Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ANOMALOUS DESORPTION

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/944026pt

Author

Nooney, Grove C.

Publication Date

1973-04-12

ANOMALOUS DESORPTION

Grove C. Nooney

April 12, 1973

Prepared for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

ANOMALOUS DESORPTION*

Grove C. Nooney

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

April 12, 1973

ABSTRACT

Diffusive desorption from certain films and fibers has been reported to proceed anomalously faster with increased external surface concentration. Such desorption requires a singular surface within the medium where the diffusion coefficient becomes zero or infinite over a certain range of concentration. This surface is located independently of external concentration and may be interpreted as delimiting a fixed skin with singular diffusion properties.

^{*}Work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

ANOMALOUS DESORPTION

Grove C. Nooney

The diffusive removal of a substance from a medium under the condition of constant exterior concentration of the substance ordinarily proceeds faster with a lower exterior concentration. Contrary behaviour has been reported¹, in which the rate of removal is anomalously increased with increased exterior concentration. This note shows that such anomalous desorption from a planar sheet requires the existence of a singular surface within the sheet where the diffusion coefficient of the sheet becomes zero or infinite over a certain range of concentration. The location of this surface in the sheet is independent of exterior concentration within the range evoking the anomalous desorption. The singular surface may be taken as the interior limit of a superficial skin on the sheet, confirming earlier intuitive conjectures about the anomaly. ¹

Consider diffusive desorption from a planar sheet extending in thickness from x=0 to x=1, and let $c_1(x,t)$ and $c_2(x,t)$ be the respective concentrations of diffusing substance within the sheet at point x and time t, continuous for $t \ge 0$, with the initial condition $c_1(x,0)=c_2(x,0)=1$ for $0\le x<1$ and the boundary conditions of no flow through the point x=0 and $c_1(1,t)=a_1\ge c_2(1,t)=a_2$ for $t\ge 0$. These are the simplest boundary conditions, and they describe one-half of a sheet that is symmetrical about x=0 with surfaces maintained at the same constant concentration. Suppose c_1 and c_2 to be piecewise twice continuously differentiable and to depend continuously on their boundary values.

The amount of diffusing substance remaining in the sheet between x = 0 and x = y at time t is proportional to

$$M_{i}(y, t) = \int_{0}^{y} c_{i}(x, t)dx,$$
 $i = 1, 2.$

The assertion of anomalous desorption is that

$$M_1(1,t) < M_2(1,t)$$
 (1)

during some period of time. This implies that there is an x = x(t) for which $c_1(x,t) = c_2(x,t)$. Let x' = x'(t) be the greatest such x. Necessarily 0 < x' < 1,

$$c_1(x,t) > c_2(x,t)$$
 for $x^1 < x \le 1$, (2)

and

$$\frac{\partial c_1(x',t)}{\partial x} \ge \frac{\partial c_2(x',t)}{\partial x} . \tag{3}$$

Here and in the sequel functions are defined by continuity from the right-hand side.

Relations (1) and (2) imply

$$M_4(x^1, t) \le M_2(x^1, t)$$
. (4)

Let \hat{t} be the greatest lower bound of those t for which relation (4) holds, and let \hat{x} be the limiting value of x'(t) as t tends to \hat{t} . Then

$$M_1(\widehat{x}, \widehat{t}) = M_2(\widehat{x}, \widehat{t}). \tag{5}$$

The concentrations ci satisfy the diffusion equation,

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(D \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x} \right) , \qquad i = 1, 2$$

where D = D(x, c) is the non-negative diffusion coefficient, assumed piece-wise continuous in x. Then the rate of change of $M_i(x', t)$ with respect to t is

$$\frac{dM_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}^{!},t)}{dt} = c_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}^{!},t) \quad \frac{d\mathbf{x}^{!}}{dt} + D[\mathbf{x}^{!},c_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}^{!},t)] \quad \frac{\partial c_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}^{!},t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{i} = 1,2.$$

This yields

$$\frac{dM_1(x',t)}{dt} = \frac{dM_2(x',t)}{dt} = D(t) \frac{\partial c_1(x',t)}{\partial x} - D(t) \frac{\partial c_2(x',t)}{\partial x},$$

where $D(t) - D[x', c_1(x', t)] = D[x', c_2(x', t)]$. If D(t) were neither zero nor infinite, then relation (3) would allow the conclusion,

$$\frac{\mathrm{dM}_{1}(\mathbf{x}^{1},t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \geq \frac{\mathrm{dM}_{2}(\mathbf{x}^{1},t)}{\mathrm{d}t}.$$
 (6)

However, relations (5) and (6) are incompatible with relation (4), and it follows that D(t) is zero or infinite over some interval in t beginning at t: $t \le t < t_0$, say. Both \hat{t} and t_0 depend on a_1 and a_2 .

To show x' constant in that time period, apply the foregoing argument to $c_1(x,t)$ and $c_2(x,t+\Delta t)$ with the positive Δt chosen small enough so that $M_1(1,t) \leq M_2(1,t+\Delta t)$. The argument then proceeds virtually unchanged to the conclusion that the common value, $D[z,c_1(z,t)] = D[z,c_2(z,t+\Delta t)], \text{ is zero or infinite, with } z=x'+\Delta x', \text{ say, standing for the new value of } x'. \text{ Since D is piece-wise continuous and } \Delta x' \text{ may be made arbitrarily small by small enough } \Delta t, \text{ it follows}$

that $D[x, c_1(x, t)]$ is zero or infinite over some interval $\hat{x} \le x \le \hat{x} + \Delta \hat{x}$. Since the flow, $-D\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}1$, through \hat{x} must be positive and finite, the piecewise continuity of both $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x}1$ and D implies that $\Delta \hat{x} = 0$. Therefore, $x'(t) = \hat{x}$, and x' is constant over a time interval that may be extended to the interval $\hat{t} \le t < t_0$ by repeating the argument with successively increased values of Δt . Independence of \hat{x} from the boundary values may be obtained in a similar way, by applying the original argument to the concentrations with boundary values a_1 and $a_2 + \Delta a_2$ and then repetitively extending the conclusion of independence to all boundary values within the range evoking the anomaly.

The temporal constancy means that $D(\hat{x}, c)$ is zero or infinite over some (perhaps degenerate) interval in c, $\max_{1} c_1(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \ge c \ge \min_{1 \le 1} c_1(\hat{x}, t_0)$, where the extrema are determined with respect to all a_1 and a_2 that evoke the anomalous desorption.

Thus is established as a necessary condition for anomalous desorption from a sheet that the diffusion coefficient D(x, c) become zero or infinite for c within a certain interval and a fixed x. This result extends to time-dependent diffusion the existence of a singular surface in steady-state anomalous flow. The same necessary condition holds for anomalous sorption, analogously defined, and for anomalous sorption and desorption from a circular cylinder (the case of filaments or fibres). Finally, the immediate extension to heat conduction allows conclusions about the anomalous effects of insulating layers.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Crank, Proc. Phys. Soc., 1950, 63, 484.
- 2. G. Nooney, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. II, 1973, 69, 330.

-LEGAL NOTICE-

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

y