## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**LBL Publications** 

## Title

Molybdenum Disulfide Catalytic Coatings via Atomic Layer Deposition for Solar Hydrogen Production from Copper Gallium Diselenide Photocathodes

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8zt9q9vj

**Journal** ACS Applied Energy Materials, 2(2)

**ISSN** 2574-0962

## **Authors**

Hellstern, Thomas R Palm, David W Carter, James <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2019-02-25

## DOI

10.1021/acsaem.8b01562

Peer reviewed

# <sup>1</sup>Molybdenum Disulfide Catalytic Coatings <sup>2</sup>via Atomic Layer Deposition for Solar <sup>3</sup>Hydrogen Production from Copper <sup>4</sup>Gallium Diselenide Photocathodes

5Thomas R. Hellstern, David W. Palm, James Carter, Alex D. DeAngelis,

6Kimberly Horsley, Lothar Weinhardt, Wanli Yang, Monika Blum, Nicolas

7Gaillard, Clemens Heske, Thomas F. Jaramillo\*

8Dr. T.R. Hellstern, D.W. Palm, Prof. T.F. Jaramillo\* 9Department of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University 10443 Via Ortega, Stanford, California 94305, United States 11 12J. Carter, Dr. L. Weinhardt, Dr. M. Blum, Prof. C. Heske 13Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Nevada Las Vegas 14(UNLV) 154505 Maryland Pkwy, Las Vegas, Nevada 89154-4003, United States 16 17A.D. DeAngelis, Dr. K. Horsley, Dr. N. Gaillard 18Hawaii Natural Energy Institute (HNEI), University of Hawaii at Manoa 191680 East-West Rd, POST 109, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, United States 20 21Dr. L. Weinhardt, Prof. C. Heske 22Institute for Photon Science and Synchrotron Radiation (IPS), Karlsruhe 23Institute Technology of (KIT) 24Hermann-v.-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany 25 26Institute for Chemical Technology and Polymer Chemistry (ITCP), Karlsruhe 27Institute of Technology (KIT)

28Engesserstr. 18/20, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany
30Dr. W. Yang, Dr. M. Blum
31Advanced Light Source (ALS), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
321 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
33
34Prof. T.F. Jaramillo\*
35SUNCAT Center for Interface Science and Catalysis, SLAC National
36Accelerator Laboratory
372575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California 94025, United States
38
39\*Corresponding Author: jaramillo@stanford.edu

41KEYWORDS: Photoelectrochemical water splitting, copper gallium diselenide,

42molybdenum disulfide, hydrogen evolution, atomic layer deposition

43

44 ABSTRACT: We demonstrate that applying atomic layer deposition-45derived molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) catalytic coatings on copper gallium 46diselenide (CGSe) thin film absorbers can lead to efficient wide band gap 47photocathodes for photoelectrochemical hydrogen production. We have 48prepared a device that is free of precious metals, employing a CGSe 49absorber and a cadmium sulfide (CdS) buffer layer, a titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) 50interfacial and а  $MoS_2$ catalytic laver. The resulting layer, 51MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe photocathode exhibits a photocurrent onset of +0.53 V 52vs. RHE and a saturation photocurrent density of -10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, with stable 53 operation for greater than 5 hours in acidic electrolyte. Spectroscopic 54 investigations of this device architecture indicate that overlayer degradation 55occurs inhomogeneously, ultimately exposing the underlying CGSe absorber.

### 56Introduction

57 Hydrogen is an extremely important commodity chemical, used 58primarily for ammonia synthesis and hydrocarbon upgrading. It is produced 59on a scale of 65 million metric tons per year.<sup>1,2</sup> Steam reforming of natural 60gas is currently the dominant industrial source of hydrogen, although it emits 61the greenhouse gas CO<sub>2</sub> as a byproduct. Thus, more sustainable hydrogen 62production methods have the potential to substantially reduce greenhouse 63gas emissions.<sup>3</sup> In contrast, photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting, 64which uses sunlight and water to produce hydrogen and oxygen, offers a 65promising route for producing hydrogen without the direct emission of CO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>4-6</sup> 66However, single-absorber PEC water splitting devices have not demonstrated 67suitable energy conversion efficiencies. Accordingly, numerous studies have 68recommended the use of stacked dual-absorber PEC water splitting devices, 69because this configuration can achieve higher solar-to-hydrogen (STH) 70efficiencies.<sup>6-10</sup>

A key challenge in implementing an efficient dual-absorber PEC water 72splitting device is the development of a wide band gap photoelectrode that 73(1) has a band gap between 1.6 and 1.8 eV, optimal for current and voltage 74output to split water when paired with a smaller band gap photoelectrode, 75and (2) maintains long-term, stable operation.<sup>5,11</sup> The copper indium gallium 76diselenide (Culn<sub>1-x</sub>Ga<sub>x</sub>Se<sub>2</sub> or CIGSe) chalcopyrite system contains promising 77candidates for photoabsorbers due to high demonstrated photovoltaic 78conversion efficiency,<sup>12,13</sup> low-cost deposition methods,<sup>14, 15</sup> and a 79compositionally-tunable band gap.<sup>16</sup>

Copper gallium diselenide (CuGaSe<sub>2</sub> or CGSe) has the widest band gap 81(~1.7 eV) of the ClGSe material class and has been previously used as a p-82type absorber in PEC systems.<sup>17-20</sup> However, the CGSe band edges do not 83align with the hydrogen and oxygen evolution redox potentials, which leads 84to a poor onset potential for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).<sup>19</sup> In order 85to overcome this limitation, cadmium sulfide (CdS) has been employed as a 86buffer layer to improve the photovoltage and therefore the onset potential 87for HER.<sup>17,18,21</sup> Unfortunately, CdS has been shown to be highly unstable 88under illumination in aqueous conditions.<sup>22,23</sup> Although work has been done 89on the durability of CdS/CGSe electrodes in neutral conditions, operation of a 90water splitting device at pH = 7 may introduce additional challenges due to 91the formation of pH gradients.<sup>18,24</sup> Hence, there is incentive to develop 92catalytic schemes that extend the operation of CdS/CGSe for splitting water 93in acidic or basic electrolyte.

94 Molybdenum sulfides are promising catalytic layers for CdS/CGSe for 95several reasons. MoS<sub>2</sub> thin films have demonstrated excellent stability in 96acidic electrolytes and have enhanced the durability of silicon and III-V 97semiconductor photocathodes.<sup>25-30</sup> The edge sites of MoS<sub>2</sub> are also some of 98the most active non-precious-metal hydrogen evolution catalyst sites, on a 99turnover frequency basis.<sup>31,32</sup> To date, MoS<sub>2</sub> catalytic layers for 100photoelectrodes have only been formed from sputtered films of molybdenum 101or by electrodeposition. We have developed a new method to deposit 102extremely thin, low light absorbing MoS<sub>2</sub>-based schemes via low temperature

103atomic layer deposition (ALD). Here, we report on their activity, durability, 104and degradation mechanisms when integrated with CdS/CGSe 105photoelectrodes.

106 In particular: (1) we have integrated thin films of  $MoS_2$  and  $TiO_2$ 107deposited via ALD to serve as a catalytic scheme for polycrystalline, rough 108films of CdS/CGSe. (2) We have investigated the electrochemical activity and 109durability of the resulting  $MoS_2/TiO_2/CdS/CGSe$  photocathode. (3) We have 110performed soft x-ray spectroscopy to gain insight into the degradation 111mechanisms of the  $MoS_2/TiO_2/CdS/CGSe$  photocathode.

#### **112Experimental Methods**

#### 113Device Fabrication

114 CGSe films were deposited on clean soda-lime glass coated with 400 115nm of fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO substrates, Hartford City Glass, TEC 15, 11615  $\Omega$ /sq.). FTO substrates were cleaned by sonicating them in alconox 117solution (2 wt. %), acetone, then methanol, each for 5 minutes. Substrates 118were thoroughly rinsed in de-ionized (DI) water between each sonication 119step. The films were deposited by a three-stage co-evaporation process<sup>33</sup> 120modified to yield CuGaSe<sub>2</sub>, which has been shown to make a good ohmic 121contact to the FTO.<sup>34</sup> In the first stage, gallium (1.5 Å/s) and selenium (20.0 122Å/s) were co-evaporated onto the substrates at a nominal substrate 123temperature (T<sub>sub</sub>) of 420°C. In the second stage, copper (1.0 Å/s) and 124selenium were deposited at a T<sub>sub</sub> of 580°C. Copper continued to be 125deposited such that a decrease in temperature of 3-10°C occurred after end

126point detection (EPD). In the third stage, gallium and selenium were 127deposited once more until the substrate temperature increased back to its 128initial value prior to EPD. The thickness of copper and gallium was monitored 129during the deposition by an electron impact emission spectrometer 130(Guardian EIES controller, Inficon). The thickness of the CGSe films for this 131study was approximately 1  $\mu$ m, as measured by a stylus profilometer (Alpha 132step 200, Tencor).

133 Cadmium sulfide (CdS) was deposited on CGSe by chemical bath 134deposition (CBD), following the recipe described in Ref. 22. In short, a 50 mL 135CBD solution was made in a reaction vessel containing 5 mL of 1.5 M 136cadmium sulfate 8/3 hydrate (Sigma) solution, 6.25 mL of 30% ammonium 137hydroxide solution (Fisher), 2.5 mL of 1.5 M thiourea (Sigma) solution, and 13836.25 mL of DI water. The vessel was heated to 65°C by placing it into a 139recirculating water bath that was preheated to this temperature. CGSe 140samples were suspended in the reaction vessel, and the reaction was 141allowed to proceed for 10-12 minutes. Samples were removed, washed in DI 142water, and dried immediately with air before transporting to a cleanroom 143environment for further deposition. Cadmium sulfide is toxic and cadmium 144compounds are known carcinogens; as such, all CdS depositions were 145performed in a specified area in a fume hood, and all waste created in the 146process was handled and stored separately from other laboratory waste.

147 TiO<sub>2</sub> was deposited using ALD as previously described,<sup>35</sup> using 148tetrakis(dimethylamido)titanium(IV) (TDMA-Ti) from Strem and DI water as

149precursors in a Cambridge Nanotech Savannah ALD reactor at  $150^{\circ}$ C. 150Saturated linear growth was observed with a growth rate of 0.45 - 0.50 151Å/cycle. The TDMA-Ti precursor was heated to 75°C for deposition and 5 nm 152of TiO<sub>2</sub> was deposited over 100 cycles. After TiO<sub>2</sub> deposition, the sample was 153transferred directly to the next ALD reactor for MoO<sub>x</sub> deposition.

MoO<sub>x</sub> was deposited using ALD parameters adapted from previous 155reports.<sup>36</sup> Unlike in previous studies, MoO<sub>x</sub> was deposited using molybdenum 156hexacarbonyl (Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>) and oxygen plasma in a Cambridge Nanotech Fiji 157ALD reactor at 165°C. Saturated linear growth was observed with a growth 158rate of nearly 0.6 Å/cycle, as shown in **Figure S1**. The Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> precursor 159was heated to 70°C for deposition and 4.5 nm of MoO<sub>x</sub> was deposited over 16075 cycles. After MoO<sub>x</sub> deposition, the sample was transferred back to our 161wet-chemistry laboratory for tube furnace annealing (see below). The 162thicknesses of the TiO<sub>2</sub> and MoO<sub>x</sub> layers were determined using 163spectroscopic ellipsometry.

MoS<sub>2</sub> was synthesized by converting MoO<sub>x</sub> under flowing 10% H<sub>2</sub>S / 16590% H<sub>2</sub> (approx. 10 sccm) in a tube furnace at 200°C for 30 minutes.<sup>37</sup> The 166furnace was heated to 200°C while samples were held outside of the 167temperature zone (at ~30°C). Samples were then inserted into the 168temperature zone, subsequently reaching 200°C over the course of 3.5 169minutes. After 30 minutes, samples were removed from the temperature 170zone and allowed to rapidly cool. Hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) is a highly toxic and

171flammable gas, which must only be used and stored inside a ventilated fume 172hood. We test for H<sub>2</sub>S leaks in our tube furnace setup using an RKI 173Instruments GX-2009 Portable Multi Gas Detector and scrub the effluent gas 174(forming CuS) using a glass bubbler containing aqueous hydrogen peroxide 175solution (30%) and copper wire.

176

#### 177Spectroscopic and Microscopic characterization

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray excited Auger 178 179Electron Spectroscopy (XAES) were performed at UNLV using a modified VG 180ESCALab MkII with a SPECS PHOIBOS 150 MCD electron analyzer and a 181SPECS XR-50 dual anode X-ray source. Sulfur L<sub>2,3</sub> X-ray Emission 182Spectroscopy (XES) data was obtained at Beamline 8.0.1 of the Advanced 183Light Source (ALS), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, using the newly 184installed iRIXS endstation (8.0.1.1).<sup>38</sup> After preparation and testing at 185Stanford, the samples were packaged in a dry nitrogen environment and 186transferred to UNLV. The packaging was opened in an N<sub>2</sub>-filled glove box, 187and samples were mounted and transferred into ultra-high vacuum (UHV) 188 without air exposure. For subsequent measurements at the ALS, the samples 189were repackaged in the glove box and transferred to the ALS in Berkeley. 190Some samples were directly transferred from Stanford to the ALS. Before 191transfer into the iRIXS chamber at the ALS, samples were briefly (< 5 min) 192exposed to air.

193 SEM was performed using a FEI Magellan 400 XHR at the Stanford 194Nano Shared Facility (SNSF). Optical transmission measurements were 195performed using a Varian Cary 6000i UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer at the 196Stanford Soft Materials Facility (SMF). Optical absorption measurements were 197performed using an integrating sphere with white light from a 1000 W xenon 198Iamp. Light was directed onto the sample and unabsorbed light was collected 199and measured using an Ocean Optics Jaz EL 200-XR1 spectrometer. X-ray 200diffraction measurements were performed using a Philips PANalytical X'Pert 201Pro in parallel beam mode with Cu K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation.

#### 202Photoelectrochemical Characterization

The CdS/CGSe photocathodes were prepared by first physically 204removing part of the CGSe film from the FTO substrate using a razor blade 205and then making electrical contact to the exposed FTO using conductive 206carbon paint (DAG-T-502). A tinned copper insulated hookup wire (Belden 2078502-009) was attached using the carbon paint, which was then allowed to 208dry for 10 minutes. The electrodes were mounted using inert epoxy (Loctite 209Hysol 9462) and allowed to cure overnight before testing. The active area of 210each sample was measured using a photograph and image analysis software 211(Image]); measured working electrode areas ranged from 0.15 to 0.3 cm<sup>2</sup>.

212 PEC measurements<sup>39</sup> were performed in a three-electrode cell 213configuration using a Bio-Logic potentiostat (VSP) and a two-compartment 214glass cell separated by a proton-conducting Nafion membrane. The 215CdS/CGSe working electrode and Hg/Hg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (saturated K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) reference

216electrode were placed in one compartment, while an  $IrO_x/Ir$  wire counter 217electrode was placed in the other compartment to minimize contamination. 218The front of the working electrode was illuminated through a fused silica 219window. In order to dissolve hydrogen gas and displace dissolved oxygen, 220the working electrode compartment was purged with H<sub>2</sub> for at least 10 221minutes prior to the cyclic voltammetry measurements, and during the 222course of the 24 hr chronoamperometry (CA) stability measurements. The 223reference electrode was calibrated to the reversible hydrogen electrode 224(RHE) scale by measuring the redox potential for the H<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub> couple using 225platinum working and counter electrodes in H<sub>2</sub>-purged 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 226electrolyte.

The performance of the CdS/CGSe photocathodes was assessed by 228performing linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) from -0.55 V vs. RHE to 229approximately 0.2 V positive of the onset potential at 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The onset 230potentials reported in this study were determined by a linear extrapolation of 231the LSV's main photocurrent onset feature to its intersection with the j = 0232axis. The potential at which this intersection occurs is defined as the onset 233potential for the purposes of this study, similar to previously reported 234methods.<sup>34</sup> A graphical demonstration of this procedure is included in **Figure** 235**S2**. The stability of the CdS/CGSe photocathode was assessed by performing 236(1) an initial illuminated LSV, in the potential range described above, (2) a 237dark LSV between 0.0 V and -0.55 V vs. RHE at 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, (3) a CA

238measurement held at 0.0 V vs. RHE for 24 hrs, and (4), (5) final illuminated 239and dark LSVs, respectively.

The light source used for 1-Sun PEC testing was a 150 W Xe arc lamp. 241The irradiance was measured using an Ocean Optics Jaz EL 200-XR1 242spectrometer and calibrated to match the AM1.5G solar spectrum. Details 243about the calibration procedure are described in the supporting information. 244The light source used for incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency 245(IPCE) measurements was a 1000 W Hg/Xe arc lamp, in conjunction with a 246Newport Oriel 74100 monochromator. The spectral output of the 247lamp/monochromator assembly was measured using the Ocean Optics Jaz 248spectrometer listed above.

249

#### 250Results and Discussion

#### 251Synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe photocathodes

A schematic diagram and SEM images of a 253MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe/FTO/glass photocathode are shown in **Figure 1**. X-ray 254diffraction of CGSe electrodes, as shown in **Figure S3**, confirms the 255chalcopyrite crystal phase. An FTO substrate was used to ensure optical 256transmission of below band gap photons (Figure S4), an important quality for 257creating a stacked tandem PEC water splitting device.



#### 258

259**Figure 1:** (a) Schematic, (b) cross-sectional SEM image, and (c) top view 260SEM image of  $MoS_2/TiO_2/CdS/CGSe$  photocathode on FTO.  $MoS_2$ ,  $TiO_2$ , and 261CdS layers are thin and cannot be distinguished in the cross-section. SEM 262images also show the grain size distribution of CGSe. 263

264 As shown in **Figure 2a**, the best photocurrent onset was achieved 265when the buffer and both the MoS<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> layers were included. The onset 266potential for the bare CGSe electrode was found at only +0.02 V vs. RHE, 267likely due to poor band alignment relative to the reversible hydrogen 268potential.<sup>19</sup> In order to overcome the limitations of the CGSe-electrolyte 269junction, a CdS buffer layer was deposited by CBD to create a solid-state 270junction analogous to those widely used in CIGSe photovoltaic devices.<sup>21,40</sup> 271With the addition of CdS, the onset improved to +0.12 V vs. RHE, a modest 272 increase with room for improvement since CdS is a poor catalyst for HER The 273 onset was further improved by the addition of a  $MoS_2$  catalytic layer, 274 reaching +0.35 V vs. RHE. Furthermore, the treatment time and temperature 275 utilized during the formation of  $MoS_2$  from  $MoO_x$  in sulfurous atmosphere 276were optimized for catalytic performance, transparency, and durability, and 277 utilizing TiO<sub>2</sub> as an additional interfacial layer demonstrated further 278enhanced performance (Figures S5-7). This optimized MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe 279photocathode showed an onset of +0.53 V vs. RHE and achieved a 280photocurrent density of -10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at -0.5 V vs. RHE (Figure 2a). In addition, 281a maximum IPCE of 0.53 was achieved for this device under bias of -0.5 V vs. 282RHE and a maximum IPCE of 0.44 was achieved at 0 V vs. RHE (**Figure 2b**).



284**Figure 2:** (a) PEC activity of the bare CGSe (red), CdS/CGSe (gold), 285MoS<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe (purple), and MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe (blue) samples tested 286under AM1.5G illumination; the dark LSV for the MoS<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe sample 287(typical of all samples) is shown in black for reference. (b) Incident photon-288to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe device at 289various applied potentials. All electrodes were tested in H<sub>2</sub>-sparged 0.5 M 290H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> electrolyte with a Hg/HgSO<sub>4</sub> reference electrode and an Ir/IrO<sub>x</sub> counter 291electrode.

292

## 293Assessing the durability of MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe photocathodes

In order to evaluate PEC durability of the  $MoS_2/TiO_2/CdS/CGSe$ 295photocathode, CA tests were conducted in which the potential was 296maintained at 0 V *vs.* RHE for 24 hrs under constant illumination, with LSVs 297were performed at 0 and 24 hrs. Hydrogen production is the dominant 298contributor to the photocurrent generated over the course of the experiment, 299given the sustained bubble formation at the electrode surface, the 300substantial total charge passed (10<sup>-3</sup> mol e<sup>-</sup>, calculated in the Supporting 301Information), and the well-documented high Faradaic efficiencies for H<sub>2</sub> 302evolution on MoS<sub>2</sub> catalysts.<sup>29</sup> An identically-prepared sample was tested in 303this manner for 7 hrs and then characterized to gain insight into the 304chemical changes occurring at the photocathode surface over the course of 305electrochemical testing. **Figure 3** shows (a) LSVs at 0, 7, and 24 hr time 306intervals, and (b) CA stability data (at 0.0 V *vs.* RHE) over 24 hrs.

307 During the LSVs in **Figure 3a**, this MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe photocathode 308tested at 0 hrs showed an onset potential of +0.53 V vs. RHE, and achieved 309an approximate saturation photocurrent density of -10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>; the 310maximum dark current over the measured potential range was -0.02 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> 311(Figure 3a). After 7 hrs of testing, the onset potential shifted to +0.25 V vs. 312RHE, with the shape of the LSV changing significantly. After 24 hrs of testing, 313the onset potential shifted to -0.05 V vs. RHE and the shape of the curve 314again changed substantially to resemble that of the bare CGSe electrode 315shown in Figure 2. The saturation photocurrent density of the electrode also 316 increased after 24 hrs of testing, consistent with a thinning of the CdS layer, 317 which parasitically absorbs incident light (Figure S7). The CA measurement 318in Figure 3b indicates that the electrode current density is stable for 319approximately 5 hrs at -6.5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, before degrading to -2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> after 15 320hrs. The maximum dark current increases steadily to a final value of -0.09 321mA cm<sup>-2</sup> after 24 hrs of durability testing, as shown in Figure S8.



323**Figure 3:** (a) LSVs of  $MoS_2/TiO_2/CdS/CGSe$  photocathodes as prepared 324(black), after 7 hrs stability testing (blue), and after 24 hrs of stability testing 325(red). The corresponding dark scans are also shown. (b) A 24 hr stability test 326tracking the current density at 0.0 V *vs.* RHE. LSV time points from (a) are 327marked (1-3). Tests performed under simulated AM1.5G illumination. 328

329Spectroscopic investigation of degradation in MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>/CdS/CGSe

#### 330photocathodes

We performed spectroscopic studies of the as-prepared and tested 332photoelectrodes and combined this information with the preceding 333photoelectrochemical data in order to understand the device degradation. 334From this combination of techniques, it is evident that significant changes in 335surface composition occurred during the testing process. We postulate that 336device deterioration occurs as the electrolyte infiltrates and dissolves the 337MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> films and the underlying CdS due to instabilities in acidic media.

**Figure 4a** shows an XPS survey of the shallow core levels, while 339**Figures 4b, 4c,** and **4d** depict the Cd 3d/Mo 3p/Ga LMM XPS and XAES 340spectra, the Ti 2p XPS spectra, and the S L<sub>2,3</sub> XES spectra, respectively. The 341surface-sensitive XPS spectra (Figures 4a-c) show that the as-prepared 342sample surface ("Tested 0 hrs") was dominated by the MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> dual layer, 343while the sample surface after 7 hrs of testing exhibits a mixture of chemical 344environments, showing strong characteristic peaks for S, Cd, and Mo, as well 345as weaker signals for Ti, Cu, Se, and Ga. After 24 hours of testing, the XPS 346signals primarily resemble those of a CGSe surface. Furthermore, the S L<sub>2,3</sub> 347XES spectra (Figure 4d), with significantly larger attenuation depth, show the 348presence of a CdS chemical environment in the film (in particular the Cd 4d-349derived peaks<sup>41,42</sup> labeled (b)) for the 0 and 7 hrs-tested samples. In 350comparison to the CdS reference, the upper valence band feature labeled (c) 351shows a slightly more symmetric spectral shape and is shifted to higher 352emission energies, possibly indicative of spectral contributions from S in a 353MoS<sub>2</sub> chemical environment. In contrast, the S signal is completely removed 354after 24 hours of testing, and only a broad Se M<sub>2,3</sub> peak at 148 eV is 355observed.<sup>43</sup>



357 We posit that, during the degradation processes, the surface of the 358electrode was composed of regions of  $MoS_2/TiO_2$ , exposed CdS, as well as 359bare CGSe. This model agrees with the XPS data taken at 7 hrs (Figures 4a 360and 4c) and the LSV after 7 hrs of testing in Figure 3a, where the more 361positive onset potential compared to that of the bare CGSe (Figure 2) is 362evidence that some portion of the CdS/CGSe junction and the associated 363catalytic overlayers remained intact. This suggests that the degradation 364process is inhomogeneous and may involve multiple mechanisms. After 24 365hrs of testing, significant degradation of the overlayers had occurred, as 366evidenced by the similarity of both the LSV and spectroscopic scans to those 367of the bare CGSe absorber electrode, with similar onset potential but slightly 368lower saturation photocurrent density.

369

#### 370Conclusions

A low-temperature scheme (< 200°C) was developed using ALD to 372synthesize MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> overlayers for photocathodes. Combining insights from 373electrochemical and spectroscopic characterization, we determined that: (1) 374MoS<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> can serve as an effective catalytic scheme for CdS/CGSe 375photocathodes, (2) these overlayers provided only short-term protection 376from the acidic electrolyte, and (3) the degradation process is 377inhomogeneous and likely occurs through multiple pathways, ultimately 378exposing the underlying CGSe absorber. Building on these findings, the 379overlayer synthesis developed here can be further optimized and also 380generalized to other material systems that are constrained by sensitivity to 381temperature. Improvements in activity and durability for CdS/CGSe 382photocathodes would facilitate the development of promising tandem

383schemes for unassisted water splitting, and further work to disentangle the 384complex degradation pathways and interfacial energetics would accelerate 385such developments.

386

#### 387Acknowledgments

388The work was funded by the Department of Energy (DOE) under contract no. 389DE-EE0006670, administrated by the University of Hawaii. This research 390used resources of the Advanced Light Source, which is a DOE Office of 391Science User Facility under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Fundamental 392catalyst development was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, 393Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences (CSGB) Division of the 394Office of Basic Energy Sciences, via Grant DE-AC02-76SF00515 to the 395SUNCAT Center for Interface Science and Catalysis. Part of this work was 396performed at the Stanford Nano Shared Facilities (SNSF), supported by the 397National Science Foundation under award ECCS-1542152. Part of this work 398was also conducted at the Stanford Nanofabrication Facility (SNF) with 399guidance from their staff, especially Dr. Michelle Rincon. Thank you to Dr. 400Pongkarn Chakthranont for contributing the three-dimensional device 401schematic. Finally, TRH and DWP would like to thank the National Science 402Foundation for its support through the Graduate Research Fellowship 403Program.

404

405*Supporting Information*. Additional details regarding calibration, data

406analysis, and material synthesis and characterization can be found in the

407Supporting Information.

408

## 409**References**

410

4111. Häussinger, P.; Lohmüller, R.; Watson, A. M.. Hydrogen, 6. Uses. In 412*Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 413Germany, 2000.

4142. Badwal, S. P.; Giddey, S.; Munnings, C. Hydrogen production via solid 415electrolytic routes. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment* 416**2013,** *2*, 473-487.

4173. Kim, J. W.; Boo, K. J.; Cho, J. H.; Moon, I. 1 - Key challenges in the 418development of an infrastructure for hydrogen production, delivery, storage 419and use. In *Advances in Hydrogen Production, Storage and Distribution*; 420Basile, A.; Iulianelli, A., Eds; Woodhead: Sawston, UK, 2014; pp 3-31.

4214. Bak, T.; Nowotny, J.; Rekas, M.; Sorrell, C. C. Photo-electrochemical 422hydrogen generation from water using solar energy. Materials-related 423aspects. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2002**, *27*, 991-1022.

4245. Pinaud, B. A.; Benck, J. D.; Seitz, L. C.; Forman, A. J.; Chen, Z. B.; 425Deutsch, T. G.; James, B. D.; Baum, K. N.; Baum, G. N.; Ardo, S.; Wang, H. L.; 426Miller, E.; Jaramillo, T. F. Technical and economic feasibility of centralized 427facilities for solar hydrogen production *via* photocatalysis and 428photoelectrochemistry. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2013**, *6*, 1983-4292002.

4306. Walter, M. G.; Warren, E. L.; McKone, J. R.; Boettcher, S. W.; Mi, Q. X.; 431Santori, E. A.; Lewis, N. S. Solar Water Splitting Cells. *Chemical Reviews* 432**2010**, *110*, 6446-6473.

4337. Seitz, L. C.; Chen, Z.; Forman, A. J.; Pinaud, B. A.; Benck, J. D.; Jaramillo, 434T. F. Modeling Practical Performance Limits of Photoelectrochemical Water 435Splitting Based on the Current State of Materials Research. *ChemSusChem* 436**2014**, *7*, 1372-85.

4378. Hu, S.; Xiang, C. X.; Haussener, S.; Berger, A. D.; Lewis, N. S. An 438analysis of the optimal band gaps of light absorbers in integrated tandem 439photoelectrochemical water-splitting systems. *Energy & Environmental* 440*Science* **2013**, *6*, 2984-2993.

4419. Weber, M. F.; Dignam, M. J. Efficiency of Splitting Water with 442Semiconducting Photoelectrodes. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* 443**1984,** *131*, 1258-1265.

44410. Doscher, H.; Geisz, J. F.; Deutsch, T. G.; Turner, J. A. Sunlight 445absorption in water—efficiency and design implications for 446photoelectrochemical devices. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2014,** 7, 4472951-2956.

44811. Shaner, M. R.; Atwater, H. A.; Lewis, N. S.; McFarland, E. W. A 449comparative technoeconomic analysis of renewable hydrogen production 450using solar energy. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2016**, *9*, 2354-2371.

45112. Young, D. L.; Keane, J.; Duda, A.; AbuShama, J. A.; Perkins, C. L.; 452Romero, M.; Noufi, R. Improved performance in ZnO/CdS/CuGaSe<sub>2</sub> thin-film 453solar cells. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications* **2003**, *11*, 454535-541.

45513. Powalla, M.; Witte, W.; Jackson, P.; Paetel, S.; Lotter, E.; Wuerz, R.; 456Kessler, F.; Tschamber, C.; Hempel, W.; Hariskos, D.; Menner, R.; Bauer, A.; 457Spiering, S.; Ahlswede, E.; FriedImeier, T. M.; Blázquez-Sánchez, D.; Klugius, 458I.; Wischmann, W. CIGS Cells and Modules with High Efficiency on Glass and 459Flexible Substrates. *IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics* **2014**, *4*, 440-446.

46014. Panthani, M. G.; Akhavan, V.; Goodfellow, B.; Schmidtke, J. P.; Dunn, L.; 461Dodabalapur, A.; Barbara, P. F.; Korgel, B. A. Synthesis of CulnS<sub>2</sub>, CulnSe<sub>2</sub>, 462and Cu( $In_xGa_{1-x}$ )Se<sub>2</sub> (CIGS) Nanocrystal 'Inks' for Printable Photovoltaics. 463Journal of the American Chemical Society **2008**, 130, 16770-16777.

46415. Uhl, A. R.; Katahara, J. K.; Hillhouse, H. W. Molecular-ink route to 13.0% 465efficient low-bandgap Culn(S,Se)<sub>2</sub> and 14.7% efficient Cu(In,Ga)(S,Se)<sub>2</sub> solar 466cells. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2016**, *9*, 130-134.

46716. Bär, M.; Bohne, W.; Röhrich, J.; Strub, E.; Lindner, S.; Lux-Steiner, M. C.; 468Fischer, C.-H.; Niesen, T. P.; Karg, F. Determination of the band gap depth 469profile of the penternary  $Cu(In_{(1-x)}Ga_x(S_YSe_{(1-Y)})_2$  chalcopyrite from its 470composition gradient. *Journal of Applied Physics* **2004**, *96*, 3857-3860.

47117. Kumagai, H.; Minegishi, T.; Moriya, Y.; Kubota, J.; Domen, K. 472Photoelectrochemical Hydrogen Evolution from Water Using Copper Gallium 473Selenide Electrodes Prepared by a Particle Transfer Method. *The Journal of* 474*Physical Chemistry C* **2014**, *118*, 16386-16392.

47518. Moriya, M.; Minegishi, T.; Kumagai, H.; Katayama, M.; Kubota, J.; 476Domen, K. Stable Hydrogen Evolution from CdS-Modified CuGaSe<sub>2</sub> 477Photoelectrode under Visible-Light Irradiation. *Journal of the American* 478Chemical Society **2013**, 135, 3733-3735.

47919. Marsen, B.; Cole, B.; Miller, E. L. Photoelectrolysis of water using thin 480copper gallium diselenide electrodes. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* 481**2008,** *92*, 1054-1058.

48220. Kaneshiro, J.; Gaillard, N.; Rocheleau, R.; Miller, E. Advances in copper-483chalcopyrite thin films for solar energy conversion. *Solar Energy Materials* 484*and Solar Cells* **2010**, *94*, 12-16.

48521. Naghavi, N.; Abou-Ras, D.; Allsop, N.; Barreau, N.; Bücheler, S.; 486Ennaoui, A.; Fischer, C. H.; Guillen, C.; Hariskos, D.; Herrero, J. Buffer layers 487and transparent conducting oxides for chalcopyrite Cu(In,Ga)(S,Se)<sub>2</sub> based 488thin film photovoltaics: present status and current developments. *Progress in* 489*Photovoltaics: Research and Applications* **2010**, *18*, 411-433. 49022. Inoue, T.; Watanabe, T.; Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. i.; Kohayakawa, K. 491Suppression of surface dissolution of CdS photoanode by reducing agents. 492*Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **1977**, *124*, 719-722.

49323. Gerischer, H. On the stability of semiconductor electrodes against 494photodecomposition. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial* 495*Electrochemistry* **1977**, *82*, 133-143.

49624. Jin, J.; Walczak, K.; Singh, M. R.; Karp, C.; Lewis, N. S.; Xiang, C. X. An 497experimental and modeling/simulation-based evaluation of the efficiency and 498operational performance characteristics of an integrated, membrane-free, 499neutral pH solar-driven water-splitting system. *Energy & Environmental* 500*Science* **2014**, *7*, 3371-3380.

50125. Benck, J. D.; Lee, S. C.; Fong, K. D.; Kibsgaard, J.; Sinclair, R.; Jaramillo, 502T. F. Designing Active and Atable Silicon Photocathodes for Solar Hydrogen 503Production Using Molybdenum Sulfide Nanomaterials. *Advanced Energy* 504*Materials* **2014**, *4*, 1400739.

50526. Britto, R. J.; Benck, J. D.; Young, J. L.; Hahn, C.; Deutsch, T. G.; Jaramillo, 506T. F. Molybdenum Disulfide as a Protection Layer and Catalyst for Gallium 507Indium Phosphide Solar Water Splitting Photocathodes. *The Journal of* 508*Physical Chemistry Letters* **2016**, *7*, 2044-2049.

50927. Gu, J.; Aguiar, J. A.; Ferrere, S.; Steirer, K. X.; Yan, Y.; Xiao, C.; Young, 510James L.; Al-Jassim, M.; Neale, N. R.; Turner, J. A. A graded catalytic-511protective layer for an efficient and stable water-splitting photocathode. 512*Nature Energy* **2017**, *2*, 16192.

51328. King, L. A.; Hellstern, T. R.; Park, J.; Sinclair, R.; Jaramillo, T. F. Highly 514Stable Molybdenum Disulfide Protected Silicon Photocathodes for 515Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 51636792-36798.

51729. Morales-Guio, C. G.; Tilley, S. D.; Vrubel, H.; Grätzel, M.; Hu, X. 518"Hydrogen evolution from a copper (I) oxide photocathode coated with and 519amorphous molybdenum sulphide catalyst" *Nature Communications* **2014**, 5205, 3059.

52130. Laursen, A. B.; Pedersen, T.; Malacrida, P.; Seger, B.; Hansen, O.; 522Vesborg, P. C. K.; Chorkendorff, I.  $MoS_2$ —an integrated protective and active 523layer on n<sup>+</sup>p-Si for solar H<sub>2</sub> evolution. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 52420000-20004.

52531. Benck, J. D.; Hellstern, T. R.; Kibsgaard, J.; Chakthranont, P.; Jaramillo, 526T. F. Catalyzing the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction (HER) with Molybdenum 527Sulfide Nanomaterials. *ACS Catalysis* **2014**, *4*, 3957-3971.

52832. Jaramillo, T. F.; Jørgensen, K. P.; Bonde, J.; Nielsen, J. H.; Horch, S.; 529Chorkendorff, I. Identification of Active Edge Sites for Electrochemical H<sub>2</sub> 530Evolution from MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanocatalysts. *Science* **2007**, *317*, 100-102.

53133. Contreras, M. A.; Tuttle, J.; Gabor, A.; Tennant, A.; Ramanathan, K.; 532Asher, S.; Franz, A.; Keane, J.; Wang, L.; Scofield, J.; Noufi, R. High Efficiency 533Cu(In,Ga)Se<sub>2</sub>-Based Solar Cells: Processing of Novel Absorber Structures. 534Proceedings of 1994 IEEE 1st World Conference on Photovoltaic Energy

535Conversion. **1994**, 1, 68-75.

53634. DeAngelis, A. D.; Horsley, K.; Gaillard, N. Wide Band Gap CuGa(S,Se)<sub>2</sub> 537Thin Films on Transparent Conductive Fluorinated Tin Oxide Substrates as 538Photocathode Candidates for Tandem Water Splitting Devices. *J. Phys. Chem.* 539C **2018**, *122*, 14304-14312.

54035. Hu, S.; Shaner, M. R.; Beardslee, J. A.; Lichterman, M.; Brunschwig, B. 541S.; Lewis, N. S. Amorphous  $TiO_2$  coatings stabilize Si, GaAs, and GaP 542photoanodes for efficient water oxidation. *Science* **2014**, *344*, 1005-1009.

54336. Diskus, M.; Nilsen, O.; Fjellvag, H. Growth of thin films of molybdenum 5440xide by atomic layer deposition. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 705-710.

54537. Chen, Z.; Cummins, D.; Reinecke, B. N.; Clark, E.; Sunkara, M. K.; 546Jaramillo, T. F. Core-shell MoO<sub>3</sub>-MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanowires for Hydrogen Evolution: A 547Functional Design for Electrocatalytic Materials. *Nano Letters* **2011**, *11*, 5484168-4175.

54938. Qiao, R.; Li, Q.; Zhuo, Z.; Sallis, S.; Fuchs, O.; Blum, M.; Weinhardt, L.; 550Heske, C.; Pepper, J.; Jones, M.; Brown, A.; Spucces, A.; Chow, K.; Smith, B.; 551Glans, P.-A.; Chen, Y.; Yan, S.; Pan, F.; Piper, L. F. J.; Denlinger, J.; Guo, J.; 552Hussain, Z.; Chuang, Y.-D.; Yang, W. High-efficiency *in situ* resonant inelastic 553x-ray scattering (iRIXS) endstation at the Advanced Light Source. *Review of* 554*Scientific Instruments* **2017**, *88*, 033106.

55539. Chen, Z.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Deutsch, T. G.; Kleiman-Schwarsctein, A.; 556Forman, A. J.; Gaillard, N.; Garland, R.; Takanabe, K.; Heske, C.; Sunkara, M.; 557McFarland, E. W.; Domen, K.; Miller, E. L.; Turner, J. A.; Dinh, H. N. 558Accelerating materials development for photoelectrochemical hydrogen 559production: Standards for methods, definitions, and reporting protocols. *J.* 560*Mater. Res.* **2010**, *25*, 3-16.

56140. Poortmans, J.; Arkhipov, V., *Thin film solar cells: fabrication,* 562*characterization and applications*. Wiley: New York, 2006.

56341. Weinhardt, L.; Fuchs, O.; Fleszar, A.; Bär, M.; Blum, M.; Weigand, M.; 564Denlinger, J. D.; Yang, W.; Hanke, W.; Umbach, E.; Heske, C. Resonant 565inelastic soft x-ray scattering of CdS: A two-dimensional electronic structure 566map approach. *Physical Review B* **2009**, *79*, 165305.

56742. Weinhardt, L.; Fuchs, O.; Umbach, E.; Heske, C.; Fleszar, A.; Hanke, W.; 568Denlinger, J. D. Resonant inelastic soft x-ray scattering, x-ray absorption 569spectroscopy, and density functional theory calculations of the electronic 570bulk band structure of CdS. *Physical Review B* **2007**, *75*, 165207.

57143. Pookpanratana, S.; Repins, I.; Bär, M.; Weinhardt, L.; Zhang, Y.; Félix, 572R.; Blum, M.; Yang, W.; Heske, C. CdS/Cu(In,Ga)Se<sub>2</sub> interface formation in 573high-efficiency thin film solar cells. *Applied Physics Letters* **2010**, *97*, 574074101.

575

## 577Table of Contents Graphic

