UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

A synthetic biology platform for the reconstitution and mechanistic dissection of LINC complex assembly

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8hz4r41b

Journal Journal of Cell Science, 132(4)

ISSN 0021-9533

Authors

Majumder, Sagardip Willey, Patrick T DeNies, Maxwell S <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2019-02-15

DOI

10.1242/jcs.219451

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

CORRECTION

Correction: A synthetic biology platform for the reconstitution and mechanistic dissection of LINC complex assembly (doi:10.1242/jcs.219451)

Sagardip Majumder, Patrick T. Willey, Maxwell S. DeNies, Allen P. Liu and G. W. Gant Luxton

There were errors in J. Cell Sci. (2019) 132, jcs219451 (doi:10.1242/jcs.219451).

Α

The labels for the N-terminus and C-terminus in Fig. 3A were incorrectly swapped, and Fig. 5 had labels stating that the constructs were from SUN1 when in fact they were from SUN2. The online full-text and PDF versions of the paper have been updated.



Fig. 3 (corrected panel). Reconstitution of CFE-synthesized FL SUN1 and SUN2 in ANMs. (A) Schematic of the process of generating ANMs with inserted CFE-synthesized membrane proteins.



Fig. 3 (original panel). Reconstitution of CFE-synthesized FL SUN1 and SUN2 in ANMs. (A) Schematic of the process of generating ANMs with inserted CFE-synthesized membrane proteins.

The Company of Biologists



Fig. 5 (corrected). Topology of SUN2 inserted in ANMs. (A,C) Illustration of the constructs used in this figure. (B,D) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions for the indicated constructs. (E) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions expressing the indicated constructs before (*t*=0 min) or after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase. (F) Box plots depicting the RFU of EGFP quantified on ANMs before (*t*=0 min) and after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase for the indicated constructs from three independent experiments (*n*=12 beads per condition). The box represents the 25–75th percentiles, and the median is indicated. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum data points. *****P*<0.0001. (G) Working model for the topology of EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ inserted into the INM. Scale bars: 5 µm. R.F.U., relative fluorescence units.



Fig. 5 (original). Topology of SUN2 inserted in ANMs. (A,C) Illustration of the constructs used in this figure. (B,D) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions for the indicated constructs. (E) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions expressing the indicated constructs before (*t*=0 min) or after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase. (F) Box plots depicting the RFU of EGFP quantified on ANMs before (*t*=0 min) and after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase for the indicated constructs from three independent experiments (*n*=12 beads per condition). The box represents the 25–75th percentiles, and the median is indicated. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum data points. *****P*<0.0001. (G) Working model for the topology of EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ inserted into the INM. Scale bars: 5 µm. R.F.U., relative fluorescence units.

The authors apologise to readers for these errors.

TOOLS AND RESOURCES

SPECIAL ISSUE: RECONSTITUTING CELL BIOLOGY

A synthetic biology platform for the reconstitution and mechanistic dissection of LINC complex assembly

Sagardip Majumder¹, Patrick T. Willey², Maxwell S. DeNies³, Allen P. Liu^{1,3,4,5,*} and G. W. Gant Luxton^{2,6,*}

ABSTRACT

The linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) is a conserved nuclear envelope-spanning molecular bridge that is responsible for the mechanical integration of the nucleus with the cytoskeleton. LINC complexes are formed by a transluminal interaction between the outer and inner nuclear membrane KASH and SUN proteins, respectively. Despite recent structural insights, our mechanistic understanding of LINC complex assembly remains limited by the lack of an experimental system for its in vitro reconstitution and manipulation. Here, we describe artificial nuclear membranes (ANMs) as a synthetic biology platform based on mammalian cellfree expression for the rapid reconstitution of SUN proteins in supported lipid bilayers. We demonstrate that SUN1 and SUN2 are oriented in ANMs with solvent-exposed C-terminal KASH-binding SUN domains. We also find that SUN2 possesses a single transmembrane domain, while SUN1 possesses three. Finally, SUN protein-containing ANMs bind synthetic KASH peptides, thereby reconstituting the LINC complex core. This work represents the first in vitro reconstitution of KASH-binding SUN proteins in supported lipid bilayers using cell-free expression, which will be invaluable for testing proposed models of LINC complex assembly and its regulation.

KEY WORDS: Cell-free expression, KASH, Linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton complex, Nuclear membrane, Reconstitution, SUN

INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic cells are defined by the presence of a genome-containing nucleus, the boundary of which is delineated by the nuclear envelope (NE), a specialized subdomain of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Kite, 1913). The NE consists of concentric inner and outer nuclear membranes (INM and ONM, respectively) separated by a \sim 30–50 nm perinuclear space that is contiguous with the ER lumen (Watson, 1959). While the ONM is an extension of the ER, a unique subset of proteins resides in the INM that interact with the nuclear lamina and chromatin within the nucleoplasm (Burke and Stewart, 2014).

*Authors for correspondence (gwgl@umn.edu; allenliu@umich.edu)

D A.P.L., 0000-0002-0309-7018; G.W.G.L., 0000-0002-6180-8906

Received 23 April 2018; Accepted 19 September 2018

Fusion between the INM and ONM creates numerous aqueous channels throughout the NE that are occupied by nuclear pore complexes (NPCs), which are the primary sites of molecular exchange between the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm (Knockenhauer and Schwartz, 2016; Otsuka and Ellenberg, 2018). However, mechanical forces generated by the cytoskeleton within the cytoplasm can also be sensed and transmitted across the NE and into the nucleoplasm by LINC complexes (Alam et al., 2016; Brosig et al., 2010; Guilluy et al., 2014; Lombardi et al., 2011; Tajik et al., 2016). These evolutionarily conserved NE-spanning molecular bridges mediate several fundamental cellular processes, including DNA damage repair, meiotic chromosome pairing, mechanoregulation of gene expression and nuclear positioning (Alam et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2015; Meinke and Schirmer, 2015; Tapley and Starr, 2013). Consistent with their central role in cellular function is a growing list of genetic mutations in LINC complex proteins associated with human diseases such as aging-related hearing loss, ataxia and muscular dystrophy (Horn, 2014; Janin et al., 2017).

LINC complexes are formed by the transluminal interactions of the ONM Klarsicht/ANC-1/SYNE homology (KASH) proteins and the INM Sad1/UNC-84 (SUN) proteins (Crisp et al., 2006). The divergent cytoskeletal-binding cytoplasmic domain of KASH proteins largely consists of spectrin repeats or coiled-coils (CCs) (Luxton and Starr, 2014; Meinke and Schirmer, 2015), while their C-termini contain the conserved nuclear envelope targeting KASH domain composed of a transmembrane domain (TMD) followed by the $\sim 10-32$ -residue luminal KASH peptide (Starr and Han, 2002). Within the perinuclear space, the C-terminal SUN domain of SUN proteins interacts with KASH peptides (Malone et al., 1999), whereas their divergent N-termini reside within the nucleoplasm where they interact with A-type lamins, chromatin, as well as other INM proteins (Chang et al., 2015). Mammals encode six KASH proteins [nesprins 1-4 (also known as SYNE1-4) lymphocyterestricted membrane protein and KASH5] and five SUN proteins (SUN1-5) (Meinke and Schirmer, 2015; Stewart and Burke, 2014). The expression of SUN3-5 is testis specific and their ability to assemble into functional LINC complexes remains unclear (Sosa et al., 2013). In contrast, SUN1 and SUN2 are both widely expressed in somatic cells and interact with all known KASH proteins (Stewart-Hutchinson et al., 2008; Östlund et al., 2009).

Groundbreaking *in vitro* studies have provided critical insights into the mechanism of LINC complex assembly. In key papers describing its crystal structure, the Kutay, Schwartz and Wang laboratories have shown that SUN2 homo-trimerizes and that a short preceding CC is necessary and sufficient for homo-oligomerization (Sosa et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012). In addition, Kutay and Schwartz revealed that SUN2 homo-oligomerization was required for KASH peptide binding, which further stabilized the homotrimer (Sosa et al., 2012). In an extension of this earlier work, the Feng laboratory suggested that the CC-containing region of the



¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48019, USA. ²Department of Genetics, Cell Biology, and Development, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA. ³Cellular and Molecular Biology Program, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48019, USA. ⁴Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48019, USA. ⁵Biophysics Program, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48019, USA. ⁶Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA.

SUN2 luminal domain should be viewed as a pair of CCs that potentially influence the monomer-trimer equilibrium of SUN2 (Nie et al., 2016). Using fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy, an imaging-based technique that enables the quantification of protein oligomerization *in vivo* (Slaughter and Li, 2010), we recently confirmed that the luminal domain of SUN2 homo-trimerizes within the NE of living cells (Hennen et al., 2017, 2018).

While SUN2 and SUN1 share a high level of sequence similarity (~65% identity between mouse SUN2 and SUN1), display similar affinity for the nesprin-2 KASH peptide (Östlund et al., 2009) and are involved in several redundant cellular functions [i.e. DNA damage repair (Lei et al., 2012) and sub-synaptic nuclear anchorage in skeletal muscle (Lei et al., 2009)], we found that the homo-oligomerization of the SUN1 luminal domain within the NE was not limited to the formation of a homo-trimer (Hennen et al., 2018). Taken together, these results suggest that LINC complexes containing SUN1 assemble via a distinct mechanism from those containing SUN2, which may explain the specific requirement for SUN1 during meiotic chromosome pairing (Ding et al., 2007; Horn et al., 2013) as well as in the assembly of NPCs and their distribution throughout the NE (Liu et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2008; Talamas and Hetzer, 2011).

To date, our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the differential assembly and function of SUN1- and SUN2-containing LINC complexes remains limited by the fact that the results described above were all generated using soluble fragments of the luminal domains of SUN1 and SUN2, not full-length SUN proteins within the context of a lipid bilayer. To begin to overcome this limitation, we describe here the development of artificial nuclear membranes (ANMs) as a simple bottom-up synthetic biology

platform based on mammalian cell-free expression (CFE) for the rapid reconstitution and mechanistic dissection of LINC complex assembly using full-length SUN proteins.

RESULTS

Synthesis of SUN1 and SUN2 using mammalian CFE

CFE systems enable the synthesis of proteins of interest encoded by cDNA constructs in a one-pot transcription-translation reaction (Murray and Baliga, 2013; Rosenblum and Cooperman, 2014). Recent studies demonstrate the successful reconstitution of membrane proteins in ER-derived microsomes and supported lipid bilayers following CFE in eukaryotic cell extracts (Quast et al., 2015, 2016; Zemella et al., 2017). The use of CFE for mechanistic structure/function-based studies of membrane proteins offers several important advantages over more conventional cellbased methods where membrane proteins are overexpressed in and purified from heterologous systems (Kai et al., 2015; Schwarz et al., 2008). Specifically, CFE systems significantly reduce the risk of membrane protein denaturation, as newly synthesized membrane proteins are directly inserted into natural ER-based lipid bilayers in the absence of detergents, thus allowing for their proper folding. In addition, CFE is significantly more robust and efficient than the time-consuming process of cell-based expression followed by purification and reconstitution.

To investigate the feasibility of using CFE to reconstitute LINC complexes, we tested the ability of our previously described CFE system, generated using lysates prepared from HeLa S3 cells grown in suspension (Ho et al., 2015, 2017), to synthesize full-length (FL) mouse SUN1 and SUN2 in the absence of exogenously



Fig. 1. Synthesis of FL SUN1 and SUN2 using a mammalian CFE system. (A) Schematic of the HeLa CFE system used in this work. (B) Illustration of the pT7-CFE-His₆ constructs encoding EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆. (C) Plot of the kinetics of EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ synthesis in HeLa CFE reactions as a read-out of EGFP fluorescence over time. Error bands indicate the standard deviation calculated from three independent experiments. (D) Western blot of CFE-synthesized EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ and EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ and EGFP–SUN1

supplied artificial membranes (Fig. 1A). To do this, we sub-cloned previously characterized cDNA constructs encoding EGFPtagged SUN1^{FL} and SUN2^{FL} (Luxton et al., 2010; Östlund et al., 2009) behind the T7 promoter in the pT7CFE1-CHis vector for mammalian CFE to generate EGFP-SUN1FL-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆, respectively (Fig. 1B). These constructs were then added separately to CFE reactions containing T7 RNA polymerase and incubated at 32°C in a 96-well plate. Protein production was monitored in a plate reader by quantifying bulk EGFP fluorescence for 2 h, which revealed robust synthesis of both EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ above background levels (Fig. 1C). Western blot analysis of these CFE reactions using anti-EGFP antibodies demonstrated single bands at molecular masses consistent with the synthesis of FL of EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ (~131 kDa) and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ (~110 kDa) (Fig. 1D). To determine whether EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ were membraneassociated, we stained ANMs generated from CFE reactions expressing either protein with the lipophilic membrane stain (1,1'dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate; DiI). Confocal images of the stained CFE reactions revealed puncta of EGFP and DiI fluorescence; however, we were unable to observe a clear colocalization between these puncta owing to the speed of their diffusion and the temporal limitation of our microscope set-up

(Fig. S1A). Nevertheless, colocalization between EGFP and DiI was observed in puncta that had settled down onto the coverslip suggesting that EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ are inserted into the ER-derived microsomes present within the HeLa S3 cell extracts used for their CFE (Fig. S1B). Taken together, these results show that our mammalian CFE system can be used for the efficient synthesis of FL SUN1 and SUN2.

Insertion of CFE-generated SUN1 and SUN2 into ANMs

Our next step towards LINC complex reconstitution was to determine whether our CFE-synthesized SUN proteins could be inserted into exogenously provided artificial lipid bilayer membranes. Recently, we demonstrated the successful insertion of the bacterial mechanosensitive channel of large conductance MscL into artificial lipid vesicles following its synthesis in bacterial or mammalian CFE reactions (Ho et al., 2017; Majumder et al., 2017). Here, we followed a similar strategy; however, to facilitate the isolation of functional SUN protein inserted into artificial lipid bilayer membranes, we used supported lipid bilayer with excess membrane reservoir (SUPER) templates. Initially developed for *in vitro* studies of protein-mediated membrane fission (Pucadyil and Schmid, 2008, 2010), SUPER templates allow for the reconstitution of excess lipid bilayer membranes on $5 \,\mu m$



Fig. 2. Reconstitution of CFE-synthesized FL SUN1 and SUN2 in ANMs. (A) Schematic of the process of generating ANMs with inserted CFE-synthesized membrane proteins. (B) Illustrations of the constructs used in this figure. (C) Representative images of silica beads or SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions for the indicated constructs. Scale bar: 5 µm.

diameter silica beads due to the fusion of small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) containing negatively charged lipids under conditions of high-ionic strength (Fig. 2A). The lipid composition used for the SUVs in this study was designed to closely mimic that of the INM (van Meer et al., 2008). Following their assembly, the SUPER templates were added to a CFE reaction containing synthesized SUN proteins.

We observed the successful association of both EGFP–SUN1^{FL}– His₆ and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ with SUPER templates incubated in their CFE reactions (Fig. 2B,C). Analysis of the protein domain architectures of SUN1^{FL} and SUN2^{FL} using the simple modular architecture research tool (SMART) (Schultz et al., 2000) predicted the existence of one and two TMDs, respectively. Importantly, neither construct associated with silica beads in the absence of SUPER template, strongly suggesting the specific insertion of EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ into the lipid bilayer membrane of the SUPER template and the assembly of SUN protein-containing ANMs. Although we limit our use of ANMs in this work to reconstitute INM proteins, they could easily be used to reconstitute ONM proteins, such as nesprins.

The C-termini of SUN1 and SUN2 inserted into ANMs remain solvent-exposed

Since the LINC complex assembly is driven by the direct interaction of the C-terminal SUN domain of SUN proteins with the C-terminal KASH peptide of KASH proteins within the perinuclear space, we next needed to determine the orientation of EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ in reconstituted ANMs. To do this, we developed an imaging-based protease protection assay using the Streptomyces griseus-derived pronase (Xu et al., 1988), which was added to reconstituted ANMs on beads after 8 h of incubation followed by a washing step. As EGFP is fused to the N-termini of our SUN protein constructs (Fig. 3A), the loss of fluorescence following pronase addition would indicate that their N-termini are exposed to solvent. Alternatively, if the EGFP fluorescence were detected in the presence of pronase, we would conclude that the N-termini of our constructs was found within the space between the supported lipid bilayer of the SUPER template and the silica bead (Fig. 3B).

To control for protease activity, we tested the ability of pronase to efficiently degrade His₆-tagged glutathione-S-transferase (GST) EGFP (His6-GST-EGFP), the membrane association of which was promoted by the incorporation of 10% 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-[(N-(5-amino-1-carboxypentyl)iminodiacetic acid)succinyl] (nickel salt) (DOGS-NTA-Ni) into the SUPER templates incubated in the CFE reaction (Fig. 3B). As expected, the addition of pronase reduced the levels of EGFP fluorescence detected on the surface of His6-GST-EGFP-bound ANMs to negligible levels after 5-15 min (Fig. 3C; Fig. S2). In contrast, no significant difference in EGFP fluorescence was detected on ANMs containing either EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ or EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ before or 15 min after the addition of pronase (Fig. 3C,D). To further examine the orientation of EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ in ANMs, we performed the pronase protection assay on ANMs containing these reconstituted SUN proteins, which were labeled with an anti-His monoclonal antibody directly conjugated to the fluorescent dye Alexa Fluor 647 (anti-Penta-His-AF647 antibody) (Fig. S3). Confocal images of these labeled SUN protein-containing ANMs prior to the addition of pronase revealed clear ANM-associated EGFP and AF647 fluorescence, while only EGFP fluorescence was detected on the ANMs after exposure to pronase. Thus, these results suggest that the N-termini of EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His $_{6}$ reside within the space in between the supported lipid bilayer and the silica bead of the ANM.

SUN1 contains three TMDs

Several lines of experimental evidence in the literature support a model where the SUN proteins are single-pass type II membrane proteins with nucleoplasmic N-termini and luminal C-termini (Sosa et al., 2013; Starr and Fridolfsson, 2010). However, earlier studies demonstrated the presence of additional hydrophobic regions (HRs) in the nucleoplasmic domains (NDs) of both SUN1 and SUN2 (Crisp et al., 2006; Hodzic et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2007; Turgay et al., 2010). To begin to assess whether or not additional TMDs exist in SUN1, we generated EGFP- and His₆-tagged constructs encoding the amino acids N- and C-terminal of the previously identified TMD, which were referred to as the ND and luminal domain (LD), respectively (Fig. 4A). Whereas CFE-synthesized EGFP-SUN1^{LD}-His₆ remained soluble, EGFP-SUN1ND-His₆ strongly associated with SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions (Fig. 4B). These results clearly suggest that additional TMDs and/or HRs within the ND of SUN1.

Computational analysis of the solvent accessibilities of the amino acid residues present in the ND of SUN1 using the SABLE server (http://sable.cchmc.org/sable_doc.html) (Adamczak et al., 2004) revealed the presence of two additional HRs in the SUN1ND (Fig. 4C). To test the role of these HRs in promoting the association of SUN1ND with ANMs, we generated a panel of EGFP- and His₆-tagged constructs encoding SUN1ND truncations (Fig. 4C). The single HR-containing EGFP–SUN1¹⁻³⁶⁴–His₆ construct still associated with ANMs, whereas the HR-devoid EGFP–SUN1¹⁻²³²– His₆ construct did not (Fig. 4D). Based on these results, we conclude that the HRs present in SUN1ND mediates its ability to associate with the ANM. While the SUN1ND also contains an enigmatic C2H2 Zn²⁺ finger (Liu et al., 2007; Padmakumar et al., 2005), it is not sufficient for ANM association.

To determine whether the HRs found within SUN1ND are TMDs or peripherally associated with membrane, we again turned to the pronase protection assay described above. Treatment of EGFP-SUN1ND-His₆ or EGFP-SUN1¹⁻³⁶⁴-His₆-associated ANMs with pronase for 15 min did not significantly decrease the levels of ANM-associated EGFP fluorescence (Fig. 4E,F). In addition, we performed pronase protection assays on ANMs containing either EGFP–SUN1ND–His₆ or EGFP–SUN1¹⁻³⁶⁴–His₆, which were also labeled with anti-Penta-His-AF647 antibody (Fig. S3). Similar to what was observed with ANMs containing either EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ or EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆, confocal images of these labeled SUN protein-containing ANMs prior to the addition of pronase revealed clear ANM-associated EGFP and AF647 fluorescence, while only EGFP fluorescence was detected on the ANMs after exposure to pronase. Thus, we propose that one of the two additional HRs in the SUN1ND is a TMD. Based on these results and the possibility of a membrane-associating HR in SUN1ND, a potential model of SUN1 topology in the INM is shown (Fig. 4G), which would preserve the luminal orientation of the C-terminus while maintaining the nucleoplasmic orientation of the N-terminus.

$\ensuremath{\mathsf{SUN2}}$ contains a single TMD and a membrane-associated $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HR}}$

We next asked whether SUN2 also possessed more than one TMD by testing the ability of EGFP- and His₆-tagged constructs encoding the SUN2ND and SUN2^{LD} to associate with membranes (Fig. 5A). Similar to SUN1, EGFP–SUN2^{LD}–His₆ remained soluble, while EGFP–SUN2ND–His₆ strongly associated with SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions (Fig. 5B). Computational analysis



Fig. 3. Orientation of CFE-synthesized FL SUN1 and SUN2 inserted in ANMs. (A) Illustration of a FL SUN protein with EGFP fused to its N-terminus. (B) Schematic of the pronase digestion assay used to determine the topology of ANM-inserted FL SUN proteins synthesized by CFE. If the SUN proteins were oriented with their N-termini protruding away from the ANM into the solution and their C-termini inserted in between the lipid bilayer and the silica bead, EGFP would be protected from pronase-mediated degradation (i). If SUN proteins were oriented in the opposite direction, EGFP would be degraded by pronase (ii). (C) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions expressing the indicated FL SUN protein constructs before (*t*=0 min) or after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase. As a positive control for pronase digestion, purified His₆–GST–EGFP was incubated with SUPER templates containing 10% DOGS-NTA-Ni prior to the addition of pronase. Scale bar: 5 µm. (D) Box plots depicting the relative fluorescence units (*R*FU) of EGFP quantified on ANMs before (*t*=0 min) and after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase for the indicated constructs from three independent experiments (*n*=24–30 beads). The box represents the 25–75th percentiles, and the median is indicated. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum data points. ****P*<0.001. R.F.U., relative fluorescence units.

using the SABLE server revealed the presence of a single HR within the ND of SUN2 (Fig. 5C), which was found to be critical for SUN2ND to associate with membranes, as a construct lacking this HR, EGFP–SUN2¹⁻¹³¹–His₆, remained soluble (Fig. 5D). However, the addition of pronase to EGFP–SUN2ND–His₆-associated SUPER templates reduced EGFP fluorescence to background levels (Fig. 5E,F). Consequently, we propose that the SUN2ND peripherally associates with the nucleoplasmic leaflet of the INM, thereby maintaining the type II membrane protein topology of SUN2 (Fig. 5G).

Reconstitution of KASH-binding FL SUN1 and SUN2 in ANMs

Having demonstrated that we could successfully use CFE to generate supported artificial lipid bilayers containing FL SUN1 and SUN2 oriented such that their C-termini were exposed to solvent, we finally ask whether or not we could use these ANMs to reconstitute LINC complex assembly *in vitro*. Since the core of the LINC complex is formed by the direct interaction between the LD of SUN proteins and the KASH peptide of KASH proteins (Sosa et al., 2013) (Fig. 6A), we tested the ability of EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆- and EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆-containing ANMs to recruit wild-type (WT)



Fig. 4. Topology of SUN1 inserted in ANMs. (A,C) Illustration of the constructs used in this figure. (B,D) Representative images of silica beads or SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions for the indicated constructs. (E) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions expressing the indicated constructs before (*t*=0 min) or after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase. (F) Box plots depicting the RFU of EGFP quantified on ANMs before (*t*=0 min) and after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase for the indicated constructs from three independent experiments (*n*=12 beads per condition). The box represents the 25–75th percentiles, and the median is indicated. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum data points. (G) Working model for the topology of EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ inserted into the INM. Scale bars: 5 μm. R.F.U., relative fluorescence units.

KASH peptides from the KASH protein nesprin-2 with tetramethylrhodamine (TRITC) attached to their N-termini (TRITC–KASH2^{WT}). ANMs containing EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ or EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ displayed an efficient recruitment of TRITC–KASH2^{WT}. Importantly, neither ANM was able to recruit TRITC-labeled KASH peptides lacking the four C-terminal amino acids that are required for the SUN–KASH interaction to occur normally

(Padmakumar et al., 2005) (TRITC-KASH2^{Δ PPPT}) to levels similar to those observed with TRITC–KASH2^{WT} (Fig. 6B,C). However, the recruitment of TRITC–KASH2^{Δ PPPT} to ANMs containing EGFP–SUN1^{FL}–His₆ or EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ was slightly elevated relative to its recruitment to SUPER templates, which were not incubated in CFE reactions. Therefore, TRITC–KASH2^{Δ PPPT} appears to maintain some ability to interact with FL



Fig. 5. Topology of SUN2 inserted in ANMs. (A,C) Illustration of the constructs used in this figure. (B,D) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions for the indicated constructs. (E) Representative images of SUPER templates incubated in CFE reactions expressing the indicated constructs before (*t*=0 min) or after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase. (F) Box plots depicting the RFU of EGFP quantified on ANMs before (*t*=0 min) and after (*t*=15 min) the addition of pronase for the indicated constructs from three independent experiments (*n*=12 beads per condition). The box represents the 25–75th percentiles, and the median is indicated. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum data points. *****P*<0.0001. (G) Working model for the topology of EGFP–SUN2^{FL}–His₆ inserted into the INM. Scale bars: 5 µm. R.F.U., relative fluorescence units.

SUN proteins. Because LINC complex assembly is critically dependent upon the ability of SUN proteins to directly interact with KASH peptides, these results strongly suggest that we have successfully reconstituted the SUN–KASH interaction using CFE and ANMs.

DISCUSSION

Here, we describe ANMs as a bottom-up synthetic biology platform for the reconstitution and mechanistic dissection of LINC complex assembly. We show that we can reconstitute FL SUN1 and SUN2 proteins into ANMs with their N-terminal NDs oriented in between the lipid bilayer and the silica bead while their C-terminal LDs extend away from the ANM and into the solution. We also use ANMs to determine that SUN2 possesses a single transmembrane domain, while SUN1 possesses three. Finally, we demonstrate that ANM-inserted FL SUN proteins are capable of recruiting the KASH peptide of nesprin-2, suggesting that we have successfully reconstituted the SUN–KASH interaction, which is the core of the LINC complex.

The minimal ability of TRITC–KASH2^{Δ PPPT} to interact with FL SUN proteins inserted into ANMs suggests that the PPPT motif is not the only binding interface between the KASH2 peptide and SUN proteins. In fact, KASH2 interacts with SUN2 through two additional binding interfaces (Sosa et al., 2012; Cain et al., 2018). The first interface consists of residues –4 to –14 (numbering relative to the C-terminus) of the KASH peptide, which insert into a groove formed between two SUN2 protomers, with the conserved hydrophobic residues at –7 and –9 being oriented towards the



Fig. 6. Reconstitution of KASH-binding SUN1^{FL} and SUN2^{FL} complexes using CFE and ANMs. (A) Schematic depicting an assembled LINC complex based on previously published structural and computational modeling studies (Jahed et al., 2018; Sosa et al., 2012). CRD, central rod domain. (B) Representative images of ANMs containing the indicated inserted SUN protein as well as SUPER templates incubated together with the indicated KASH2 peptide. Scale bar: 5 µm. (C) Box plots depicting the quantified RFUs from the indicated KASH2 peptides recruited to ANMs containing the inserted indicated SUN protein as well as to SUPER templates, which were not incubated in CFE reactions from three independent experiments (*n*=12 beads per condition). The box represents the 25–75th percentiles, and the median is indicated. The whiskers show the minimum and maximum data points. ****P*<0.001. R.F.U., relative fluorescence units.

groove. The third interface, residues -15 to -23, fits along the surface of the adjacent SUN2 protomer. A conserved cysteine residue at position -23 of the KASH peptide is oriented to form an intermolecular disulfide bond with a conserved cysteine residue in the SUN domain of SUN2. Future experiments will be aimed at testing the role of these other binding interfaces on the residual recruitment of TRITC–KASH2^{ΔPPPT} to reconstituted SUN protein-containing ANMs.

The orientation of SUN proteins reconstituted in ANMs is not random, presumably because the CFE reaction lysates contain ERderived microsomes, which contain the cellular machinery necessary for the co-translational translocation of membrane proteins (Brödel et al., 2015). Since the interior of ER-derived microsomes is equivalent to the ER lumen and the contiguous perinuclear space of the nuclear envelope, the C-terminal LD of SUN proteins will be co-translationally translocated into the interior while the N-terminal ND will remain on the outside of the ER-derived microsomes in the CFE reaction. Thus, when the SUPER templates are introduced into the SUN protein-expressing CFE reactions, the SUN protein-containing ER-derived microsomes fuse with the supported lipid bilayer surrounding the silica bead of the SUPER template. Consequently, the N-terminal ND can now be found in the space between the lipid bilayer and the silica bead, while the C-terminal LD is oriented away from the ANM

and is solvent exposed. However, this case does not hold for EGFP–SUN2ND, which contains a membrane-associated HR, because the protein is likely synthesized outside of the ER-derived microsomes and is only present on the solvent-exposed side of the ANM.

Our finding that SUN1 possesses three TMDs stands in discord with previous reports, which concluded that SUN1 is a type II membrane protein with a single TMD based on *in situ* proteinase K digestions performed in HeLa cells expressing mouse SUN1 tagged with HA at their N-termini and EGFP followed by a Myc epitope at their C-termini, which were treated with low concentrations of digitonin (Crisp et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007; Padmakumar et al., 2005). The effect of proteinase K was subsequently assessed by western blot analysis following Myc immunoprecipitation as well as by immunofluorescence microscopy. Even though two of the SUN1 HRs could not be ruled out as being membrane-associated in these experiments, the authors concluded that SUN1 was a type II membrane protein with a single TMD. Since EGFP-SUN1¹⁻³⁶⁴-His₆ contains this HR and retains EGFP fluorescence in the presence of pronase, our results suggest that the first HR is in fact a TMD. We propose that the second HR peripherally associates with the luminal leaflet of the INM, where it may serve as a docking-site for an as-of-yet unidentified SUN1-binding partner present within the perinuclear space of the NE. The presence of multiple TMDs in

SUN1 and not in SUN2 may be relevant during meiosis, when SUN1-containing LINC complexes associate with the telomeres of meiotic chromosomes to mediate their microtubule-dependent movement during homolog pairing via cytoplasmic dynein (Ding et al., 2007; Horn et al., 2013; Morimoto et al., 2012). The additional TMDs may help buffer the shear and tensile forces applied to telomere-associated SUN1-containing LINC complexes by dyneins, which walk along microtubules oriented parallel to the nuclear envelope (Jahed and Mofrad, 2018). It will be interesting to determine whether the additional TMDs in SUN1 are required for this process.

The physiological relevance of the ability of the ND of SUN2 to peripherally associate with the nucleoplasmic leaflet of the INM remains unknown. One intriguing possibility is that the peripheral membrane association of SUN2 may regulate its homooligomerization, as has been observed with HIV-1 Gag and matrix proteins (Wegener and Campbell, 2008) as well as Ras GTPases (Chavan et al., 2015). Another may be related to the targeting of SUN proteins to the INM following their synthesis in the ER/ONM. In order to gain access to the INM, newly synthesized SUN proteins utilize nuclear targeting sequences to move across NPCs through a poorly defined mechanism (Tapley et al., 2011; Turgay et al., 2010). Recently, the size of the ND of SUN proteins was estimated to be less than 10 nm in diameter (Jahed et al., 2016), which is near the upper size limit for the transport of the NDs of INM proteins through the NPC (Lin et al., 2016; Ungricht and Kutay, 2015). Thus, the additional TMDs and HR identified in SUN1 and SUN2 may serve to reduce the footprint of their NDs so that they can properly target the INM.

It is worth noting that unlike His₆–GST–EGFP, which evenly coats the surface of the SUPER templates, ANM-inserted EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ appear to form clusters within the membranes. These clusters may represent higher-order SUN protein oligomers, such as those previously suggested for SUN1 (Hennen et al., 2018; Jahed et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2008). Alternatively, these SUN protein clusters may highlight the existence of membrane nanodomains within the ANMs (Krapf, 2018), which can be explored by altering the composition of lipids used to generate the SUPER templates. Moreover, the ND SUN constructs appear to be able to form fluorescent patches in ANMs similar to the FL SUN proteins. However, the ability of EGFP-SUN1ND and EGFP-SUN2ND to form these patches is not necessarily mutually exclusive with the previously proposed mechanisms of LD-mediated higher-order SUN protein clustering (Hennen et al., 2018; Jahed et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012). Based on our results, we suggest that both the LDs and NDs of SUN proteins can separately homo-oligomerize within the perinuclear space of the nuclear envelope and nucleoplasm, respectively. It will be interesting to test this hypothesis experimentally in future studies.

Future directions

ANMs will allow for testing proposed mechanisms for regulating LINC complex assembly and/or disassembly in cells, which have been difficult to address experimentally. For example, the modulation of the oligomeric states of the CC-containing regions present within the LDs of SUN proteins was recently proposed as a potential target for the regulation of LINC complex assembly (Nie et al., 2016) because the homo-oligomerization of the SUN2 LD is essential for KASH peptide-binding (Sosa et al., 2012). Since these studies were performed using purified fragments of the LD of SUN2, it is essential that they be repeated using FL SUN proteins

inserted into ANMs. Alterations in the levels of intracellular Ca^{2+} also represent a potential regulator of LINC complex assembly, as the recently identified cation loop present within the SUN domain is required for the SUN–KASH interaction (Sosa et al., 2012). While deciphering the effects of altered intracellular Ca^{2+} levels on the engagement of SUN and KASH proteins within the perinuclear space remains a significant technical challenge, the solvent exposed LDs of FL SUN1 and SUN2 on the surface of ANMs provides a simplified system for testing the effect of manipulating calcium levels on LINC complex assembly.

In addition, ANMs may help quantitatively assess the ability of KASH peptides from different nesprins to interact with SUN proteins. This will be especially interesting for the KASH peptides of KASH5 and lymphoid-restricted membrane protein, which unlike the KASH peptides of nesprins 1-3 lack the intermolecular SUN-KASH disulfide bond-forming conserved cysteine present at position -23 (Horn et al., 2013). Despite being non-essential for the SUN-KASH interaction, we recently showed that the conserved cysteine residues present in SUN and nesprin proteins are required for actin-dependent nuclear anchorage, yet dispensable for microtubule-dependent nuclear movement (Cain et al., 2018). Therefore, KASH peptides containing this conserved cysteine residue may display differential SUN protein-binding kinetics compared to those that do not. Furthermore, ANMs may finally provide the long sought-after experimental system for defining the mechanism of LINC complex regulation by the luminal ATPase torsinA (Saunders et al., 2017; Saunders and Luxton, 2016), owing to the lack of a need for protein purification.

Besides SUN1 and SUN2, mammals express three additional testis-specific SUN proteins (SUN3, SUN4 and SUN5) (Sosa et al., 2013). While immunoprecipitation experiments show that SUN3 can associate with nesprin-1 and nesprin-3 (Göb et al., 2010), the ability of SUN4 and SUN5 to interact with KASH proteins remains unknown. Nevertheless, ANMs provide an ideal experimental platform for future explorations of the ability of these poorly studied SUN proteins to form LINC complexes.

The use of ANMs is by no means limited to SUN and KASH proteins. ANMs can provide a simple and powerful experimental platform for structure/function analyses of any membrane protein, nuclear or otherwise. By bridging the gap between in vitro studies using purified proteins and in vivo imaging-based biochemical studies, ANMs open the door to a previously inaccessible set of experiments designed to provide mechanistic insights into protein behavior, which has the potential to become invaluable in this post-genomic age. Akin to other attempts to use modular approaches for building artificial cells or reconstituting cellular processes (Liu and Fletcher, 2009; Majumder and Liu, 2017), future efforts will be aimed at reconstituting the nuclear lamina and its interaction with the nucleoplasmic domain of SUN proteins within an ANM. Finally, it might be possible to use ANMs as templates for the reconstitution of an artificial nuclear envelope through a 'layer-by-layer' double-membrane assembly approach using poly L-lysine as an electrostatic polymer linker, which has been previously shown to allow the formation of lipid multilayers via vesicle rupture onto existing supported lipid bilayers (Heath et al., 2016). Owing to the ability of the nesprin KASH peptide to directly interact with the SUN protein SUN domain (Östlund et al., 2009; Sosa et al., 2012), ruptured nesprin-containing ER-derived microsomes generated by CFE would presumably coat the ANM containing the reconstituted SUN protein such that the nesprin N-terminus would extend into the solution while its C-terminus would face the ANM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

Restriction enzymes were either purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB, Ipswich, MA) or Promega (Madison, WI). Phusion DNA polymerase, T4 DNA ligase, and PNK were also purchased from NEB. All other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MI) unless otherwise specified. Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System was from Promega. All lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc. (Alabaster, AL) in chloroform stock solutions. Anti-EGFP antibody (ab6556) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA) and used at 1:500 for western blotting. Dil was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA) and used at 1:20. Anti-Penta-His-AF647 antibody was purchased from QIAGEN (cat. no. 35310, Hilden, Germany) and used at 1:60 for immunofluorescence.

Cell culture

HeLa S3 cells obtained from the ATCC (Manassas, VA) were cultured using standard sterile technique in SMEM supplemented with 5% bovine calf serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5% penicillin/streptomycin, 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and 0.5% glutamax (ThermoFischer Scientific).

CFE lysate generation

HeLa S3 cells were cultured in a flat bottom bell jar with rotating flaps using a magnetic stirrer until reaching a density of $\sim 6 \times 10^6$ cells/ml. Harvested cells were washed three times with washing buffer (35 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl and 11 mM glucose) and once with extraction buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 45 mM potassium acetate, 45 mM potassium chloride, 1.8 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM DTT). The washed cells were then resuspended in 1 ml of extraction buffer before being lysed in a BeadBug Homogenizer (Benchmark Scientific, Edison, NJ) using 2 ml tubes filled partially with 0.1 mm titanium beads. To remove debris, nuclei, and most organelles, the resulting lysate was centrifuged three times at 16,000 g, after which 50 µl aliquots of the cleared lysate were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C for future use.

CFE reactions

CFE reactions were performed by mixing 9 μ l of the CFE lysate, 2.25 μ l Mix 1 (27.6 mM magnesium acetate, 168 mM HEPES pH 7.5) and 2.7 μ l GADD34 (final concentration of 310 nM) in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube, which was then incubated at 32°C for 10 min. Thereafter, 2.25 μ l Mix 2 (12.5 mM ATP, 8.36 mM GTP, 8.36 mM CTP, 8.36 mM UTP, 200 mM creatine phosphate, 7.8 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.6 mg/ml creatine kinase, 0.3 mM amino acid mixture, 5 mM spermidine, and 44.4 mM DTT), 5 nM of plasmid DNA and 1.8 μ l T7 RNA polymerase (final concentration of 450 nM) were added to the CFE reaction followed by vortexing. All supplements for the CFE reaction were stored at -80° C for future use.

ANM generation

SUPER templates were generated as previously described (Neumann et al., 2013). Briefly, SUVs composed of 45% 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphatidylcholine (DOPC), 27% 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE), 9% 1,2-dioleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPS), 2.2% L- α -phosphatidic acid (egg, chicken) (sodium salt) (Egg-PA), and 16.8% cholesterol were prepared by extrusion in milli-Q water through a 100 nm extruder (T&T Scientific, Knoxville, TN) and then fused with 5 µm silica beads (Bangs Laboratories, Fischers, IN) in the presence of 1 M NaCl. The resulting SUPER template beads were washed with milli-Q water twice and then resuspended in 30 µl of milli-Q water at a final concentration of ~9.6×10⁶ beads/ml, 2 µl of which were then added to a CFE reaction and incubated for 8 h at 32°C.

DNA constructs

The His₆–GST–EGFP pET28 construct was a kind gift from Michael Jewet (Northwestern University, Evanston, IL). A previously described EGFP-tagged mouse SUN1^{FL} construct (EGFP–SUN1^{FL}) (Luxton et al., 2010; Östlund et al., 2009) was used as a template for the generation of the SUN1 constructs used in this study. Initially, SUN1^{FL} was PCR amplified from EGFP–SUN1^{FL} using the primers SUN1^{FL}-Forward (F) and

SUN1^{FL}-Reverse (R), which contain 5' EcoRI and SalI cut sites, respectively (primer sequences are available in Table S1). The PCR product was purified and digested alongside pT7CFE1-Chis (ThermoFischer Scientific) with EcoRI and SalI. Following gel purification, the digested PCR product and plasmid were ligated together to create SUN1^{FL}-His₆. Unfortunately, an unwanted stop codon was found between SUN1^{FL} and the His₆ tag. We removed this stop codon by PCR using the primers SUN1^{FL/ Δ STOP</sub>-F and SUN1^{FL/ Δ STOP</sub>-R and subsequent}} kinase, ligase, DpnI (KLD) treatment where 2 µl of the PCR product was treated with T4 ligase, T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK) and DpnI in T4 ligase buffer in a 20 µl reaction for 20 min at room temperature. To generate EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆, EGFP was PCR amplified from EGFP-SUN1^{FL} using the primers EGFP-F and EGFP-R, both of which contain 5' EcoRI cut sites. Following digestion with EcoRI, both the PCR product and SUN1^{FL}-His6 were gel purified and ligated together to create EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His6. EGFP-SUN1ND-His₆ was made by PCR amplifying the SUN1ND using the primers EGFP-SUN1ND-F and EGFP-SUN1ND-F, which contain 5' HindIII and KpnI cut sites, respectively. The resulting PCR product was purified and digested alongside pT7CFE1-Chis with HindIII and KpnI, both of which were gel purified, and ligated together to create EGFP-SUN1ND-His₆. EGFP-SUN1^{LD}-His₆ was generated by PCR amplification using the primers EGFP-SUN1^{LD}-His₆-F and EGFP-SUN1^{LD}-His₆-R followed by purification and KLD treatment. EGFP-SUN11-364-His6 and EGFP-SUN1¹⁻²³²-His₆ were generated similarly. While the same F primer, SUN1^{FL/ΔSTOP}-F, was used for both constructs, the R primers used to create EGFP-SUN1¹⁻³⁶⁴-His₆ and EGFP-SUN1¹⁻²³²-His₆ were EGFP-SUN1¹⁻³⁶⁴-His₆-R and EGFP-SUN1¹⁻³⁶⁴-His₆-R, respectively.

A previously described EGFP-tagged mouse SUN2FL construct (EGFP-SUN2^{FL}) (Luxton et al., 2010; Östlund et al., 2009) was used as a template for the generation of the SUN2 constructs used in this study. EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ was created in an analogous manner to EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆. We first made SUN2_{FL}-His₆ using the primers SUN2^{FL}-F and SUN2^{FL}-R, which respectively contain 5' EcoRI and XhoI cut sites, to PCR amplify SUN2^{FL} from EGFP-SUN2^{FL}. The resulting PCR product and pT7CFE-Chis were both digested with EcoRI and XhoI, subsequently gel purified, and then ligated together to create SUN2FL-His₆. Again, an unwanted stop codon was found between SUN2^{FL} and the His₆ tag, which was removed by PCR using the primers SUN2^{FL/ΔSTOP}-F and SUN2^{FL/ΔSTOP}-R and subsequent KLD treatment. As described above, EGFP was PCR amplified from EGFP-SUN1FL using the primers EGFP-F and EGFP-R and EcoRI digested alongside SUN2^{FL-}His₆, both of which were gel purified and ligated together to form EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆. EGFP-SUN2ND-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{LD}-His₆ were made by PCR amplification using the respective primer pairs EGFP-SUN2ND-His₆-F/EGFP-SUN2ND-His₆-R and EGFP-SUN2^{LD}-His₆-F/EGFP-SUN2^{LD}-His₆-R followed by purification and KLD treatment. EGFP-SUN2¹⁻¹³¹-His₆ was made by PCR amplification using the primers SUN2^{FL/ Δ STOP}-F and EGFP-SUN2¹⁻¹³¹-His₆-R, the product of which was purified and subjected to KLD treatment.

Protein purification

GST-tagged T7 RNA polymerase and truncated GADD34 were expressed in BL21 DE3 strain E. coli and purified using standard glutathione-based affinity chromatography as previously described (Mikami et al., 2008, 2010). The His₆-GST-EGFP construct was transformed into a BL21 DE3 E. coli strain. A 11 liquid culture was inoculated with a single colony and induced with IPTG when the bacteria reached a concentration of an optical density at 600 nm of 0.6. Following 4 h of growth at 37°C, cells were harvested at 10,000 g for 15 min. The resulting cell pellet was then resuspended in 40 ml lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 5 mM EDTA) supplemented with a complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Next, cells were lysed by sonication (50% duty cycle for 5 min with a 1-min on/off cycle) and subsequently centrifuged at 92,400 g using a Ti70 rotor (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, IN) for 45 min at 4°C. Afterwards, the supernatant was loaded onto an Acta HPLC system (General Electric, Schenectady, NY) with a 1 ml nickel column for affinity chromatography. The bound protein was then eluted with elution buffer [20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 200 mM NaCl and 300 mM imidazole]. The

concentration of imidazole was reduced 40,000× by consecutive dialysis using an Invitrogen 3 ml dialysis cassette (ThermoFischer Scientific) in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) and 200 mM NaCl solution. The remaining volume was concentrated to 1.5 ml using an Amicon Ultra cellulose 10,000 MWCO filtration unit (Millipore Sigma). The final protein concentration was quantified with a Pierce BCA assay (ThermoFischer Scientific) to be 2 mg/ml. Finally, 10% glycerol was added for storage at -80° C.

Pronase digestion assay

Lyophilized S. griseus pronase (Roche) was dissolved in milli-Q water to make a stock concentration of 6 mg/ml, which was then stored at 4°C for up to 3 days. Following ANM generation, beads were pelleted by centrifugation at 300 g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then carefully removed without disturbing the bead pellet, which was subsequently washed twice in 1 ml PBS (Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺-free, pH 7.5) followed by resuspension in 30 µl PBS. Next, 18 µl of sample was loaded via capillary action into an imaging flow chamber made from a glass coverslip adhered to a glass slide, both of which were purchased from ThermoFischer Scientific, and separated by two strips of double-sided tape. Finally, 9 µl of pronase stock solution was added to the chamber containing the ANMs resulting in a final pronase concentration of 2 mg/ml. For the digestion of His6-GST-EGFP, purified protein was added to SUPER templates containing 60% DOPC, 30% cholesterol, and 10% Ni-NTA lipids at a final concentration of 1 µM, incubated at room temperature for 15 min, washed twice with PBS, and then resuspended in 30 µl PBS. Confocal images were acquired before and 15 min after the addition of pronase.

KASH-binding assay

TRITC–KASH2^{WT} (SEDDYSCQANNFARSFYPMLRYTNGPPPT) and TRITC–KASH2^{ΔPPPT} (SEDDYSCQANNFARSFYPMLRYTNG) were purchased from Genscript Biotech (Piscataway, NJ). The lyophilized peptides were dissolved in DMSO to give a final stock concentration of 10 μ M each. Similar to the pronase digestion assay, the ANMs were washed and resuspended in 30 μ l PBS and then 10 μ l aliquots were made for TRITC–KASH2 peptide-binding tests. To each aliquot of washed ANMs, we added 0.5 μ l of TRITC–KASH^{WT} or KASH2^{ΔPPPT} peptides as well as 0.5 μ l milli-Q water, resulting in a final peptide concentration of 450 nM. To each aliquot of washed SUPER templates, which were resuspended completely before being equally distributed in different tubes, we added 1.0 μ l of TRITC–KASH^{WT} peptide resulting in a final peptide concentration of 1 μ M. The binding reactions were then incubated for 15 min at room temperature prior to imaging.

Microscopy

All images were acquired using an oil immersion 100×/1.4 NA Plan-Apochromat objective with an Olympus IX-81 inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) controlled by MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) equipped with a CSU-X1 spinning disc confocal head (Yokogawa Electric Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), AOTF-controlled solid-state lasers (Andor Technology, Belfast, UK), and an iXON3 EMCCD camera (Andor Technology, Belfast, UK). Images of EGFP fluorescence images were acquired with 488 nm laser excitation at an exposure of 500 ms for all experimental conditions. TRITC fluorescence images were acquired with 561 nm laser excitation at an exposure of 100 ms. A Semrock 25 nm quad-band band-pass filter (FF01-440/521/607/700-25, IDEX Health and Science LLC, Rochester, NY) centered at 440, 521, 607 and 700 nm was used as the emission filter. Each acquired image contained \sim 3–5 beads, SUPER templates or ANMs that had settled down upon a coverslip. For an individual experiment, four images were taken at different locations across a coverslip. Each experiment was repeated three independent times using the same imaging procedure. Samples were always freshly prepared before each experiment and were never reused.

Image analysis

All images were analyzed using FIJI software (https://fiji.sc). We did not exclude any data from our analyses nor did we utilize blinding. Since the

fluorescent rings corresponding to all membrane associating proteins were not homogenous in intensity, 8-10 line-scans were performed through the center of each bead at multiple angles and the maximum intensities of those scans were recorded. These values were averaged over each bead to generate one data point in the box plots (marking the first and third quartile with the box and the median) shown. Averaged background intensity measurements were performed for each image, which were subsequently subtracted from the individual fluorescence intensities of all beads present in that image. Normalization was carried out with respect to the maximum background subtracted intensity of beads in a given channel corresponding to the cell-free expression of a given protein in the absence of pronase. For the plots quantifying KASH peptide binding, normalization was carried out with respect to the maximum intensity of the beads incubated with TRITC-KASH2^{WT} peptides. Since the fluorescence intensities measured for each sample displayed very little variation, the ~16-20 beads, SUPER templates, or ANMs analyzed per condition were sufficient to enable the detection of statistically significant effect of particular experimental manipulation. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed t-test with a significance level of 0.05, as the data shown in this work meets its assumptions for statistical significance. The variance is conserved between the individual groups of data that were compared using this statistical test.

Acknowledgements

We thank Nick Emery for assisting with the purification of His₆-GST-EGFP.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: A.P.L., G.W.G.L.; Methodology: S.M., M.S.D., A.P.L., G.W.G.L.; Validation: S.M.; Formal analysis: S.M.; Investigation: S.M.; Resources: P.T.W., A.P.L., G.W.G.L.; Data curation: S.M.; Writing - original draft: S.M., G.W.G.L.; Writing - review & editing: S.M., P.T.W., A.P.L., G.W.G.L.; Visualization: S.M., G.W.G.L.; Supervision: A.P.L., G.W.G.L.; Project administration: A.P.L., G.W.G.L.; Funding acquisition: A.P.L., G.W.G.L.

Funding

This work was financially supported in part by the Dystonia Medical Research Foundation (G.W.G.L.) and the National Science Foundation (NSF) (MCB-1612917 to A.P.L.). M.S.D. was supported by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at http://jcs.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/jcs.219451.supplemental

References

- Adamczak, R., Porollo, A. and Meller, J. (2004). Accurate prediction of solvent accessibility using neural networks-based regression. *Proteins* 56, 753-767.
- Alam, S. G., Zhang, Q., Prasad, N., Li, Y., Chamala, S., Kuchibhotla, R., Kc, B., Aggarwal, V., Shrestha, S., Jones, A. L. et al. (2016). The mammalian LINC complex regulates genome transcriptional responses to substrate rigidity. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 38063.
- Brödel, A. K., Wüstenhagen, D. A. and Kubick, S. (2015). Cell-free protein synthesis systems derived from cultured mammalian cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1261, 129-140.
- Brosig, M., Ferralli, J., Gelman, L., Chiquet, M. and Chiquet-Ehrismann, R. (2010). Interfering with the connection between the nucleus and the cytoskeleton affects nuclear rotation, mechanotransduction and myogenesis. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **42**, 1717-1728.
- Burke, B. and Stewart, C. L. (2014). Functional architecture of the cell's nucleus in development, aging, and disease. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **109**, 1-52.
- Cain, N. E., Jahed, Z., Schoenhofen, A., Valdez, V. A., Elkin, B., Hao, H., Harris, N. J., Herrera, L. A., Woolums, B. M., Mofrad, M. R. K. et al. (2018). Conserved SUN-KASH interfaces mediate LINC complex-dependent nuclear movement and positioning. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 3086-3097.
- Chang, W., Worman, H. J. and Gundersen, G. G. (2015). Accessorizing and anchoring the LINC complex for multifunctionality. J. Cell Biol. 208, 11-22.
- Chavan, T. S., Muratcioglu, S., Marszalek, R., Jang, H., Keskin, O., Gursoy, A., Nussinov, R. and Gaponenko, V. (2015). Plasma membrane regulates Ras signaling networks. *Cell Logist.* 5, e1136374.
- Crisp, M., Liu, Q., Roux, K., Rattner, J. B., Shanahan, C., Burke, B., Stahl, P. D. and Hodzic, D. (2006). Coupling of the nucleus and cytoplasm: role of the LINC complex. J. Cell Biol. 172, 41-53.

- Ding, X., Xu, R., Yu, J., Xu, T., Zhuang, Y. and Han, M. (2007). SUN1 is required for telomere attachment to nuclear envelope and gametogenesis in mice. *Dev. Cell* 12, 863-872.
- Göb, E., Schmitt, J., Benavente, R. and Alsheimer, M. (2010). Mammalian sperm head formation involves different polarization of two novel LINC complexes. *PLoS ONE* 5, e12072.
- Guilluy, C., Osborne, L. D., Van Landeghem, L., Sharek, L., Superfine, R., Garcia-Mata, R. and Burridge, K. (2014). Isolated nuclei adapt to force and reveal a mechanotransduction pathway in the nucleus. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 16, 376-381.
- Heath, G. R., Li, M., Polignano, I. L., Richens, J. L., Catucci, G., O'Shea, P., Sadeghi, S. J., Gilardi, G., Butt, J. N. and Jeuken, L. J. C. (2016). Layerby-Layer assembly of supported lipid bilayer poly-L-lysine multilayers. *Biomacromolecules* 17, 324-335.
- Hennen, J., Hur, K.-H., Saunders, C. A., Luxton, G. W. G. and Mueller, J. D. (2017). Quantitative brightness analysis of protein oligomerization in the nuclear envelope. *Biophys. J.* **113**, 138-147.
- Hennen, J., Saunders, C. A., Mueller, J. D. and Luxton, G. W. G. (2018). Fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy reveals differential SUN protein oligomerization in living cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 29, 1003-1011.
- Ho, K. K. Y., Murray, V. L. and Liu, A. P. (2015). Engineering artificial cells by combining HeLa-based cell-free expression and ultrathin double emulsion template. *Methods Cell Biol.* **128**, 303-318.
- Ho, K. K. Y., Lee, J. W., Durand, G., Majumder, S. and Liu, A. P. (2017). Protein aggregation with poly(vinyl) alcohol surfactant reduces double emulsionencapsulated mammalian cell-free expression. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0174689.
- Hodzic, D. M., Yeater, D. B., Bengtsson, L., Otto, H. and Stahl, P. D. (2004). Sun2 is a novel mammalian inner nuclear membrane protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 25805-25812.
- Horn, H. F. (2014). LINC complex proteins in development and disease. Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. 109, 287-321.
- Horn, H. F., Kim, D. I., Wright, G. D., Wong, E. S. M., Stewart, C. L., Burke, B. and Roux, K. J. (2013). A mammalian KASH domain protein coupling meiotic chromosomes to the cytoskeleton. J. Cell Biol. 202, 1023-1039.
- Jahed, Z. and Mofrad, M. R. K. (2018). Mechanical LINCs of the nuclear envelope: where SUN meets KASH. Extreme Mech. Lett. 20, 99-103.
- Jahed, Z., Soheilypour, M., Peyro, M. and Mofrad, M. R. K. (2016). The LINC and NPC relationship it's complicated. *J. Cell Sci.* **129**, 3219-3229.
- Jahed, Z., Fadavi, D., Vu, U. T., Asgari, E., Luxton, G. W. G. and Mofrad, M. R. K. (2018). Molecular insights into the mechanisms of SUN1 oligomerization in the nuclear envelope. *Biophys. J.* **114**, 1190-1203.
- Janin, A., Bauer, D., Ratti, F., Millat, G. and Méjat, A. (2017). Nuclear envelopathies: a complex LINC between nuclear envelope and pathology. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 12, 147.
- Kai, L., Orbán, E., Henrich, E., Proverbio, D., Dötsch, V. and Bernhard, F. (2015). Co-translational stabilization of insoluble proteins in cell-free expression systems. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1258**, 125-143.
- Kite, G. L. (1913). The relative permeability of the surface and interior portions of the cytoplasm of animal and plant cells: a preliminary paper. *Biol. Bull.* 25, 1.
- Knockenhauer, K. E. and Schwartz, T. U. (2016). The nuclear pore complex as a flexible and dynamic gate. *Cell* **164**, 1162-1171.
- Krapf, D. (2018). Compartmentalization of the plasma membrane. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 53, 15-21.
- Lei, K., Zhang, X., Ding, X., Guo, X., Chen, M., Zhu, B., Xu, T., Zhuang, Y., Xu, R. and Han, M. (2009). SUN1 and SUN2 play critical but partially redundant roles in anchoring nuclei in skeletal muscle cells in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 10207-10212.
- Lei, K., Zhu, X., Xu, R., Shao, C., Xu, T., Zhuang, Y. and Han, M. (2012). Inner nuclear envelope proteins SUN1 and SUN2 play a prominent role in the DNA damage response. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 1609-1615.
- Lin, D. H., Stuwe, T., Schilbach, S., Rundlet, E. J., Perriches, T., Mobbs, G., Fan, Y., Thierbach, K., Huber, F. M., Collins, L. N. et al. (2016). Architecture of the symmetric core of the nuclear pore. *Science* 352, aaf1015.
- Liu, A. P. and Fletcher, D. A. (2009). Biology under construction: in vitro reconstitution of cellular function. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**, 644-650.
- Liu, Q., Pante, N., Misteli, T., Elsagga, M., Crisp, M., Hodzic, D., Burke, B. and Roux, K. J. (2007). Functional association of Sun1 with nuclear pore complexes. *J. Cell Biol.* **178**, 785-798.
- Lombardi, M. L., Jaalouk, D. E., Shanahan, C. M., Burke, B., Roux, K. J. and Lammerding, J. (2011). The interaction between nesprins and sun proteins at the nuclear envelope is critical for force transmission between the nucleus and cytoskeleton. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 26743-26753.
- Lu, W., Gotzmann, J., Sironi, L., Jaeger, V.-M., Schneider, M., Lüke, Y., Uhlén, M., Szigyarto, C. A.-K., Brachner, A., Ellenberg, J. et al. (2008). Sun1 forms immobile macromolecular assemblies at the nuclear envelope. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1783, 2415-2426.
- Luxton, G. W. G. and Starr, D. A. (2014). KASHing up with the nucleus: novel functional roles of KASH proteins at the cytoplasmic surface of the nucleus. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 28, 69-75.

- Luxton, G. W. G., Gomes, E. R., Folker, E. S., Vintinner, E. and Gundersen, G. G. (2010). Linear arrays of nuclear envelope proteins harness retrograde actin flow for nuclear movement. *Science* **329**, 956-959.
- Majumder, S. and Liu, A. P. (2017). Bottom-up synthetic biology: modular design for making artificial platelets. *Phys. Biol.* 15, 013001.
- Majumder, S., Garamella, J., Wang, Y.-L., DeNies, M., Noireaux, V. and Liu, A. P. (2017). Cell-sized mechanosensitive and biosensing compartment programmed with DNA. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)* 53, 7349-7352.
- Malone, C. J., Fixsen, W. D., Horvitz, H. R. and Han, M. (1999). UNC-84 localizes to the nuclear envelope and is required for nuclear migration and anchoring during C. elegans development. *Development* **126**, 3171-3181.
- Meinke, P. and Schirmer, E. C. (2015). LINC'ing form and function at the nuclear envelope. FEBS Lett. 589, 2514-2521.
- Mikami, S., Kobayashi, T., Masutani, M., Yokoyama, S. and Imataka, H. (2008). A human cell-derived in vitro coupled transcription/translation system optimized for production of recombinant proteins. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 62, 190-198.
- Mikami, S., Kobayashi, T., Machida, K., Masutani, M., Yokoyama, S. and Imataka, H. (2010). N-terminally truncated GADD34 proteins are convenient translation enhancers in a human cell-derived in vitro protein synthesis system. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **32**, 897-902.
- Morimoto, A., Shibuya, H., Zhu, X., Kim, J., Ishiguro, K., Han, M. and Watanabe, Y. (2012). A conserved KASH domain protein associates with telomeres, SUN1, and dynactin during mammalian meiosis. J. Cell Biol. 198, 165-172.
- Murray, C. J. and Baliga, R. (2013). Cell-free translation of peptides and proteins: from high throughput screening to clinical production. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 17, 420-426.
- Neumann, S., Pucadyil, T. J. and Schmid, S. L. (2013). Analyzing membrane remodeling and fission using supported bilayers with excess membrane reservoir. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 213-222.
- Nie, S., Ke, H., Gao, F., Ren, J., Wang, M., Huo, L., Gong, W. and Feng, W. (2016). Coiled-coil domains of SUN proteins as intrinsic dynamic regulators. *Structure* 24, 80-91.
- Östlund, C., Folker, E. S., Choi, J. C., Gomes, E. R., Gundersen, G. G. and Worman, H. J. (2009). Dynamics and molecular interactions of linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex proteins. J. Cell Sci. 122, 4099-4108.
- Otsuka, S. and Ellenberg, J. (2018). Mechanisms of nuclear pore complex assembly - two different ways of building one molecular machine. *FEBS Lett.* 592, 475-488.
- Padmakumar, V. C., Libotte, T., Lu, W., Zaim, H., Abraham, S., Noegel, A. A., Gotzmann, J., Foisner, R. and Karakesisoglou, I. (2005). The inner nuclear membrane protein Sun1 mediates the anchorage of Nesprin-2 to the nuclear envelope. J. Cell Sci. 118, 3419-3430.
- Pucadyil, T. J. and Schmid, S. L. (2008). Real-time visualization of dynamincatalyzed membrane fission and vesicle release. *Cell* **135**, 1263-1275.
- Pucadyil, T. J. and Schmid, S. L. (2010). Supported bilayers with excess membrane reservoir: a template for reconstituting membrane budding and fission. *Biophys. J.* 99, 517-525.
- Quast, R. B., Kortt, O., Henkel, J., Dondapati, S. K., Wüstenhagen, D. A., Stech, M. and Kubick, S. (2015). Automated production of functional membrane proteins using eukaryotic cell-free translation systems. J. Biotechnol. 203, 45-53.
- Quast, R. B., Ballion, B., Stech, M., Sonnabend, A., Varga, B. R., Wüstenhagen, D. A., Kele, P., Schiller, S. M. and Kubick, S. (2016). Cell-free synthesis of functional human epidermal growth factor receptor: investigation of ligandindependent dimerization in Sf21 microsomal membranes using non-canonical amino acids. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 34048.
- Rosenblum, G. and Cooperman, B. S. (2014). Engine out of the chassis: cell-free protein synthesis and its uses. FEBS Lett. 588, 261-268.
- Saunders, C. A. and Luxton, G. W. G. (2016). LINCing defective nuclearcytoskeletal coupling and DYT1 dystonia. *Cell Mol. Bioeng.* 9, 207-216.
- Saunders, C. A., Harris, N. J., Willey, P. T., Woolums, B. M., Wang, Y., McQuown, A. J., Schoenhofen, A., Worman, H. J., Dauer, W. T., Gundersen, G. G. et al. (2017). TorsinA controls TAN line assembly and the retrograde flow of dorsal perinuclear actin cables during rearward nuclear movement. J. Cell Biol. 216, 657-674.
- Schultz, J., Copley, R. R., Doerks, T., Ponting, C. P. and Bork, P. (2000). SMART: a web-based tool for the study of genetically mobile domains. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28, 231-234.
- Schwarz, D., Dötsch, V. and Bernhard, F. (2008). Production of membrane proteins using cell-free expression systems. *Proteomics* 8, 3933-3946.
- Slaughter, B. D. and Li, R. (2010). Toward quantitative "in vivo biochemistry" with fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 21, 4306-4311.
- Sosa, B. A., Rothballer, A., Kutay, U. and Schwartz, T. U. (2012). LINC complexes form by binding of three KASH peptides to domain interfaces of trimeric SUN proteins. *Cell* **149**, 1035-1047.
- Sosa, B. A., Kutay, U. and Schwartz, T. U. (2013). Structural insights into LINC complexes. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 23, 285-291.
- Starr, D. A. and Fridolfsson, H. N. (2010). Interactions between nuclei and the cytoskeleton are mediated by SUN-KASH nuclear-envelope bridges. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 26, 421-444.

Science

le U

6

ournal

- Starr, D. A. and Han, M. (2002). Role of ANC-1 in tethering nuclei to the actin cytoskeleton. Science 298, 406-409.
- Stewart, C. L. and Burke, B. (2014). The missing LINC: a mammalian KASH-domain protein coupling meiotic chromosomes to the cytoskeleton. *Nucleus* **5**, 3-10.
- Stewart-Hutchinson, P.J., Hale, C.M., Wirz, D. and Hodzic, D. (2008). Structural requirements for the assembly of LINC complexes and their function in cellular mechanical stiffness. *Exp. Cell Res.* **314**, 1892-1905.
- Tajik, A., Zhang, Y., Wei, F., Sun, J., Jia, Q., Zhou, W., Singh, R., Khanna, N., Belmont, A. S. and Wang, N. (2016). Transcription upregulation via forceinduced direct stretching of chromatin. *Nat. Mater.* 15, 1287-1296.
- Talamas, J. A. and Hetzer, M. W. (2011). POM121 and Sun1 play a role in early steps of interphase NPC assembly. J. Cell Biol. **194**, 27-37.
- Tapley, E. C. and Starr, D. A. (2013). Connecting the nucleus to the cytoskeleton by SUN-KASH bridges across the nuclear envelope. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 25, 57-62.
- Tapley, E. C., Ly, N. and Starr, D. A. (2011). Multiple mechanisms actively target the SUN protein UNC-84 to the inner nuclear membrane. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 22, 1739-1752.
- Turgay, Y., Ungricht, R., Rothballer, A., Kiss, A., Csucs, G., Horvath, P. and Kutay, U. (2010). A classical NLS and the SUN domain contribute to the targeting of SUN2 to the inner nuclear membrane. *EMBO J.* 29, 2262-2275.
- Ungricht, R. and Kutay, U. (2015). Establishment of NE asymmetry—targeting of membrane proteins to the inner nuclear membrane. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 34, 135-141.

- van Meer, G., Voelker, D. R. and Feigenson, G. W. (2008). Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9, 112-124.
- Wang, W., Shi, Z., Jiao, S., Chen, C., Wang, H., Liu, G., Wang, Q., Zhao, Y., Greene, M. I. and Zhou, Z. (2012). Structural insights into SUN-KASH complexes across the nuclear envelope. *Cell Res.* 22, 1440-1452.
- Watson, M. L. (1959). Further observations on the nuclear envelope of the animal cell. J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol. 6, 147-156.
- Wegener, K. L. and Campbell, I. D. (2008). Transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains in integrin activation and protein-protein interactions (review). *Mol. Membr. Biol.* 25, 376-387.
- Xu, S., Cramer, W. A., Peterson, A. A., Hermodson, M. and Montecucco, C. (1988). Dynamic properties of membrane proteins: reversible insertion into membrane vesicles of a colicin E1 channel-forming peptide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 85, 7531-7535.
- Zemella, A., Grossmann, S., Sachse, R., Sonnabend, A., Schaefer, M. and Kubick, S. (2017). Qualifying a eukaryotic cell-free system for fluorescence based GPCR analyses. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 3740.
- Zhou, Z., Du, X., Cai, Z., Song, X., Zhang, H., Mizuno, T., Suzuki, E., Yee, M. R., Berezov, A., Murali, R. et al. (2012). Structure of Sad1-UNC84 homology (SUN) domain defines features of molecular bridge in nuclear envelope. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 5317-5326.







Fig. S2. Rapid reduction of EGFP fluorescence on SUPER templates by pronase. A) Representative images of purified His₆-GST-EGFP incubated with SUPER templates containing 10% DOGS-NTA-Ni before (t = 0 min) or after (t = 5 min) the addition of pronase. Scale bar: 5 μ m. **B)** Box plots depicting the relative fluorescence units (RFU) of EGFP quantified on ANMs before (t = 0 min) and after (t = 5 min) the addition of pronase for His₆-GST-EGFP from 3 independent experiments (n = 16 beads per condition). The box represents the 25–75th percentiles, and the median is indicated. The whiskers show the minimum and the maximum data points. **** *p* < 0.0001. R.F.U., relative fluorescence units.



Fig. S3. Topology of Penta-His-AF647-labeled EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ inserted into ANMs determined by pronase protection assay. Representative images of Penta-His-AF647-labeled EGFP-SUN1^{FL}-His₆ and EGFP-SUN2^{FL}-His₆ inserted into ANMs taken before and 30 minutes after the addition of pronase. Scale bar: 5 μm.

Primer Name	DNA Sequence	5' RE Site
SUN1 ^{+∟} -F	TTTT <u>GAATTC</u> ATGGACTTTTCTCGGCTG	EcoRI
SUN1 ^{FL} .R	AAA <u>GTCGAC</u> CTACTGGATGGGCTCTCC	Sall
SUN1 ^{FL/ΔSTOP} -F	GTCGACGCGGCCGCA	-
SUN1 ^{FL/ΔSTOP} -R	CTGGATGGGCTCTCCGTGGAC	-
SUN2 ^{FL} -F	TTTT <u>GAATTC</u> ATGTCGAGACGAAGCCAG	EcoRI
SUN2 ^{FL} -R	AAAA <u>CTCGAG</u> CTAGTGGGCAGGCTCTC	Xhol
SUN2 ^{FL/ΔSTOP} -F	CTCGAGCACCACCACC	-
SUN2 ^{FL-ΔSTOP} -R	GTGGGCAGGCTCTCC	-
EGFP-F	TTTT <u>GAATTC</u> ATGGTGAGCAAGGGC	EcoRI
EGFP-R	TTTT <u>GAATTC</u> CTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATG	EcoRI
EGFP-SUN1 ND -F	TTT <u>AAGCTT</u> CCATGGACTTTTCTCGGCTG	HindIII
EGFP-SUN1 ND -R	AA <u>GGTACC</u> CTAGAAGGTTCCCGAGGCTG	Kpnl
EGFP-SUN1 ^{LD} -His ₆ -F	AGGGTGGACGATTCCA	-
EGFP-SUN1 ^{LD} -His ₆ -R	GAATTCCTTGTACAGCTCGTC	-
EGFP-SUN1 ¹⁻³⁶⁴ -His ₆ -R	AGCTCTAGTCCTTCGCAGTGCTTG	-
EGFP-SUN1 ¹⁻²³² -His ₆ -R	GGACACTCCGCGTGGTTTGAG	-
EGFP-SUN2 ND -His ₆ -F	TAGCTCGAGCACCACC	-
EGFP-SUN2 ND -His ₆ -R	CTCGCTGAACCTGAAGAGT	-
EGFP-SUN2 ^{LD} -His ₆ -F	AGCAAAAGAGAGCAGGA	-
EGFP-SUN2 ^{LD} -His ₆ -R	GAATTCCTTGTACAGCTCG	-
EGFP-SUN2 ¹⁻¹³¹ -His ₆ -R	GCTGCTCTCAGAACCACCT	-

Table S1. Primers used to generate the constructs used in this paper. The F or R in the primer name refers to forward or reverse, respectively. Restriction enzyme (RE) cut sites are underlined. The sequence encoding the linker is bolded.