UC Merced UC Merced Previously Published Works

Title

Novel copper-containing membrane monooxygenases (CuMMOs) encoded by alkaneutilizing Betaproteobacteria

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8z48x96g

Journal The ISME Journal: Multidisciplinary Journal of Microbial Ecology, 14(3)

ISSN

1751-7362

Authors

Rochman, Fauziah F Kwon, Miye Khadka, Roshan <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2020-03-01

DOI

10.1038/s41396-019-0561-2

Peer reviewed

1Novel copper-containing membrane monooxygenases

2(CuMMOs) encoded by alkane-utilizing Betaproteobacteria

3

4Running title: Novel CuMMOs in Betaproteobacteria

5

6Fauziah F. Rochman¹, Miye Kwon², Roshan Khadka¹, Ivica Tamas^{1,3}, Azriel 7Abraham Lopez-Jauregui^{1,4}, Andriy Sheremet¹, Angela Smirnova¹, Rex R. 8Malmstrom⁵, Sukhwan Yoon², Tanja Woyke⁵, Peter F. Dunfield^{1*}, Tobin J. 9Verbeke¹

10

11¹ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, 2500 University

12Dr. NW Calgary AB Canada T2N 1N4

13² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Korea Advanced

14Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon, Korea

15³Department of Biology and Ecology, University of Novi Sad Novi Sad, Serbia

16⁴ Instituto Tecnologico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey, Chihuahua,

17Mexico

18⁵ Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California, 19USA.

20

21*Corresponding author: pfdunfie@ucalgary.ca; 403-220-2469

22

23Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing

24interests.

25**Abstract**

26Copper-containing membrane monooxygenases (CuMMOs) are encoded by 27xmoCAB(D) gene clusters and catalyze the oxidation of methane, ammonia, 28or some short chain alkanes and alkenes. In a metagenome constructed from 29an oilsands tailings pond we detected an *xmoCABD* gene cluster with <59% 30derived amino acid identity to genes from known bacteria. Stable isotope 31probing experiments combined with a specific *xmoA* qPCR assay 32demonstrated that the bacteria possessing these genes were incapable of 33methane assimilation, but did grow on ethane and propane. Single-cell 34genomes (SAGs) from propane-enriched samples were therefore constructed 35and screened with the specific PCR assay to identify bacteria possessing the 36target gene cluster. Multiple SAGs of Betaproteobacteria belonging to the 37genera Rhodoferax and Polaromonas possessed close homologues of the 38metagenomic *xmoCABD* gene cluster. Unexpectedly, each of these two 39genera also possessed other *xmoCABD* paralogs, representing two additional 40lineages in phylogenetic analyses. Metabolic reconstructions from SAGs 41predicted that neither bacterium was capable of catabolic methane or 42ammonia oxidation, but that both were capable of higher *n*-alkane 43degradation. The involvement of the encoded CuMMOs in alkane oxidation 44was further suggested by reverse transcription PCR analyses, which detected 45elevated transcription of the *xmoA* genes upon enrichment of water samples 46 with propane as the sole energy source. Enrichments, isotope incorporation 47studies, genome reconstructions, and gene expression studies therefore all

48agreed that the unknown *xmoCABD* operons did not encode methane or 49ammonia monooxygenases, but rather n-alkane monooxygenases. This 50study broadens the known diversity of CuMMOs and identifies non-nitrifying *Betaproteobacteria* as possessing these enzymes.

53Introduction

54Enzymes in the copper-containing membrane monooxygenase family 55(CuMMOs) catalyze diverse reactions. Particularly important are CuMMOs 56that act as ammonia and methane monooxygenases, as these play 57 important roles in global carbon and nitrogen cycles (1-4). Nitrifying *Bacteria* 58and Archaea use ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) to catalyze the oxidation 59of ammonium to hydroxylamine, while methanotrophic bacteria use 60particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) to convert methane into 61methanol. Evidence for CuMMO-mediated metabolism of other compounds 62like short-chain alkanes and alkenes has emerged in recent years. CuMMOs 63have been reported to catalyse C2-C4 alkane oxidation in a number of 64actinobacterial strains including Mycobacterium chubuense NBB4 (5), 65Mycobacterium rhodesiae NBB3 (6) and Nocardiodes sp. CF8 (7). Ethylene-66assimilating Haliea spp. within the class Gammaproteobacteria have also 67been shown to possess CuMMOs, although the role of the enzymes in 68ethylene oxidation is not firmly established (8). CuMMOs are also known to 69oxidise numerous other substrates via competitive co-oxidation, particularly 70hydrocarbons containing methyl and alkyl groups, but the converted 71substrates do not support growth (3).

CuMMOs are encoded in an operon of three to four genes in the usual 73order CAB(D). The D gene is sometimes encoded separate from the operon, 74or can be absent entirely (9). Operons are by convention named *amoCAB(D)* 75(encoding AMO), *pmoCAB(D)* (encoding pMMO), or other names depending 76on the substrate-specificity. However, all are homologous and for simplicity 77we will refer to them collectively as xmoCAB(D) (10). Functionally and 78taxonomically coherent groups of methane and ammonia oxidisers are 79 distinguishable on the basis of *xmoA* phylogeny, making these genes 80excellent biomarkers to identify environmental populations (10-12). Broad-81spectrum PCR primers targeting these genes are therefore extensively used 82in ecological studies of methanotroph and nitrifier diversity. However, a 83common result of such studies is the detection of divergent sequences of 84unknown affiliation or function (12). Genome and metagenome studies are 85also uncovering new operons encoding divergent CuMMOs. Notable 86examples include the three different *xmoCAB* operons reported in 87verrucomicrobial methanotrophs (13-15) as well as the "pxm-group" 88identified in some alphaproteobacterial and gammaproteobacterial 89methanotrophs (16-18). Other divergent operons have been identified in 90sequenced genomes, including those of the gammaproteobacterium 91Solimonas aquatica DSM 25927 (19) and the betaproteobacterium 92Hydrogenophaga sp. T4 (Genbank accession number: AZSO00000000), but 93the functions of the encoded CuMMOs are unknown. Collectively, there is 94 increasing evidence that the diversity of bacteria encoding CuMMO enzymes, 95and the diversity of substrates these enzymes act on, may be greater than 96currently appreciated.

97 Petroleum-impacted environments are good habitats to explore for98new hydrocarbon degrading oxygenases. In the Athabascan oil sands of

99Alberta, Canada, surface oil extraction involves a combination of alkali-hot 100water treatment and addition of chemical diluents (naphtha). The extraction 101process generates fluid tailings that are stored in open ponds to allow for 102particle settling, surface water recycling, and long-term pollutant 103containment (20). These tailings ponds contain high (up to 10 mM) 104concentrations of ammonia/ammonium (21, 22), along with residual 105bitumen-derived and naphtha-derived hydrocarbons including C₃-C₁₄ alkanes 106and monoaromatics (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene) (23, 24). Some 107oilsands tailings ponds are strongly methanogenic, and emit methane along 108with traces of other C₂-C₁₀ volatile organic compounds (23-26). Aerobic 109methanotrophs possessing pMMO are abundant in oxic surface waters of 110these ponds (22).

Given the wealth of known CuMMOsubstrates in these tailings ponds, Given the wealth of known CuMMOsubstrates in these tailings ponds, U2the oxic surface waters may offer a unique environment in which to discover U3new CuMMOs. Numerous investigations of the microbial communities in oil U4sands tailings ponds have been undertaken (20, 27), including metagenomic U15analyses (27-29). Through data mining of these metagenomes, a CuMMO-U16encoding operon highly divergent from any previously recognized operon U17was discovered. The objective of this study was to identify the bacteria U8encoding this sequence, and to gain insights into their metabolism.

119

120 Materials and methods

121Sample sites and metagenomes

122A metagenome (IMG Genome ID: 3300002856) of the surface oxic water of 123an active oilsands tailings pond near Fort McMurray, Alberta (West-In Pit or 124WIP) was generated on Illumina and Roche 454 platforms and assembled as 125described previously (29). An unusual *xmoCABD* operon (draft 100068512-126draft 100068515) was identified in this metagenome and is referred to in 127this manuscript as "WIPMG *xmoCABD1*." The WIP pond was decommissioned 128in 2012 and repurposed as an End-Pit Lake (Base Mine Lake or BML) that no 129longer receives fresh tailings material (30). Therefore fresh samples could 130not be obtained from WIP for the present study, and were instead obtained 131 from another active pond, Mildred Lake Settling Basin (MLSB). Until 2012 132water was cycled between the two ponds and their microbial communities 133were very similar (22, 29), so we expected that MLSB would be a suitable 134proxy for the pre-2012 WIP community. Samples were obtained from the 135surface 10-cm of MLSB at several times between 2015-2017. The pond 136locations, chemical compositions, and the sampling methods used have been 137described previously (22, 29).

138

139**Bacterial enrichments**

140Surface water samples (0-10 cm depth) of MLSB sampled in August 2015 141were used for enrichments and stable isotope probing (SIP) experiments. 142Twenty milliliter amounts were added to 100-ml serum bottles sealed with 143butyl rubber stoppers. Headspaces of triplicate capped bottles were 144augmented with 10% v/v methane, ethane, or propane. Alternatively, 145ammonium chloride (20 mM) was added to enrich for nitrifying bacteria. The 146headspace of each bottle was supplemented with 5% v/v CO₂ to support 147autotrophy or anapleurotic CO₂ fixation. Bottles were incubated at 23°C with 148shaking (180 rpm) for up to 6 weeks. Gaseous hydrocarbon consumption and 149CO₂ production were determined using a Varian 450-gas chromatograph 150(Varian, Walnut Creek, CA) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector 151(detector T 150°) after separation in a 2 mm × 0.5 m Hayesep N column and 152a 2 mm × 1.2 m molecular sieve 16X column in series (column T 70°).

154Detection and quantification of the WIPMG xmoA1 gene

155Water samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 × *g* prior to DNA 156extraction using the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedical, Santa Ana, 157CA). Eluted DNA was stored at -80°C. Specific PCR primers to target the 158WIPMG *xmoA1* gene were designed using the "Probe Design" tool in ARB (31) 159on a curated database of *xmoA* genes from public domain genomes. Primers 160and PCR assay conditions are detailed in Supplemental Table S1. Primer 161specificity was verified using Primer Blast (32) with 8 maximum allowed 162mismatches and the largest E-value of 10⁵ against the NR database, 163including uncultured and environmental sample sequences. No unspecific 164hits were found. The PCR was optimized via temperature-gradient analysis, 165and reaction specificity verified via Sanger sequencing of selected PCR 166products, and melt curve analyses during qPCR. A PCR product was cloned 167into the vector pJET1.2 using the CloneJET PCR cloning kit (Thermo Fisher 168Scientific, Waltham, MA), transformed into *E. coli*, recovered via colony PCR 169and used to construct qPCR standards ranging from 10² – 10⁸ gene copies 170per microliter (33). qPCR was performed on a Qiagen RotorGene-Q (Qiagen, 171Toronto, ON) using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad, 172Hercules, CA).

173

174**DNA-Stable isotope probing (SIP)**

175One-liter bottles containing 150 mL of MLSB water were sealed using butyl 176rubber stoppers and the headspace supplemented with 10% v/v of 177isotopically light (12C) or heavy (99 mol% 13C, Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, 178Canada) methane, ethane, propane, or no added alkane (duplicate bottles of 179each). Five percent (v/v) ¹²CO₂ was also added to minimize cross-feeding of 180¹³CO₂. Bottles were incubated as described above and gas depletion 181measured via GC. Experiments were stopped after ten days when between 18221-33% of the supplied alkanes had been consumed. Extracted DNA was 183separated via isopycnic ultracentrifugation in cesium chloride and divided 184 into twelve fractions of \sim 0.4 mL each, as described previously (34). The 185density of each fraction was measured using an AR200 refractometer 186(Reichert Technologies, Depew, NY). Recovered DNA was precipitated with 187polyethylene glycol and glycogen, washed with 70% ethanol, eluted, and 188quantified using the Quant-iT dsDNA HS assay kit (Invitrogen) (34). Samples 189 from the SIP density fractions, unamended controls, and the initial (t=0)

190community were investigated via qPCR of *xmoA1* genes, as well as via 191Illumina sequencing of 16S rRNA gene amplicons.

For amplicon sequencing multiple DNA density fractions of 1.72-1.74 g 193ml⁻¹ were pooled to form a single representative 'heavy DNA pool'. Fractions 194were selected if they contained much more DNA in ¹³C versus ¹²C 195incubations. Two controls were used: unfractionated DNA from the initial 196community; and the heaviest PCR-amplifiable fractions (1.71-1.73 g ml⁻¹) of 197the unamended samples. The latter control verified that designated "heavy" 198fractions were not simply GC-rich organisms. Amplification of the 341-785 199region of 16S rRNA genes (35), and amplicon sequencing using an Illumina 200MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was carried out as described previously (36). 201Reads were paired, filtered to exclude samples with quality-scores below 19 202and analyzed using QIIME (37) with parameter settings described previously 203(29) Taxonomic identities were assigned via BLAST comparison to the Silva 204database (v. 123) (38). OTUs representing >1% of any relative read-set were 205validated through manual BLAST against the NCBI NR database.

206

207Single-cell genomics

208MLSB water sampled in September 2016 was enriched under 10% propane 209and 5% CO₂ as described above. Propane consumption was monitored using 210an SRI-8610C gas chromatograph (SRI Instruments, Torrance, CA) containing 211a HayeSep-D column (column T 190°C) coupled to a flame ionization 212detector (detector T 300°C) using N₂ as the carrier gas. When propane

213consumption slowed, bottle headspaces were flushed with air and 214 reconstituted with propane and CO₂. After 6 weeks total, 2-ml aliguots were 215 removed and centrifuged at 300 \times g for 2 min to remove inorganic 216particulate matter. The supernatant was transferred and cell biomass 217 recovered via centrifugation at 6 000 \times g for 3 mins. Cell pellets were 218washed three times in 50% strength PBS, then resuspended in 1 ml of 50 mM 219Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0) containing 10% v/v glycerol. The prepared cells 220were then sorted into 384-well plates and single amplified genomes (SAGs) 221prepared using methods described previously (39, 40). SAGs were screened 222 for 16S rRNA genes with standard protocols, and each well containing an 223 identified 16S rRNA gene was then screened via the specific WIPMG *xmoA1* 224PCR assay. Ten SAGs positive for both 16S rRNA and WIPMG xmoA1 were 225selected for complete genome sequencing on an Illumina NextSeg (40), 226 followed by assembly and annotation using the standard operating 227procedure of the Joint Genome Institute's microbial annotation pipeline (41). 228Genome completeness and contamination for individual and combined SAGs 229were estimated using CheckM (42).

230

231**Comparative phylogenetics and DNA-DNA hybridizations**

232Phylogenetic analysis was performed on concatenated derived amino acid 233sequences of the three operonic *xmoCAB* genes. Sequences from publicly 234available genomes/metagenomes and sequences determined in this study 235were aligned via Clustal Omega (43) and the tree constructed using 236maximum likelihood employing the LG model in Seaview 4.4.12 (44).

237 In silico DNA-DNA hybridizations were performed using the online 238Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator v. 2.1 (45). Fasta nucleic-acid (.fna) 239files containing all assembled scaffolds for a specific SAG were compared 240against other SAGs within the genus in a pairwise fashion. Values were 241calculated by determining the sum of all identities found in high-scoring 242segment pairs divided by the overall high-scoring segment pair length 243(Formula 2 in the program) as recommended for incomplete, draft genomes 244(45).

245

246**Analysis of** *xmoA* **transcripts in propane-fed enrichment culture** 247To our knowledge, successful cloning and expression of CuMMO-encoding 248genes has been achieved only once in any model organism, for the butane 249MMO of a *Mycobacterium* (6). Therefore a cloning approach was considered 250unlikely to succeed, and we instead applied RT-qPCR to address the function 251of the WIPMG *xmoCABD1*. A sealed 2-L Duran glass bottle containing 1.5 L of 252mineral salts M10 medium (46) was inoculated with 75 mL of MLSB water 253that had been pre-enriched with propane as described above. The reactor 254was fed with a flow-through of mixed gas (propane and air at a ratio of 1:12) 255at a flow rate of 2.6 mL min⁻¹. The fed-batch reactor was maintained at 30 °C 257OD_{600nm} of 0.171 after 96 h of incubation, after which the propane was shut 258off and gas feed continued with air alone for another 24 h.

259 At intervals (0, 48, 96 and 120 h), 0.5-mL samples were taken, 260 immediately treated with 1 mL RNAprotect bacteria reagent (Qiagen), and 261centrifuged at 5000 \times g for 10 mins for RNA extraction. Parallel samples for 262DNA extraction were prepared without the RNAprotect treatment. Cell pellets 263were stored at -80°C until analyses. Genomic DNA and the total RNA were 264extracted using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen) and RNeasy Mini Kit 265(Qiagen), respectively. Three separate samples were processed in parallel to 266ensure reproducibility. Before lysing the cells for the extraction of the total 267RNA, one microliter of luciferase mRNA solution (Promega, Madison, WI) 268diluted to 10^{10} copies μ L⁻¹ was added to each RNA extraction vial to account 269 for the RNA loss during the extraction and purification procedures (47). The 270extracted RNA samples were treated with DNase I (Qiagen) and purified with 271RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen) as previously described (48). The 272purified total RNA samples were reverse-transcribed using SuperScript III 273 reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen).

The WIPMG *xmoA1* genes in the DNA and cDNA samples were 275quantified via qPCR using the primer set TP2f + TP2r (Table S1). The primer 276set and assay were redesigned from the xmoA1 assay described earlier in 277order to universally target the entire cluster of related *xmoA1* genes found in 278SAGs and metagenome sequences. Specific assays were also designed to 279target the *xmoA2* gene from the *Rhodoferax* SAGs and the *xmoA2* gene from 280the *Polaromonas* SAGs (Table S1). Primer specificity was verified as 281described earlier.

282

283Results

284**Sequence discovery and phylogenetic analyses**

285Analysis of a previously published metagenome (29) identified a scaffold of 2864485 bp that encoded a four-gene cluster homologous to known CuMMO 287encoding operons. Like most known pMMO- and AMO-encoding operons the 288genes were organized in the C-A-B order, with an additional *xmoD* gene. 289Phylogenetic analyses (Figure 1) showed that the *xmoCAB* (designated as 290WIPMG *xmoCAB1*) is most closely related to an operon in *Solimonas aquatica* 291DSM 25927, a gammaproteobacterium isolated from a freshwater spring in 292Taiwan (49). However, the individual CAB subunits share only 54%-66% 293derived amino acid identity with this strain (59% overall). Only one other 294gene was annotated on the genomic scaffold, a long-chain fatty acid 295transport protein showing a maximal amino acid identity of 67% to a protein 296in the alkane-oxidizing betaproteobacterium, *Thauera butanivorans* (50).

298Enrichment and stable isotope probing with potential CuMMO 299substrates

300Given the high sequence divergence of the WIPMG *xmoCABD1* relative to 301sequences from known methanotrophs and nitrifiers (Figure 1, Table S2-S3), 302we sought to identify a possible ecological role for the organism(s) 303possessing it. A specific qPCR assay targeting the WIPMG *xmoA1* gene was 304used to analyse tailings pond water samples enriched with methane, 305ammonium, ethane, or propane. The number of WIPMG *xmoA1* gene copies 306was low (12,849 ± 2628 gene copies ml⁻¹) at the onset of the experiment and 307stayed relatively constant over six weeks of incubation in controls and in 308methane or ammonium enrichments (Figure 2). The only clearly stimulatory 309treatment was propane, where gene counts increased by over an order of 310magnitude, although ethane may have caused a small, transient increase. 311Enrichments with benzene, toluene, xylene or naphthalene (5 ml L⁻¹) for 4 312weeks also showed no increase in WIPMG *xmoA1* gene counts (data not 313shown).

Water samples were then enriched using isotopically light (12 C) or 315heavy (13 C) methane, ethane and propane. Rapid oxidation was observed 316using both the 12 C and 13 C alkanes, showing maximal potential oxidation 317rates of 117, 90, and 63 µmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹ for methane, ethane and propane, 318respectively (Figure S1). In the density gradient-fractionated DNA from an 319unamended control sample, as well as in all enrichments using 12 C 320substrates, the peak amount of total DNA and the peak number of WIPMG 321*xmoA1* copies were detected in a DNA fraction of 1.69-1.70 g ml⁻¹ (Figure 3). 322This therefore represented the natural peak density of the DNA from the 323entire community, and from the organisms containing the *xmoA1* gene. 324 In the 13 C-methane-incubated samples the peak amount of DNA shifted 325to 1.71-1.74 g ml⁻¹ due to incorporation of the heavy-isotope by 326methanotrophs. However, there was no shift in the fraction containing the 327highest WIPMG *xmoA1* gene copy number due to ¹³C methane assimilation. 328In both the ¹³C and ¹²C methane enrichments, peak WIPMG *xmoA1* copies 329were observed in light (1.69 g ml⁻¹) fractions, suggesting that the bacteria 330possessing these genes did not assimilate methane-derived carbon (Figures 3313A, 3B).

In contrast, the density fraction showing the maximum WIPMG *xmoA1* 333copy numbers did shift after ¹³C-ethane and ¹³C-propane enrichment (Figures 3343D, 3F). In both cases, WIPMG *xmoA1* gene copy numbers were highest at 335densities of 1.69-1.70 g ml⁻¹ in the ¹²C enrichments but shifted to >1.71 g ml⁻ 336¹ after enrichment with ¹³C-labeled n-alkanes (Figures 3C, 3E). This shift 337suggests that the bacteria encoding WIPMG *xmoCAB1* were capable of 338assimilating carbon from ethane and propane. Peak WIPMG *xmoA1* copy 339numbers were three orders of magnitude higher in the propane enrichment, 340suggesting that this substrate was preferred over ethane.

341 16S rRNA gene amplicons of DNA from control samples (i.e. the entire 342unenriched tailings pond DNA sample, as well as just the heaviest PCR-343amplifiable DNA fraction) showed diverse communities with 707-1098 OTUs 344detected. The heavy DNA fractions from the methane, ethane, and propane 345enrichments were dominated by fewer OTUs (367-622).

346*Gammaproteobacteria* was the predominant class in the unamended sample 347as well as the methane enrichment (Figure S2). The methane enrichment 348was dominated by a single OTU (Figure S3) closely related to the 349methanotrophs *Methyloparacoccus* and *Methylocaldum* (97% sequence 350identity) agreeing with previous studies (22). *Betaproteobacteria* were much 351more abundant in the ethane and propane enrichments, comprising 48% and 35277% of the total read sets respectively (Figure S2). Relative abundances of 353OTUs within the genera *Methyloversatilis, Hydrogenophaga, Pedomicrobium*, 354*Arenimonas, Acidovorax, Rhodoferax* and *Oxalicibacterium* increased after 355propane enrichment (Figure S3).

356

357Screening single-cell genomes for xmoCAB genes.

358About 98% of the identified sorted cells from a propane enrichment belonged 359to the class *Betaproteobacteria* and six distinct genera were identified 360overall (Table S4). Three of these, *Rhodoferax*, *Hydrogenophaga* and 361*Methyloversatilis*, had been shown to assimilate propane in SIP enrichments 362(Figure S3). However, the most abundant genus sorted was *Polaromonas*, 363which was not enriched in the propane SIP experiments. The water samples 364used for these two experiments were taken at different times, probably 365explaining the slight differences in the predominant bacteria.

Aliquots of amplified genomic DNA from the sorted wells were Aliquots of amplified genomic DNA from the sorted wells were Software using the WIPMG *xmoA1*-specific PCR assay. Bands of the expected multiple SAGs identified as *Rhodoferax* and Software observed in multiple SAGs identified as *Rhodoferax* and Software observed in the SAGs of each genus were selected for genome Topsequencing (Table S5). Comparative analyses suggested that the 5 SAGs of Software genus were nearly clonal. *In silico* DNA-DNA hybridizations (45) of the 372draft genomes within each genus were all >70% identical, suggesting each 373genus was represented by a single species in the sorted plates (Table S6, 374Table S7). The 16S rRNA gene sequences from the *Polaromonas* SAGs were 375identical and showed 98.0% nucleotide identity to *Polaromonas* 376*naphthalenivorans* CJ2, an aromatic hydrocarbon degrading bacterium (51). 377For the *Rhodoferax* genomes, the full length 16S rRNA gene sequences were 378identical except for a single nucleotide mismatch in SAG-1 and closely 379matched (98.5%) *Rhodoferax ferrireducens* T118 (52). Finished genomes for 380both *P. naphthalenivorans* CJ2 and *R. ferrireducens* T118 are available, but 381neither organism (nor any closely related genome-sequenced strain) 382possesses CuMMO-encoding genes.

Collectively, the *Rhodoferax* SAGs possessed two divergent *xmoCABD* 384operons (Figures 1, 4). One showed 99.9% nucleotide identity to the WIPMG 385*xmoCAB1* genes found in the original oilsands tailings pond metagenome. 386However, the second operon clustered in a distinct clade (Figure 1). The 387*Polaromonas* SAGs also encoded two divergent operons. Again, one was 388homologous to the WIPMG *xmoCAB1*. The other formed a third new lineage 389not homologous to the second operon in the *Rhodoferax* SAGs (Figure 1, 390Table S3). An orphan *xmoC* (e.g. Ga0215891_10812) was also identified in 391three of the five *Polaromonas* genomes, with flanking genes on the scaffolds 392confirming the absence of a complete operon.

393 The four new *xmo* gene clusters and selected neighboring genes are 394shown in Figure 4. Each of the four clusters included *xmoD*, a gene 395occasionally part of an *xmoCAB(D)* operon in methanotrophs and nitrifiers, 396and occasionally present elsewhere in the genome (9). Promoter prediction 397with Virtual Footprint (53) indicated that these genes are expressed as single 398*xmoCABD* operon in all four cases. Other genes located nearby include genes 399encoding predicted alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases, which may be 400involved in degrading the downstream products of the monooxygenase 401reaction (Figure 4).

402

403Metabolic potential

404While the major goal of sequencing the SAGs was to identify the organisms 405containing WIPMG *xmoCABD1* operons, they were also analysed to indicate 406any potential for ammonia, methane, or alkane oxidation. The CheckM 407genome completeness estimates for the combined *Polaromonas* SAGs was 40896% and for the combined *Rhodoferax* SAGs was 82% (Table S5). 409Contamination was estimated to be low (\leq 0.03%) or zero (Table S5). 410Therefore the combined SAGs should give nearly-complete overviews of 411metabolic capacity, especially for the *Polaromonas*.

A complete Calvin Benson Bassham (CBB) cycle for autotrophic CO₂ 413fixation, including the large subunit of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase, 414was detected in the *Polaromonas* (Ga0215911_14316; Ga215901_1152) but 415not in the *Rhodoferax*. *Polaromonas* may therefore be capable of 1-C fixation 416via the CBB cycle, a prerequisite for autotrophic nitrification. However, 417hydroxylamine oxidoreductase, an enzyme essential for ammonia oxidation 418(54), was not annotated in any SAG. Each genus also possessed a diversity of 419genes encoding transporters and metabolic modules for organoheterotrophic 420growth, which would be very atypical for known bacterial nitrifiers (55).

421 Pathways typical of proteobacterial methanotrophs were also mostly 422missing, although some catabolism of 1-C substrates (formate and poossibly 423methanol) was encoded. Neither a ribulose monophosphate (RuMP) nor a 424serine cycle for fixation of 1-C intermediates of methane oxidation was 425complete in either organism. Genes encoding the key RuMP enzymes 3-426hexulose-6-phosphate synthase and 6-phospho-3-hexuloisomerase were not 427 found, nor were genes for the key serine cycle enzyme serine glyoxylate 428aminotransferase. A hydroxypyruvate reductase encoding gene was 429annotated, but was different from the form other methanotrophs use for the 430serine cycle (EC 1.1.1.81 instead of 1.1.1.29). There was no clear *mxaFI* or 431*xoxF*-encoded methanol dehydrogenase, which is common in methanotrophs 432(56, 57). However, two other pyrrolquinoline quinone (PQQ)-binding alcohol 433dehydrogenases were encoded in both Rhodoferax and Polaromonas 434genomes: a homologue to the single subunit mdh2-type methanol 435dehydrogenase identified in the methylotroph *Methyloversatilis universalis* 436FAM5 (58) (e.g. Ga0215885 1254 - Rhodoferax; Ga0215901 10418-437Polaromonas), and a second POQ-binding alcohol dehydrogenase (e.g. 438Ga0215904 10692 - Rhodoferax; Ga0215901 1397- Polaromonas) located 439just downstream of CuMMO-encoding subunits (Figure 4). Genes encoding 440PQQ synthesis were also identified in the Polaromonas (e.g.

441Ga0215902_10817-108110). Formaldehyde dehydrogenase or a 442tetrahydromethanopterin-linked pathway to convert formaldehyde to 443formate were not encoded, although other aldehyde dehydrogenases were 444present, often adjacent to the *xmoCABD* operons (Figure 4). The 445*Polaromonas* did encode multiple subunits of a formate dehydrogenase (e.g. 446Ga0215892_11102 to 11104).

447 On the other hand, complete pathways for propane oxidation were 448predicted in both *Rhodoferax* and *Polaromonas* genomes (Figure 5). In 449addition to the two CuMMOs, both genera encode multiple other alkane 450monooxygenases, including a group 3 (methane/alkane) soluble di-iron 451monooxygenase in the *Polaromonas* that is closely homologous (87% 452identity) to the butane monooxygenase of *Thauera butanivorans* (59, 60) 453(Table S8). The oxidation of propane could be initiated by one or several of 454these enzymes, either terminally forming 1-propanol or sub-terminally 455 forming 2-propanol (61, 62). The terminal oxidation of 1-propanol could 456 proceed via propional dehyde and propionate (62) which could then be 457 further oxidized by a number of described heterotrophic pathways (63). In 458brief, both organisms can theoretically convert 1-propanol to propionate and 459then propionyl-CoA (Figure 5). At this branch point, one possible degradation 460 route includes oxidation via the citramalate cycle where propionyl-CoA is 461converted into succinyl-CoA (63, 64). In the *Rhodoferax*, the genes encoding 462a propionyl-CoA carboxylase (e.g. Ga0215895 1164-1165) and a 463methylmalonyl-CoA mutase (e.g. Ga0215895 1161) are adjacent in the

464genome. A similar gene neighbourhood architecture is observed in the 465*Polaromonas* genomes. Neither organism had gene homologues encoding 466known methylmalonyl-CoA epimerases, which catalyze the conversion 467between 2R-methylmalonyl-CoA and 2S-methylmalonyl-CoA (Figure 5). 468Multiple other epimerases are annotated in each genome, however, which 469may act as functional equivalents.

Another possible pathway includes the conversion of propionyl-CoA 471(plus oxaloacetate) to pyruvate (plus succinate) via the methylcitrate 472pathway (Figure 5A). In *Polaromonas*, genes encoding 2-methylcitrate 473synthase, 2-methylcitrate dehydratase and 2-methylisocitrate lyase are 474adjacent in the genome (e.g. Ga0215912_10019-100111). Genes for 2-475methylcitrate synthase could not be identified in the *Rhodoferax* genomes 476although a citrate synthase encoding gene (e.g. Ga0215903_11011) is 477located just downstream of annotated 2-methylcitrate dehydratase and 2-478methylcitrate lyase encoding genes.

A number of possible sub-terminal oxidation pathways have been 480described (62, 65). No known 2-propanol degradation pathways were 481identified in the *Rhodoferax* SAGs (Figure 5B), but in *Polaromonas*, three 482distinct NAD(P)-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase encoding genes (ADH) are 483adjacent to an annotated acetone/cyclohexanone monooxygenase (e.g. 484Ga0215909_1235). In the actinomycete *Gordonia* sp. TY-5, where the 485pathway of 2-propanol oxidation via acetone was first described (66), the 486acetone monooxygenase is adjacent to a methylacetate hydrolase (an 487esterase). A homologous methylacetate hydrolase was not identified in the 488*Polaromonas* SAGs, but an esterase of unspecified activity is located just 489upstream of its acetone monooxygenase.

490

491**Transcription of** *xmo***A in tailings pond water enriched with propane** 492The transcription of WIPMG *xmo*A1 was quantified in an enrichment culture 493grown in a batch reactor continuously fed with propane (Table 1). The 494number of *xmo*A1 genes increased from $8.0 \times 10^4 \pm 7.9 \times 10^3$ copies mL⁻¹ 495immediately after inoculation (t = 0) to $3.9 \times 10^6 \pm 6.0 \times 10^5$ copies mL⁻¹ at t 496= 96. Up-regulation of *xmo*A1 transcription during growth on propane was 497indicated by significantly higher transcript-to-gene ratios (*p*<0.05) observed 498at t = 48 h and t = 96 h than at t = 120 h, 24 hours after shutdown of 499propane supply (Table 1).

The *xmoA2* gene found in *Polaromonas* was not detectable with a 501specific PCR assay, however the *xmoA2* gene in the *Rhodoferax* SAGs was. 502Fewer *Rhodoferax xmoA2* gene copies were detected compared to WIPMG 503*xmoA1* genes, suggesting that fewer bacteria in the enrichment had a close 504homologue of this *xmoA2* cluster (Table 1). However, like *xmoA1*, expression 505of the *Rhodoferax xmoA2* was also dependent on the propane supply, 506although not as dramatically. Expression of *xmoA2* decreased only 4-fold 507(rather than 30 fold for the *xmoA1*) after removal of propane. Although they 508were not the predominant bacteria in the enrichmment, <u>16S rRNA gene</u> 509amplicon analysis detected the genera XX at low relative abundances (XX% 510<mark>of XX total reads). Sequencing of the *xmoA1* gene product obtained from the 511enrichment culture also verified that it matched 100% to the gene from 512XXXX.</mark>

513

514 Discussion

515Phylogenies of genes encoding CuMMOs can clearly delineate some 516 functional and taxonomic groups of bacteria. Different ammonia oxidisers (in 517the phylum Thaumarchaeota, and the proteobacterial classes 518Gammaproteobacteria, and Betaproteobacteria), methane oxidisers (phyla 519Verrucomicrobia and NC10, proteobacterial classes Gammaproteobacteria, 520and Alphaproteobacteria), and butane oxidisers (phylum Actinobacteria) can 521all be reliably separated on the basis of phylogenetic clustering (10-12, 16). 522This coherent phylogenetic structure has served as a useful backbone to 523establishing community structure-function relationships in numerous 524molecular ecology surveys investigating methane and ammonium oxidisers 525(10, 12). However, phylogenetic clusters of CuMMO-encoding genes with 526unknown function and taxonomic affiliation are also found in genomes, 527metagenomes, and environmrntal PCR amplicons produced with broad-528specificity PCR primers. Here we investigated one such unknown xmoCABD 529operon identified in the metagenome of an oilsands tailings pond, in order to 530assign it to a probable taxon and function. Single-cell genomics positively 531 identified bacteria possessing this operon in our samples as members of the 532 class Betaproteobacteria, in the family Comamonadaceae and the genera

533*Rhodoferax* and *Polaromonas. Comamonadaceae* are abundant in 534hydrocarbon-contaminated environments such as oilsands tailings, and 535specific members possess multiple aerobic and anaerobic petroleum-536degrading pathways (20, 27, 29, 67). None are known to oxidise methane or 537ammonia.

538 The use of a single-cell genomics approach was preferred to 539metagenomic binning of genomes because nearly all *xmo* operons differ 540significantly in their nucleotide compositional biases compared to their 541 overall host genomes (10), which could potentially cause problems with 542compositional binning. The assignment of the *xmo* operons to the 543Rhodoferax and Polaromonas genomes was verified in multiple 544uncontaminated SAGs of each bacterium. Unexpectedly, the SAGs also 545 revealed other divergent CuMMO-encoding operons in both bacteria. 546Collectively, the CuMMOs clustered into three distinct clades. In each clade 547the closest genes from identified, cultured bacteria are only distantly related 548to those from our SAGs, and formal studies into gene expression or enzyme 549 function of the *xmo* genes in these bacteria have not been reported. The 550 closest genome sequence to the WIPMG *xmoCAB1* cluster showed only 59% 551amino acid (AA) identity and is encoded by the gammaproteobacterium 552Solimonas aguatica NAA16^T. Solimonas aguatica is a metabolically versatile 553bacterium (49) whose CuMMO-enzymes were identified solely through 554genome sequencing as part of the Genomic Encyclopedia of Archaeal and 555Bacterial Type Strains, Phase-II sequencing initiative (19). Characterization of

556gene expression and alkane metabolism in the type strain *Solimonas* 557*aquatica* NAA16^T could be valuable to explore the diversity of CuMMOs, 558however the low similarities of the *Solimonas xmo* operon to those of the 559*Polaromonas/Rhodoferax* characterized in this study suggests that the 560functions of the encoded CuMMOs may not be the same.

561 The low numbers of WIPMG xmoA1 genes observed under ammonia 562enrichments (Figure 2) suggested that neither the *Rhodoferax* nor the 563Polaromonas strains were capable of growth via nitrification. This was further 564supported by the lack of a hydroxylamine oxidoreductase in any of the SAGs. 565Methane oxidation also seemed an unlikely function based on SIP and gPCR 566analyses after incubation under methane-containing atmospheres (Figure 5672,3). No enrichment of the *xmoA* or 16S rRNA genes of these bacteria was 568observed in the heavy fraction of the ¹³C-methane SIP experiments, verifying 569these bacteria did not assimilate methane. The genomic compositions were 570also not typical of methanotrophs, although a limited capacity to catabolise 571 some 1-C compounds was indicated. Neither bacterium encoded methanol 572and formaldehyde oxidation pathways typical of methanotrophs and neither 573encoded pathways for assimilating methane-carbon via formaldehyde or 574 formate. The *Polaromonas* encoded some capability for 1-C catabolism 575(formate and possibly methanol), and although methane assimilation was 576not encoded, the CBB cycle would provide an alternative path of C 577assimilation, as practiced by Verrucomicrobia methanotrophs (34). Given this 578potential, and the known promiscuous nature of CuMMOs (3), methane

27

579cannot be completely discounted as a possible substrate for the new 580CuMMOs. It is possible that the *Polaromonas/Rhodoferax* obtain energy from 581the oxidation of methane, but are unable to assimilate it. However, a clear 582test of this hypothesis would require a pure culture. Our cultivation efforts to 583date have included plating and batch-culture dilution under propane-584containing atmospheres, however the target organisms have proven elusive, 585and are easily overgrown by other species. Successful isolation will likely 586require optimizing incubations conditions such as pO₂.

587 Contrary to methane and ammonia oxidation, n-alkane metabolism in 588the target bacteria was supported by multiple lines of evidence: enrichment, 589SIP, genome analysis, and gene expression. Genomic analyses suggested 590two plausible pathways for terminal propane oxidation in both *Rhodoferax* 591and *Polaromonas* (Figure 4A) along with a pathway in *Polaromonas* for the 592oxidation of 2-propanol (Figure 4B). These genome predictions, along with 593the strong assimilation of propane-derived C into the *xmoA* genes of 594*Rhodoferax* and *Polaromonas* demonstrated by SIP studies, show clearly that 595these bacteria are capable of alkanotrophy. They do not together prove that 596the CuMMOs are key enzymes in propane oxidation, since multiple other 597hydrocarbon monooxygenases were also identified in the genomes (Table 598S8). However, RT-qPCR studies indicated that WIPMG *xmoA1* gene 599expression in an enrichment culture (as well as the expression of the *xmoA2* 600gene detected in the *Rhodoferax*) is regulated depending on the availability 601of propane, strongly suggesting the involvement of these CuMMO enzymes 602in propane oxygenation.

603 CuMMO-enabled n-alkane (butane, ethane, and propane) oxygenation 604has already been established in some Actinomycetes (5-7). Although our 605bacteria showed a clear preference for growth on propane, ethane also 606supported a lower growth and C-assimilation rate, and could also have been 607a CuMMO substrate. A difference between the CuMMO-encoding genes in our 608Comomonadaceae versus those of Actinobacteria is the presence of xmoD in 609the former. The product of *xmoD* was recently described as a critical 610component of some CuMMOs: a Cu-containing polypeptide that may 611 facilitate assembly and stabilization of the CuMMO complex, or facilitate 612electron delivery to the active site (9). The gene is present in all bacteria 613encoding AMO or pMMO for ammonia/methane oxidation, sometimes as part 614of the xmo operon and sometimes elsewhere in the genome. However, 615homologues are not found in the genomes of the actinobacteria 616Mycobacteria and Nocardiodes that encode n-alkane targeting CuMMOs (9). 617This suggests that the Rhodoferax/Polaromonas CuMMOs identified in our 618study are functionally more similar to the better known proteobacterial 619pMMO/AMO enzymes than to the actinobacterial CuMMOs, a proposition 620supported by phylogenetic analysis, which places the actinobacterial 621CuMMOs well apart from all proteobacterial CuMMOs (Figure 1).

622 This study has expanded the known diversity of *xmoCAB(D)* operons 623encoding CuMMOs and the taxonomic groups that encode this enzyme. 624Definitive functional roles for any of the encoded CuMMOs could only be 625inferred, and conclusive evidence will require further experimentation using 626laboratory cultures. However, multiple lines of cultivation-independent 627evidence suggest that these CuMMOs are probably involved primarily in n-628alkane oxidation, rather than methane or ammonia oxidation.

629

630Acknowledgements

631This work was made possible through the NSERC (Natural Sciences and 632Engineering Research Council of Canada) Collaborative Research and 633Development program (Grant number CRDPJ478071-14); an NSERC 634Discovery grant (2014-05067); and a grant from the National Research 635Foundation of Korea (2018K1A3A1A74065626). Initial metagenomic data 636were created with financial assistance from Genome Canada, Genome 637Alberta, Genome BC and the Government of Alberta (GC Grant 1203). SAG 638sequencing and analysis was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy 639Joint Genome Institute, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under 640Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. We acknowledge the assistance of 641Syncrude Canada, Ltd in providing samples. AA Lopez-Jauregui was 642supported by a Mitacs Globallink internship award.

643

644**Competing interests**

645The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

646

Supplementary information

648Supplementary information is available at The ISME Journal's website.

64

651

652**References**X1. Hakemian AS, Rosenzweig AC. The biochemistry of 653methane oxidation. Ann Rev Biochem. 2007; **76**: 223-241.

6542. Canfield DE, Glazer AN, Falkowski PG. The evolution and future of 655Earth's nitrogen cycle. Science. 2010; **330**: 192-196.

6563. Semrau JD. Bioremediation via methanotrophy: Overview of recent
657findings and suggestions for future research. Frontier Microbiol. 2011; 2:
658209.

6594. Bodelier PLE, Steenbergh AK. Interactions between methane and the 660nitrogen cycle in light of climate change. Curr Opinion Environ Sustainability. 6612014; **9**: 26-36.

6625. Coleman NV, Yau S, Wilson NL, Nolan LM, Migocki MD, Ly MA, et al.
663Untangling the multiple monooxygenases of *Mycobacterium chubuense*664strain NBB4, a versatile hydrocarbon degrader. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2011;
665**3**: 297-307.

6666. Coleman NV, Le NB, Ly MA, Ogawa HE, McCarl V, Wilson NL, et al. 667Hydrocarbon monooxygenase in *Mycobacterium*: recombinant expression of 668a member of the ammonia monooxygenase superfamily. ISME J. 2012; **6**: 669171-182.

6707. Sayavedra-Soto LA, Hamamura N, Liu CW, Kimbrel JA, Chang JH, Arp DJ. 671The membrane-associated monooxygenase in the butane-oxidizing Gram-672positive bacterium *Nocardioides* sp. strain CF8 is a novel member of the 673AMO/PMO family. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2011; **3**: 390-396. 6748. Suzuki T, Nakamura T, Fuse H. Isolation of two novel marine ethylene675assimilating bacteria, *Haliea* species ETY-M and ETY-NAG, containing
676particulate methane monooxygenase-like genes. Microbes Environment.
6772012; **27**: 54-60.

6789. Fisher OS, Kenney GE, Ross MO, Ro SY, Lemma BE, Batelu S, et al.
679Characterization of a long overlooked copper protein from methane- and
680ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Nat Comm. 2018; **9**: 4276.

68110. Khadka R, Clothier L, Wang. L, Lim CK, Klotz MG, Dunfield PF.
682Evolutionary history of copper membrane monooxygenases. Frontier
683Microbiol. 2018; 9: 2493.

68411. Purkhold U, Pommerening-Röser A, Juretschko S, Schmid MC, Koops HP, 685Wagner M. Phylogeny of all recognized species of ammonia oxidizers based 686on comparative 16S rRNA and *amoA* sequences analysis: implications for 687molecular diversity surveys. Appl Environ Microb. 2000; **66**: 5368-5382.

68812. Knief C. Diversity and habitat preferences of cultivated and 689uncultivated aerobic methanotrophic bacteria evaluated based on *pmoA* as 690molecular marker. Frontier Microbiol. 2015; 6: 1346.

69113. Op den Camp HJ, Islam T, Stott MB, Harhangi HR, Hynes A, Schouten S,
692et al. Environmental, genomic and taxonomic perspectives on
693methanotrophic Verrucomicrobia. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2009; 1: 293-306.

69414. Khadem AF, van Teeseling MC, van Niftrik L, Jetten MS, Op den Camp 695HJ, Pol A. Genomic and physiological analysis of carbon storage in the 696verrucomicrobial methanotroph "*Ca. Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum*" SolV. 697Frontier Microbiol. 2012; **3**: 345.

69815. Anvar SY, Frank J, Pol A, Schmitz A, Kraaijeveld K, Dunnen JTd, et al.
699The genomic landscape of the verrucomicrobial methanotroph
700*Methacidiphilum fumariolicum* SolV. BMC Genomics. 2014; **15**: 914.

70116. Tavormina PL, Orphan VJ, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Jetten MS, Klotz MG. A
702novel family of functional operons encoding methane/ammonia
703monooxygenase-related proteins in gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs.
704Environ Microbiol Report. 2011; 3: 91-100.

70517. Vorobev AV, Jagadevan S, Jain S, Anantharaman K, Dick GJ, Vuilleumier 706S, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic analyses of the facultative methantroph 707*Methylocystis* sp. Strain Sb2 grown on methane or ethanol. Appl Environ 708Microb. 2014; **80**: 3044-3052.

70918. Kits KD, Klotz MG, Stein LY. Methane oxidation coupled to nitrate 710reduction under hypoxia by the Gammaproteobacterium *Methylomonas* 711*denitrificans*, sp. nov. type strain FJG1. Environ Microbiol. 2015; **17**: 3219-7123232.

71319. Whitman WB, Woyke T, Klenk HP, Zhou Y, Lilburn TG, Beck BJ, et al. 714Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacterial and Archaeal type strains, phase III: the 715genomes of soil and plant-associated and newly described type strains. 716Stand Genomic Sci. 2015; **10**: 26.

71720. Foght JM, Gieg LM, Siddique T. The microbiology of oil sands tailings:718past, present, future. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2017; **93**: 5.

71921. Allen EW. Process water treatment in Canada's oil sands industry: I.
720Target pollutants and treatment objectives. J Environ Eng Sci. 2008; 7: 123721138.

72222. Saidi-Mehrabad A, He Z, Tamas I, Sharp CE, Brady AL, Rochman FF, et
723al. Methanotrophic bacteria in oilsands tailings ponds of northern Alberta.
724ISME J. 2013; 7: 908-921.

72523. Siddique T, Shahimin MFM, Zamir S, Semple K, Li C, Foght JM. Long726term incubation reveals methanogenic biodegradation of C5 and C6 iso727alkanes in oil sands tailings. Environ Sci Technol. 2015; 49: 14732-14739.
72824. Siddique T, Fedorak PM, Mackinnon MD, Foght JM. Metabolism of BTEX
729and naphtha compounds to methane in oil sands tailings. Environ Sci
730Technol. 2007; 41: 2350-2356.

73125. Simpson IJ, Blake NJ, Barletta B, Diskin GS, Fuelberg HE, Gorham K, et
732al. Characterization of trace gases measured over Alberta oil sands mining
733operations: 76 speciated C₂-C₁₀ volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO₂, CH₄,
734CO, NO, NO₂, NOy, O₃ and SO₂. Atmos Chem Phys. 2010; **10**: 11931-11954.
73526. Small CC, Cho S, Hashisho Z, Ulrich AC. Emission from oil sands tailings

736ponds: Review of tailings pond parameters and emission estimates. J

737Petroleum Sci Eng. 2015; **127**: 490-501.

73827. An D, Caffrey SM, Soh J, Agrawal A, Brown D, Budwill K, et al.
739Metagenomics of hydrocarbon resource environments indicates aerobic taxa
740and genes to be unexpectedly common. Environ Sci Technol. 2013; 47:
74110708-10717.

74228. Aguilar M, Richardson E, Tan B, Walker G, Dunfield PF, Bass D, et al. 743Next-generation sequencing assessment of eukaryotic diversity in oil sands 744tailings ponds sediments and surface water. J Eukaryotic Microbiol. 2016; **63**: 745732-743.

74629. Rochman FF, Sheremet A, Tamas I, Saidi-Mehrabad A, Kim JJ, Dong X, 747et al. Benzene and naphthalene degrading bacterial communities in an oil 748sands tailings pond. Frontier Microbiol. 2017; **8**: 1845.

74930. Syncrude. "Mildred Lake extension project". Public Disclosure
750Document (Fort McMurray: Syncrude Canada Ltd) [Internet]. 2012. Available
751from: <u>http://www.syncrude.ca/assets/pdf/News-Room/MLX-Project-Public-</u>
752<u>Disclosure-Document.pdf</u>.

75331. Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar, et al. 754ARB: a software environment for sequence data. Nucleic Acid Res. 2004; 32: 7551363-1371.

75632. Ye J, Coulouris G, Zaretskaya I, Cutcutache I, Rozen S, Madden TL.
757Primer-BLAST: A tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain
758reaction. BMC Bioinformatics. 2012; 13: 134.

75933. Sharp CE, Smirnova AV, Graham JM, Stott MB, Khadka R, Moore TR, et 760al. Distribution and diversity of Verrucomicrobia methanotrophs in 761geothermal and acidic environments. Environ Microbiol. 2014; **16**: 1867-7621878. 76334. Sharp CE, Stott MB, Dunfield PF. Detection of autotrophic

764verrucomicrobial methanotrophs in a geothermal environment using stable 765isotope probing. Frontier Microbiol. 2012; **3**: 303.

76635. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. 767Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and 768next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acid Res. 2013;

769**41**: e1.

77036. Ruhl IA, Grasby SE, Haupt ES, Dunfield PF. Analysis of microbial
771communities in natural halite springs reveals a strong but domain-dependent
772relationship of species diversity to osmotic stress. Environ Microbiol Rep.
7732018; **10**: 695-703.

77437. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD,
775Costello EK, et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community
776sequencing data. Nat Method. 2010; 7: 335-336.

77738. Glockner FO, Yilmaz P, Quast C, Gerken J, Beccati A, Ciuprina A, et al.
77825 years of serving the community with ribosomal RNA gene reference
779databases and tools. J Biotechnol. 2017; 261: 169-176.

78039. Rinke C, Schwientek P, Sczyrba A, Ivanova NN, Anderson IJ, Cheng JF,
781et al. Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark
782matter. Nature. 2013; 499: 431-437.

78340. Rinke C, Lee J, Nath N, Goudeau D, Thompson B, Poulton N, et al. 784Obtaining genomes from uncultivated environmental microorganisms using 785FACS-based single-cell genomics. Nature protocol. 2014; **9**: 1038-1048. 78641. Huntemann M, Ivanova NN, Mavromatis K, Tripp HJ, Paez-Espino D,
787Palaniappan K, et al. The standard operating procedure of the DOE-JGI
788Microbial Genome Annotation Pipeline (MGAP v.4). Stand Genomic Sci. 2015;
789**10**: 86.

79042. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW.

791Assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single 792cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res. 2014; **25**: 1043-1055.

79343. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast,
794scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments
795using Clustal Omega. Mol System Biol. 2011; **7**: 539.

79644. Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O. SeaView version 4: A multiplatform
797graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree
798building. Mol Biol Evol. 2010; 27: 221-224.

79945. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk HP, Göker M. Genome sequence-800based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance 801functions. BMC Bioinformatics. 2013; **14**: 60.

80246. Heyer J, Galchenko VF, Dunfield PF. Molecular phylogeny of type II 803methane-oxidizing bacteria isolated from various environments. Microbiol. 8042002; **148**: 2831-2846.

80547. Johnson DR, Lee PKH, Holmes VF, Alvarez-Cohen L. An internal 806reference technique for accurately quantifying specific mRNAs by real-time 807PCR with application to the *tceA* reductive dehalogenase gene. Appl Environ 808Microbiol. 2005; **71**: 3866-3871. 80948. Yoon S, Cruz-Garcia C, Sanford R, Ritalahti KM, Loffler FE.

810Denitrification versus respiratory ammonification: environmental controls of 811two competing dissimilatory NO3⁻/NO2⁻ reduction pathways in Shewanella 812loihica strain PV-4. ISME J. 2015; **9**: 1093-1104.

81349. Sheu SY, Cho NT, Arun AB, Chen WM. Proposal of *Solimonas aquatica* 814sp. nov., reclassification of *Sinobacter flavus* Zhou *et al.* 2008 as *Solimonas flava* comb. nov. and *Singularimonas variicoloris* Friedrich and Lipski 2008 as *Solimonas variicoloris* comb. nov. and emended descriptions of the genus *Solimonas* and its type species *Solimonas soli*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2011; **61**: 2284-2291.

81950. Dubbels BL, Sayavedra-Soto LA, Bottomley PJ, Arp DJ. *Thauera*820*butanivorans* sp. nov., a C2-C9 alkane-oxidizing bacterium previously
821referred to as '*Pseudomonas butanovora*'. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2009; **59**:
8221576-1578.

82351. Yagi JM, Sims D, Brettin T, Bruce D, Madsen EL. The genome of
824*Polaromonas naphthalenivorans* strain CJ2, isolated from coal tar825contaminated sediment, reveals physiological and metabolic versatility and
826evolution through extensive horizontal gene transfer. Environ Microbiol.
8272009; **11**: 2253-2270.

82852. Finneran KT, Johnsen CV, Lovley DR. *Rhodoferax ferrireducens* sp. nov., 829a psychrotolerant, facultatively anaerobic bacterium that oxidizes acetate 830with the reduction of Fe(III). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2003; **53**: 669-673. 83153. Münch R, Hiller K, Grote A, Scheer M, Klein J, Schobert M, et al. Virtual 832Footprint and PRODORIC: an integrative framework for regulon prediction in 833prokaryotes. Bioinformatics. 2005; **15**: 4187-4189.

83454. Schmid MC, Hooper AB, Klotz MG, Woebken D, Lam P, Kuypers MM, et 835al. Environmental detection of octahaem cytochrome c

836hydroxylamine/hydrazine oxidoreductase genes of aerobic and anaerobic 837ammonium-oxidizing bacteria. Environ Microbiol. 2008; **10**: 3140-3149.

83855. Arp DJ, Chain PS, Klotz MG. The impact of genome analyses on our 839understanding of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Ann Rev Microbiol. 2007; **61**: 840503-528.

84156. Lau E, Fisher MC, Steudler PA, Cavanaugh CM. The methanol
842dehydrogenase gene, *mxaF*, as a functional and phylogenetic marker for
843proteobacterial methanotrophs in natural environments. PloS one. 2013; 8:
844e56993.

84557. Chu F, Lidstrom ME. XoxF acts as the predominant methanol 846dehydrogenase in the type I methanotroph *Methylomicrobium buryatense*. J 847Bacteriol. 2016; **198**: 1317-1325.

84858. Kalyuzhnaya MG, Hristova KR, Lidstrom ME, Chistoserdova L.
849Characterization of a novel methanol dehydrogenase in representatives of
850*Burkholderiales*: implications for environmental detection of methylotrophy
851and evidence for convergent evolution. J Bacteriol. 2008; **190**: 3817-3823.
85259. Cooley RB, Dubbels BL, Sayavedra-Soto LA, Bottomley PJ, Arp DJ.
853Kinetic characterization of the soluble butane monooxygenase from *Thauera*

854*butanivorans*, formerly '*Pseudomonas butanovora*.'. Microbiol. 2009; **155**: 8552086-2096.

85660. Holmes AJ. The diversity of soluble di-iron monooxygenases with 857bioremediation applications. In: Singh A, Kuhad R, Ward O, editors. Advances 858in Applied Bioremediation Soil Biology. Berlin: Springer; 2009.

85961. Kotani T, Kawashima Y, Yurimoto H, Kato N, Sakai Y. Gene structure 860and regulation of alkane monooxygenases in propane-utilizing 861*Mycobacterium* sp. TY-6 and *Pseudonocardia* sp. TY-7. Journal of bioscience 862and bioengineering. 2006; **102**: 184-192.

86362. Ashraf W, Mihdhir A, Murrell JC. Bacterial oxidation of propane. FEMS 864Microbiol Lett. 1994; **122**: 1-6.

86563. Textor S, Wendisch VF, De Graaf AA, Müller U, Linder MI, Linder D, et
866al. Propionate oxidation in *Escherichia coli*: evidence for operation of a
867methylcitrate cycle in bacteria. Arch Microbiol. 1997; **168**: 428-436.
86864. Suvorova IA, Ravcheev DA, Gelfand MS. Regulation and evolution of

869malonate and propionate catabolism in proteobacteria. J Bacteriol. 2012;

870**194:** 3234-3240.

87165. Hausinger RP. New insights into acetone metabolism. J Bacteriol. 8722007;189(3):671-3.

87366. Kotani T, Yurimoto H, Kato N, Sakai Y. Novel acetone metabolism in a 874propane-utilizing bacterium, *Gordonia* sp. strain TY-5. J Bacteriol. 2007; **189**: 875886-893. 87667. Willems A. The family *Comamonadaceae*. In: Rosenberg E, editor. The 877Prokaryotes - *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Betaproteobacteria*. Berlin: Springer; 8782014. p. 777-851.

881Figure Legends

882**Figure 1**. Maximum-likelihood tree of concatenated derived amino acid 883sequences of CuMMO-encoding genes *xmoC*, *xmoA* and *xmoB*. The tree was 884constructed as described in Materials & Methods. Preferred substrate or 885enzyme function, if known, for the CuMMOs are indicated in brackets. 886Accession numbers for sequences are given in Table S2. For the *Rhodoferax* 887and *Polaromonas* SAGs, the specific genomes encoding the CuMMOs are 888found in Table S3. For genomes encoding multiple CuMMOs, numerical 889identifiers were assigned to unique sequences (e.g. *xmoCAB1* or *xmoCAB2*). 890The scale bar represents substitutions per site. Branch support values are 891shown at each node and were determined based on 100 bootstrap replicates.

893**Figure 2.** Abundance of WIPMG *xmoA1* gene copies (per ml of water) during 894enrichment of oilsands tailings pond water under methane, ethane, propane, 895ammonium chloride or no added substrate. Error bars indicate ±1 SEM of 896triplicates.

897

898**Figure 3**. Abundance of WIPMG *xmoA1* gene copies (per ml) in SIP 899enrichments. Samples were enriched using isotopically light (¹²C) or heavy 900(¹³C) methane, ethane and propane or were left unamended. The bar graph 901indicates the number of *xmoA1* gene copies per SIP fraction. Error bars 902indicate ±1 SEM of two separate SIP gradients. The line graph indicates the 903 relative DNA concentration per fraction with the highest quantity detected in 904 any fraction set to 1.

905

906**Figure 4**. Gene arrangements of *xmoCABD* in SAGs: a – 17101 bp section of 907Rhodoferax SAG JGI 00BML02F20; b - 8526 bp section of Rhodoferax SAG JGI 90800BML02C18; c - 9822 bp section of Polaromonas SAG JGI 00BML02G21 d 909-.9887 bp segment of *Polaromonas* SAG [GI 00BML02L09. Sections (a) and (c) 910show the gene clusters corresponding to the WIPMG *xmoCAB1* cluster in 911Figure 1. Genes: A - xmoA, B - xmoB, C - xmoC, D - xmoD, 1 - long-chain 912fatty acid transport protein, 2 – poly (3-hyrdoxybutarate) depolymerase, 3 – 913aldehyde dehydrogenase family protein, 4 - cyclohexanecarboxylate-CoA 914ligase, 5 – class I SAM-dependent methyltransferase, 6 – 4-hydroxybutyrate 915CoA-transferase, 7 – hypothetical protein, 8 – DUF4242 domain-containing 916protein, 9 - copper resistance protein CopC, 10 - c-type cytochrome, 11 -917transcriptional regulator, 12 – fatty-acid-CoA ligase, 13 – PQQ-dependent 918dehydrogenase, methanol/ethanol, 14 - acrylyl-CoA reductase (NADPH), 15 -919competence protein ComEC. A single sigma-70 promoter (indicated by an 920arrow) was predicted in front of each *xmoCABD* operon via Virtual Footprint. 921

922**Figure 5**. Possible pathways for terminal (A) or sub-terminal (B) oxidation of 923propane in the *Rhodoferax* and *Polaromonas* SAGs. Both the citramalate (i) 924and methylcitrate (ii) pathways are shown in panel A. The propane

925monooxygenase could potentially include several enzymes, one of which

926may be a CuMMO.

927**Table 1.** *xmoA* gene and transcript copy numbers in the MLSB enrichment culture 928fed with a continuous supply of 8% v/v propane in air for 96 h and then air only 929afterwards. Specific assays for the WIPMG *xmoA1* gene cluster (targeting a group of 930related sequences from the metagenome and both SAG genera), as well as for the 931*xmoA2* gene of the *Rhodoferax* are shown. A primer set targeting the *xmoA2* cluster 932in the *Polaromonas* failed to amplify a product.

933							
·		WIPMG xmoA1			Rhodoferax xmoA2		
		($ imes$ 10 ⁴ copies per ml) ^a			($ imes$ 10 ⁴ copies per ml) ^a		
	Time (h)	Gene	Transcrip	Transcri	Gene	Transcrip	Transcrip
				pts per			ts per
			t	gene ^b		t	gene ^b
	48 (+C₃H ₈)	15 (1.2)	3.8	0.25	10 (8.4)	2.2 (1.1)	0.22
			(0.84)	(0.06)			(0.21)
_	96 (+C₃H ₈)	390 (6.0)	160 (7.9)	0.42	32 (3.9)	22 (3.7)	0.70
				(0.07)			(0.15)
	120 (-	340 (28)	47(10)	0.01	16(54)	26(14)	0.17
	C ₃ H ₈)	546 (20)	, (1.0)	(0.00)	10 (3.4)	2.0 (1.4)	(0.10)

934

 $935^{a} \pm 1$ standard deviation of technical triplicate samples processed through

936 extraction and purification procedures in parallel (in parentheses)

937^b The standard deviations were calculated using the propagation of error method

938

939

940**Figure 1**. Maximum-likelihood tree of concatenated derived amino acid 941sequences of CuMMO-encoding genes *xmoC*, *xmoA* and *xmoB*. The tree was 942constructed as described in Materials & Methods. Preferred substrate or 943enzyme function, if known, for the CuMMOs are indicated in brackets. 944Accession numbers for sequences are given in Table S2. For the *Rhodoferax* 945and *Polaromonas* SAGs, the specific genomes encoding the CuMMOs are 946found in Tables S3. For genomes encoding multiple CuMMOs, numerical 947identifiers were assigned to unique sequences (e.g. *xmoCAB1* or *xmoCAB2*).



Figure 2. Abundance of WIPMG *xmoA1* gene copies (per ml of water) during 963enrichment of oilsands tailings pond water under methane, ethane, propane, 964ammonium chloride or no added substrate. Error bars indicate ±1 SEM of 965triplicates.



969**Figure 3**. Abundance of WIPMG *xmoA1* gene copies (per ml) in SIP 970enrichments. Samples were enriched using isotopically light (¹²C) or heavy 971(¹³C) methane, ethane and propane or were left unamended. The bar graph 972indicates the number of *xmoA1* gene copies per SIP fraction. Error bars 973indicate ± 1 SEM of two separate SIP gradients. The line graph indicates the 974relative DNA concentration per fraction with the highest quantity detected in 975any fraction set to 1.







978**Figure 4**. Gene arrangements of *xmoCABD* in SAGs: a – 17101 bp section of 979Rhodoferax SAG IGI 00BML02F20; b - 8526 bp section of Rhodoferax SAG IGI 98000BML02C18; c - 9822 bp section of Polaromonas SAG JGI 00BML02G21 d 981-.9887 bp segment of Polaromonas SAG [GI 00BML02L09. Sections a and d 982show the gene clusters corresponding to the WIPMG *xmoCAB1* cluster in 983Figure 1. Genes: A – xmoA, B – xmoB, C – xmoC, D – xmoD, 1 – long-chain 984fatty acid transport protein, 2 – poly (3-hyrdoxybutarate) depolymerase, 3 – 985aldehyde dehydrogenase family protein, 4 - cyclohexanecarboxylate-CoA 986ligase, 5 – class I SAM-dependent methyltransferase, 6 – 4-hydroxybutyrate 987CoA-transferase, 7 – hypothetical protein, 8 – DUF4242 domain-containing 988protein, 9 - copper resistance protein CopC, 10 - c-type cytochrome, 11 -989transcriptional regulator, 12 – fatty-acid-CoA ligase, 13 – PQQ-dependent 990dehydrogenase, methanol/ethanol, 14 - acrylyl-CoA reductase (NADPH), 15 -991 competence protein ComEC. A single promoter (indicated by an arrow) was 992predicted in front of each *xmoCABD* operon via Virtual Footprint.









995**Figure 5**. Possible pathways for terminal (A) or sub-terminal (B) oxidation of 996propane in the *Rhodoferax* and *Polaromonas* SAGs. Both the citramalate (i) 997and methylcitrate (ii) pathways are shown in panel A. The propane 998monooxygenase could potentially include several enzymes, one of which 999may be a CuMMO.

