

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Implicit learning of purely non-linguistic sequences: the role of Brocas area

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8kd9707f>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 42(0)

Authors

Yang, Chung-Lin Martin

Schoenemann, P. Thomas

Ruck, Lana

et al.

Publication Date

2020

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Implicit learning of purely non-linguistic sequences: the role of Brocas area

Chung-Lin Martin Yang

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, United States

P. Thomas Schoenemann

Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, United States

Lana Ruck

Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, United States

Shelby Putt

Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois, United States

Lauren Weed

Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, United States

Abstract

The relevance of Broca's area to both language and non-linguistic sequence processing is well established. However, many of the previous fMRI studies on artificial grammar learning use letter sequences as their non-linguistic stimuli. Since the letters are linguistic in nature, these may inadvertently activate language circuits independent of the artificial grammar. In addition, participants have been explicitly told before testing that they needed to classify sentences as either grammatical or ungrammatical. Thus, it is possible that part or all of the activation of Broca's reported in these studies is an artifact of these manipulations. In our current study, we used sequences of human faces instead of letters, and tested participants in such a way that they were never aware they were even being tested. Nevertheless, most participants still showed evidence of learning the non-linguistic artificial grammar, and their Broca's area was also differentially active for 'grammatical' vs. 'ungrammatical' sequences.