# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

### Title

ENERGY-DEPENDENT LOSSES IN PULSED-FEEDBACK PREAMPLIFIERS

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/91k9j4nc

## Author

Landis, Donald A.

## **Publication Date**

1978-11-01

eScholarship.org

CONF- 781033--44

Presented at the 1978 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium, Washington, D. C., October 18-20, 1978

٠.

•

LBL-8135



ENERGY-DEPENDENT LOSSES IN PULSED-FEEDBACK PREAMPLIFIERS

Donald A. Landis, Norman W. Madden, and Fred S. Goulding

November 1978

Prepared for the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48



Presented at the 1978 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium, October 18-20, 1978 Washington, D. C.

#### ENERGY-DEPENDENT LOSSES IN PULSED-FEEDBACK PREAMPLIFIERS

Donald A. Landis, Norman W. Madden, Fred S. Goulding

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720 U.S.A.

· · · · •

فشرحته والالا والمراج

Ϋ́́,

#### Preamplifier Design

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of a conventional pulsed-light feedback preamplifier. When the output voltage of the preamplifier exceeds a positive predetermined level, the charge restoration circuit is promptly activated. This is accomplished by turning on the LED that is optically coupled to the FET. The light from the LED (D) causes the drain to gate current to increases to a value (approximately 0.1-1  $\mu$ A) many orders of magnitude greater than the detector leakage. This causes the preamplifier output to go in a negative direction. When the output voltage exceeds a predetermined lower level the LED is turned off. This reset time is in the range of 1 to 10  $\mu$ s.

The loss of pulses activating the reset is easily calculated. If the range of the preamplifier output during reset is 2 V, and each detector pulse produces a 0.2 V step, and if we neglect the effect of detector leakage current, it requires ten pulses to activate a reset. The tenth pulse would be lost thereby producing 10% losses. If the detector pulses were only 20 mV, a 1% loss would result.

A block diagram of a pulsed-light feedback preamplifier which overcomes this problem is shown in Fig. 2. The reset circuit has two discriminators. The fixed threshold discriminator has a +2 V threshold and 4 V of hysteresis. If the preamplifier output voltage exceeds +2 V, then the LED is turned on and the output voltage rapidly goes in a negative direction until it reaches -2 V. The LED is then turned off. The other discriminator has a threshold that can be varied from 0 V to about 1.8 V. The setting of this threshold is dependent on the maximum energy to be measured by the spectrometer. When this discriminator fires a "Wait" one shot is triggered, and at the end of the "Wait" time, the fixed threshold discriminator is triggered. The "Wait" time is made longer than the time required to process the event in the main amplifier. The "Wait" time can be terminated early by a signal from the main amplifier just after the end of the signal processing time.

A schematic diagram of the new preamplifier is shown in Fig. 3. The preamplifier is built on two boards. The linear board contains a single charge-sensitive loop with a bipolar output. The front-end electronics, consisting of the detector, feedback capacitor, LED, FET, and FET heater are in the cryostat cooled nearly to liquid-nitrogen temperature. This stage has been designed to have a faster rise time than the usual pulsed-light feedback preamplifiers used in x-ray spectrometers. It is used at shorter main amplifier peaking times and also in systems where fast timing information is required. The faster rise time is obtained by using low collector capacitance transistors and bootstrapping these capacitances where needed. The preamplifier has better than 5 ns rise time when using a TIS75 FET at the input with a 0.5 pF feedback capacitor and a detector of 15 pF capacitance. The output swing is limited to  $\pm 2$  V by the discriminator on the logic board. The output transistors are protected against excessive current in case the output exceeds  $\pm 2$  V.

The logic board contains the two limit discriminators, the "Wait" oneshot, and LED driver. The LED driver can supply a positive or negative current to the LED depending on the kind of LED thet is available. For some time we have used the TIL 205 type (Visible Red) LED without lenses.<sup>8</sup> This type was mounted in a coaxial header with the outside grounded in our FET-LED package. It required a negative current to turn on the LED. Since Texas Instruments no longer manufactures this device we currently use the Spectronics\* SE 1450-2 (near I.R.) LED, and this drvice requires a positive current. The 555 circuit is used to flash an LED on the case of the preamplifier to visually indicate the reset rate.

Figure 4 shows a photograph of the detector, FET, LED, feedback capacitor, and FET heater assembly. Different types of FETs are used depending on the detector capacitance. The types used (from the smallest to the largest) are 2N4416, BF817, TIS75 (chips), and the SF88558. All are manufactured by Texas Instruments. Care must be taken to avoid any charge storage or fluorescent effects following a reset or the rate performance will be degraded. We have observed that some LEDs show light emmission after the current is shut off and that some capacitors exhibit charge-storage effects. This is more critical for gamma-ray than x-ray spectrometers because the noise level is a much smaller percentage of the reset amplitude in high-energy systems, and aftereffects of the reset are more prominent<sup>9</sup>.

\*Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

-4-

#### Experimental Results

The experimental results presented here are representative of a current system but not the very best. The detector used was a high-purity planar device, 1.25 cm thick and 3.8 cm in diameter. Its capacitance was 13 pF, and the detector bia: was 1500 V. The feedback capacitance was 0.5 pF. The FET used in this system was a TIS75 chip mounted on a 2N4416 type header. The main amplifier pile-up rejector and biased amplifier used in this work was our standard 11X8481P-5 x-ray amplifier system used with pulsed-light feedback. This version contains an improved base-line restorer of the wrap-around type. The peaking time used for all measurements except for the electronic resolution was 4.5  $\mu$ s, with the shaping circuit trimmed to have no under-shoot. Measurements were made of the electronic resolution as a function of the peaking time, of the gamma-ray resolution at low and high rates, of any energy-dependent losses and also of the coincidence timing resolution.

#### (i) Electronic Energy Resolution

The electronic energy resolution was measured using an RMS voltmeter with the amplifier gain normalized using the  $^{241}{\rm Am}$  60 keV gamma-ray.  $^{10}$ 

Figure 5 shows two curves of the (FWHM energy resolution)<sup>2</sup> versus the peaking time of the shaper in the main amplifier. The lower curve is the electronic resolution of the spectrometer with the final germanium detector; while the upper curve is the resolution when using a cooled lithium-drifted silicon test detector having about the same capacitance as the germanium detector. This test is performed to make sure that the final germanium system exhibits no additional noise due to series resistance or other potential noise sources in the detector. The 20% reduction in resolution shown in the germanium detector curve (due to the lower average energy per ple-electron pair) indicates that no additional noise sources are present. The general shape of these curves (eV<sup>2</sup> proportional to  $1/\tau$ ) shows the advantage of pulsed-light feedback in preventing additional low frequency noise due to the charge restoration system. This system "s capable of obtaining a resolution of 500 eV at very low energies.

The values in T\_ble 1 illustrate the rate performance of the spectrometer for two different gamma-ray energies ( $^{57}$ Co and  $^{60}$ Co), at low rates, and at 100 K counts per second.

	LOW RATE-5 kc/s		HIGH RATE-100 kc/s	
SOURCE	FWHM keV	FWTM keV	FWHM keV	FWTM keV
57 <sub>Co</sub> 122 keV	0.836	1.55	0.836	1.55
60 <sub>Co</sub> 1.17 MeV	1.80	3.63	1.80	3.71

TABLE 1

We observed no change in the measurment of the FWHM resolution (within the accuracy of the measurment (1-2%)) for count rates up to 100kc/s. The full-width at tenth maximum (FWTM) resolution did increase at the higher energy due to low-energy pulse pile-up. The resolution is worse than would be expected because the 848 amplifier contributes some noise at the short peaking times. We are developing a new amplifier with less input noise at high frequencies for use in high-energy spectrometers.

#### (iii) Energy-Dependent Dead-Time Losses

The preamplifier was fitted with a switch to permit operating the reset circuit with either delayed or prompt reset. Measurements were made using a pulse generator mixed with a gamma source at low rates and comparing the two operating modes. The input and output rates of the pulser counts were determined. As was expected, the delayed reset mode showed negligible losser compared to the prompt reset mode. A  $^{13}C(\gamma,n)$   $^{16}O$  source producing 6.13 MeV gamma-rays was used to make the comparison at high energy. The most prominent peak at high energy was the double escape peak from the 6.13 MeV gamma-ray (at about 5.1 MeV). The prompt reset mode exhibited a loss of 25%

of the counts in the 5.1 MeV peak. This is compared with zero losses in the delayed reset mode. This result was expected since the total ramp at the preamplifier output corresponded to 20 MeV (i.e. four times the signal size).

#### (iv) Timing Resolution

The coincidence time resolution was measured using a  $^{60}$ Co source. The second timing input was derived from a 2" x 2" NE 213 liquid scintillator and 8575 photomultiplier tube. The preamplifier output was differentiated and applied to a standard constant fraction discriminator with its threshold set to 1 MeV. The PMT anode was connected to another CFD with its threshold also set to 1 MeV. The relative timing was measured using a standard TAC and PHA. The FWHM of the timing resolution curve was 0.8 ns.

#### Conclusions

The pulsed-light reset preamplifier described in the paper gives excellent resolution at high rates without introducing the energy dependent losses associated with the loss of pulses that trigger the reset in a conventional pulsed-light reset preamplifier.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank R. Cordi, A. Jue, O. Malone and E. Shaw for fabricating the detector, mechanical parts and electronics used in this work, and M. Maigr for assisting us with some of the measurements.

#### References

 V. Radeka, "State of the Art of Low Noise Vmplifiers for Semiconductor Radiation Detectors", Proc. of the International Symposium on Nuclear Electronics, Versailles, Vol I pp 46/1-28,1969.

- F. S. Goulding, J. Walton and D. F. Malone, "An Opto-Electronic Feedback Preamplifier for High-Resolution Nuclear Spectroscopy", Nucl. Instr. and Methods, 71, 273-279, 1969.
- E. Elad, "Drain Feedback-A Novel Feedback Technique for Low-Noise Cryogenic Preamplifiers", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., <u>NS-19</u>, No. 1, pg. 403, Feb. 1972
- R. Kurz, "Low-Noise Preamplifier for High Count Rates". Internal report from Zentrallabor Fur Elektronik, Kernforschungsanlage Julich, Germany.
- K. Kandiah and A. Stirling, <u>Semiconductor Nuclear Particle Detectors and</u> <u>Circuits</u>, National Academy of Science Publication 1593, Washington, D. C., pg. 495, 1969.
- V. Radeka, "Charge Amplification Without Charger Leak Resistor", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., NS-17, No. 3, pg. 433, June, 1970.
- D. A. Landis, F. S. Goulding, R. H. Pehl and J. T. Walton, "Pulsed Feedback Techniques for Semiconductor Detector Radiation Spectrometers", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., <u>NS-18</u>, No. 1, pg. 115, Feb1971.
- F. S. Goulding, J. T. Walton and R. H. Pehl, "Recent Results On The Optoelectronic Feedback Preamplifier", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., <u>NS-17</u>, No. 1, pg. 220, Feb. 1970.
- F. S. Goulding and D. A. Landis, "Some Electronic Aspects of Energy Measurements with Solid State Oetectors", IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., XS-25, No. 2, pg. 898, April 1978.
- "IEEE Standard Test Procedures for Amplifiers and Preamplifiers for Semiconductor Radiation Detec- tors for Ionizing Radiation", IEEE Std. 301, 1976.

-8-







Fig. 2. Block diagram of a pulsed-light reset preamplifier with no energy-dependent dead time losses,

.

.





-11-



CBB 779-8808A

Fig. 4. Photograph of crystal chamber containing the detector and FET-LED assembly.



IBL 789-11173 A

Fig. 5. Plot of (energy resolution)<sup>2</sup> versus the peaking time of main amplifier shaping time.