

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

ANCHORING is amodal: evidence from a signed language.

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9029f7xg>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 39(0)

#### **Authors**

Dana, Qatherine

Brentari, Diane

Bat-El, Outi

et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2017

Peer reviewed

# **ANCHORING is amodal: evidence from a signed language.**

**Qatherine Dana**

Dep. of Psychology, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, United States

**Diane Brentari**

Dep. of Linguistics, University of Chicago

**Outi Bat-El**

Dep. of Linguistics, Tel-Aviv University

**Iris Berent**

Dep. of Psychology, Northeastern University

**Abstract:** Modern linguistic theory posits the existence of universal constraints. But whether these constraints concern language structure, generally, or speech, specifically, is unknown. To address this question, here we ask whether the constraints identified in spoken languages transfer to sign languages. ANCHORING (McCarthy & Prince, 1993) is a putatively universal constraint on reduplication. ANCHORING requires that the final element of a suffixed reduplicant match the final element of the base (e.g., pana ‘chase’—>panana, ‘run’ not panapa). Here, we examine whether ANCHORING is likewise operative in a signed language. In our experiments, native ASL signers rated novel reduplicated forms: either ones consistent or inconsistent with ANCHORING (i.e., ABB vs. ABA, where A and B are syllables). Results showed that signers reliably favored ABB forms over ABA. These findings show for the first time that ANCHORING constrains a sign language. This conclusion is consistent with the existence of amodal linguistic principles.