$	n = 31 from 7 females
	Ectoderm	PSC833	3.200 ± 0.095	****, $p < 0.0001$	n = 93 from 9 females

The mean fold-changes in raw fluorescent intensity values were calculated (\pm S.E.M) from pooled embryos. Differences between WT and inhibited embryos were tested by 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test on raw fluorescent values, blocked by batch. B-ver, BODIPY-Verapamil. Hpf, hours post-fertilization.

Table 4.

Summary of expression domains for ABC transporters 0–72 hours post fertilization.

	ABCC1	ABCC4	ABCB1	ABCB4	ABCG2
Mesoderm: NSM	+	+++	+	-	-
Coelomic pouches	+	+++	+	-	-
Endoderm: Foregut	+	+	+	-	-
Midgut	++	-	+++	+++	+++
Hindgut	+	-	++	++	++
Ectoderm:	+	+	+	-	-
Apical organ/CB	++	-	+	-	-

+, relative level of expression; -, not detected in WMISH. CB, ciliary band. NSM, non-skeletal mesoderm.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript