Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

RETARDATION OF FALLING DROPS

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8kz9d0c8

Author

Newman, John.

Publication Date

1965-12-01

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

RETARDATION OF FALLING DROPS

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

RETARDATION OF FALLING DROPS

John Newman

December, 1965

Retardation of Falling Drops

John Newman
Inorganic Materials Research Division
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, and
Department of Chemical Engineering
University of California, Berkeley

December, 1965

Abstract

A unified treatment of Levich's three mechanisms of retardation of drop motion by a surface-active agent is presented.

Levich^{1,2} has explained how the motion of a falling drop can be retarded by differences of surface tension between the front and the rear of the drop caused by differences in the surface concentration of a surface-active agent. The motion of the surface of the drop tends to increase the surface concentration at the rear of the drop. This effect is counterbalanced by surface diffusion and by exchange of surface-active agent between the interface and the bulk solution. This exchange involves an adsorption at the front of the drop and a desorption at the rear of the drop, and it may be opposed by slow diffusion into the bulk of the solution or by slow adsorption-desorption kinetics.

These three factors - surface diffusion, slow diffusion in the bulk, and slow adsorption kinetics - are treated separately by Levich. It is found here that the three effects can be treated simultaneously with no additional assumptions. The resulting retardation coefficient then reflects the relative importance of these three effects.

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to r. Substitution into the equations of motion (4) gives

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} - \rho g \cos \theta = - \mu U \cos \theta \left[F'' + 2F'/r + 4(G - F)/r^2 \right]$$

$$= - \mu U \cos \theta \left(F'' + 4F'/r \right),$$
and
$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} + \rho g r \sin \theta = \mu r U \sin \theta \left[G'' + 2G'/r - 2(G - F)/r^2 \right]$$

$$= \mu r U \sin \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} r F''' + 3F'' + 2F'/r \right).$$

By differentiating the first of equations (7) with respect to θ and the second equation with respect to r and subtracting the resulting two equations we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}r^{4}\frac{d^{4}F}{dr^{4}} + 4r^{3}\frac{d^{3}F}{dr^{3}} + 4r^{2}\frac{d^{2}F}{dr^{2}} - 4r\frac{dF}{dr} = 0.$$
 (8)

This is a form of Euler's equation and has the general solution

13

$$F = A_1 + A_2 r^2 + A_3 r^{-1} + A_4 r^{-3}. (9)$$

From the general solution for F and the continuity equation (6), one can construct the velocity distribution outside the drop

$$v_{r} = -\left[U - \left(\frac{3}{2}U - v_{o}\right)\frac{R}{r} + \left(\frac{1}{2}U - v_{o}\right)\frac{R^{3}}{r^{3}}\right]\cos\theta,$$

$$v_{\theta} = \left[U - \left(\frac{3}{4}U - \frac{1}{2}v_{o}\right)\frac{R}{r} - \left(\frac{1}{4}U - \frac{1}{2}v_{o}\right)\frac{R^{3}}{r^{3}}\right]\sin\theta,$$
(10)

so as to satisfy the conditions (1) at infinity and the condition (2) on the surface of the drop. Equations (7) can then be integrated to yield the pressure distribution.

$$p - p_0 = \rho gr \cos \theta + \mu \cos \theta \left(\frac{3}{2} U - v_0\right) \frac{R}{r^2}$$
 (11)

In a similar fashion one can construct the velocity distribution inside the drop

$$v_{r}^{i} = v_{o} (1 - r^{2}/R^{2}) \cos \theta ,$$

$$v_{\theta}^{i} = v_{o} (-1 + 2r^{2}/R^{2}) \sin \theta ,$$
(12)

so as to satisfy the condition that the velocity should be finite at r=0 and the conditions

$$v_r^{\dagger} = v_r^{}, \quad v_{\theta}^{\dagger} = v_{\theta}^{} \quad \text{at } r = R$$
 (13)

on the surface of the drop. Here primes refer to the fluid inside the drop. The equations of motion then yield the pressure distribution.

$$p' - p'_0 = \rho' gr \cos \theta - 10\mu' v_0 \cos \theta r/R^2.$$
 (14)

The two constants U and ${\bf v}_{_{\hbox{\scriptsize O}}}$ are to be determined from the force balance at the interface, but this must be postponed until the surface tension and concentration distributions are known.

Surface Concentration

Conservation of surface-active agent in the interface is expressed by the equation

$$j_n = div_s \left(\underline{v}_t \Gamma\right) - div_s \left(D_s \operatorname{grad}_s \Gamma\right)$$
 (15)

where

$$v_t = v_0 \sin \theta$$
.

Let

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma^{\dagger} \tag{16}$$

where Γ' is the deviation from the average surface concentration and is assumed to be small compared to Γ . This assumption allows us to write

$$\frac{\operatorname{div}_{s} \, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{t} \Gamma = (\Gamma_{o} + \Gamma') \, \operatorname{div}_{s} \, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{t} + \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{t} \, \operatorname{grad}_{s} \Gamma'}{\operatorname{cos} \, \theta \cdot \operatorname{cos} \, \theta} \times \Gamma_{o} \, \operatorname{div}_{s} \, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{t} = (2 v_{o} \Gamma_{o} / R) \, \operatorname{cos} \, \theta \cdot \operatorname{cos} \, \theta \cdot \operatorname{cos} \, \theta$$
(17)

Rather than try to solve the equation of convective diffusion for the concentration of the surface-active agent in the bulk solution, we follow Levich and express the normal flux in terms of the thickness δ of the Nernst diffusion

layer

3

$$j_{n} = D(c_{b} - c_{s})/\delta = (D/\delta)(\Gamma_{o} - \Gamma_{s})/(\partial\Gamma/\partial c)_{eq}.$$
 (18)

For small deviations from equilibrium we can express the concentration difference in terms of the difference of surface concentrations and take $(\partial \Gamma/\partial c)_{eq}$ to be constant.

In series with the mass transfer resistance in the solution there may be a resistance at the interface associated with the kinetics of adsorption. For small deviations from equilibrium we take this to be a linear relationship

$$j_n = -\alpha(\Gamma - \Gamma_s) . (19)$$

These two expressions (18) and (19) can be combined to eliminate $\Gamma_{\rm s}$:

$$j_{n} = -\alpha D \Gamma^{1} / [D + \alpha \delta (\partial \Gamma / \partial c)_{eq}] . \qquad (20)$$

This equation (20) can be combined with the interfacial material balance (15) to yield a differential equation for Γ :

$$-\frac{\alpha D\Gamma'}{D+\alpha \delta(\partial \Gamma/\partial c)_{eq}} = \frac{2v \Gamma}{R} \cos \theta - \frac{D_s/R^2}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial \Gamma'}{\partial \theta} \right)$$
(21)

with the solution

$$\Gamma^{1} = - Kv_{o} \cos \theta \tag{22}$$

where

$$K = \frac{2\Gamma_0 R}{2D_s + \alpha DR^2 / [D + \alpha \delta(\partial \Gamma / \partial_c)_{eq}]}$$
 (23)

Force Balance at the Interface

The force balance at the interface can be expressed as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
 p' + \tau'_{rr} - p - \tau_{rr} = 2\sigma/R \\
 \tau'_{r\theta} - \tau_{r\theta} + \operatorname{grad}_{s}\sigma = 0
 \end{array} \right\} \text{ at } r = R$$
(24)

where

$$\tau_{r\theta} = -\mu \left[r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{v_{\theta}}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial \theta} \right] \text{ and } \tau_{rr} = -2\mu \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial r}.$$
 (25)

Substitution of the expressions for the velocity components and the pressure and the expression

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + \frac{\partial \overline{\Gamma}}{\partial \sigma} \left(\Gamma - \Gamma_0 \right) \tag{26}$$

with $\partial \sigma / \partial \Gamma$ taken to be constant allows us to determine the constants:

$$p_{O}^{\dagger} = p_{O} + 2\sigma_{O}/R, \tag{27}$$

$$U = \frac{\mu + \mu' + \gamma}{2\mu + 3\mu' + 3\gamma} \frac{2(\rho' - \rho)gR^2}{3\mu}, \qquad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{o} = \frac{(\rho' - \rho)gR^{2}}{3(2\mu + 3\mu' + 3\gamma)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\mu U}{\mu + \mu' + \gamma}, \qquad (29)$$

where

3

$$\Upsilon = -\frac{1}{3} K \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \Gamma} . \tag{30}$$

The Retardation Coefficient

For large values of the retardation coefficient γ, equation (28) reduces to Stokes law, and for small values it reduces to the Hadamard-Rybczyński formula.

When one of the three effects treated above predominates over the others, the expression for γ reduces to the appropriate formula of Levich. For large values of the surface diffusion coefficient D $_{\rm S}$ or small values of the solubility of the surface-active agent in the bulk solution, we have

$$\gamma_3 = -\frac{\sigma}{\Gamma_0 R} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \Gamma} . \tag{31}$$

Levich's value seems to be too large by a factor of 2 in this case. When surface diffusion is negligible and the adsorption resistance is small compared to the mass-transfer resistance in the solution we have

$$r_2 = -\frac{2\Gamma_0 \delta}{3 \text{ DR}} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial c} \right)_{eq} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \Gamma} . \tag{32}$$

When surface diffusion is negligible and the adsorption resistance is large

compared to the mass-transfer resistance in the solution we have

$$\gamma_{1} = \frac{2\Gamma_{0}}{3dR} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \Gamma} . \tag{33}$$

Finally, we might notice that with the assumption of the Nernst diffusion layer it makes no difference whether the surface-active agent is soluble in the outer fluid or in the fluid inside the drop.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

Nomenclature

 $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ - concentration in the bulk of the solution.

 $\mathbf{c}_{_{\mathbf{S}}}$ - concentration in the solution near the interface.

D - diffusion coefficient in the bulk fluid.

 $\mathbf{D}_{_{\mathbf{S}}}$ - diffusion coefficient for surface diffusion.

F - expresses radial dependence of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{r}^{\bullet}}$

g - gravitational acceleration.

G - expresses radial dependence of v_{θ} .

 \boldsymbol{j}_{n} - normal flux of surface-active agent into the interface.

K - constant (see equation (23)).

p - pressure.

r - radial coordinate measured from the center of the drop.

R - radius of the drop.

U - rate of fall of the drop.

 $\mathbf{v}_{_{\mathrm{O}}}$ - tangential velocity at the equator of the drop.

v_r - radial velocity component.

 $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ - velocity component in $\boldsymbol{\theta}\text{-direction.}$

 $\alpha\,$ - coefficient in linear expression of adsorption kinetics.

- γ retardation coefficient.
- Γ surface concentration.
- $\Gamma_{\rm o}$ average surface concentration.
- Γ' deviation from the average surface concentration.
- $\Gamma_{_{\rm S}}$ surface concentration in equilibrium with $c_{_{\rm S}}.$
- δ thickness of Nernst diffusion layer.
- θ angular coordinate measured from the front of the drop.
- viscosity of the outer fluid.
- μ' viscosity of fluid inside drop.
- ρ density of the outer fluid.
- ρ' density of fluid inside drop.
- σ surface tension.
- τ_{rr} component of the viscous stress tensor.
- $au_{r heta}$ component of the viscous stress tensor.
- div surface divergence.
- grad surface gradient.

References

- 1. Veniamin G. Levich. <u>Physicochemical Hydrodynamics</u>. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1962. Sections 73, 74, and 75.
- 2. A. Frumkin and V. Levich. "O vliyanii poverkhnostno-aktivnykh veshchestv na dvizhenie na granitse zhidkikh sred." Zhurnal FizicheskoY Khimii, 21, 1183-1204 (1947).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.