## **UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works**

### **Title**

Global Distributions of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi

## **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8nj987wv>

**Journal** Ecosystems, 9(2)

**ISSN** 1432-9840

**Authors** Treseder, Kathleen K Cross, Alison

**Publication Date** 2006-03-01

**DOI** 10.1007/s10021-005-0110-x

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, availalbe at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed



# Global Distributions of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi

Kathleen K. Treseder, $^{\dagger*}$  and Alison Cross $^{\ddagger}$ 

Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

We examined potential large-scale controls over the distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi and their host plants. Specifically, we tested the hypothesis that AM fungi should be more prevalent in biomes where nutrients are primarily present in mineral, and not organic, forms. Values of percentage root length colonized (%RLC) by AM fungi, AM abundance, and host plant availability were compiled or calculated from published studies to determine biome-level means. Altogether, 151 geographic locations and nine biomes were represented. Percent RLC differed marginally significantly among biomes and was greatest in savannas. AM abundance (defined as total standing root length colonized by AM fungi) varied 63-fold, with lowest values in boreal forests and highest values in temperate grasslands. Biomes did not differ significantly in the percentage of plant species that host AM fungi, averaging 75%. Contrary to the hypothesis, %RLC, AM abundance, and host plant availability were not related to the size, influx, or turnover rate of soil organic matter pools. Instead, AM abundance was positively correlated with standing stocks of fine roots. The global pool of AM biomass within roots might approach 1.4 Pg dry weight. We note that regions harboring the largest stocks of AM fungi are also particularly vulnerable to anthropogenic nitrogen deposition, which could potentially alter global distributions of AM fungi in the near future.

Key words: arbscular mycorrhizal fungi; belowground net primary productivity; fungal biomass; biome; colonization; fine root length; root C:N ratio; soil organic matter; survey.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are recognized as an important, widespread component of most terrestrial ecosystems. They receive 3–20% of photosynthate from their host plants (Kucey and Paul 1982; Harris and others 1985; Harris and Paul 1987; Jakobsen and Rosendahl 1990; Finlay and Soderstrom 1992; Johnson and others 2002a, b) in exchange for the transfer of soil-derived nutrients to roots, and in this way influence carbon (C) fluxes and nutrient dynamics among plants, soils, and the atmosphere. Moreover, AM fungi are sensitive to various aspects of global change. They often proliferate under elevated atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ and can also decline under anthropogenic nitrogen (N) deposition (Jansen and Dighton 1990; Diaz 1996; Hodge 1996; Staddon and Fitter 1998; Rillig and others 2002a; Treseder 2004). As such, AM fungi may play a key role in regulating ecosystem responses to environmental change at local to global scales. However, most global change studies of AM fungi are conducted at the ecosystem scale or smaller (Rillig and others 2002a).

To interpret local dynamics of AM fungi within larger scales, we must understand which environmental factors are most important in influencing the global distribution of AM fungi (Allen and others 1995a). Read (1984, 1991a) hypothesized

Received 31 August 2004; accepted 20 January 2005; published online 15 March 2006.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author; e-mail: Treseder@uci.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Current address: Department of Biology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, USA

<sup>-</sup> Current address; Department of Forest Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97333, USA

that the community composition of mycorrhizal fungi would vary as a function of the accumulation of organic matter in the soil. Specifically, AM plants should be more abundant in ecosystems with smaller pools of organic nutrients in the soil, since this group possesses limited ability to degrade organic matter. In contrast, ectomycorrhizal fungi can decompose labile organic nutrients, and their plant hosts should proliferate in areas with moderate organic accumulation. Finally, ericoid mycorrhizal fungi can break down more recalcitrant compounds and should be cultivated in ecosystems with large standing stocks of humified material. In turn, the global distribution of these three mycorrhizal groups could have implications for large-scale fluxes of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  between the soil and the atmosphere (Treseder and Allen 2000). The decomposer activity of ectomycorrhizal fungi and ericoid mycorrhizal fungi should generate a net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flux from the soil. In contrast, AM fungi can contribute to soil C sequestration by producing glomalin, a recalcitrant and abundant soil glycoprotein (Wright and Upadhyaya 1996; Rillig and others 2001).

What factors other than soil organic nutrients could influence large-scale distributions of AM fungi? Isotope tracers in laboratory and field studies indicate that AM fungi consistently receive 37–47% of C delivered belowground by host plants (Harris and others 1985; Harris and Paul 1987; Jakobsen and Rosendahl 1990; Johnson and others 2002a). Accordingly, AM fungal abundance may simply vary in proportion to belowground net primary productivity (BNPP) of AM plants (Harley 1971). Another possibility is that because fine roots provide a substrate for colonization by AM fungi, fine root length could determine AM biomass.

Finally, mycorrhizal groups may differ in their contributions toward N versus phosphorus (P) uptake by plants. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are thought to play a particularly important role in P acquisition; ectomycorrhizal and ericoid mycorrhizal fungi may be more effective for N (Mosse 1973; Smith and Read 1997). If so, AM abundance may be greater where plants are more limited by P, as indicated by high N:P ratios of plant tissue.

We tested the relative importance of each of these potential regulating factors by compiling published measurements of the percentage of root length colonized (%RLC) by AM fungi and the proportion of plant species that harbor AM fungi in ecosystems representing nine biomes (Appendix, http://www.springerlink.com). In addition, the total length of roots colonized by AM fungi per biome was calculated based on %RLC and others' estimates of fine root stocks (Jackson and others 1997). We quantified differences among biomes in these three parameters, and checked for correlations with pool sizes of soil organic matter (SOM), rates at which organic material is introduced to the soil, and the residence time of SOM. Negative correlations of AM fungi or AM host plants with any of these SOM characteristics would support Read's hypothesis. Positive correlations with either BNPP, fine root length, or plant N:P would indicate that other mechanisms could control AM distribution across biomes.

#### **METHODS**

For each biome, we estimated three parameters related to AM distributions: %RLC by AM fungi, total standing root length colonized by AM fungi, and the proportion of plant species that host AM fungi. Each index conveys distinct information. In addition, each could potentially—but not necessarily—be controlled by different environmental conditions.

Percentage root length colonized by AM fungi is determined by staining fine roots with dyes targeting AM structures (Koske and Gemma 1989), and then examining stained roots under high  $(200\times)$  magnification. Generally, 100 or more intersects along the root length are examined for the presence or absence of AM structures (McGonigle and others 1990). The percentage of these intersects that contain AM structures indicates the %RLC by AM fungi. The construction and maintenance of AM structures within the root requires an investment of carbohydrates by the host plant. These resources could otherwise be allocated to root biomass or other plant tissues. It follows that plants with greater %RLC by AM fungi will have allocated a greater portion of their carbohydrates to AM fungi instead of roots (Allen 2001). Percentage root length colonized by AM fungi can therefore be viewed as an indication of the relative investment by plants in AM fungi. This index tends to increase under P limitation of plant growth (Treseder 2004), which is consistent with the notion that plants control allocation of resources to AM fungi based on cost–benefit ratios (Read 1991b; Treseder and Vitousek 2001).

In contrast, the total standing root length colonized by AM fungi should be related to the total biomass of AM fungi in an ecosystem (at least, within plant roots). For example, if two ecosystems display similar levels of %RLC, but different standing stocks of roots, the ecosystem with greater standing root length should have a higher abundance of AM biomass. The total standing root length colonized by AM fungi is obtained by taking the product of the total standing length of fine roots within each biome, and the average %RLC within each biome. Hereafter, we will refer to total standing root length colonized by AM fungi as ''AM abundance''.

The third parameter that we examined is the proportion of plant species within an ecosystem that can serve as hosts for AM fungi. Most plant species are compatible with AM fungi, with a few notable exceptions (Newman and Reddell 1987). For example, many conifers form relationships with ectomycorrhizal fungi instead of AM fungi. In addition, some grasses are non-mycorrhizal. In ecosystems that are dominated by the latter two groups, the capacity for AM fungi to proliferate may be curtailed owing to lack of potential hosts. The percentage plant species within an ecosystem that can host AM fungi will hereafter be referred to as ''host plant availability''.

We assembled data on %RLC and host plant availability from published field studies representing each biome. We only used data collected from naturally established plants in unmanipulated habitats (for example, no fertilization, planting, weeding, or clearing), although we made exceptions in the case of agricultural systems, where planting, clearing, or weeding were acceptable. No data from fertilized areas were included in the database, even for agricultural studies, because N or P fertilization often influences %RLC (Treseder 2004). Where results were presented in graphs, we estimated values by using digitizing software (Preble 1998). We averaged all data points and sampling times from unmanipulated areas within each location of each study. Locations were assigned to biomes according to geographical setting and the authors' descriptions of study sites.

Altogether, locations ranged from  $42^{\circ}$ S to 69 $^{\circ}$ N, covering nine biomes in 151 geographical locations (Appendix, http://www.springerlink.com). All continents except Antarctica were represented, although the majority of studies were clustered in North America. The least-represented biomes were desert, savanna, tundra/alpine, and boreal forest, and the most common were temperate grasslands and tropical forests.

#### Percentage Root Length Colonized

By far, the most common unit of measurement of AM fungal abundance per unit plant biomass is %RLC. Because this technique is used in the majority of field-based AM studies, we were able to assemble directly comparable data from numerous investigators and ecosystems. We classified measurements of %RLC according to sampling approach. In a subset of studies, investigators collected roots from random locations within the ecosystem. We considered the resulting colonization levels to represent the plant community as a whole (that is, ''community-level''). In contrast, the majority of studies focused on particular plant species which were often considered a priori as likely to form relationships with AM fungi (that is, ''species-specific''). We analyzed this group of studies separately, because the %RLC of likely host plants may not necessarily have represented that of the community as a whole.

The calculation of community-level %RLC for cultivated ecosystems was less straightforward than for those of natural ecosystems, because measurements of %RLC in non-AM agricultural systems were very rare. In fact, all the agricultural studies that we compiled were focused on monocultures of AM crop plants. Values of %RLC were therefore assigned to the ''species-specific'' category as well as the ''community-level'' category, because the plant community within a given agricultural ecosystem usually consists of one species. However, we stress that the biome-scale average of communitylevel %RLC for agricultural areas must be considered an upper bound only. We were not able to incorporate %RLC from non-AM crops, and these would likely reduce our biome-level estimates. As such, we did not include in our statistical analyses the community-level %RLC and AM abundance for this biome.

#### AM Abundance

By taking the product of root length and mean community-level %RLC for each biome, we acquired an estimate of standing root length colonized by AM fungi. This index is analogous to AM abundance. In most studies in the database, measurements of %RLC were restricted to a subset of roots; typically these were live, fine roots (<2 mm diameter) in the upper 10 cm of soil. Therefore, we estimated root length colonized for only live, fine roots in the top 10 cm of soil. Fine root pools at this depth were derived from Jackson and others (1997, Table 1). Our calculations did not consider AM fungi at lower depths, but %RLC often peaks within the top 15 cm of soil then declines (Figure 1). As such, we expected that our analyses included the majority of AM colonized roots.



 $^h$ Upper-bound of estimate, depending on the proportion of agricultural systems planted with AM host plants.

308 K. K. Treseder and A. Cross



Figure 1. Percent RLC as a function of soil depth, in agricultural  $(A)$  and natural  $(B)$  ecosystems. Data are from published field studies (Ellis and others 1992; Cooke and others 1993; Brown and Bledsoe 1996; Germida and Walley 1996; Ingleby and others 1997; Kabir and others 1998; Moyersoen and others 1998; Nehl and others 1999; He and others 2002; Neville and others 2002).

#### Host Plant Availability

We determined the relative abundance of AM versus non-AM plants in each biome by compiling data from field or nursery studies that had surveyed five or more local plant species for AM colonization (Appendix, http://www.springerlink.com). The percentage of plant species in which AM structures were observed was then averaged across surveys within each biome. All biomes were represented except for agricultural systems. The mycorrhizal status of most crop plants is well established, so surveys of cultivated areas were uncommon. Surveys were likewise rare in temperate forests and boreal forests. Although percent cover, stem density, BNPP, or an analogous measure of relative abundance of AM plants would have been more appropriate for our analyses, these data were not reported often enough to allow for biome-level estimates.

#### Biome Characteristics

We used data compiled by others to assign values of SOM content, BNPP, live fine root length, and plant nutrients to biomes (Table 1). Pools of SOM (Amundson 2001) signified the amount of nutrients stored in organic form in biomes; SOM inputs (Amundson 2001) indicated the rate at which organic nutrients are made available to mycorrhizal fungi and plants. Residence times of SOM (Amundson 2001) served as an index of recalcitrance of organic nutrients. Live fine root length was calculated for the top 10 cm of soil from Jackson and others (1997). We included root N:P ratios as an indication of the P status of plants relative to N (Gordon and Jackson 2003). Specifically, plants whose growth is limited by P should have higher N:P ratios than would plants limited by N (Koerselman and Meuleman 1996; Aerts and Chapin 2000).

Regional values of NPP were provided by the CASA model (Randerson and others 1997), which uses satellite data of the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and solar insolation to estimate light interception by plant canopies. Net primary productivity was directed belowground according to a biome-level compilation of allocation observations (Saugier and others 2001). Specifically, the percentage of NPP allocated belowground in each biome was: cultivated, 13%; temperate forest, boreal forest, 39%; desert, 40%; tropical forest, 44%; savannas, woodland/shrubland, 50%; tundra/alpine, 57%; and temperate grasslands, 67%. Land regions were assigned to biomes according to the International Geosphere– Biosphere Programme DISCover class scheme, which is based on NDVI (Belward and others 1999).

#### **Statistics**

We applied analyses of variance (ANOVA) to test for differences among biomes in AM parameters (SPSS 2000). For species-specific %RLC, AM abundance, and host plant availability, we were unable to transform the data to meet assumptions of the ANOVA. In these cases, ranked data were used. Pearson tests were employed to assess correlations between AM parameters and relevant biome characteristics (SPSS 2000). We considered test results to be significant when P was less than 0.05, and marginally significant when P was less than 0.10.

#### **RESULTS**

#### Percentage Root Length Colonized

Mean %RLC at the community level ranged from 22.6% in temperate forests to 66.3% in savannas

(Table 1), with marginally significant variation among biomes (ANOVA,  $F_{7,20} = 2.076$ ,  $P = 0.095$ ). In contrast, species-specific %RLC did not differ among biomes (ANOVA,  $F_{8,91} = 0.120$ ,  $P = 0.998$ ) and averaged 37.0% overall (Table 1).

#### AM Abundance

AM fungi were most abundant in temperate grasslands and savannas (Table 1), as a result of high levels of fine root biomass coupled with high %RLC. This value varied widely —63-fold —among biomes. We did not perform statistical tests for differences across biomes, because root length colonized was derived from biome-level means of %RLC and live fine root length.

#### Host Plant Availability

Generally, 75% of plant species surveyed harbored AM fungi (Table 1), with no significant differences among biomes (ANOVA,  $F_{7,44} = 0.733$ ,  $P = 0.645$ ).

#### Correlations Between AM Parameters and Biome Characteristics

Soil organic matter pools, inputs, and residence times were often negatively related to %RLC, AM abundance, and host plant availability, but only weakly and non-signi ficantly in most cases (Table 2). The exception was a marginally significant negative correlation between host plant availability and SOM pool size (Table 2). Of the other biome characteristics examined, live fine root length and AM abundance were highly correlated (Figure 2). Marginally significant correlations were observed between BNPP and species-specific %RLC, and between community-level %RLC and host plant availability (Table 2).

#### **DISCUSSION**

We found little evidence in support of Read's hypothesis (1984, 1991a) that AM fungi should be less common in ecosystems with greater availability of organic nutrients. Plant allocation to AM fungi (that is, %RLC), AM abundance, and host plant availability did not vary signi ficantly with SOM contents, inputs, or residence times (Table 2), except for a marginally significant negative relationship between host plant availability and SOM content. The extent to which soil nutrients are bound in organic forms did not appear to influence strongly the large-scale distribution of AM fungi.

The best predictor of AM abundance was standing fine root length (Table 2, Figure 2). As such,



 $*P < 0.10, *P < 0.0001$ .

 $< 0.10,$  $\stackrel{\circ}{\ast}$ 

 $x_0 = 4**$ 

Table 2. Pearson Product-moment Coefficients (r), with Sample Sizes in Parentheses

Table 2.

Pearson Product-moment Coefficients (r), with Sample Sizes in Parentheses



Figure 2. Correlation between AM abundance and fine root length. Each symbol represents one biome. BF, boreal forest, D, desert, S, savanna, TEF, temperate forest, TG, temperate grassland, TRF, tropical forest, TU, tundra/ alpine, and WS, woodland/shrubland. Live fine root length is calculated for the top 10 cm of soil, from Jackson and others (1997).

AM abundance tended to be much greater in grasslands than in other biomes (Table 1). This result may be expected given that fine root lengths were used to calculate AM abundance. However, %RLC was also included in estimates of root length colonized for each biome, yet %RLC was not significantly correlated with root length colonized (Table 2). Apparently, because standing fine root length varied much more widely among biomes than did %RLC (Table 1), standing fine root length wielded stronger influence over AM abundance.

Given that species-specific %RLC did not differ among biomes (Table 1), it appears that AM host plants allocated a fairly consistent proportion of resources to AM fungi (vs. roots) across a broad range of environmental conditions. Likewise, host plant availability did not vary widely (Table 1). Instead, the combination of these two parameters might have elicited differences among biomes in community-level %RLC. Specifically, the product of species-specific %RLC and the proportion of plant species that can host AM fungi should provide a weighted index of allocation to AM fungi on a community basis. This value was significantly correlated with community-level %RLC across biomes  $(r = 0.849, P < 0.008)$ . In comparison, species-specific %RLC was not correlated with community-level %RLC when considered independently, and host plant availability was only marginally significantly correlated with community-level %RLC (Table 2). It seems that differences in allocation to AM fungi by plant communities as a whole (that is, community-level %RLC) may have been influenced by the interaction of subtle variations in the host status of plant communities and the degree to which AM fungi are supported by individual host plants. In turn, host plant availability may have been somewhat inhibited by SOM content, and species-specific %RLC may have tended to increase under higher rates of BNPP (Table 2). However, statistical support for these latter two relationships was not strong.

Our results are derived from a compilation of data from diverse studies, each conducted at different dates, with different sampling regimes, and with potentially different protocols. For instance, even though the staining of fine roots for AM colonization is a widespread approach, investigators vary in their choice of stains (that is, Trypan Blue vs. Chlorazol Black E), clearing times, and degree of root bleaching (Koske and Gemma 1989). The quantification of %RLC under magnification is also somewhat subjective, because the investigator must often distinguish between AM and non-AM fungi based on morphological differences. These inconsistencies may have contributed to variation in results among studies, which would limit our statistical power.

How much AM biomass is represented by our estimates of AM abundance? We can roughly approximate intraradical fungal biomass by using the formula  $B = \pi \cdot r^2 \cdot L \cdot K \cdot D$ , where B is dry biomass;  $r$  is root radius,  $L$  is root length colonized,  $K$  is the fraction of colonized root volume that is fungal, and  $D$  is fungal density (Toth and others 1991). The radius of fine roots averages 0.11 mm for grasses, 0.22 mm for shrubs, and 0.58 mm for trees (Jackson and others 1997). Toth and others (1991) have proposed a  $K$  value of 0.06, and Van Veen and Paul (1979) estimate fungal density as 1.1 g dry weight  $cm^{-3}$ . Accordingly, pools of AM biomass within plant roots could range from 4 g  $m^{-2}$  in deserts to 44 g  $m^{-2}$  in grasslands. Global totals might approach 1.4 Pg dry weight. This value includes neither extraradical AM hyphae nor intraradical AM structures below 10 cm soil depth. It also does not account for agricultural systems, which likely total 0.05 Pg or less. The accuracy of this estimate is also limited by the accuracy of the value of  $K$ , which has only been assessed in a couple of systems (Toth and others 1991). In comparison, direct measurements indicate that total microbial C in soils (including fungi, bacteria, archaea, and protists) reaches 13.9 Pg worldwide (Wardle 1992). Assuming that AM tissues contain approximately 41% C (Paul and Clark 1996), intraradical AM fungi could constitute about 4% of the global microbial C pool.

Biomes vary in their susceptibility to global change, with potential consequences for largescale distributions of AM fungi. Nitrogen additions decrease investment in AM fungi by plants (assessed primarily as %RLC) by an average of 24% in field studies (Treseder 2004). Temperate grasslands of North America and Asia are often exposed to anthropogenic N deposition from neighboring agricultural areas (Galloway and Cowling 2002). These regions harbor relatively large standing stocks of AM fungi (Table 1), so any inhibition of AM growth by N there may become relevant on a global scale. Alternately, if plants in this biome use AM fungi primarily to acquire P, then N effects may be less apparent. To date, AM responses have been determined in only a few N fertilization studies in temperate grasslands, with mixed results (Anderson and Liberta 1992; Bentivenga and Hetrick 1992; Grogan and Chapin 2000; Johnson and others 2003; Treseder 2004). Another consideration is that a doubling of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations consistently produces an increase in AM investment (primarily as %RLC), by an average of 84% (Treseder 2004). This effect could be more widespread, because  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment is a global phenomenon. Finally, production rates of glomalin can be positively related to AM biomass (Wright and Upadhyaya 1996), so that temperate grasslands and savannas may be important targets for assessments of potential C sequestration in glomalin stocks under global change. Our hope is that the information presented here proves useful in examining these and other potential large-scale consequences of environmental change in relation to AM fungi.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to L. Marzec, D. Thalp, and A. Reynolds for technical support, and to those investigators whose studies are included in the dataset. This work was funded by grants from the Mellon Foundation, NSF Ecosystems (DEB 010776, DEB 0122445) and the University of Pennsylvania Research Foundation.

#### REFERENCES

- Aerts R, Chapin FS. 2000. The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation of processes and patterns. Adv Ecol Res 30:1–67.
- Ahmad NB. 1983. A preliminary survey on nodulation and VA mycorrhiza in legume roots. Malays Forest 46:171–4.
- Allen MF. 1983. Formation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in Atriplex gardneri (Chenopodiaceae): seasonal response in a cold desert. Mycologia 75:773–6.
- Allen MF. 2001. Modeling arbuscular mycorrhizal infection: is % infection an appropriate variable? Mycorrhiza 10:255–8.
- Allen EB, Allen MF. 1980. Natural re-establishment of vesiculararbuscular mycorrhizae following stripmine reclamation in Wyoming. J Appl Ecol 17:139–47.
- Allen EB, Chambers JC, Connor KF, Allen MF, Brown RW. 1987. Natural reestablishment of mycorrhizae in disturbed alpine ecosystems. Arctic Alp Res 19:11–20.
- Allen EB, Allen MF, Helm DJ, Trappe JM, Molina R, Rincon E. 1995a. Patterns and regulation of mycorrhizal plant and fungal diversity. Plant Soil 170:47–62.
- Allen EB, Rincon E, Allen MF, Perez-Jimenez A, Huante P. 1998. Disturbance and seasonal dynamics of mycorrhizae in a tropical deciduous forest in Mexico. Biotropica 30:261–74.
- Allen MF, Allen EB, Stahl PD. 1984. Differential niche response of Bouteloua gracilis and Pascopyrum smithii to VA mycorrhizae. Bull Torrey Bot Club 111:361–5.
- Allen MF, Morris SJ, Edwards F, Allen EB. 1995b. Microbe–plant interactions in Mediterranean-type habitats: shifts in fungal symbiotic and saprophytic functioning in response to global change. In: Moreno JM, Oechel WC, Eds. Global change and Mediterranean-type ecosystems. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer, p 297–305.
- Allen MF, EgertonWarburton LM, Allen EB, Karen O. 1999. Mycorrhizae in Adenostoma fasciculatum Hook. & Arn.: a combination of unusual ecto- and endo-forms. Mycorrhiza 8:225–8.
- Allsopp N, Stock WD. 1993. Mycorrhizal status of plants growing in the Cape Floristic Region, South-Africa. Bothalia 23:91– 104.
- Amundson R. 2001. The carbon budget in soils. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 29:535–62.
- Anderson RC, Liberta AE. 1992. Influence of supplemental inorganic nutrients on growth, survivorship, and mycorrhizal relationships of Schizachyrium scoparium (Poaceae) grown in fumigated and unfumigated soil. Am J Bot 79:406–14.
- Andrade ACS, Queiroz MH, Hermes RAL, Oliveira VL. 2000. Mycorrhizal status of some plants of the Araucaria forest and the Atlantic rainforest in Santa Catarina, Brazil. Mycorrhiza 10:131–6.
- Barnola LG, Montilla MG. 1997. Vertical distribution of mycorrhizal colonization, root hairs, and belowground biomass in three contrasting sites from the tropical high mountains, Merida, Venezuela. Arctic Alp Res 29:206–12.
- Beena KR, Raviraja NS, Sridhar KR. 2000. Seasonal variations of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal association with Ipomoea pescaprae of coastal sand dunes, Southern India. J Environ Biol 21:341–7.
- Belward AS, Estes JE, Kline KD. 1999. The IGBP-DIS global 1-km land-cover data set DISCover: a project overview. Photogram Eng Remote Sens 65:1013–20.
- Bentivenga SP, Hetrick BAD. 1992. The effect of prairie management practices on mycorrhizal symbiosis. Mycologia 84:522–7.
- Bledsoe C, Klein P, Bliss LC. 1990. A survey of mycorrhizal plants on Truelove Lowland, Devon Island, Nwt, Canada. Can J Bot 68:1848–56.
- Breuninger M, Einig W, Magel E, Cardoso E, Hampp R. 2000. Mycorrhiza of Brazil pine (Araucaria angustifolia Bert. O. Ktze.). Plant Biol 2:4–10.
- Brown AM, Bledsoe C. 1996. Spatial and temporal dynamics of mycorrhizas in Jaumea carnosa, a tidal saltmarsh halophyte. J Ecol 84:703–15.
- Brundrett M, Kendrick B. 1990. The roots and mycorrhizas of herbaceous woodland plants 1. Quantitative aspects of morphology. New Phytol 114:457–68.
- Carrillo-Garcia A, de la Luz JLL, Bashan Y, Bethlenfalvay GJ. 1999. Nurse plants, mycorrhizae, and plant establishment in a disturbed area of the Sonoran Desert. Restor Ecol 7:321–35.
- Christie P, Kilpatrick DJ. 1992. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza infection in cut grassland following long-term slurry application. Soil Biol Biochem 24:325–30.
- Collier SC, Yarnes CT, Herman RP. 2003. Mycorrhizal dependency of Chihuahuan Desert plants is influenced by life history strategy and root morphology. J Arid Environ 55:223–9.
- Cooke JC, Butler RH, Madole G. 1993. Some observations on the vertical distribution of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae in roots of salt marsh grasses growing in saturated soils. Mycologia 85:547–50.
- Cooke MA, Widden P, Ohalloran I. 1992. Morphology, incidence and fertilization effects on the vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae of Acer saccharum in a Quebec hardwood forest. Mycologia 84:422–30.
- Cornwell WK, Bedford BL, Chapin CT. 2001. Occurrence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a phosphorus-poor wetland and mycorrhizal response to phosphorus fertilization. Am J Bot 88:1824–9.
- Currah RS, Vandyk M. 1986. A survey of some perennial vascular plant species native to Alberta for occurrence of mycorrhizal fungi. Can Field Nat 100:330–42.
- de Alwis DP, Abeynayake K. 1980. A survey of mycorrhizae in some forest trees of Sri Lanka. In: Mikola P, Ed. Tropical mycorrhiza research. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p 146– 53.
- Dekkers TBM, van der Werff PA. 2001. Mutualistic functioning of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizae in spring barley and winter wheat after cessation of long-term phosphate fertilization. Mycorrhiza 10:195–201.
- Diaz S. 1996. Effects of elevated  $[CO<sub>2</sub>]$  at the community level mediated by root symbionts. Plant Soil 187:309–20.
- Dilly O, Bach HJ, Buscot F, Eschenbach C, Kutsch WL, Middelhoff U, Pritsch K, Munch JC. 2000. Characteristics and energetic strategies of the rhizosphere in ecosystems of the Bornhoved Lake district. Appl Soil Ecol 15:201–10.
- Egerton-Warburton LM, Allen EB. 2000. Shifts in arbuscular mycorrhizal communities along an anthropogenic nitrogen deposition gradient. Ecol Appl 10:484–96.
- Ellis JR, Roder W, Mason SC. 1992. Grain sorghum soybean rotation and fertilization influence on vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Sci Soci Am J 56:789–94.
- Eom AH, Hartnett DC, Wilson GWT, Figge DAH. 1999. The effect of fire, mowing and fertilizer amendment on arbuscular mycorrhizas in tallgrass prairie. Am Midl Nat 142:55–70.
- Finlay R, Soderstrom B. 1992. Mycorrhiza and carbon flow to the soil. In: Allen MF, Ed. Mycorrhizal functioning: an integrative plant-fungal process. New York: Chapman and Hall, p 134–62.
- Frioni L, Minasian H, Volfovicz R. 1999. Arbuscular mycorrhizae and ectomycorrhizae in native tree legumes in Uruguay. Forest Ecol Manage 115:41–7.
- Galloway JN, Cowling EB. 2002. Reactive nitrogen and the world: 200 years of change. AMBIO J Hum Environ 31:64–71.
- Gaur A, van Greuning JV, Sinclair RC, Eicker A. 1999. Arbuscular mycorrhizas of Vangueria infausta Burch. subsp infausta (Rubiaceae) from South Africa. S Afr J Bot 65:434–6.
- Gemma JN, Koske RE. 1990. Mycorrhizae in recent volcanic substrates in Hawaii. Am J Bot 77:1193–200.
- Genney DR, Hartley SE, Alexander IJ. 2001. Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization increases with host density in a heathland community. New Phytol 152:355–63.
- Germida JJ, Walley FL. 1996. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria alter rooting patterns and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonization of field-grown spring wheat. Biol Fertil Soils 23:113–20.
- Gordon WS, Jackson RB. 2003. Global distribution of root nutrient concentrations in terrestrial ecosystems. Oak Ridge (TN): Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center. [on-line] URL: http://www.daac.ornl.gov.
- Grogan P, Chapin FS. 2000. Nitrogen limitation of production in a Californian annual grassland: the contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizae. Biogeochemistry 49:37–51.
- Hafner H, George E, Bationo A, Marschner H. 1993. Effect of crop residues on root growth and phosphorus acquisition of pearl millet in an acid sandy soil in Niger. Plant Soil 150:117– 27.
- Harley JL. 1971. Fungi in ecosystems. J Appl Ecol 8:627–42.
- Harris D, Paul EA. 1987. Carbon requirements of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. In: Safir GR, Ed. Ecophysiology of VA Mycorrhizae. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, p 93–105.
- Harris D, Pacovsky RS, Paul EA. 1985. Carbon economy of soybean-Rhizobium-Glomus associations. New Phytol 101:427– 40.
- He XL, Mouratov S, Steinberger Y. 2002. Spatial distribution and colonization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under the canopies of desert halophytes. Arid Land Res Manage 16: 149–60.
- Helm DJ, Carling DE. 1990. Use of on-site mycorrhizal inoculum for plant establishment on abandoned mined lands. Minneapolis: J0289003, U.S. Bureau of Mines.
- Herrera RA, Ferrer RL. 1980. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza in Cuba. In: Mikola P, Ed. Tropical mycorrhiza research. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p 132–62.
- Hicks PM, Loynachan TE. 1987. Phosphorus fertilization reduces vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection and changes nodule occupancy of field grown soybean. Agron J 79:841–4.
- Hodge A. 1996. Impact of elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on mycorrhizal associations and implications for plant growth. Biol Fertil Soils 23:388–98.
- Hutchinson TC, Watmough SA, Sager EPS, Karagatzides JD. 1998. Effects of excess nitrogen deposition and soil acidification on sugar maple (Acer saccharum) in Ontario, Canada: an experimental study. Can J Forest Res 28:299–310.
- Hutchinson TC, Watmough SA, Sager EPS, Karagatzides JD. 1999. The impact of simulated acid rain and fertilizer application on a mature sugar maple (Acer saccharum Marsh.) forest in central Ontario Canada. Water Air Soil Pollut 109: 17–39.
- Ingham ER, Wilson MV. 1999. The mycorrhizal colonization of six wetland plant species at sites differing in land use history. Mycorrhiza 9:233–5.
- Ingleby K, Diagne O, Deans JD, Lindley DK, Neyra M, Ducousso M. 1997. Distribution of roots, arbuscular mycorrhizal colonisation and spores around fast-growing tree species in Senegal. Forest Ecol Manage 90:19–27.
- Jackson RB, Mooney HA, Schulze ED. 1997. A global budget for fine root biomass, surface area, and nutrient contents. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 94:7362–6.
- Jakobsen I, Rosendahl L. 1990. Carbon flow into soil and external hyphae from roots of mycorrhizal cucumber plants. New Phytol 115:77–83.
- Jansen AE, Dighton J. 1990. Effects on air pollutants on ectomycorrhiza. A review. Air Pollut Res Report 30:1–58.
- Johnson D, Leake JR, Ostle N, Ineson P, Read DJ. 2002a. In situ  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ -C-13 pulse-labelling of upland grassland demonstrates a rapid pathway of carbon flux from arbuscular mycorrhizal mycelia to the soil. New Phytol 153:327–34.
- Johnson D, Leake JR, Read DJ. 2002b. Transfer of recent photosynthate into mycorrhizal mycelium of an upland grassland: short-term respiratory losses and accumulation of C-14. Soil Biol Biochem 34:1521–4.
- Johnson NC, Rowland DL, Corkidi L, Egerton-Warburton LM, Allen EB. 2003. Nitrogen enrichment alters mycorrhizal allocation at five mesic to semiarid grasslands. Ecology 84:1895– 908.
- Johnson-Green PC, Kenkel NC, Booth T. 1995. The distribution and phenology of arbuscular mycorrhizae along an inland salinity gradient. Can J Bot 73:1318–27.
- Johnston S, Ryan M. 2000. Occurrence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi across a range of alpine humus soil conditions in Kosciuszko National Park, Australia. Arctic Antarct Alp Res 32:255–61.
- Kabir Z, O'Halloran IP, Widden P, Hamel C. 1998. Vertical distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under corn (Zea mays L.) in no-till and conventional tillage systems. Mycorrhiza 8:53–5.
- Kahiluoto H, Ketoja E, Vestberg M, Saarela I. 2001. Promotion of AM utilization through reduced P fertilization 2. Field studies. Plant Soil 231:65–79.
- Khan AG. 1974. Occurrence of mycorrhizas in halophytes, hydrophytes and xerophytes, and of endogone spores in adjacent soils. J Gen Microbiol 81:7–14.
- Khan AG. 1993. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae (VAM) in aquatic trees of New South Wales, Australia, and their importance at land–water interface. In: Gopal B, Hillbircht-Ilkowska A, Wetzel RG, Eds. Wetlands and ecotones: studies on land–water interactions. National Institute of Ecology, New Delhi: p 173–80.
- Kharbuli PP, Mishra RR. 1982. Survey of mycorrhizal association in some trees of northeastern India. Acta Bot Indica 10:192–5.
- Kim CK, Weber DJ. 1985. Distribution of VA mycorrhiza on halophytes on inland salt playas. Plant Soil 83:207–14.
- Koerselman W, Meuleman AFM. 1996. The vegetation N:P ratio: a new tool to detect the nature of nutrient limitation. J Appl Ecol 33:1441–50.
- Koske RE, Gemma JN. 1989. A modified procedure for staining roots to detect VA mycorrhizas. Mycol Res 92:486–505.
- Koske RE, Gemma JN. 1990. VA mycorrhizae in strand vegetation of Hawaii: evidence for long-distance codispersal of plants and fungi. Am J Bot 77:466–74.
- Koske RE, Gemma JN. 1997. Mycorrhizae and succession in plantings of beachgrass in sand dunes. Am J Bot 84:118– 30.
- Koske RE, Gemma JN, Flynn T. 1992. Mycorrhizae in Hawaiian angiosperms: a survey with implications for the origin of the native flora. Am J Bot 79:853–62.
- Kucey RMN, Paul EA. 1982. Carbon flow, photosynthesis, and N<sub>2</sub> fixation in mycorrhizal and nodulated faba beans (Vicia faba L.). Soil Biol Biochem 14:407–12.
- Kulkarni SS, Raviraja NS, Sridhar KR. 1997. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi of tropical sand dunes of west coast of India. J Coast Res 13:931–6.
- Lansing JL. 2003. Comparing arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal fungal communities in seven North American forests and their response to nitrogen fertilization. Davis (CA): PhD dissertation University of California Davis.
- Lawrence B, Fisk MC, Fahey TJ, Suarez ER. 2003. Influence of nonnative earthworms on mycorrhizal colonization of sugar maple (Acer saccharum). New Phytol 157:145–53.
- Liu A, Hamel C, Elmi A, Costa C, Ma B, Smith DL. 2002. Concentrations of K, Ca and Mg in maize colonized by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under field conditions. Can J Soil Sci 82:271–8.
- Lodge DJ. 1989. The influence of soil moisture and flooding on formation of VA-endo- and ectomycorrhizae in Populus and Salix. Plant Soil 117:243–53.
- Louis I, Lim G. 1987. Spore density and root colonization of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas in tropical soil. Trans Br Mycol Soc 88:207–12.
- Lovera M, Cuenca G. 1996. Arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in Cyperaceae and Gramineae from natural, disturbed and restored savannas in La Gran Sabana, Venezuela. Mycorrhiza 6:111–8.
- Maffia B, Nadkarni NM, Janos DP. 1993. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae of epiphytic and terrestrial Piperaceae under field and greenhouse conditions. Mycorrhiza 4:5–9.
- Marler MJ, Zabinski CA, Wojtowicz T, Callaway RM. 1999. Mycorrhizae and fine root dynamics of Centaurea maculosa and native bunchgrasses in western Montana. Northwest Sci 73:217–24.
- Martin J, Bereau M, Louisanna E, Ocampo JA. 2001. Arbuscular mycorrhizas in Dicorynia guianensis and Eperua falcata trees from primary tropical rain forest of French Guiana. Symbiosis 31:283–91.
- McGee P. 1986. Mycorrhizal associations of plant species in a semiarid community. Aust J Bot 34:585–93.
- McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA. 1990. A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 115:495–501.
- Medina OA, Kretschmer AE, Sylvia DM. 1988. The occurrence of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on tropical forage legumes in south Florida. Trop Grassl 22:73–8.
- Michelsen A, Schmidt IK, Jonasson S, Quarmby C, Sleep D. 1996. Leaf N-15 abundance of subarctic plants provides field evidence that ericoid, ectomycorrhizal, and non- and arbuscular mycorrhizal species access different sources of soil nitrogen. Oecologia 105:53–63.
- Miller MH, McGonigle TP, Addy HD. 1995. Functional ecology of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas as influenced by phosphate fertilization and tillage in an agricultural ecosystem. Crit Rev Biotechnol 15:241–55.
- Miller SP. 2000. Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization of semiaquatic grasses along a wide hydrologic gradient. New Phytol 145:145–55.
- Mohankumar V, Mahadevan A. 1986. Survey of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae in mangrove vegetation. Curr Sci 55:936–6.
- Mosse B. 1973. Plant growth responses to vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae: IV. In soil given additional phosphate. New Phytol 72:127–6.
- Moyersoen B, Fitter AH, Alexander IJ. 1998. Spatial distribution of ectomycorrhizas and arbuscular mycorrhizas in Korup National Park rain forest, Cameroon, in relation to edaphic parameters. New Phytol 139:311–20.
- Moyersoen B, Becker P, Alexander IJ. 2001. Are ectomycorrhizas more abundant than arbuscular mycorrhizas in tropical heath forests? New Phytol 150:591–9.
- Mullen RB, Schmidt SK. 1993. Mycorrhizal infection, phosphorus uptake, and phenology in Ranunculus adoneus—implications for the functioning of mycorrhizae in alpine systems. Oecologia 94:229–34.
- Muthukumar T, Udaiyan K. 2000a. Arbuscular mycorrhizas of plants growing in the Western Ghats region, Southern India. Mycorrhiza 9:297–313.
- Muthukumar T, Udaiyan K. 2000b. Influence of organic manures on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. in relation to tissue nutrients and soluble carbohydrate in roots under field conditions. Biol Fertil Soils 31:114–20.
- Nakatsubo T, Kaniyu M, Nakagoshi N, Hoikoshi T. 1994. Distribution of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in plants growing in a river floodplain. Bull Jpn Soc Microb Ecol 9:109– 17.
- Nehl DB, McGee PA, Torrisi V, Pattinson GS, Allen SJ. 1999. Patterns of arbuscular mycorrhiza down the profile of a heavy textured soil do not reflect associated colonization potential. New Phytol 142:495–503.
- Neville J, Tessier JL, Morrison I, Scarratt J, Canning B, Klironomos JN. 2002. Soil depth distribution of ecto- and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with Populus tremuloides within a 3-year-old boreal forest clear-cut. Appl Soil Ecol 19:209–16.
- Newman EI, Reddell P. 1987. The distribution of mycorrhizas among families of vascular plants. New Phytol 106:745–51.
- Newman EI, Heap AJ, Lawley RA. 1981. Abundance of mycorrhizas and root surface microorganisms of Plantago lanceolata in relation to soil and vegetation—a multivariate approach. New Phytol 89:95–108.
- O'Connor PJ, Smith SE, Smith FA. 2001. Arbuscular mycorrhizal associations in the southern Simpson Desert. Aust J Bot 49:493–99.
- Onipchenko VG, Zobel M. 2000. Mycorrhiza, vegetative mobility and responses to disturbance of alpine plants in the Northwestern Caucasus. Folia Geobot 35:1–11.
- Paul EA, Clark FE. 1996. Soil microbiology and biochemistry. 2nd ed. San Diego: Academic.
- Pellet D, El-Sharkawy MA. 1993. Cassava varietal response to phosphorus fertilization. 2. Phosphorus uptake and use efficiency. Field Crops Res 35:13–20.
- Perez CA, Frangi JL. 2000. Grassland biomass dynamics along an altitudinal gradient in the Pampa. J Range Manage 53:518– 28.
- Preble E. 1998. Grab it! Raleigh (NC): DataTrend Software, Inc.
- Rabatin SC. 1979. Seasonal and edaphic variation in vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection of grasses by Glomus tenuis. New Phytol 83:95–102.
- Ragupathy S, Mahadevan A. 1993. Distribution of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae in the plants and rhizosphere soils of the tropical plains, Tamil-Nadu, India. Mycorrhiza 3:123–36.
- Randerson JT, Thompson MV, Conway TJ, Fung IY, Field CB. 1997. The contribution of terrestrial sources and sinks to trends in the seasonal cycle of atmospheric carbon dioxide. Global Biogeochem Cycles 11:535–60.
- Read DJ. 1984. The structure and function of the vegetative mycelium of mycorrhizal roots. In: Jennings ADM, Rayner DH, Eds. The ecology and physiology of the fungal mycelium. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p 215–40.
- Read DJ. 1991a. Mycorrhizas in ecosystems. Experientia 47:376–91.
- Read DJ. 1991b. Mycorrhizas in ecosystems—nature's response to the ''Law of the minimum''. In: Hawksworth DL, eds. Frontiers in mycology. Regensburg: CAB International. p 101– 30.
- Read DJ, Haselwandter K. 1981. Observations on the mycorrhizal status of some alpine plant communities. New Phytol 88:341–52.
- Read DJ, Koucheki HK, Hodgson J. 1976. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza in natural vegetation systems. New Phytol 77:641–53.
- Reddell P, Hopkins MS, Graham AW. 1996. Functional association between apogeotropic aerial roots, mycorrhizas and paper-barked stems in a lowland tropical rainforest in North Queensland. J Trop Ecol 12:763–77.
- Requena N, Jeffries P, Barea JM. 1996. Assessment of natural mycorrhizal potential in a desertified semiarid ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:842–7.
- Rillig MC, Field CB, Allen MF. 1999. Fungal root colonization responses in natural grasslands after long-term exposure to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Glob Change Biol 5:577-85.
- Rillig MC, Hernandez GY, Newton PCD. 2000. Arbuscular mycorrhizae respond to elevated atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  after longterm exposure: evidence from a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  spring in New Zealand supports the resource balance model. Ecol Lett 3:475–8.
- Rillig MC, Wright SF, Nichols KA, Schmidt WF, Torn MS. 2001. Large contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to soil carbon pools in tropical forest soils. Plant Soil 233:167–77.
- Rillig MC, Treseder KK, Allen MF. 2002a. Global change and mycorrhizal fungi. In: van der Heijden M, Sanders I, Eds. Mycorrhizal ecology. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer, p 135–60.
- Rillig MC, Wright SF, Shaw MR, Field CB. 2002b. Artificial climate warming positively affects arbuscular mycorrhizae but decreases soil aggregate water stability in an annual grassland. Oikos 97:52–8.
- Roberts C, Allen Jones J. 2000. Soil patchiness in junipersagebrush-grass communities of central Oregon. Plant Soil 223:45–61.
- Rogers HH, Prior SA, O'Neill EG. 1992. Cotton roots and rhizosphere responses to free-air  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment. Crit Rev Plant Sci 11:251–63.
- Runion GB, Curl EA, Rogers HH, Backman PA, Rodriguezkabana R, Helms BE. 1994. Effects of free-air  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  enrichment on microbial populations in the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of cotton. Agric Forest Meteorol 70:117–30.
- Ruotsalainen AL, Vare H, Vestberg M. 2002. Seasonality of root fungal colonization in low-alpine herbs. Mycorrhiza 12:29–36.
- Sanders IR, Fitter AH. 1992. The ecology and functioning of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas in co-existing grassland species. New Phytol 120:517–24.
- Sanginga N, Okogun JA, Akobundu IO, Kang BT. 1996. Phosphorus requirement and nodulation of herbaceous and shrub

legumes in low P soils of a Guinean savanna in Nigeria. Appl Soil Ecol 3:247–55.

- Sasaki A, Fujiyoshi M, Shidara S, Nakatsubo T. 2001. Effects of nutrients and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization on the growth of Salix gracilistyla seedlings in a nutrient-poor fluvial bar. Ecol Res 16:165–72.
- Saugier B, Roy J, Mooney HA. 2001. Estimates of global terrestrial productivity: Converging toward a single number? In: Roy J, Saugier B, Mooney HA, Eds. Terrestrial global productivity. San Diego (CA): Academic, p 543–57.
- Sengupta A, Chaudhuri S. 1990. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) in pioneer salt marsh plants of the Ganges River Delta in West Bengal (India). Plant Soil 122: 111–3.
- Smith SE, Read DJ. 1997. Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 2nd ed. San Diego: Academic.
- Smith MD, Hartnett DC, Rice CW. 2000. Effects of long-term fungicide applications on microbial properties in tallgrass prairie soil. Soil Biol Biochem 32:935–46.
- Smits WTM. 1994. Dipterocarpaceae: mycorrhizae and regeneration. Wageningen (The Netherlands): The Tropenbos Foundation.
- SPSS. 2000. Systat 10. Chicago.
- St John TV. 1980. A survey of micorrhizal infection in an Amazonian rain forest. Acta Amazonica 10:527–33.
- Staddon PL, Fitter AH. 1998. Does elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide affect arbuscular mycorrhizas? Trends Ecol Evol 13:455–8.
- Staddon PL, Thompson K, Jakobsen I, Grime JP, Askew AP, Fitter AH. 2003. Mycorrhizal fungal abundance is affected by long-term climatic manipulations in the field. Glob Change Biol 9:186–194.
- Sylvia DM, Jarstfer AG. 1997. Distribution of mycorrhiza on competing pines and weeds in a southern pine plantation. Soil Sci Soc Am J 61:139–44.
- Tadych M, Blaszkowski J. 2000. Arbuscular fungi and mycorrhizae (Glomales) of the Slowinski National Park, Poland. Mycotaxon 74:463–82.
- Tarkalson DD, Jolley VD, Robbins CW, Terry RE. 1998. Mycorrhizal colonization and nutrition of wheat and sweet corn grown in manure-treated and untreated topsoil and subsoil. J Plant Nutr 21:1985–99.
- Thomson BD, Robson AD, Abbott LK. 1992. The effect of long term applications of phosphorus fertilizer on populations of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in pastures. Aust J Agric Res 43:1131–42.
- Titus JH, Leps J. 2000. The response of arbuscular mycorrhizae to fertilization, mowing, and removal of dominant species in a diverse oligotrophic wet meadow. Am J Bot 87: 392–401.
- Toth R, Miller RM, Jarstfer AG, Alexander T, Bennett EL. 1991. The calculation of intraradical fungal biomass from percent colonization in vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae. Mycologia 83:553–8.
- Treseder KK. 2004. A meta-analysis of mycorrhizal responses to nitrogen, phosphorus, and atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in field studies. New Phytol 164:347–55.
- Treseder KK, Allen MF. 2000. Mycorrhizal fungi have a potential role in soil carbon storage under elevated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and nitrogen deposition. New Phytol 147:189–200.
- Treseder KK, Allen MF. 2002. Direct N and P limitation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a model and field test. New Phytol 155:507–15.
- Treseder KK, Vitousek PM. 2001. Effects of soil nutrient availability on investment in acquisition of N and P in Hawaiian rain forests. Ecology 82:946–54.
- Treseder KK, Mack MC, Cross A. 2004. Relationships among fires, fungi, and soil dynamics in Alaskan boreal forests. Ecol Appl 14:1826–38.
- Turner SD, Amon JP, Schneble RM, Friese CF. 2000. Mycorrhizal fungi associated with plants in ground-water fed wetlands. Wetlands 20:200–4.
- van der Heijden EW, Vries FW, Kuyper TW. 1999. Mycorrhizal associations of Salix repens L. communities in succession of dune ecosystems. I. Above-ground and below-ground views of ectomycorrhizal fungi in relation to soil chemistry. Can J Bot 77:1821–32.
- Van Hoewyk D, Wigand C, Groffman PM. 2001. Endomycorrhizal colonization of Dasiphora floribunda, a native plant species of calcareous wetlands in eastern New York State, USA. Wetlands 21:431–6.
- Van Veen JA, Paul EA. 1979. Conversion of biovolume measurements of soil organisms, grown under various moisture tensions, to biomass and their nutrient content. Appl Environ Microbiol 37:686–92.
- Vanlauwe B, Nwoke OC, Diels J, Sanginga N, Carsky RJ, Deckers J, Merckx R. 2000. Utilization of rock phosphate by crops on a representative toposequence in the Northern Guinea savanna zone of Nigeria: response by Mucuna pruriens, Lablab purpureus and maize. Soil Biol Biochem 32:2063–77.
- Vardavakis E. 1990. Seasonal fluctuations of soil microfungi in correlation with some soil enzyme activities and VA mycorrhizae associated with certain plants of a typical calcixeroll soil in Greece. Mycologia 82:715–26.
- Veenendaal EM, Monnaapula SC, Gilika T, Magole IL. 1992. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal infection of grass seedlings in a degraded semiarid savanna in Botswana. New Phytol 121:477–85.
- Visser S, Maynard D, Danielson RM. 1998. Response of ectoand arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to clear-cutting and the application of chipped aspen wood in a mixedwood site in Alberta, Canada. Appl Soil Ecol 7:257–69.
- Wang FY, Liu RJ, Lin XG, Zhou JM. 2004. Arbuscular mycorrhizal status of wild plants in saline–alkaline soils of the Yellow River Delta. Mycorrhiza 14:133–7.
- Wardle DA. 1992. A comparative assessment of factors which influence microbial biomass, carbon, and nitrogen levels in soil. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc 67:321–58.
- Wetzel PR, vanderValk AG. 1996. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in prairie pothole wetland vegetation in Iowa and North Dakota. Can J Bot (Revue Canadienne Botanique) 74:883–90.
- Whitbeck JL. 2001. Effects of light environment on vesiculararbuscular mycorrhiza development in Inga leiocalycina, a tropical wet forest tree. Biotropica 33:303–11.
- Wilson GWT, Hartnett DC, Smith MD, Kobbeman K. 2001. Effects of mycorrhizae on growth and demography of tallgrass prairie forbs. Am J Bot 88:1452–7.
- Wright SF, Upadhyaya A. 1996. Extraction of an abundant and unusual protein from soil and comparison with hyphal protein of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Sci 161:575–86.