UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Homozygosity Mapping and Genetic Analysis of Autosomal Recessive Retinal Dystrophies in 144 Consanguineous Pakistani FamiliesPakistani RP Study

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/91v5s56h>

Journal Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science, 58(4)

ISSN 0146-0404

Authors

Li, Lin Chen, Yabin Jiao, Xiaodong [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/91v5s56h#author)

Publication Date 2017-05-10

DOI

10.1167/iovs.17-21424

Peer reviewed

Homozygosity Mapping and Genetic Analysis of Autosomal Recessive Retinal Dystrophies in 144 Consanguineous Pakistani Families

Lin Li,^{1,2} Yabin Chen,² Xiaodong Jiao,² Chongfei Jin,^{2,3} Dan Jiang,² Mukesh Tanwar,^{2,4} Zhiwei Ma,² Li Huang,^{2,5} Xiaoyin Ma,^{2,6} Wenmin Sun,^{2,5} Jianjun Chen,^{2,7} Yan Ma,² Oussama M'hamdi,² Gowthaman Govindarajan,² Patricia E. Cabrera,² Jiali Li,^{2,5} Nikhil Gupta,² Muhammad Asif Naeem,⁸ Shaheen N. Khan,⁸ Sheikh Riazuddin,^{8–10} Javed Akram,^{9,10} Radha Ayyagari,¹¹ Paul A. Sieving,¹² S. Amer Riazuddin,^{13,14} and J. Fielding Hejtmancik²

1Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

2Ophthalmic Genetics and Visual Function Branch, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States

3Department of Medicine, Brookdale University Hospital and Medical Center, New York, New York, United States

4Department of Genetics, Maharshi Dayanand University Rohtak, Haryana, India

5State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China 6Laboratory of Developmental Cell Biology and Disease, School of Ophthalmology and Optometry and Eye Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

7Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, and Tongji Eye Institute, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

8National Centre of Excellence in Molecular Biology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

⁹Allama Iqbal Medical College, University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan
¹⁰National Centre for Genetic Diseases, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University, Islamabad, Pakistan

¹¹Shiley Eye Institute, University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, California, United States
¹²National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States
¹³The Wilmer Eye Institute, States

Correspondence: Lin Li, Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine. No. 639, Zhizaoju Road, Huangpu District, Shanghai 200011; jannetlee1300@163.com.

LL and YC contributed equally to the work presented here and should therefore be regarded as equivalent first authors.

SAR and JFH contributed equally to the work presented here and should therefore be regarded as equivalent authors.

Submitted: January 3, 2017 Accepted: February 22, 2017

Citation: Li L, Chen Y, Jiao X, et al. Homozygosity mapping and genetic analysis of autosomal recessive retinal dystrophies in 144 consanguineous Pakistani families. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2017;58:2218–2238. DOI: 10.1167/iovs.17-21424

PURPOSE. The Pakistan Punjab population has been a rich source for identifying genes causing or contributing to autosomal recessive retinal degenerations (arRD). This study was carried out to delineate the genetic architecture of arRD in the Pakistani population.

METHODS. The genetic origin of arRD in a total of 144 families selected only for having consanguineous marriages and multiple members affected with arRD was examined. Of these, causative mutations had been identified in 62 families while only the locus had been identified for an additional 15. The remaining 67 families were subjected to homozygosity exclusion mapping by screening of closely flanking microsatellite markers at 180 known candidate genes/loci followed by sequencing of the candidate gene for pathogenic changes.

RESULTS. Of these 67 families subjected to homozygosity mapping, 38 showed homozygosity for at least one of the 180 regions, and sequencing of the corresponding genes showed homozygous cosegregating mutations in 27 families. Overall, mutations were detected in approximately 61.8 % (89/144) of arRD families tested, with another 10.4% (15/144) being mapped to a locus but without a gene identified.

CONCLUSIONS. These results suggest the involvement of unmapped novel genes in the remaining 27.8% (40/144) of families. In addition, this study demonstrates that homozygosity mapping remains a powerful tool for identifying the genetic defect underlying genetically heterogeneous arRD disorders in consanguineous marriages for both research and clinical applications.

Keywords: homozygosity mapping, genetic analysis, autosomal recessive retinal dystrophies, consanguineous

Hereditary retinal dystrophies (RD) constitute a group of inherited retinal diseases characterized by chronic and progressive visual impairment, genetic heterogeneity, and significant clinical overlap among the different disorders. To date, mutations in more than 200 genes are known to cause the different forms of RD¹ as summarized in RetNet (https://sph. uth.edu/Retnet/; in the public domain). Retinal dystrophies can be inherited as autosomal recessive (ar), autosomal dominant

Copyright 2017 The Authors iovs.arvojournals.org j ISSN: 1552-5783 2218

TABLE 1. Summary of Microsatellite Markers for Homozygous Mapping

TABLE 1. Continued

Based on the Généthon map in the National Center for Biotechnology Information, a single microsatellite marker with heterozygosity greater than 0.75 or two markers with heterozygosity less than 0.75 but above 0.5 located within 2 Mb of each candidate gene/locus were selected for each disease locus. SVD, snowflake vitreoretinal degeneration; SMD, Stargardt-like macular dystrophy; MD, macular dystrophy; CRD, cone–rod dystrophy; ARB, autosomal recessive bestrophinopathy; FF, fundus flavimaculatus; ESC, enhanced S-cone syndrome; US, Usher syndrome; SLSN, Senior-Loken syndrome; BCD, Bietti's crystalline dystrophy; CD, cone dystrophy; BBS, Bardet-Biedl syndrome; OA, optic atrophy; FEVR, familial exudative vitreoretinopathy; CRN, congenital retinal nonattachment; A, achromatopsia; SFD, Sorsby's fundus dystrophy; N, nanophthalmols; M, microphthalmus; KFS, Klippel Feil syndrome; FA, fundus albipunctatus; JS, Joubert syndrome, MORM, MORM syndrome; NIV, neovascular inflammatory vitreoretinopathy; CODA, cavitary optic disc anomalies; DCA, delayed cone adaptation; VRD, vitreoretinal dystrophy; BFR, benign fleck retina.

(ad), and X-linked (xl), as well as rare mitochondrial and digenic traits.² Rod or cone photoreceptor degenerations are two main groups of RDs.

Among the rod RDs, retinitis pigmentosa (RP, MIM no. 268000), a genetically and clinically heterogeneous retinal degeneration, is the most common worldwide, 3 having a worldwide prevalence estimated to be approximately 1 in 4000 individuals.4–14 Currently, mutations associated with RP have been identified in more than 82 genes, of which 58 have been shown to be relevant to arRP (RetNet). However, that these 82 genes are responsible only for around 60% of RP^{15,16} suggests that the number of currently unidentified genes causing RP might be quite high. In addition, the cone RDs, including cone- or cone–rod dystrophies (CORD) and the macular dystrophies, which mainly affect the central vision, have been associated with more than 30 genes (RetNet).

Pakistan has the highest prevalence of consanguineous marriages in the world, presumably because this practice provides a number of social and economic advantages.17 In a review of all published retinal degeneration cases in Pakistan, only 4 families with compound heterozygous mutations were identified in 146 (2.7%) genetically resolved arRD families, 18 further supporting the utility of homozygosity mapping in this population. Therefore to identify causative mutations in an ongoing study of large Pakistani arRD families with multiple affected individuals, we carried out homozygosity mapping of known RD loci followed by mutation screening of the genes in homozygous loci in 67 consanguineous families with arRD from Pakistan as a part of an ongoing international collaboration between the National Eye Institute (NEI), National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States, and the National Centre of Excellence in Molecular Biology (NCEMB), Allama Iqbal Medical College, and the National Centre for Genetic Diseases, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Medical University in Pakistan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Enrollment and Clinical Assessment of arRD Families

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the National Centre of Excellence in Molecular Biology and the

* Mutation would be expected to result in nonsense-mediated decay.

Combined NeuroScience Institutional Review Board at the National Institutes of Health. Written informed consent consistent with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki was obtained from participating individuals or their guardians before the study. Families segregating arRD with three or more affected individuals were identified by visiting eye hospitals in Pakistan, mostly in the Punjab. Blood samples were drawn from potentially informative family members, and genomic DNA was extracted from leukocytes according to standard protocols.¹⁹ All participants underwent a detailed family, ophthalmic, and medical history, and selected individuals were evaluated by visual acuity, best-corrected visual acuity, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, fundus photography, and electroretinography (ERG). Previously, as part of this project, 77 families had been mapped by linkage analysis to specific chromosomal locations; the causative gene and mutations had been identified in 62 while for the remaining 15 only the locus had been identified. For the current homozygosity exclusion mapping study, 67 families were selected from the remaining unlinked families based on the availability of DNA samples and consanguineous marriages of the parents of affected individuals. Eight of these families have undergone whole genome linkage analysis without identified causative genes while the remaining 59 families were not screened. Families with possible dominant or X-linked inheritance were excluded, and some families with only two affected offspring of consanguineous matings were included in the early parts of the study.

Homozygosity Mapping and Linkage Analysis

One hundred eighty genes or loci associated with inherited retinal diseases were selected from RetNet (https://sph.uth. edu/Retnet/) and screened by homozygosity exclusion mapping (Table 1). Homozygosity genotyping of 188 microsatellite markers was done in one affected individual of each family. Loci homozygous in the first individual were genotyped in a second affected family member and, if also

One homozygous instance of the c.1208G>A, p.(R403Q) variant was identified in 96 healthy individuals. PP2, PolyPhen2; S, SIFT; C, Condel; PrD, probably damaging; B, benign; T, tolerated; N, neutral; Phen, phenotype; P, progressive; PoD, possibly damaging; DA, damaging; D, deleterious; N, neutral; N/A, not applicable.

TABLE 4. Two-Point LOD Scores of arRD Gene Markers in 31 Families

homozygous, in a third affected offspring of consanguineous parents. When when no single marker with a heterozygosity of 75% or greater was available, two markers were tested. We tested two markers within 1 to 2 cM of the candidate gene with heterozygosities over 50%, but approximately 50% of families would be expected to show discordant results for these markers. Thus our assessment was that most discordant homozygosity would be the result of low information content rather than recombination between the two markers. Families uninformative for these markers were genotyped using additional surrounding markers. Families in which homozygosity was shared only by all affected siblings were further investigated by genotyping additional individuals for confirmation of cosegregation. A variant of the multiplexing short tandem repeat with tailed primers (MSTP) approach described by Oetting et. al.,²⁰ using fluorescently labeled tagged

primers homologous to extensions on initial primers in a two-PCR approach, was used to genotype these microsatellite markers. The PCR products were multiplex electrophoresed on an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and fragment sizes were determined by GeneMapper version 4.0 (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences and PCR conditions are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Two-point linkage analyses were performed using the FASTLINK modification of the MLINK program in the LINKAGE program package.21,22 Maximum logarithm of the odds (LOD) scores were calculated using ILINK, and LINKMAP was used for multipoint analysis. Autosomal recessive RD was analyzed as a fully penetrant trait with an affected allele frequency of 0.00001. The criteria for establishing linkage have been described previously.23 The length of the homozygous regions

FIGURE 2. Family 61220, 61166, 61219, 61086, 61042 and 61036 structure and haplotype of flanking markers of loci identified by homozygosity mapping. DNA sequence tracings confirming the mutations are shown adjacent to the pedigrees, and cross-species conservation of amino acids showing missense mutations is shown on the right.

was 3 Mb on average. Haplotypes were generated using the Cyrillic 2.1 program (Cyrillic Software, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK) and confirmed by inspection.

Mutations were submitted to the LOVD (http://databases. lovd.nl/shared/variants; in the public domain).

Screening Candidate Genes

Based upon cosegregation of the risk haplotypes in a family, mutations in the exons and 100 bp of flanking intronic regions of the included known candidate gene associated with inherited retinal diseases were analyzed by Sanger sequencing using ABI PRISM 3130 automated sequencers (Applied Biosystems) and assembled and analyzed with Seqman software (DNAStar Lasergene 8; Madison, WI, USA) and Mutation Surveyor (SoftGenetics, State College, PA, USA).

Assessing Pathogenicity of Identified Variants

A mutation was considered novel if it was not present in the Human Mutation Database Professional Version on Biobase (https://portal.biobase-international.com/cgi-bin/portal/login. cgi; in the public domain) or the National Center for Biotechnology Information dbSNP database (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/; in the public domain), and sequence changes were considered pathogenic when they segregated with the disease in the family as well as their absence in 192 ethnically matched control chromosomes or at a frequency >

FIGURE 3. Family 61221, 61192, 61016, 61015 and 61155 structure and haplotype of flanking markers of loci identified by homozygosity mapping. DNA sequence tracings confirming the mutations are shown adjacent to the pedigrees, and cross-species conservation of amino acids showing missense mutations is shown on the right.

1% in the ExAC database (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/; in the public domain); and for missense changes were judged pathogenic in a computational test for mutations, including sorting intolerant from tolerant (SIFT and PROVEAN, http://sift. jcvi.org/; in the public domain) analysis, polymorphism phenotyping (PolyPhen2, http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/ pph2/; in the public domain), and Condel (http://bg.upf.edu/ fannsdb/; in the public domain). A SIFT score below the cutoff of 0.05 for a given substitution is classified as damaging while those with scores higher than this value are considered tolerated. In addition, we used Condel²⁴ (CONsensus DELeteriousness score of missense SNVs), which computes a weighted average of the scores (WAS) of five tools: SIFT, PolyPhen2, MAPP (Multivariate Analysis of Protein Polymorphism), LogR Pfam E-value, and Mutation Assessor. Splicing changes were predicted using Automated Splice Site Analyses (http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/splice.html; in the public domain).

Intragenic Haplotype Analysis for Families Sharing the Same Variation

If the same variation was detected in more than one family, haplotypes of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) intragenic or within 1 Mb of the mutated gene were genotyped. The frequency of the risk haplotype in the general population was calculated from 96 unrelated Pakistani controls via the CHM algorithm as implemented in the Golden Helix SVS package (Golden Helix, Bozeman, MT, USA).

RESULTS

Patient Cohort and Homozygosity Screening

This cohort included 67 consanguineous families with more than two affected siblings. A total of 67 probands and all affected siblings or ancestors who received a clinical

FIGURE 4. Family 61058, 61076, 61169, 61150, 61237 and 61267 structure and haplotype of flanking markers of loci identified by homozygosity mapping. DNA sequence tracings confirming the mutations are shown adjacent to the pedigrees, and cross-species conservation of amino acids showing missense mutations is shown on the right.

diagnosis of arRP were included in this study. Up to three affected individuals in each family were subjected to homozygosity screening in a stepwise manner. After screening the first affected patient with all 188 microsatellite markers, the average number of excluded markers for each family was 126 ($126/188 = 67%$ efficiency), with exclusion rates of 85% after screening a second affected individual and 93.0% a third, so that the average number of markers to be analyzed was reduced from 188 to 13 in each family (Fig. 1). After fine mapping using microsatellite markers, 29 families were excluded from linkage to any known RP gene, making the exclusion rate 43.3% for families undergoing homozygosity screening. The remaining 38 families showed homozygosity and cosegregation in at least 1 of the 180 regions containing known arRD genes or loci.

After sequencing the included candidate genes for which the remaining 38 families showed homozygosity and cosegregation, the underlying pathogenic mutations were revealed in 27 families (Table 2). $25-28$ In addition, variations considered benign by in silico prediction were detected in another four families (Table 3). The two-point LOD scores for markers in the homozygous chromosomal segments in these families are shown in Table 4. In seven families, no variations were identified by sequencing the known candidate genes within identified homozygous chromosomal regions, and their significance remains unclear.

Identification of Disease-Causing Variants in Homozygous Regions

Overall, 24 causative mutations of 20 genes were identified in 27 families, including 12 missense, 6 nonsense, 4 indel-induced frameshift mutations, and 2 splice-site mutations (the splicing

FIGURE 5. Family 61217, 61065, 61198, 61199, 61035 and 61126 structure and haplotype of flanking markers of loci identified by homozygosity mapping. DNA sequence tracings confirming the mutations are shown adjacent to the pedigrees, and cross-species conservation of amino acids showing missense mutations is shown on the right.

site change in CNGB1 was induced by an indel mutation). In all, 11 mutations were novel, and were not found in mutation databases or in 192 ethnically matched control chromosomes, while the remaining 13 mutations had been reported previously. Each of the mutations was located within a homozygous region and cosegregated with the disease. For missense mutations, the substituted amino acid residues are highly conserved across species (Figs. 2–7), and in silico pathogenicity evaluation by PolyPhen2, SIFT, and Condel of the 12 missense mutations predicted these changes to be deleterious. Some variations were detected in two families, including c.536A>G (p.(K179R), family 61198 and 61199) and c.758T>G (p.(M253R), family 61035 and 61126) in RDH5, c.1466A>G (p.(K489P), family 61301 and 61309) in TULP1, and the probably nonpathogenic c.1946C>T (p.(S649L), family

61237 and 61267) in PROM1. Affected families who shared the same variations also shared a common haplotype of alleles at nearby intragenic SNPs, suggesting that the mutant allele was probably derived from a common ancestor (Supplementary Table S2). Although genes or loci previously associated only with autosomal dominant inherited retinal disease were also screened in the study, no mutations were identified in these genes or loci.

Details of Sequence Variations Identified by Homozygosity Mapping

Information regarding the 24 sequence variations felt likely to be causative identified by homozygosity screening, and phenotypes of the 27 families in which they occurred, is

FIGURE 6. Family 61113, 61262, 61231, 61312 and 61206 structure and haplotype of flanking markers of loci identified by homozygosity mapping. DNA sequence tracings confirming the mutations are shown adjacent to the pedigrees, and cross-species conservation of amino acids showing missense mutations is shown on the right.

provided in Table 2, and similar information for variations judged likely to be benign based on in silico predictions or presence in unaffected control individuals is provided in Table 3. The domain structure of the corresponding proteins and locations of the mutations with respect to these are shown in Figures 8 and 9, while the pedigree structure and corresponding haplotypes as well as sequence tracings and cross-species conservation for missense changes are shown in Figures 2 through 7, with the corresponding LOD scores summarized in Table 4.

Details of Sequence Variations Considered Likely to Be Deleterious

The c.847C $>$ T, p.(R283^{*}) mutation in *CERKL* seen in family 61219 is predicted to lead to truncation of the protein through a premature stop codon, and might be expected to lead to nonsense-mediated decay. However, this mutation has been shown to cause accumulation of truncated protein in the nucleus.²⁹ The c.55C>T, p.(R19^{*}) mutation in *GRK1* seen in family 61015 was predicted to lead to truncation of GRK1 protein and result in nonsense-mediated decay, and seems likely to result in a variant form of Oguchi disease, as described by Zhang et al.,³⁰ also predicted to result in nonsense-mediated decay; however, detailed clinical data sufficient for this diagnosis could not be obtained, so it is listed in Table 2 as having a stationary RD. Family 61058 (Table 2) contains a c.652C>G, p.(R218G) mutation in LCA5 segregating in a pseudo-dominant inheritance pattern, but the recessive nature of the mutation is confirmed by the sequencing results (Fig. 4). The c.227G>A p.(R76Q) mutation in the DNA-binding domain (DBD) of NR2E3 (Fig. 9) had been shown to increase dimerization significantly but to abolish DNA binding.³¹

FIGURE 7. Family 61301, 61309 and 61191 structure and haplotype of flanking markers of loci identified by homozygosity mapping. DNA sequence tracings confirming the mutations are shown adjacent to the pedigrees, and cross-species conservation of amino acids showing missense mutations is shown on the right.

Details of Sequence Variations Considered Likely to Be Benign

The previously described missense variation c.1208G>A, p.(R403Q) in $CNGB3^{32}$ seen in family 61221 (Fig. 3) was identified in a homozygous state in 1 of 96 control individuals. It was predicted to be probably damaging by PolyPhen2 but tolerated by SIFT and neutral by Condel, so it seems most likely only to be a rare variation (Table 3), although a modifying gene affecting the phenotype cannot be excluded. The novel c.4268C>T, p.(P1423L) variation in LRP5 was in an amino acid evolutionarily conserved from humans to zebrafish (Figs. 4, 9), had an allele frequency of 2.529×10^{-5} in the ExAC browser with no homozygotes listed in any population, and was not seen in 96 control individuals of Pakistani ethnic extraction. However, in silico analysis with PolyPhen2 predicted that it would be benign, with SIFT showing that it was tolerated, and Condel that it was neutral (Table 3). Hence, we treated this change as a rare variation even though the possibility that it might be responsible for disease in this family remains, especially since the phenotype in this family was progressive, consistent with a less severe mutation.

A novel missense variation c.1946C>T, p.(S649L) in PROM1 was detected and cosegregated with the disease in families 61237 and 61267 (Table 3; Fig. 4). S649 is only weakly conserved from human to chicken, but the substitutions, from serine to threonine, are relatively conservative (Fig. 4). This mutation does not occur in a transmembrane domain (Fig. 9) and is predicted to be benign by three in silico analyses. Thus, we presumed that this mutation is a rare variation, even though p.(S649L) was not found in 192 control chromosomes from the Pakistani population and had an overall frequency of 5.456×10^{-5} in the ExAC database, with all six variant alleles identified in the South Asian population with no homozygotes. Affected members of the two families share a common haplotype of alleles at 10 consecutive SNPs in and around PROM1 suggesting that the variant allele is derived from a common ancestor (Supplementary Table S2).

Clinical Features

An overview of the clinical data from affected individuals in each family is shown in Table 2. The clinical symptoms, age of onset, and mode of inheritance in these families are generally

FIGURE 8. Domain structure and mutations of proteins in which mutations were identified by homozygosity mapping: CDHR1, CEP290, CERKL, CNGA3, CNGB1, CNGB3, EYS, GRK1, GRM6, LCA5.

consistent with the form of retinal degeneration described in the literature and on RetNet. However, the clinical data available for this study are limited to ophthalmic history and examination, fundus photographs, and ERGs, so that it is difficult to distinguish closely related forms of the retinal disease, for example, Leber congenital amaurosis (LCA) or CORD from RP. Thus, we use RD to represent those families in which the clinical findings are consistent with the previously described retinal disease, but were insufficient to distinguish unambiguously among the various diseases previously associated with that gene: RP, LCA, congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB), or fundus albipunctatus, which together account for 8 of 27 (30%) families. Four of these had an early onset, but the differentiation between early-onset arRP and LCA, difficult with the best documentation, could not be made reliably. Thirteen families showed clear signs of RP. One family was consistent with LCA with typical fundoscopy, extinguished ERGs, and a history of a congenital onset accompanied by nystagmus. Four families that carried a homozygous RDH5 mutation received a diagnosis of fundus albipunctatus by fundus photographs. Of the remaining two families, one showed the typical signs of CSNB without progression, and the second was consistent with Usher syndrome (RP with deafness). Although there was no indication of vestibular dysfunction in any affected individual in this family, suggesting

Usher type 2 and consistent with the causative gene, this was simply listed as Usher syndrome because formal vestibular testing was not performed.

DISCUSSION

Consanguineous matings have long been known to increase the risk of recessive diseases by increasing the fraction of the genome that is homozygous and identical by descent, and thus the number of potentially deleterious alleles descended from a common ancestor 33 ; and homozygosity mapping provides an efficient means of localizing causative genes for recessive traits in these populations, 34 particularly in populations with high consanguinity rates. Pakistan, with consanguinity rates ranging from 17% to $38\%,^{35}$ is an optimal country in which to implement this approach.

In addition, the clinical phenotypes for some families are of particular interest. One such was family 61015, shown in Table 2 as having a stationary form of RD. The phenotype in this family, as far as it could be ascertained, was consistent with mutations in GRK1 causing Oguchi disease and was similar to that in a previous Pakistani family with a variant of Oguchi disease due to deletion of exon $3³⁰$ although the degree of recovery from dark adaptation could not be ascertained in this

FIGURE 9. Domain structure and mutations of proteins in which mutations were identified by homozygosity mapping: LRAT, LRP5, NR2E3, PROM1, RBP3, RDH5, RDH12, RP1, RPE65, RPGRIP1, TULP1, USH2A.

family. Thus, it is listed simply as a stationary RD, even though it is very likely to have Oguchi type CSNB. Another was family 61150, with a mutation in NR2E3. This gene primarily has been associated with enhanced S-cone syndrome and Goldmann-Favre syndrome. However, the phenotype in family 61150 is most consistent with progressive arRP, similar to that observed in a family of Portuguese ''crypto-Jews'' with a mutation in this gene.³⁶ In addition, Sharon et al.³⁷ have described NR2E3 mutations in a series of patients with clumped pigmentary retinal degeneration, who also might be consistent with the phenotype observed in this family.

Taken together with our previous characterization of autosomal recessive retinal degenerations (arRD) in Pakistan, we have studied a total of 144 consanguineous arRD families, in addition to 154 families with limited numbers of affected individuals or unclear inheritance patterns. Of these 144 families, we have identified putative causative variations in 40 genes and 11 loci so far, and these genes and loci collectively account for disease in 104 of the 144 families (72.2%) (Table 5; Fig. 10). The percentages of families who had variants in the 40 genes and 11 loci are shown in Figure 10, in decreasing order: RPE65 6.9% (10/144), TULP1 6.9% (10/144), RP1 4.9% (7/ 144), PDE6A and locus 4.9% (7/144), USH2A and new locus 3.5% (5/144), RDH5 2.8% (4/144), 11p11.2-q13.2 locus 2.1% (3/144), GRM6 2.1% (3/144), and 1p13.3 locus 2.1% (3/144). It should be noted that this tabulation counts families sharing an intragenic haplotype as separate families. Other genes or loci were identified in only one or two families in this cohort, respectively, accounting for less than 2% of the arRD population in Pakistan.

The most frequently mutated genes in arRD differ remarkably among the populations of different ethnic origins. RPE65 and TULP1 were the genes most frequently mutated in Pakistani patients with arRD, while the genes found to be most frequently mutated in other populations were RP1 in Saudi Arabians,³⁸ RDH12 in Spanish,³⁹ and USH2A worldwide.¹⁵ The overall rate of variant detection was 61.8% (89/ 144) in this study, which is comparable to the worldwide

AA, amino acid; Dis, disease; OD, Oguchi disease; RP, retinitis pigmentosa; FA, fundus albipunctatus; EORD, early-onset RD; RP/D, RP with deafness; EORP, early-onset RP; N/A, not available; Prog, progressive; Sta, stationary; U, unknown.

* Deletion beginning in intron 3 and extending beyond end of the BBS3 gene.

† Riazuddin S, written communication, 2017.

variation detection rate of 60% .¹⁵ A recent report that summarized 103 published Pakistani RD families¹⁸ found AIPL1 and CRB1 to be the most frequent causative genes, probably because LCA families accounted for approximately 20% of the arRD families, while there were significantly fewer LCA families in our patient cohort. In addition, the previous summary included families screened by sequencing previously identified candidate genes, which might tend to favor those genes identified early and/or widely publicized. In our study, sharing of variations by different families is likely to be the result of the variant allele being derived from a common ancestry, since all of the families that shared the same variation also shared common intragenic SNP haplotypes for the associated gene. In addition, although we included the 79 genes/loci reportedly responsible only for autosomal dominant RD, no mutations were identified in genes previously associated only with adRD.

Taken together, we failed to uncover the pathologic variation in 27.8% of families in our arRD patient cohort. Although 38 families showed homozygosity and cosegregation in at least 1 of the 180 regions containing known arRD genes or loci, no disease-causing mutations were identified in 11 of these, so that they are excellent candidates for identification of new arRD genes residing within linked regions in which no mutations were identified in the known candidate gene. The most promising approach to discover novel genes after such a systematic analysis of many consanguineous families is nextgeneration sequencing, although familial locus heterogeneity or compound heterozygous mutations might explain the phenotype of a small proportion of the families, as intrafamilial locus heterogeneity was detected in 15.3% of the families studied in a recent report of Pakistani families with hearing impairment.40 In addition, compound heterozygous mutations were identified in 2.7% of genetically resolved arRD families in a review of all published retinal degeneration cases in Pakistan.¹⁸ Although homozygosity mapping has been proven effective, a major limitation is that this type of analysis will overlook familial locus heterogeneity or compound heterozygous mutations.

In conclusion, homozygosity mapping of known genes is relatively inexpensive while still being accurate and comprehensive. Our results provide a key bridge between bench and bedside and should make genetic diagnosis of arRD in patients more accessible and practical. This should greatly enhance the clinical genetic counseling, diagnosis, and early intervention of arRD in the Pakistani population. These results also highlight the importance of analyzing the causative genes and their exons in different ethnic groups in a systematic and population-specific fashion.

FIGURE 10. Contributions of specific genes and loci to Pakistani families with arRP.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the family members for their participation in this study.

Supported in part by the Higher Education Commission Islamabad, Pakistan, and a grant from National Natural Science Foundation for Young Scholars of China (Grant No. 81300801), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81670892), Shanghai Youth Eastern Scholar (QD2015012), and National Eye Institute Grants EY000272 and R01EY021237-01 (RA, SAR).

Disclosure: L. Li, None; Y. Chen, None; X. Jiao, None; C. Jin, None; D. Jiang, None; M. Tanwar, None; Z. Ma, None; L. Huang, None; X. Ma, None; W. Sun, None; J. Chen, None; Y. Ma, None; O. M'hamdi, None; G. Govindarajan, None; P.E. Cabrera, None; J. Li, None; N. Gupta, None; M.A. Naeem, None; S.N. Khan, None; S. Riazuddin, None; J. Akram, None; R. Ayyagari, None; P.A. Sieving, None; S.A. Riazuddin, None; J.F. Hejtmancik, None

References

- 1. Berger W, Kloeckener-Gruissem B, Neidhardt J. The molecular basis of human retinal and vitreoretinal diseases. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2010;29:335–375.
- 2. Rivolta C, Sharon D, DeAngelis MM, Dryja TP. Retinitis pigmentosa and allied diseases: numerous diseases, genes, and inheritance patterns. Hum Mol Genet. 2002;11:1219– 1227.
- 3. Inglehearn CF. Molecular genetics of human retinal dystrophies. Eye. 1998;12:571–579.
- 4. Boughman JA, Conneally PM, Nance W. Population genetic studies of retinitis pigmentosa. Am J Hum Genet. 1980;32: 223–225.
- 5. Heckenlively J, Friederich R, Farson C, Pabalis G. Retinitis pigmentosa in the Navajo. Metab Pediatr Ophthalmol. 1981; 5:201–206.
- 6. Bunker CH, Berson EL, Bromley WC, Hayes RP, Roderick TH. Prevalence of retinitis pigmentosa in Maine. Am J Ophthalmol. 1984;97:357–365.
- 7. Hu DN. Prevalence and mode of inheritance of major genetic eye diseases in China. J Med Genet. 1987;24:584–588.
- 8. Puech B, Kostrubiec B, Hache JC, Francois P. Epidemiology and prevalence of hereditary retinal dystrophies in the Northern France [in French]. J Fr Ophtalmol. 1991;14:153– 164.
- 9. Haim M, Holm NV, Rosenberg T. A population survey of retinitis pigmentosa and allied disorders in Denmark. Completeness of registration and quality of data. Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh). 1992;70:165–177.
- 10. Peterlin B, Canki-Klain N, Morela V, Stirn B, Rainer S, Cerar V. Prevalence of retinitis pigmentosa in Slovenia. Clin Genet. 1992;42:122–123.
- 11. Hayakawa M, Fujiki K, Kanai A, et al. Multicenter genetic study of retinitis pigmentosa in Japan: I. Genetic heterogeneity in typical retinitis pigmentosa. Jpn J Ophthalmol. 1997;41: 1–6.
- 12. Haim M. Epidemiology of retinitis pigmentosa in Denmark. Acta Ophthalmol Scand Suppl. 2002;1–34.
- 13. Rosenberg T. Epidemiology of hereditary ocular disorders. Dev Ophthalmol. 2003;37:16–33.
- 14. Rohrschneider K, Greim S. Epidemiology of blindness in Baden, Germany [in German]. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd. 2004;221:116–121.
- 15. Hartong DT, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Retinitis pigmentosa. Lancet. 2006;368:1795–1809.
- 16. Ferrari S, Di Iorio E, Barbaro V, Ponzin D, Sorrentino FS, Parmeggiani F. Retinitis pigmentosa: genes and disease mechanisms. Curr Genomics. 2011;12:238–249.
- 17. Bittles A. Consanguinity and its relevance to clinical genetics. Clin Genet. 2001;60:89–98.
- 18. Khan MI, Azam M, Ajmal M, et al. The molecular basis of retinal dystrophies in Pakistan. Genes (Basel). 2014;5:176– 195.
- 19. Smith RJH, Holcomb JD, Daiger SP, et al. Exclusion of Usher syndrome gene from much of chromosome 4. Cytogenet Cell Genet. 1989;50:102-106.
- 20. Oetting WS, Lee HK, Flanders DJ, Wiesner GL, Sellers TA, King RA. Linkage analysis with multiplexed short tandem repeat polymorphisms using infrared fluorescence and M13 tailed primers. Genomics. 1995;30:450–458.
- 21. Lathrop GM, Lalouel JM. Easy calculations of lod scores and genetic risks on small computers. Am J Hum Genet. 1984;36: 460–465.
- 22. Schaffer AA, Gupta SK, Shriram K, Cottingham RW. Avoiding recomputation in genetic linkage analysis. Hum Hered. 1994; 44:225–237.
- 23. Lander E, Kruglyak L. Genetic dissection of complex traits: guidelines for interpreting and reporting linkage results. Nat Genet. 1995;11:241–247.
- 24. Gonzalez-Perez A, Lopez-Bigas N. Improving the assessment of the outcome of nonsynonymous SNVs with a consensus deleteriousness score, Condel. Am J Hum Genet. 2011;88: 440–449.
- 25. Henderson RH, Li Z, Abd El Aziz MM, et al. Biallelic mutation of protocadherin-21 (PCDH21) causes retinal degeneration in humans. Mol Vis. 2010;16:46–52.
- 26. Tuson M, Marfany G, Gonzalez-Duarte R. Mutation of CERKL, a novel human ceramide kinase gene, causes autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa (RP26). Am J Hum Genet. 2004;74:128–138.
- 27. Ajmal M, Khan MI, Neveling K, et al. Novel mutations in RDH5 cause fundus albipunctatus in two consanguineous Pakistani families. Mol Vis. 2012;18:1558–1571.
- 28. McKibbin M, Ali M, Mohamed MD, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlation for leber congenital amaurosis in Northern Pakistan. Arch Ophthalmol. 2010;128:107-113.
- 29. Bornancin F, Mechtcheriakova D, Stora S, et al. Characterization of a ceramide kinase-like protein. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2005;1687:31–43.
- 30. Zhang Q, Zulfiqar F, Riazuddin SA, et al. A variant form of Oguchi disease mapped to 13q34 associated with partial deletion of GRK1 gene. Mol Vis. 2005;11:977-985.
- 31. Roduit R, Escher P, Schorderet DF. Mutations in the DNAbinding domain of NR2E3 affect in vivo dimerization and interaction with CRX. PLoS One. 2009;4:e7379.
- 32. Thiadens AA, Roosing S, Collin RW, et al. Comprehensive analysis of the achromatopsia genes CNGA3 and CNGB3 in progressive cone dystrophy. Ophthalmology. 2010;117:825-830.e1.
- 33. Bittles AH, Neel JV. The costs of human inbreeding and their implications for variations at the DNA level. Nat Genet. 1994; 8:117–121.
- 34. Lander ES, Botstein D. Homozygosity mapping: a way to map human recessive traits with the DNA of inbred children. Science. 1987;236:1567–1570.
- 35. Hamamy H, Antonarakis SE, Cavalli-Sforza LL, et al. Consanguineous marriages, pearls and perils: Geneva International Consanguinity Workshop Report. Genet Med. 2011;13:841– 847.
- 36. Gerber S, Rozet JM, Takezawa SI, et al. The photoreceptor cell-specific nuclear receptor gene (PNR) accounts for retinitis pigmentosa in the Crypto-Jews from Portugal (Marranos), survivors from the Spanish Inquisition. Hum Genet. 2000;107:276–284.
- 37. Sharon D, Sandberg MA, Caruso RC, Berson EL, Dryja TP. Shared mutations in NR2E3 in enhanced S-cone syndrome, Goldmann-Favre syndrome, and many cases of clumped pigmentary retinal degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol. 2003; 121:1316–1323.
- 38. Abu-Safieh L, Alrashed M, Anazi S, et al. Autozygome-guided exome sequencing in retinal dystrophy patients reveals pathogenetic mutations and novel candidate disease genes. Genome Res. 2013;23:236–247.
- 39. Avila-Fernandez A, Cantalapiedra D, Aller E, et al. Mutation analysis of 272 Spanish families affected by autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa using a genotyping microarray. Mol Vis. 2010;16:2550–2558.
- 40. Rehman AU, Santos-Cortez RL, Drummond MC, et al. Challenges and solutions for gene identification in the presence of familial locus heterogeneity. Eur J Hum Genet. 2015;23:1207–1215.
- 41. Maranhao B, Biswas P, Gottsch AD, et al. Investigating the molecular basis of retinal degeneration in a familial cohort of Pakistani decent by exome sequencing. PLoS One. 2015;10: e0136561.
- 42. Sundin OH, Yang JM, Li Y, et al. Genetic basis of total colourblindness among the Pingelapese islanders. Nat Genet. 2000;25:289–293.
- 43. Di Y, Huang L, Sundaresan P, et al. Whole-exome sequencing analysis identifies mutations in the EYS gene in retinitis pigmentosa in the Indian population. Sci Rep. 2016;6:19432.
- 44. Zeitz C, Labs S, Lorenz B, et al. Genotyping microarray for CSNB-associated genes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50: 5919–5926.
- 45. Haider NB, Jacobson SG, Cideciyan AV, et al. Mutation of a nuclear receptor gene, NR2E3, causes enhanced S cone syndrome, a disorder of retinal cell fate. Nat Genet. 2000;24: 127–131.
- 46. Maranhao B, Biswas P, Duncan JL, et al. exomeSuite: whole exome sequence variant filtering tool for rapid identification of putative disease causing SNVs/indels. Genomics. 2014;103: 169–176.
- 47. Mackay DS, DevBorman A, Moradi P, et al. RDH12 retinopathy: novel mutations and phenotypic description. Mol Vis. 2011;17:2706–2716.
- 48. Kabir F, Ullah I, Ali S, et al. Loss of function mutations in RP1 are responsible for retinitis pigmentosa in consanguineous familial cases. Mol Vis. 2016;22:610–625.
- 49. Gu S, Lennon A, Li Y, et al. Tubby-like protein-1 mutations in autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Lancet. 1998;351: 1103–1104.
- 50. Ali S, Khan SY, Naeem MA, et al. Phenotypic variability associated with the D226N allele of IMPDH1. Ophthalmology. 2014;122:429–431.
- 51. Riazuddin SA, Zulfiqar F, Zhang Q, et al. Autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa is associated with mutations in RP1 in three consanguineous Pakistani families. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2005;46:2264–2270.
- 52. Fauser S, Munz M, Besch D. Further support for digenic inheritance in Bardet-Biedl syndrome. J Med Genet. 2003;40: e104.
- 53. Riazuddin SA, Zulfiqar F, Zhang Q, et al. Mutations in the gene encoding the alpha-subunit of rod phosphodiesterase in

consanguineous Pakistani families. Mol Vis. 2006;12:1283– 1291.

- 54. Kabir F, Naz S, Riazuddin SA, et al. Novel mutations in RPE65 identified in consanguineous Pakistani families with retinal dystrophy. Mol Vis. 2013;19:1554–1564.
- 55. Li D, Jin C, Jiao X, et al. AIPL1 implicated in the pathogenesis of two cases of autosomal recessive retinal degeneration. Mol Vis. 2014;20:1–14.
- 56. Zhang Q, Zulfiqar F, Xiao X, et al. Severe retinitis pigmentosa mapped to 4p15 and associated with a novel mutation in the PROM1 gene. Hum Genet. 2007;122:293–299.
- 57. Zhang Q, Zulfiqar F, Riazuddin SA, et al. Autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa in a Pakistani family mapped to CNGA1 with identification of a novel mutation. Mol Vis. 2004;10:884– 889.
- 58. Chen J, Smaoui N, Hammer M, et al. Molecular analysis of Bardet-Biedl syndrome families: report of 21 novel mutations in 10 genes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52:5317–5324.
- 59. Iqbal M, Naeem MA, Riazuddin SA, et al. Association of pathogenic mutations in TULP1 with retinitis pigmentosa in consanguineous Pakistani families. Arch Ophthalmol. 2011; 129:1351–1357.
- 60. Naz S, Ali S, Riazuddin SA, et al. Mutations in RLBP1 associated with fundus albipunctatus in consanguineous Pakistani families. Br J Ophthalmol. 2011;95:1019–1024.
- 61. Riazuddin SA, Shahzadi A, Zeitz C, et al. A mutation in SLC24A1 implicated in autosomal-recessive congenital stationary night blindness. Am J Hum Genet. 2010;87:523-531.
- 62. Li L, Nakaya N, Chavali VR, et al. A mutation in ZNF513, a putative regulator of photoreceptor development, causes autosomal-recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Am J Hum Genet. 2010;87:400–409.
- 63. Naeem MA, Chavali VR, Ali S, et al. GNAT1 associated with autosomal recessive congenital stationary night blindness. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53:1353–1361.
- 64. Khan SY, Ali S, Naeem MA, et al. Splice-site mutations identified in PDE6A responsible for retinitis pigmentosa in consanguineous Pakistani families. Mol Vis. 2015;21:871–882.
- 65. Valverde D, Solans T, Grinberg D, et al. A novel mutation in exon 17 of the beta-subunit of rod phosphodiesterase in two RP sisters of a consanguineous family. Hum Genet. 1996;97: 35–38.
- 66. Zeitz C, Robson AG, Audo I. Congenital stationary night blindness: an analysis and update of genotype-phenotype correlations and pathogenic mechanisms. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2015;45:58–110.
- 67. Sergouniotis PI, Robson AG, Li Z, et al. A phenotypic study of congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB) associated with mutations in the GRM6 gene. Acta Ophthalmol. 2012;90: e192–e197.
- 68. Shahzadi A, Riazuddin SA, Ali S, et al. Nonsense mutation in MERTK causes autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa in a consanguineous Pakistani family. Br J Ophthalmol. 2010;94: 1094–1099.
- 69. Riazuddin SA, Iqbal M, Wang Y, et al. A splice-site mutation in a retina-specific exon of BBS8 causes nonsyndromic retinitis pigmentosa. Am J Hum Genet. 2010;86:805–812.
- 70. Ali S, Riazuddin SA, Shahzadi A, et al. Mutations in the betasubunit of rod phosphodiesterase identified in consanguineous Pakistani families with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Mol Vis. 2011;17:1373–1380.
- 71. Ullah I, Kabir F, Iqbal M, et al. Pathogenic mutations in TULP1 responsible for retinitis pigmentosa identified in consanguineous familial cases. Mol Vis. 2016;22:797–815.
- 72. Nakamura M, Yamamoto S, Okada M, Ito S, Tano Y, Miyake Y. Novel mutations in the arrestin gene and associated clinical features in Japanese patients with Oguchi's disease. Ophthalmology. 2004;111:1410–1414.
- 73. Fu Q, Wang F, Wang H, et al. Next-generation sequencingbased molecular diagnosis of a Chinese patient cohort with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2013;54:4158–4166.