

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ATTEMPTS TO PRODUCE SUPERHEAVY ELEMENTS BY FUSION OF ^{48}Ca WITH ^{248}Cm IN THE BOMBARDING ENERGY RANGE OF 4.5-5.2 MeV/u

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8zp2055q>

Author

Armbruster, P.

Publication Date

1984-10-01



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

DEC 19 1984


LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

ATTEMPTS TO PRODUCE SUPERHEAVY ELEMENTS BY FUSION OF ^{48}Ca
WITH ^{248}Cm IN THE BOMBARDING ENERGY RANGE OF 4.5-5.2 MeV/u

P. Armbruster, Y.K. Agarwal, W. Bröchle, M. Brügger,
J.P. Dufour, H. Gäggeler, F.P. Hessberger, S. Hofmann,
P. Lemmertz, G. Münzenberg, K. Poppensieker, W. Reisdorf,
M. Schädel, K.-H. Schmidt, J.H.R. Schneider, W.F.W. Schneider,
K. Sümmerer, D. Vermeulen, G. Wirth, A. Ghiorso,
K.E. Gregorich, D. Lee, M. Leino, K.J. Moody, G.T. Seaborg,
R.B. Welch, P. Wilmarth, S. Yashita, C. Frink, N. Greulich,
G. Herrmann, U. Hickmann, N. Hildebrand, J.V. Kratz,
N. Trautmann, M.M. Fowler, D.C. Hoffman, W.R. Daniels,
H.R. von Gunten, and H. Dornhöfer

October 1984



For Reference
Not to be taken from this room

LBL-18581
c1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Attempts to Produce Superheavy Elements by Fusion of ^{48}Ca with
 ^{248}Cm in the Bombarding Energy Range of 4.5–5.2 MeV/u

P. Armbruster, Y.K. Agarwal, W. Bröchle, M. Brügger, J.P. Dufour, H. Gäggeler, F.P. Hessberger, S. Hofmann, P. Lemmertz, G. Münzenberg, K. Poppensieker, W. Reisdorf, M. Schädel, K.-H. Schmidt, J.H.R. Schneider, W.F.W. Schneider, K. Sümmerer, D. Vermeulen, G. Wirth

Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Fed. Rep. of Germany

A. Ghiorso, K.E. Gregorich, D. Lee, M. Leino, K.J. Moody, G.T. Seaborg, R.B. Welch, P. Wilmarth, S. Yashita

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA., U.S.A.

C. Frink, N. Greulich, G. Herrmann, U. Hickmann, N. Hildebrand, J.V. Kratz, N. Trautmann

Universität Mainz, Fed. Rep. of Germany

M.M. Fowler, D.C. Hoffman, W.R. Daniels

Isotope and Nuclear Chemistry Division
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, U.S.A.

H.R. von Gunten

Universität Bern, Switzerland, and Eidg. Institut für Reaktorforschung,
Würenlingen, Switzerland

H. Dornhöfer

Universität Göttingen, Fed. Rep. of Germany

Attempts to Produce Superheavy Elements by Fusion of ^{48}Ca with ^{248}Cm in the Bombarding Energy Range of 4.5 - 5.2 MeV/u

P. Armbruster et al.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098, by the German Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, and by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

M. Leino wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the National Research Council for Sciences of the Academy of Finland.

Abstract:

A search for superheavy elements was made in bombardments of ^{248}Cm with ^{48}Ca ions performed at projectile energies close to the interaction barrier in order to keep the excitation energy of the compound nucleus $Z = 116$, $A = 296$ as low as possible. No evidence for superheavy nuclei was obtained in a half-life region from 1 μs to 10 y with a production cross section greater than 10^{-34} to 10^{-35} cm^2 .

Since all the known heaviest elements have been synthesized first by nuclear fusion, this process was also chosen for the production of superheavy elements, which are expected to occur around the predicted nuclear shell closures at atomic number 114 and neutron number 184. Although a large number of projectile and target combinations have been used in such attempts, no evidence for the formation of superheavy elements has been obtained. It is now obvious [1] that most of these systems are not suitable for this purpose. Either the resulting compound nuclei have an extremely low chance of survival because their fission barriers are too low, or the formation of a compound nucleus is hindered by dynamic effects and cannot occur at projectile energies close to the Coulomb barrier. There are only a few target-projectile combinations which have both favorable fusion and survival probabilities. The reaction of ^{48}Ca projectiles with a ^{248}Cm target is potentially one of these cases.

This system has been studied extensively without positive results, [2]. However, in the earlier experiments the interaction energy exceeded the calculated fusion barrier by about 20 MeV. This extra energy was thought to be necessary in order to overcome entrance channel limitations in the fusion process. As a consequence, the compound nucleus was produced with an excitation energy of at least 45 MeV, which probably reduced its surviving fraction to a undetectable level. More recent work on fusion reactions of heavy systems gives evidence, however, that the dynamic hindrance for the $^{48}\text{Ca} + ^{248}\text{Cm}$ system is small [3], so that it should be possible to form the compound nucleus $^{296}_{116}\text{X}$ at a lower excitation energy.

We have carried out a new search for superheavy elements from the reaction of ^{48}Ca projectiles with ^{248}Cm at energies much closer to the Coulomb barrier, which was calculated to be 235 MeV (0.96 x proximity potential), corresponding to a bombarding energy of 4.90 MeV/u. In this series of experiments performed first at the SuperHILAC at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL) and then at the UNILAC at the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI), Darmstadt, the excitation energy of the compound nucleus varied between 16 and 40 MeV. A variety of improved physical and chemical techniques were used for the isolation and detection of superheavy nuclei to increase the sensitivity relative to the earlier experiments [2]. The recoil fragment separators, Small Angle Separator System, (SASSY), at LBL, and Separator for Heavy Ion Reaction Products (SHIP), at GSI, were used to search for short-lived nuclides and several different radiochemical techniques were applied in the region of longer half-lives. Thus, we were able to cover with high detection sensitivity a range of superheavy nuclide half lives of 14 orders of magnitude, from 1 μs to 10 y.

Separation in gas-filled magnetic fields [4] has been adapted at LBL to separate fusion evaporation residues from the bombarding beam. The separation time is the time-of-flight through the system ($\approx 1 \mu\text{s}$). The many charge-changing collisions experienced by each particle in the gas give the evaporation residues a well-defined magnetic rigidity which is different from that for the projectiles and other reaction products and thus allows a magnetic separation.

The average magnetic deflection does not vary more than 0.2% for an energy change of 10% of the heavy evaporation residues. The LBL gas-filled separator SASSY is described in Ref [5]. Its overall detection efficiency was about 15% for the experiments performed. The suppression of the primary beam was sufficient to allow the use of implantation techniques. An array of position-sensitive surface-barrier detectors registered the implanted recoils and their subsequent disintegration by α -decay or fission. ^{248}Cm targets of $400 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ on $250 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ Ti backings were bombarded with ^{48}Ca beams with an average current of $6 \cdot 10^{11}$ ions/s. Irradiations were performed at 4.47, 4.83, and 4.93 MeV/u with $1.6 \cdot 10^{16}$, $7 \cdot 10^{16}$ and $0.8 \cdot 10^{16}$ particles, respectively. A background fission rate of 4 per hour restricted the usable correlation times between fusion recoils and spontaneous fissions to a few minutes.

In the time range of 5 μs to 1 min no correlated high energy α -particles or out-of-beam fission events were found. The cross section for the production of a fissioning superheavy nucleus in the 4.83 MeV/u irradiation (Fig. 1, curve 1) is less than $3 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{cm}^2$.

The experimental technique used at SHIP was the same as that which was successfully used in the identification of the heaviest elements 107, 108 and 109 [6]. Heavy evaporation residues from complete fusion recoil from the target and are separated in flight from the projectiles and other nuclear reaction products by the velocity filter SHIP [7]. After a flight-time of 2.4 μs , they pass a time-of-flight detector and

are finally implanted into an array of position sensitive surface barrier detectors. From the implantation energy and time-of-flight their masses can be determined with a resolution of 10% FWHM. Decay sequences of single implanted atoms can be established by measuring energy, position, and time for each event in the detector array [8].

The calculated detection efficiency for evaporation residues is 12%. Since the ions are implanted close to the detector surface, about 45% of the emitted α -particles may escape the detector. Events separated by more than 0.5 μ s could be resolved.

The ^{248}Cm target ($400 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ on $250 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ Ti) was bombarded with ^{48}Ca beams of $5 \cdot 10^{11}$ ions/s average current. Five energies were chosen: 4.69, 4.84, 4.99, 5.08, and 5.24 MeV/u with doses of $4.0 \cdot 10^{16}$ and $5.0 \cdot 10^{16}$ particles for the lowest and highest and $11 \cdot 10^{16}$ for the other three energies. The data were analyzed for α -decays above 8 MeV correlated to recoils in the mass number range from 271 to 321. The upper time limit for true correlations was determined by decays above 8 MeV in the α -spectrum and by a background from target-like recoils in the mass spectrum centered near mass 248 with a tail extending beyond mass 271. For short-lived nuclei decaying during the 5 ms beam bursts, we found no correlated events within the specified mass and decay energy range. For decays observed between beam pulses, we could open the time window up to 300 s until we observed the first correlation, with a high probability of its being random. No evidence for decay chains from superheavy atoms was found. In addition, we

searched for spontaneous fission decay. For a true fission fragment we required a minimum decay energy of 100 MeV for events in the stop detector and 150 MeV for coincidences between stop and additional detectors where the full total kinetic energy of both fragments is registered. We did not observe such an event during the whole experiment including a background measurement of 23 days after the irradiations. The cross section limits are $2.4 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2$ for spontaneous fission decay (Fig. 1, curve 2) and $4.4 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2$ for α -decay in the three main irradiations. For the highest and lowest irradiation energies, cross section limits are larger by a factor of three.

In the radiochemical search experiments, standard off-line chemical techniques were used for longer-lived species, and fast on-line separations permitted access to nuclides with half-lives down to seconds. Three different approaches were followed, based on predicted chemical properties of superheavy elements: (i) Elements 112 through 116 are believed to be volatile in their elemental states at temperatures up to 1000°C ('Pb-like') [9]; (ii) Some elements such as 112 or 114 may even be gaseous at room temperature ('Rn-like') [10]; (iii) Elements 108 through 116 are thought to form strong anionic bromide complexes in aqueous solution ('Pt-like') [11]. All radiochemical experiments were carried out with thick curium oxide targets (1.7 mg/cm^2 ^{248}Cm electroplated on 2.4 mg/cm^2 Be backing), so that the projectiles were slowed down by 0.37 MeV/u in the target.

Three bombardments with an incident energy of 4.98 MeV/u were performed at the SuperHILAC. The reaction products recoiling out of the target were collected in a copper catcher foil which was then chemically processed off-line. First, volatile 'Pb-like' elements were evaporated from the Cu foil by heating it in a closed quartz apparatus in a H₂ stream at about 1000°C. Provisions were also made to condense 'Rn-like' noble gases into a cryogenic chamber [12]. After this volatilization, the Cu foil was dissolved in a HNO₃/HBr/Br₂-solution to form bromide complexes and the 'Pt-like' elements were passed through a cation exchange column. Final samples from the 'Pb'- and 'Pt'-like chemistry were prepared on thin palladium or graphite foils, respectively, and inspected for α- and spontaneous fission activities. In two bombardments with $1.2 \cdot 10^{16}$ and $1.1 \cdot 10^{16}$ particles, the half-life region between hours and days was inspected, and after a bombardment with $10 \cdot 10^{16}$ particles the 'Pb-' and 'Pt'-like samples were measured for nearly two years in a low background system [13] to extend the covered half-life range to several years. This system registers single and coincident fission fragments, their kinetic energies and the number of neutrons per fission using surface barrier detector pairs surrounded by a ³He neutron counter. Neither fragment-fragment-neutron nor fragment-fragment coincidences were observed; three fragment-neutron coincidence signals occurred in the 'Pt-like' fraction [14]. The 'Rn-like' fraction was counted [12] over a period of 79 days for coincident fission fragments without positive

results. The upper cross section limits are shown in Fig. 1 by curve 6 for 'Rn-like', curve 7 for 'Pt-like' and curve 8 for 'Pb-like' elements. These values are based on overall efficiencies, chemical plus counting, of 18% for 'Rn-like' and 52% for 'Pb-like' and 'Pt-like' elements.

In the experiments performed at the UNILAC at 5.17 MeV/u incident energy, on-line versions [15] of these procedures were applied using a helium-KCl gas jet for transportation of the reaction products within ≈ 2 s from the target to the chemistry set-ups. (i) The On-Line Gas Chromatography Apparatus OLGA [15] was used for the continuous evaporation of volatile 'Pb-like' species at about 1000°C and their condensation onto cooled palladium foils mounted on a wheel system. This wheel was rotated stepwise between pairs of surface barrier detectors in order to count the deposited activity for spontaneously fissioning nuclides. (ii) The cryogenic system [12] coupled to the gas-jet transportation capillary was used to detect short-lived 'Rn-like' species. (iii) The minicomputer-controlled Automatic Rapid Chemistry Apparatus ARCA [15] was used to search for short-lived 'Pt-like' nuclides. Here, reaction products carried by the gas jet were collected for 30 min on a glass filter frit. In a cyclic mode of operation, after each collecting time the deposited activities were dissolved in HBr/Br₂ and treated as described in the preceding paragraph. Again, no evidence for spontaneously fissioning superheavy elements was obtained. The upper cross section limits are given in Fig.

1 by curves 3,4 for experiments with OLGA and the cryogenic system and by curve 5 for experiments with ARCA. These limits are based on overall efficiencies of 9% (curves 3, 4) and 16% (curve 5), respectively.

In summary, although a broad range of half-lives, 10^{-6} to 10^8 s, and excitation energies, 16 to 40 MeV, has been examined, no evidence for the formation of superheavy elements with cross sections of 10^{-34} to 10^{-35} cm² or greater was found. The existence of spherical superheavy nuclei near the compound nucleus $^{296}_{116}$ has been predicted by different theoretical approaches. Calculations [16] predict the fission barriers of the heaviest isotopes of elements from fermium to element 109 to within 0.5 MeV. Similar calculations yield a fission barrier for $^{296}_{116}$ of 5.6 ± 1 MeV [17]. The time range covered in our experiments is equivalent to a 3 MeV uncertainty in the fission barrier, and thus allows one to compensate for the uncertainty in the calculated ground state shell corrections. The half-lives of isotopes of superheavy elements should fall somewhere in this time range. A rapid disappearance of the shell stabilization with increasing excitation energy has been observed for spherically shell-stabilized nuclei around $^{216}_{\text{Th}}$ [18]. This thermal hindrance may prevent the production of superheavy elements in the reaction chosen. Perhaps the attainment of a compound nucleus with a neutron number closer to 184 would stabilize the evaporation residues to the point where superheavy elements could be synthesized and identified.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098, by the German Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, and by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

M. Leino wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the National Research Council for Sciences of the Academy of Finland.

References:

1. P. Armbruster, Proc. of Int. Conf. on Nuclear Physics, Florence, 1983, p. 343. J.V. Kratz, Radiochimica Acta 32, 25 (1983). G.T. Seaborg, W. Loveland and D.J. Morrissey, Science 203, 711 (1979).
2. E.K. Hulet et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 385 (1977). J.V. Illige et al., Phys. Lett. 78B, 209 (1978). R.J. Otto et al., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 40, 589 (1978). A Ghiorso et al., LBL Berkeley report LBL 6575, p. 242 (1977). Yu. Ts. Oganessian et al., Nucl. Phys. A294, 213 (1978).
3. S. Bjørnholm and W. Swiatecki, Nucl. Phys. A391, 471 (1982). H. Gaggeler et al., Z. Physik 316, 291 (1984).
4. B.L. Cohen and C.B. Fulmer, Nucl. Phys. 6, 547 (1958). P. Armbruster, Nukleonik 3, 188 (1961).
5. M. Leino, S. Yashita and A. Ghiorso, Phys. Rev. C24, 2370 (1981); A. Ghiorso et al., (to be published).
6. G. Münzenberg et al., Z Phys. A300, 107 (1981); Z Phys. A315, 145 (1984); Z Phys. A317, 235 (1984).
7. G. Münzenberg et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 161, 65 (1979).
8. S. Hofmann et al., Proc. Int. Conf. on Nuclei far from Stability Helsingør, 1981, p. 190.
9. B. Eichler, Kernenergie 19, 307 (1976).
10. K.S. Pitzer, J. Chem. Phys. 63, 1032 (1975).
11. J.V. Kratz et al., Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 10, 951 (1974).
12. N. Hildebrand et al., GSI Darmstadt Scientific report 1982, GSI-83-1, p. 234.

13. P. Peuser, U. Tharun, H.J. Keim, N. Trautmann, G. Wirth and G. Herrmann, submitted to Nucl. Instrum. Meth.
14. The events occurred in three different samples after 27, 100 and 477 days with a low fragment energy (43.3, 66.8 and 38.1 MeV) and one neutron in coincidence. Taking into account a 95% confidence level in Poisson statistics, curve 7 was calculated for six, all the other curves for three events.
15. K. Sümmerner et al., Proc. XXII. Int. Meeting on Nucl. Physics, Bormio, Italy, 1984; GSI Darmstadt preprint GSI 84-17 (1984).
16. P. Möller and J.R. Nix, Atomic Nuclear Data Tables 26, 165 (1981).
G.A. Leander et al., Proc. AMCO VII Conf., Seeheim 1984, in press.
17. J. Randrup et al., Physica Scripta 10A, 60 (1974).
18. K.H. Schmidt, J.G. Keller and D. Vermeulen, Z. Phys. A315, 159 (1984).

Figure Caption

Fig. 1. Upper limits (at 95% confidence level) for the production cross sections of superheavy nuclei in the reaction of ^{48}Ca with ^{248}Cm in the energy range 4.5–5.2 MeV/u as a function of the half-life. The data result from experiments with recoil fragment separators (curves 1,2), with fast on-line chemical separations (3,4,5) and off-line chemistry (6,7,8), as is pointed out in the text.




Figure 1

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720