Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

OPTIMUM MULTIPLE SCATTERING

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9045c4zx

Author

Greiner, Douglas E.

Publication Date

1964-06-01

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

OPTIMUM MULTIPLE SCATTERING

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UCRL-11535

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

OPTIMUM MULTIPLE SCATTERING

Douglas E. Greiner
June 1964



OPTIMUM MULTIPLE SCATTERING

Douglas E. Greiner

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California
U.S.A.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to study the best utilization of multiple scattering data to determine the scattering rigidity of a particle.

VARIABLES

Consider the projected image of a track as shown in Fig. 1. We define:

 y_k = measured ordinates a distance t apart.

 λ_i^k = distance between the ith and i + 1st deflections in the kth cell.

 $\omega_{i}^{k} = i^{th}$ angle of deflection in the k^{th} cell

 ϕ_k = angle between projection of track and y axis at k^{th} ordinate

n_k = number of deflections in the kth cell

 δ_k = noise associated with the k^{th} ordinate

Assuming δ_k to be a random variable, Barkas has defined two more independent variables χ_k and ψ_k .

$$\chi_{k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}$$

Any order difference ≥ 2 can be expressed in terms of a linear combination of these variables:

$$D_{k}^{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (a_{i}^{r} \psi_{k+i-1} + b_{i}^{r} \chi_{k+i-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_{i}^{r} \delta_{k+i}$$
 (2)

•

where

$$a_{i}^{r} = \frac{(-1)^{r-i}(r-2)!(2i-4-1)}{(r-i)!(i-1)!} \quad b_{i}^{r} = \frac{(-1)^{r-i}(r-1)!}{(r-i)!(i-1)!} \quad c_{i}^{r} = \frac{(-1)^{r-i}r!}{(r-i)!i!}$$
(3)

Hence any difference product average, $\langle \textbf{D}_k^r \textbf{D}_{k+n}^s \rangle$ is a linear combination of $\langle \psi_k^2 \rangle$, $\langle \chi_k^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \delta_k^2 \rangle$.

CALCULATION OF SCATTERING RIGIDITY

If we assume a gaussian distribution for second differences we can relate the mean squared noise-free second difference, $\langle s \rangle$, to the scattering rigidity r.

$$r^2 = \frac{K^2 t^3}{(573)^2} \frac{\pi}{2\langle s \rangle} \tag{4}$$

Here one must choose the appropriate scattering "constant" K.² It is easily shown that:

$$\langle s \rangle = \frac{8}{3} \langle \psi_k^2 \rangle = 8 \langle \chi_k^2 \rangle \tag{5}$$

Hence any difference product average is a linear combination of $\langle s \rangle$ and $\langle \delta^2 \rangle$. Solving between two difference product averages we have

$$\langle s \rangle = A(\langle D_{k}^{r} D_{k+n}^{s} \rangle + B\langle D_{k}^{t} D_{k+m}^{u} \rangle)$$

$$\langle \delta^{2} \rangle = C(\langle D_{k}^{r} D_{k+n}^{s} \rangle + D\langle D_{k}^{t} D_{k+m}^{u} \rangle)$$
(6)

Where A, B,C, and D are functions of r, s,t,u,m and n.

CALCULATION OF ERROR

As $\frac{1}{r^2} \propto \langle s \rangle$ we have $\frac{\sigma(r)}{r} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma(\langle s \rangle)}{\langle s \rangle}$. Using the variables defined above it is possible to define an independent contribution to s from each cell. The calculation is tedious but straightforward. The final result can be put in the form,

$$\frac{\sigma(r)}{r} = \frac{1}{(n)^{1/2}} \left(a+b \lambda+c\lambda^2\right)^{1/2} \tag{7}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\langle \delta^2 \rangle}{\langle s \rangle}$ and a, b and c depend on the choice of difference product averages used to obtain $\langle s \rangle$ and $\langle \delta^2 \rangle$. Figure 2 shows this error as a function of λ for two different combinations of difference products.

We have calculated this error for all possible combinations of order ≤ 3 and found the combinations which yield the smallest error.

OVERLAPPING CELLS

In order to use a cell length longer than the measurement cell length care can ignore the intermediate points or form differences of the form below for each cell.

$$D_{k,n}^{2} = Y_{k+2n} - 2Y_{k+n} + Y_{k}$$
 (8)

It can be shown that these differences are related in the same manner to the signal and noise as the differences for unit cell length. The two equations;

$$D_{k,n}^{2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} (\ell-1) D_{k+\ell}^{2} + \sum_{\ell=n-1}^{2n-2} (2n-\ell-1) D_{k+\ell}^{2}$$
(9)

$$D_{k,n}^{r} = D_{k+nr,n}^{r-1} - D_{k,n}^{r-1}$$
 (10)

can be used to relate the signal to the variables χ, ψ and δ .

The error calculation proceeds exactly as earlier.

Figure 3 shows fractional error as a function of number of overlaps for several initial noise to signal ratios.

ELIMINATION OF SPURIOUS SCATTERING

The assumption that δ is an independent variable is violated by the presence of spurious scattering which is correlated in some manner to cell

length.

In a region of cell lengths where $\langle s \rangle \propto t^3$ the spurious scattering contribution is small and the signal is increasing as required by our assumptions.

This suggests the following method for determing scattering rigidity.

- 1. Measure ordinates of the track at a short cell length.
- 2. Calculate (s) at several multiples of the measurement cell length.
- 3. When in the region where $\langle s \rangle \propto t^3$, calculate rigidity and error.
- 4. Test other cell lengths in this region for smaller error.

We have applied this method to tracks of known momenta and found good agreement up to several BeV/c.

REFERENCE

- 1. W. H. Barkas. Nuclear Research Emuslion, Chapter 8, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- 2. W. H. Barkas, op cit, page 299-301.







