

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Quantifying the Capacity for Assisted Migration to Achieve Conservation and Forestry Goals Under Climate Change

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8hh9c9m5>

Journal

Journal of Biogeography, 51(12)

ISSN

0305-0270

Authors

Zou, Yibiao

Backus, Gregory A

Safford, Hugh D

et al.

Publication Date

2024-12-01

DOI

10.1111/jbi.14999

Peer reviewed

1 **Quantifying the capacity for assisted migration to achieve**
2 **conservation and forestry goals under climate change**
3 **Modeling goals of tree assisted migration**

4
5
6 **Yibiao Zou^{1,2}, Gregory A. Backus^{1,3}, Hugh D. Safford^{1,4}, Sarah Sawyer⁵, Marissa L.**
7 **Baskett¹**

8 ¹Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

9 ²Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zürich, Zürich, ZH 8006, Switzerland.

10 ³Department of Evolution, Ecology and Organismal Biology, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521,
11 USA.

12 ⁴Vibrant Planet, Incline Village, NV 89451, USA.

13 ⁵USDA Forest Service, Washington Office, 1323 Club Dr, Vallejo, CA 94592, USA.

14 Correspondence: Yibiao Zou, phone: +41 762187417, e-mail: yibzou@student.ethz.ch, ORCID: 0000-0002-4741-
15 0934.

16
17 **Acknowledgement**

18 We thank Dr. Alvaro G. Gutiérrez for sharing the physiological parameters of 20 tree species
19 among the 23 studies species with us. This project was funded by the National Science Foundation
20 grant #DEB-1655475 to MLB. All authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. We appreciated
21 the feedback from two anonymous reviewers in helping to improve this manuscript. No permits
22 were required for fieldwork.

23
24
25 **Abstract**

26 **Aim**

27 Many tree species may be threatened with declines in range and biomass, or even extinction, if
28 they cannot disperse or adapt quickly enough to keep pace with climate change. One potential, and
29 potentially risky, strategy to mitigate this threat is assisted migration, the intentional movement of
30 species to facilitate population range shifts to more climatically suitable locations under climate
31 change. The ability for assisted migration to minimize risk and maximize conservation and forestry
32 outcomes depends on a multi-faceted decision process for determining, what, where, and how
33 much to move. We provide an assessment on how the benefits and risks of assisted migration could
34 affect the decision-making process.

35
36 **Location**

37 Mountainous coastal western United States.

38

39 Taxon

40 Trees

41

42 Methods

43 We used a dynamic vegetation model parameterized with 23 tree species.

44

45 Results

46 We found that most of the modeled species are likely to experience a substantial decline in biomass,
47 with many potentially facing regional extinction by 2100 under the high-emission SSP5-85
48 climate-change scenario. Though simulations show assisted migration had little effect on the
49 forestry goal of total biomass across all species, its effects on the conservation goal of promoting
50 individual species' persistence were far more substantial. Among eight assisted migration
51 strategies (differing in the life cycle stage of movement and target destination selection criteria),
52 the approach that conserved the highest biomass for individual species involved relocating target
53 seedlings to areas that recently experienced fire. Although this strategy significantly reduced
54 extinction risk for six at-risk species compared to no action, it also slightly reduced biomass of
55 four species, due to increasing competition. Species with relatively weak tolerance to drought, fire,
56 or high temperature were the most likely candidate groups for assisted migration.

57

58 Main Conclusions

59 Our simulations indicate that assisted migration (AM) can aid conservation by reducing extinction
60 risks for species vulnerable to climate change, but it has limited impact on forestry-specific goals,
61 affecting overall biomass minimally. This model framework could be applied to other forest
62 ecosystems to evaluate the efficacy of assisted migration globally.

63

64 **Keywords:** Assisted migration, dynamic vegetation model, forestry, climate change, range shift,
65 biomass loss, management

66

67 **Introduction**

68 Climate change poses a significant threat to species unable to adapt, acclimatize, or disperse
69 effectively (Davis Margaret & Shaw Ruth, 2001; Holt, 1990), particularly affecting foundation
70 species like forest trees (Coops & Waring, 2011; Kreyling et al., 2011; Stanke et al., 2021; Tejedor
71 Garavito et al., 2015). This inability can lead to major ecosystem disruptions, as already observed
72 in forests facing severe droughts, altered fire patterns, and increased climate variability (M. I.
73 Williams & Dumroese, 2013). Predictions suggest many tree species' habitats will shift or diminish
74 due to climate change, especially impacting species with poor dispersal abilities, low

75 acclimatization capacity, or limited genetic diversity, potentially leading to range reduction,
 76 biomass loss, or extinction (Nathan et al., 2011; M. I. Williams & Dumroese, 2013; Zhu et al.,
 77 2012).

78 Assisted migration (AM), or managed relocation, is a proposed strategy to preserve at-risk
 79 tree species and ecosystem services by intentionally moving species to more suitable locations
 80 (McLachlan et al., 2007; M. I. Williams & Dumroese, 2013). While AM could enhance survival
 81 chances of vulnerable species, it is not without risks and has sparked debate over the potential for
 82 relocation failures and negative impacts on recipient ecosystems (Champagne et al., 2021; Chen
 83 et al., 2021; Hewitt et al., 2011; Kreyling et al., 2011; Minter & Collins, 2010; Ricciardi &
 84 Simberloff, 2009; Richardson et al., 2009; Simler et al., 2019).

85 Assisted migration in forest systems can serve either forestry or conservation goals, or
 86 potentially both (Pedlar et al., 2012). Among many other objectives, forestry goals often focus on
 87 forest productivity, and conservation goals typically focus on species persistence including targets
 88 for range and biomass, with diversity potentially connecting to both goals (M. I. Williams &
 89 Dumroese, 2013). Though at some level forestry might prioritize the conservation of particular
 90 species and conservation may aim to preserve biomass, in this paper we consider “forestry goals”
 91 as synonymous with total biomass conservation and “conservation goals” as synonymous with
 92 individual species biomass conservation. AM's effectiveness at species or community levels
 93 depends on how relocated populations compete with non-target species and contribute to overall
 94 system productivity (M. I. Williams & Dumroese, 2013). Achieving conservation and forestry
 95 goals through AM, while reducing associated risks, involves decisions about which species to
 96 move, when, where, and how. Suitable candidates for AM include species with poor dispersal
 97 ability and low tolerance to heat, drought, or fire, given current and expected climate trends (Clark
 98 et al., 2016; M. I. Williams & Dumroese, 2013). Current practices often involve moving seedlings
 99 grown in greenhouses or direct seed relocation, which might be more cost-effective in some cases
 100 but is also prone to higher early-stage mortality (Castro et al., 2023; Clark et al., 2016; Haase et
 101 al., 2019; Mohan et al., 2021; M. I. Williams & Dumroese, 2013).

102 Selecting target (i.e., destination) locations for AM requires considering proximity to
 103 historical ranges, canopy cover, recent disturbances like fire, and balancing AM efforts to enhance
 104 success while minimizing competition with local species (Pedlar et al., 2012; Welch et al., 2016).
 105 Targeting the closest climatically suitable locations (hereafter, the “minimum-distance” strategy)
 106 to the introduced species’ historical range could reduce the risk of introducing novel competition
 107 to species already extant in the recipient community (Pedlar et al., 2012). Another strategy could
 108 be to select locations with low canopy cover to reduce light and water competition (“least-
 109 competition”) and increase establishment success for AM individuals. Considering disturbance,
 110 moving target species to sites which recently experienced fire (“post-fire”) could take advantage
 111 of fire’s reduction of canopy and understory cover, as well as the postfire abundance of bare
 112 mineral soil (Welch et al., 2016). Finally, moving species to sites with the least expected fire

113 frequency (“least-fire”) could reduce the potential for fire disturbance to affect establishment
 114 success. A dynamic modeling framework that incorporates dispersal and competition dynamics
 115 can quantify these aspects to inform AM implementation (Iverson & McKenzie, 2013).

116 This study used a dynamic vegetation model modified from ForClim v.3.0 to evaluate forestry
 117 and conservation outcomes of AM, focusing on decision-making regarding species selection,
 118 timing, location, and intensity of relocation. We parameterized the model with 23 major tree
 119 species from the western montane regions of the US (Safford et al., 2021), identifying target
 120 species for relocation based on projected population declines under future climate change. We
 121 chose our focal species because these species represent an array of life history types with enough
 122 data to parametrize our model. Note that we make this choice based on current forest composition
 123 rather than current conservation status (i.e. we don’t only focus on species with low population
 124 sizes), for two reasons. First, we wanted to understand the potential effects of AM of at-risk species
 125 on the remainder of the community, so we aimed to include a representative community
 126 composition of both at-risk and not-at-risk species. Second, theory indicates that life history
 127 susceptibility can serve as a better indicator than current abundance for vulnerability to changing
 128 future conditions, and data indicate that even abundant foundation species can experience rapid,
 129 unexpected declines under extreme climatic events linked to climate change (Hartmann et al., 2022;
 130 Hughes et al., 2017; McPherson et al., 2021; Tilman et al., 1994). We considered four types of AM
 131 destination site-selection: minimum-distance (MD), least-competition (LC), post-fire (PF), and
 132 least-fire (LF) destinations; and two AM types by relocated life stage: seed AM (DA) and seedling
 133 AM (GA). We also explored AM intensity regarding frequency, duration, target locations, and
 134 individuals moved. Simulating various climate change and AM management strategies, we
 135 measured forestry goals in terms of total biomass and conservation goals in terms of individual
 136 species biomass, persistence, and both with biomass-weighted gamma diversity. Our core
 137 questions are then (1) for each of (a) forestry and (b) conservation goals, and under a range of
 138 climate scenarios, how does the effect of engaging in AM, compared to no action, depend on AM
 139 strategy for timing and location, (2) for conservation goals, which types of species most benefit
 140 from AM, and (3) how does increasing different aspects of AM intensity affect the outcomes for
 141 both target and non-target species?

142
 143 **Table 1: acronym summary for management strategies.**

Acronym	Full name
AM	Assisted migration
LCDA	Least competition seed assisted migration
LCGA	Least competition seedling assisted migration
LFDA	Least fire seed assisted migration
LFGA	Least fire seedling assisted migration
MDDA	Minimum distance seed assisted migration

MDGA	Minimum distance seedling assisted migration
PFDA	Post fire seed assisted migration
PFGA	Post fire seedling assisted migration
CT	Control group

144

145

146 **Materials and methods**

147 **I. Model overview**

148 Our model simulates forest dynamics of individual trees, climate change, and management
 149 actions in R version 3.6.2. The model has four sub-models that change over each one-year time
 150 step: (A) the demographic vegetation sub-model in which we simulate plant growth, mortality,
 151 dispersal, and recruitment based on the PLANT sub-model from ForClim v.3.0 (Gutierrez et al.,
 152 2016), (2) the assisted migration sub-model in which we simulate several approaches to assisted
 153 migration, (3) the climate sub-model in which we simulate changing climatic conditions across the
 154 spatial and temporal scale of the model based on estimates from the WorldClim dataset (Fick &
 155 Hijmans, 2017), and (D) the fire sub-model in which we simulate fire occurrence and fire-induced
 156 tree mortality based on the fire sub-model of ForClim (Figure 2). After introducing our study
 157 system and spatial structure, the subsequent sections explain these sub-models.

158

159 **II. Study system and spatial structure**

160 We simulated forest dynamics in a large, mostly forested and mountainous region of the
 161 Pacific western United States, ranging from Mediterranean scrub to temperate forests. Trees in this
 162 region face increasing risks from climate change, including more frequent and intense droughts
 163 and wildfires (Mann & Gleick, 2015; Miller et al., 2009), further exacerbated by human activities
 164 (Chen et al 2021). The elevational range of this region is 4 - 4421 m, with a mean of 1313 m. This
 165 region is home to several tree species vulnerable to range contraction or extinction due to these
 166 environmental changes (Loarie et al., 2008; Rogers et al., 2011). Among these AM candidate
 167 species are economically important species such as ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) and
 168 currently rare species like foxtail pine (*Pinus balfouriana*) (Richardson et al., 2009). Because many
 169 of these species take decades to reach maturity (Bonner et al., 2008), urgent conservation may be
 170 necessary to prevent extinction and loss of ecosystem services (Stephens et al., 2020).

171 We focused on a region made up of two connected line segments (Figure 1, Appendix S1:
 172 Figure S1.A), 1 degree longitude in width, following the Sierra Nevada (36°11' N, 119°3' W to
 173 41°23' N, 122°47' W) and the Cascade Range (41°23' N, 122°47' W to 48°36' N, 121°39' W). We
 174 divided this area into 157320 1-km² grid cells (120 wide and 1311 long), each consisting of 200
 175 patches (833 m² each) in which we simulated forest dynamics representing the mean dynamics of
 176 the entire cell (Gutiérrez et al., 2016). While the spatial location of grid cells is explicit, the spatial
 177 structure of patches is implicit. In other words, the 200 patches within each grid cell have no precise

178 coordinates, and each of these internal patches share the same climatic conditions based on the
 179 coordinates of the grid cell. Though there is no direct interaction between patches, seeds can
 180 disperse between grid cells. In the model simulation, we simplified the spatial structure of the study
 181 region into a 1311×120 matrix, where the distance between the center of any two neighbor grid
 182 cells is 30-arcseconds (i.e., ~ 1 km).

183 At this 1km resolution scale, we used the LEMMA spatial dataset from the year 2017
 184 (generated from FIA dataset and Landsat imagery) for initial species occurrence and basal area
 185 estimation (Ohmann & Gregory, 2002), and the WorldClim version-2.1 dataset for elevation data
 186 and climate data (Fick & Hijmans, 2017). We modeled 23 typical tree species from the Sierra
 187 Nevada and Cascades with accessible physiological parameters (**Table 2**).

188



189

190 [double column] Figure 1: Map of our study region. We focused on a region made up of two connected line
 191 segments, 1 degree longitude in width, following the Sierra Nevada (in red color, $36^{\circ}11'$ N, $119^{\circ}3'$ W to $41^{\circ}23'$
 192 N, $122^{\circ}47'$ W) and the Cascade Range (in dark green color, $41^{\circ}23'$ N, $122^{\circ}47'$ W to $48^{\circ}36'$ N, $121^{\circ}39'$ W).

193

194

195 **Table 2: Species-specific parameter set of 23 tree species used in this study.** Acronyms of columns of traits:
 196 minimum growing degree-day requirement ($kDDMin_s$, $^{\circ}C$), drought tolerance ($kDrtol_s$), fire tolerance class (kFi_s ;
 197 as class number increases, fire tolerance decreases), minimum and maximum winter temperature tolerances
 198 ($kWiTN_s$ and $kWiTX_s$, $^{\circ}C$). See Appendix S1: Section S2 Parameterization for parameter value sources,
 199 justification, and calculations.


MODELING GOALS OF TREE ASSISTED MIGRATION

<i>Scientific name</i>	<i>Common name</i>	<i>Species_i</i> <i>d</i>	<i>kDDMi</i> <i>n_s</i>	<i>kDrTol</i> <i>s</i>	<i>kFi</i> <i>s</i>	<i>kWiTN</i> <i>s</i>	<i>kWiT</i> <i>X_s</i>
<i>Abies amabilis</i>	Pacific silver fir	1	608	0.20	1	-4.8	1.4
<i>Abies grandis</i>	Grand fir	2	595	0.25	2	-5.1	1.4
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	subalpine fir	3	580	0.19	1	-5.1	0.6
<i>Abies procera</i>	Noble fir	4	626	0.21	2	-4.0	1.3
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	Big-leaf maple	5	1024	0.47	2	-2.0	5.6
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	Madrone	6	1266	0.50	2	0.5	6.2
<i>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</i>	Alaska cedar	7	577	0.13	1	-5.4	0.9
<i>Picea engelmannii</i>	Engelmann spruce	8	607	0.23	1	-5.0	0.6
<i>Pinus contorta latifolia</i>	Lodgepole pine	9	625	0.33	2	-4.5	1.2
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western white pine	10	619	0.32	2	-4.6	1.6
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Ponderosa pine	11	828	0.46	4	-3.4	5.3
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii menziesii</i>	Pacific coast Douglas-fir	12	727	0.43	3	-3.8	4.4
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	Oregon white oak	13	1241	0.50	4	-1.5	6.0
<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	Western hemlock	14	665	0.23	1	-4.6	1.8
<i>Tsuga mertensiana</i>	Mountain hemlock	15	562	0.24	1	-5.1	0.7
<i>Abies concolor</i>	White fir	16	785	0.43	2	-2.9	4.8
<i>Abies magnifica</i>	Red fir	17	687	0.37	3	-3.5	2.0
<i>Calocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar	18	962	0.46	3	-2.2	5.4
<i>Pinus jeffreyi</i>	Jeffrey pine	19	788	0.44	3	-3.0	4.6
<i>Pinus lambertiana</i>	Sugar pine	20	935	0.46	3	-2.6	5.4
<i>Pinus albicaulis</i>	Whitebark pine	21	467	0.23	2	-6.1	-0.2
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>	California Black oak	22	1204	0.52	3	-1.1	6.4
<i>Pinus balfouriana</i>	Foxtail Pine	23	391	0.32	4	-6.3	-1.4

200

201

MODELING GOALS OF TREE ASSISTED MIGRATION



202
203

[double column] Figure 2: Model diagram. The model comprises four interconnected components:

204 demographic vegetation, assisted migration, climate, and fire. The demographic vegetation sub-model (A)
 205 includes four processes: (A.a-b) reproduction & dispersal, (A.c) growth, and (A.d) mortality, with each process
 206 influenced by local conditions like climate and competition. Reproduction involves a local seed bank and
 207 stochastic species addition, while dispersal is wind-driven. Growth depends on limiting factors of temperature
 208 (gDDGF), light (gALGF), and soil moisture (gSMGF), affecting tree size. Mortality arises from baseline rates,
 209 stress, and fire, with fire tolerance varying by species. Assisted migration (B) is triggered when target species
 210 biomass falls below a threshold, considering factors like fire risk and competition for destination selection.
 211 This sub-model, along with climate data, affects fire probability and mortality in the fire sub-model (D).
 212 Overall, these components are connected by blue arrows, indicating their interdependencies and influence on
 213 each other.

214
 215

216 **III. Demographic vegetation sub-model**

217 In the demographic sub-model (Figure 2.A), we adapted the PLANT sub-model from ForClim
 218 v.3.0 , altering only the recruitment function to include a dynamic seed bank influenced by wind-
 219 driven seed dispersal (Gutierrez et al., 2016; Rasche et al., 2012). Therefore, seeds can disperse
 220 between different grid cells. Growth and mortality functions remained as in the original ForClim
 221 model. We focused on long-distance, wind-driven seed dispersal, excluding animal-based dispersal
 222 due to limited data. ForClim's effectiveness in predicting forest species composition and
 223 productivity under various climates is well-established, as evidenced in previous studies, including
 224 those in the western United States (Harald K. M. Bugmann & Solomon, 2000; Gutiérrez et al.,
 225 2016).

226 The ForClim PLANT sub-model simulates forest demographics in independent patches,
 227 accounting for tree recruitment, growth, and mortality. Recruitment depends on local and dispersed
 228 seeds, with seedling establishment influenced by species-specific environmental responses (see
 229 S1.1.1 Tree reproduction). The model simulates diameter at breast height (*DBH*), tree height, and
 230 age for each tree. With this information, we can then compute basal area, woody biomass and
 231 foliage biomass based on *DBH* and height, using species-specific allometric equations. We
 232 assumed species with basal area higher than a threshold T_p in a patch have an effective seed bank
 233 in that patch to support their presence, where we use the threshold value that provided the highest
 234 accuracy of presence-absence predictions under the ForClim framework (1 m²/ha; Gutiérrez et al.
 235 2016). Here we use basal area as a surrogate for biomass (linear approximation, see Appendix S1:
 236 Equation S29 & S30) as well as for tree age to reach reproductive maturity, which is a common
 237 forestry practice. In addition, we assume that the seed bank persists for the duration of the
 238 simulation, for computational simplicity and in line with existing forest dynamic models (Botkin,
 239 Janak, & Wallis, 1972; Harald K. M. Bugmann & Solomon, 2000; Rasche et al., 2012); we test the
 240 effect of limited seed bank duration in sample model runs (see Discussion). In the growth function,
 241 each individual living tree in the model has a chance to increase in diameter and biomass (see

242 S1.1.2 Tree growth). The optimum tree growth rate mainly depends on net carbon assimilation,
 243 following the carbon budget approach by Moore (1989), while light availability across the crown,
 244 growing degree-days (GDD) and drought stress limit the realized growth rate. In the mortality
 245 function, each individual living tree can experience mortality based on three mechanisms: baseline
 246 mortality, stress-induced mortality, and fire-induced mortality (see S1.1.3 Tree mortality).
 247 Individuals experience mortality as a binomial draw weighted by the mortality probability.
 248
 249

250 **IV. Assisted migration sub-model**

251 In the Assisted Migration sub-model of the ForClim framework (Figure 2.B), we focus on the
 252 strategic relocation of tree species to new areas in response to declining biomass levels. Following
 253 the model developed by (Backus & Baskett, 2021), AM interventions occur when a species'
 254 biomass falls below a predefined threshold (see Table 3). The model operates on a cycle of action
 255 and rest: relocation actions are taken for a specified number of years (I_a), followed by a cooldown
 256 period (I_c) of no relocations. This allows the relocated species time to establish in the new
 257 environment. The lengths of the action and cooldown periods are consistent across species and are
 258 detailed in Table 3. While the thresholds for implementing assisted migration are deterministic,
 259 when AM then occurs then inevitably varies with the variability inherent to the ecosystem (e.g,
 260 with fire occurrences and changes in temperature). This approach is analogous to other threshold-
 261 based (i.e., based on “trigger reference points” or “decision triggers”) approaches to conservation
 262 and natural resource management (e.g., harvest control rules dependent on overfishing limits in
 263 fisheries, endangered species delisting criteria in recovery plans, or forestry replanting guidelines
 264 associated with minimum acceptable stocking rates [live trees per acre] (Cook et al., 2016; Irwin
 265 & Conroy, 2013; Stoel, 1978)).
 266

267 **Table 3: non-species-specific parameters used in the model, symbols used to represent them, values used,**
 268 **and units of these parameters.** See Appendix S1: Section S1.1 Demographic vegetation sub-model for more
 269 detailed description and sources of these parameters.

Parameter	Symbol	Values	Units
Maximum number of newly established seedlings of one species in one patch	N_{max}	5	individual s
Minimum basal area threshold for tree species presence	T_p	1	m ² /ha
Mean wind speed across our study region	u	5.689	m/s
Standard deviation of the vertical velocity of the air	σ	0.25	(m/s) ²
Turbulence coefficient used to calculate dispersal kernel	κ	0.4	-
AM threshold (percentage of initial species-specific biomass)	T_{AM}	30	%
Number of simulated patches used to represent one grid cell	N_{pa}	200	-
Area of each patch	A_{pa}	833	m ²
Years per relocation	I_a	2, 4, ..., 10	years
Minimum years between relocation	I_c	4, 8, ..., 20	years

MODELING GOALS OF TREE ASSISTED MIGRATION

Number of target grid cells for AM	N_{gc}	3, 6, ..., 15	grid cells
Number of seedlings of the target species moved to one patch during seedling AM	N_{sd}	80, 160, ..., 400	individual

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

To estimate suitable target sites for the target species under future climate conditions, we selected grid cells in which the projected bioclimatic conditions in 2100 were within the species' climatic tolerance range. During each year within the relocation period, we set the number of target grid cells for AM as N_{gc} . We simulated AM of two life stages in this sub-model, namely, seed and seedling. We simulated seed assisted migration (DA) by modifying the seed bank (relative recruitment) of the AM target species in the target grid cell, which gives the target species a relative recruitment advantage, namely a 30 times higher recruitment rate than other present species (even if the target species was not already present in the seed bank). For seedling assisted migration (GA), we based our simulation on the common practice in forestry of cultivating seedlings in a greenhouse for several years (Haase et al., 2019) before moving them to a target location. In our model, we simulated this by directly moving a certain number ($N_{sd} \times 200$) of seedlings of the target species with $DBH = 1.27 \text{ cm}$ (average size of cultivated seedlings among different tree species (Sáenz-Romero et al., 2021)) into a target grid cell, omitting the greenhouse cultivation processes. The number of seedlings moved to one patch was N_{sd} , while 200 is the number of patches within one grid cell. During an AM period, this sub-model simulates seed or seedling AM on all N_{gc} target grid cells.

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

We model four strategies for choosing relocation destinations. Minimum-distance destinations (MD) focus on proximity, selecting grid cells closest to the species' current range. Least-competition destinations (LC) prioritize areas with the lowest existing tree biomass, reducing competition for resources. Post-fire destinations (PF) are areas recently affected by fire within the species' potential range. Least-fire destinations (LF) are the opposite, selecting grid cells with the least recent fire activity, which also generally had the lowest fire probability among the four destination types (Appendix S1: Figure S7). We evaluate each strategy in combination with the two life stages (DA for seeds, GA for seedlings), resulting in eight distinct AM strategies. Additionally, a ninth control strategy (CT) represents a scenario of no action. Our goal is to determine the most effective AM strategy under varying environmental conditions and species-specific factors. The full methodology and detailed analysis of the results are in Appendix S1: Section S1.2.

300

V. Climate sub-model

301

302

303

304

305

We used the Environment sub-model of ForClim V3.0 for our Climate sub-model (Rasche et al., 2012). This sub-model calculates basic bioclimatic data needed by the Demographic Vegetation sub-model, namely minimum winter temperature, growing degree days, and drought index, which affect species recruitment, growth, and mortality, as well as fire probability. We calculated the local climate conditions in each cell using raw bioclimatic data from WorldClim. For each cell in our

306 model, we calculated the long-term minimum winter temperature as the minimum among the mean
307 monthly temperatures of December, January and February over the years 1970-2000. We used the
308 mean monthly temperature to calculate the growing degree days, and we used the mean monthly
309 temperature and precipitation to calculate the drought index following the methods of Bugmann
310 and Cramer (1998) (H. Bugmann & Cramer, 1998). For detailed description of this sub-model, see
311 previous research using ForClim (H. K. M. Bugmann, 1996; Harald K. M. Bugmann & Solomon,
312 2000) and Appendix S1: Section S1.3 Climate sub-model.

313

314 VI. Fire sub-model

315 In the fire sub-model (Figure 2.D), we simulate tree mortality due to fires in each time step,
316 influenced by the Climate sub-model's environmental inputs. This sub-model consists of two parts:
317 fire regime dynamics and fire-induced mortality. We base fire regime dynamics on the PC2FM
318 model, which calculates annual fire probability within each grid cell using temperature,
319 precipitation, and elevation data (Guyette et al., 2017). When a fire occurs, tree mortality
320 probability depends on the species' fire tolerance class, fire severity, and tree diameter at breast
321 height (*DBH*). There are two types of fire severities: light (ground fires) and severe (stand-
322 replacing crown fires), with an assumed occurrence ratio of 2:1, based on regional empirical data
323 (Safford et al., 2021). Fires affect every tree in a grid cell. We validated the fire sub-model by
324 comparing modelled fire area with the actual fire area from 2001 to 2020 (Appendix S1: Figure
325 S8). Individual trees living in each grid cell then had an additional probability of mortality within
326 the mortality function of the Demographic sub-model (Busing & Solomon, 2006). To determine
327 each tree's chance of mortality, we divided 23 tree species into four fire tolerance classes, based
328 on the categories given by Busing & Solomon (2006) and fire tolerance data from both Busing &
329 Solomon (2006) and the USDA Fire Effects Information System (Cooke et al., 2015). kFi_s is the
330 fire tolerance class of species s , with class 1 being the least tolerant and most likely to be killed by
331 fire. All fire tolerance classes except class 1 exhibit a decrease in mortality probability with
332 increasing tree size (i.e. *DBH*). For details see Busing & Solomon (2006) and Appendix S1:
333 Section S1.4.

334

335 VII. Model analysis

336 For our model validation, we simulated forest dynamics over a century under the current
337 climate (1970-2000 average) as detailed in Appendix S1: Section S3. In short, we validated the
338 model by testing its performance on reproducing current species distribution ranges and basal area
339 distributions. The model provided relatively accurate predictions on both metrics: the Cohen's
340 kappa values (used to measure the agreement between observed and modelled species occurrence,
341 with values > 0.4 indicating a fair degree of agreement; values < 0.2 indicate performance no better
342 than random) for species-range analysis were greater than 0.2 for 17 out of 23 species, which
343 significantly outperform a locally parameterized dynamic vegetation model (kappa > 0.2 for 9 out

344 of 18 species), and are fairly comparable with a regionally parameterized dynamic vegetation
345 model ($\kappa > 0.2$ for 15 out of 18 species) (Cohen, 1960; Gutiérrez et al., 2016). In addition, R^2
346 for comparison between , predicted basal area vs. observation were higher than 0.3 for 20 out of
347 23 species and higher than 0.5 for 15 species.

348 We then initialized future projections incorporating initial seed banks and fire dynamics, and
349 ran simulations under the eight Assisted Migration strategies plus the no-action control scenario,
350 beginning from the year 2000 across 100 years of climate change. We used 1km resolution climate
351 data from WorldClim data (Fick & Hijmans, 2017) of CanESM5-SSP245 (optimistic, with
352 moderate greenhouse gas mitigation) and CanESM5-SSP585 (business-as-usual emissions)
353 scenarios. We chose CanESM because it provides the projection closest to the average outcomes
354 among ten different climate projection models selected for their historical performance in the
355 region (Pierce et al., 2018; Swart et al., 2019), as we sought to use a more typical than extreme
356 expectation for future climate outcomes. To create an annual time series of climate data across 100
357 years, we performed a linear interpolation based on climate data of five key years (2000, 2040,
358 2060, 2080, 2100) for each emissions scenario.

359 In our simulation, we begin by establishing an initial seed bank and seeds, utilizing
360 distributions from the LEMMA database. Each simulation time step involves the growth and
361 mortality of stems, as dictated by the demographic vegetation model. Concurrently, we simulate
362 fire events to account for additional mortality due to fire. Following this, new seeds disperse, which
363 leads to updates in the seed bank compositions. If any species' biomass falls below a critical
364 threshold, we relocate it according to the assisted migration strategy being modeled. Finally, the
365 climate changes according to linear interpolations of WorldClim data, and we start the next time
366 step.

367 To answer our first question regarding the effect of AM on each of forestry and conservation
368 goals for different AM strategies for location and timing, we conducted 100 replicates for each of
369 the nine management scenarios under each emissions scenario. Outputs measured included total
370 biomass (forestry-focused), species-specific biomass (conservation-focused), and gamma
371 diversity weighted by biomass (relevant to both forestry and conservation). AM's effects on total
372 biomass and gamma diversity were similar, differing from its impact on species-specific biomass.
373 Therefore, we used total biomass and gamma diversity for forestry outcomes and focused on
374 species-specific biomass for conservation outcomes.

375 We then analyzed the best-performing strategy, PFGA, under the CanESM5-SSP585 scenario,
376 to explore the traits that influenced which species most benefited from AM and the effect of AM
377 intensity. We chose this scenario for its sufficient climate change impacts on species-level biomass,
378 allowing for a meaningful analysis of AM strategies. To answer our second question regarding
379 which types of species most benefit from AM, we performed a principle components analysis of
380 the average number of relocations per species as it depended on climatic-tolerance parameters
381 (minimum growing degree-day requirement, drought tolerance, fire tolerance, and


382 minimum/maximum winter temperature tolerances). To answer our third question regarding the
 383 effects of different aspects of AM intensity, we varied AM intensity in terms of the frequency and
 384 duration of AM actions (values of I_c and I_a) and the number of seedlings (N_{sd}) and target sites (N_{gd})
 385 implemented.

386
 387 **Results**

388 **Forestry-oriented outcomes**

389 On average, the total biomass decreased by ~70% over the 100 years of simulated climate
 390 change in the higher emission SSP585 scenario, regardless of management approach (Figure 3).
 391 By contrast, gamma diversity remained almost the same as the initial state in the SSP585 scenario.
 392 Under the SSP245 scenario, both total biomass and gamma diversity in 2100 remained almost the
 393 same as the conditions in 2000. Different AM strategies had a negligible effect on total biomass
 394 and gamma diversity. This lack of difference occurs because, while AM can affect individual
 395 species' outcomes for a few particularly vulnerable species as detailed below, without AM
 396 affecting those species, growth in other species with less vulnerability to climate change
 397 counterbalances the loss of vulnerable species to lead to little change in community-level biomass-
 398 based metrics. Therefore, for our forestry-oriented metrics (question 1(a)), AM had little effect on
 399 the outcome compared to no action, regardless of AM strategy (Figure 3).

400
 401



402
 403 [double column] **Figure 3: Forestry-oriented outcomes using different AM strategies under two climate**
 404 **change scenarios: SSP245 (moderate climate change; circles) and SSP585 (business-as-usual climate**
 405 **change; triangles).** (A) Relative change in biomass from initial biomass (the biomass of all trees after 100 years
 406 relative to the initial biomass), and (B) gamma diversity by biomass ratio over the same period. Results show

407 average values with standard deviation. AM strategies are grouped by destination criteria (LC: least-competition;
408 LF: least-fire; MD: minimum-distance; PF: post-fire) and method (seeds: DA, seedlings: GA), including a
409 control group (no intervention: CT)..

410

411


412 **Conservation-oriented outcomes**

413 Under the SSP245 climate scenario, about half of the simulated species decreased in biomass,
414 while the other half increased (Figure 4). Species like *Quercus kelloggii* (species 22), *Acer*
415 *macrophyllum* (5), *Arbutus menziesii* (6), and *Calocedrus decurrens* (18), with their relatively high
416 tolerance to drought, heat, and fire (Figure 4), showed biomass gains. A few species, such as
417 *Chamaecyparis nootkatensis* (7), experienced declines below the level that triggered AM actions
418 (Figure 4). In contrast, in the SSP585 scenario, 14 species experienced significant (>70%) biomass
419 reductions (Figure 4). Seven of these, including various *Abies* and *Picea* species, faced a high risk
420 of local extinction, with over 90% biomass decrease. They frequently fell below the AM action
421 threshold.

422


423

424



425
 426 [double column] Figure 4: Conservation-oriented outcomes of biomass for the control group (no AM) in
 427 simulations under SSP245 and SSP585 climate change scenarios. The y-axis indicates relative change in
 428 species-specific biomass from initial biomass (the biomass of all trees after 100 years relative to the initial
 429 biomass). Blue bars indicate simulations with dispersal (mean \pm sd), red bars without. Red dashed lines mark
 430 the AM threshold. Species IDs on the x-axis are ordered by mean biomass ratio under SSP585 emission scenario.
 431
 432
 433

MODELING GOALS OF TREE ASSISTED MIGRATION



434

435 [double column] Figure 5: Conservation-oriented outcomes using different AM strategies under two
 436 climate change scenarios: SSP245 (left column) and SSP585 (right column). Relative effect of AM on
 437 biomass (species-specific biomass in 2100 under each AM strategy divided by species-specific biomass without
 438 AM) are shown on a log10 scale; horizontal dashed lines indicate equal biomass with and without AM. If the
 439 biomass ratio > 1 for an AM strategy, it means it performs better than no AM actions. Dot/bar colors represent
 440 the frequency of AM for each species, and shapes in panels A-D indicate AM strategies. Panels A-B and C-D
 441 display relative effects for AM at seed and seedling stages, respectively, averaged over 100 repetitions. Panels
 442 E-F feature boxplots of relative effects under the optimal post-fire seedling AM strategy (PFGA). Species
 443 identities for x-axis numbers are in Table 2. Effects of AM are small except for species 7, 3 and 4 under SSP585
 444 scenario.

445

446

447 Throughout the simulations, 15 out of 23 species underwent relocation at least once (Figure
448 5). However, only a few, such as *C. nootkatensis* (7), *Abies lasiocarpa* (3), and *A. procera* (4),
449 consistently benefited from AM, with *C. nootkatensis* (7) showing the most significant
450 improvement, especially for SSP585 (Figure 5.B,D,F). On the other hand, *Abies concolor* (16)
451 displayed lower biomass under some AM strategies compared to no action.


452 For SSP585, post-fire seedling AM and post-fire seed AM were generally the most effective
453 strategies in increasing species-specific biomass (Figure 5.B&D).. For SSP245, the least-
454 competition seed or seedling strategies were the most effective strategies (Figure 5A&C), but their
455 effect on target species' biomass was much smaller than the effect of AM on biomass for SSP585.
456 Overall, for our conservation-oriented metrics (Question 1(b)), the effectiveness of AM varied by
457 climate scenario, AM strategy, and species, with only a subset of species showing substantial
458 benefits under more extreme climate change (Figure 5), highlighting the role of targeted and
459 species-specific AM strategies under different climate change scenarios.

460

461 **Types of species that benefit from AM**

462 For the most effective AM strategy (post-fire seedling AM), our principal component analysis
463 showed that species that were often relocated in our model had similarly low values on dimension
464 1, which accounted for 79.7% of variance (Figure 5). Low values in dimension 1 implied species
465 were drought-intolerant, fire-intolerant, and cold-adapted. Accordingly, *C. nootkatensis* (7), one of
466 the least drought/fire-tolerant species, benefited the most from AM as noted above (Figure 5).
467 Conversely, species like *A. macrophyllum* (5), with high drought and heat tolerance, thrived under
468 future climate conditions (Figure 4). In sum, our analysis found that species which are poorly
469 adapted to drought, fire, and warmer conditions were more likely to face local extinction without
470 AM intervention and to benefit from AM intervention compared to other species we modeled
471 (Question 2; Figure 6).

472




473
 474 **[single column] Figure 6: Principal component analysis of species-specific climatic-tolerance parameters.**
 475 Dot colors represent the average number of relocations per species under the post-fire seedling AM strategy
 476 (PFGA) over 100 repetitions, with numbers indicating tree species. More relocations suggest higher local
 477 extinction risk. Parameters include minimum growing degree-day requirement (kDDMin), drought tolerance
 478 (kDrTol), fire tolerance (kFi), and minimum/maximum winter temperature tolerances (kWITN, kWITX). Tree
 479 species identities for each number are detailed in Table 2.

480
 481 **AM intensity**

482 In the analysis of different AM durations, neither years per relocation nor minimum years
 483 between relocation had a prominent effect on the total biomass ratio and gamma diversity by
 484 biomass (see Appendix S1: Figure S8). More consecutive years per relocation and fewer years
 485 between relocations increased the biomass ratio of *C. nootkatensis* (7, Figure 7.A), and *A. procera*
 486 (4, Appendix S1: Figure S5). AM strategies with more seedlings and more destinations increased
 487 the biomass ratio of *C. nootkatensis* (7, Figure 7.D), *A. amabilis* (1), *A. lasiocarpa* (3) and *A.*
 488 *procera* (4) (Appendix S1: Figure S6). These species are all AM target species with weak heat,
 489 fire, and/or drought tolerance. In comparison, species with more moderate-to-high tolerances (e.g.,
 490 *A. concolor*, Figure 7.B&E and *P. balfouriana*, Figure 7.C&F) displayed no consistent trends in
 491 response to increased AM intensity, neither benefitting significantly nor experiencing adverse
 492 effects. Therefore, multiple approaches to increasing AM intensity benefited at-risk species, with
 493 minimal effects on non-target species (Question 3; Figure 7).

494
 495



496

497 [double column] Figure 7: The effect of AM intensity on conservation-oriented outcomes of PFGA under
 498 the CanESM5-SSP585 scenario. Panels A-C depict color maps illustrating species-specific biomass ratios
 499 (biomass in 2100 using post-fire seeding (PFGA) divided by biomass with no AM). These maps focus on the
 500 effects of years per relocation and minimum years between relocations on three species with varying tolerances:
 501 *Chamaecyparis nootkatensis* (low tolerance to heat, fire, drought), *Abies concolor* (moderate tolerance), and
 502 *Pinus balfouriana* (moderate drought, high fire tolerance). Panels D-F assess how the number of relocated
 503 seedlings and target sites influence species-specific biomass ratios for these species..

504

505

506 **Discussion**

507 Our simulations indicate that assisted migration can aid conservation by reducing extinction
 508 risks for species vulnerable to climate change, but it has limited impact on forestry-specific goals,
 509 affecting overall biomass minimally. The effect of AM arises from the significant impacts of
 510 climate change on montane forests in the western United States predicted by our simulations.
 511 Under the SSP585 scenario, we observed a dramatic ~70% decline in total tree biomass.
 512 Conversely, under the SSP245 scenario, biomass and gamma diversity showed little change.
 513 Previous studies support these findings. Loarie et al. (2008) predicted major range reductions for
 514 numerous endemic plant species in California and southern Oregon due to climate change. Lenihan
 515 et al. (2008) and Rogers et al. (2011) forecasted potential net carbon losses in California forests by
 516 2100, depending on the climate scenario. In contrast, Zhu et al. (2018) suggested a possible ~40%

517 increase in forest biomass in Sierra Nevada and Cascades by 2080 under the RCP8.5 scenario, due
518 to warming and forest recovery from agricultural land. However, this study did not account for
519 disturbances like fires, insect outbreaks, and drought. Recent data shows a 6.7% loss in California
520 forest cover from 1985 to 2021, mainly due to wildfires (Wang et al., 2022). Additionally, Steel et
521 al. (2022) reported that 30% of conifer forests in the southern Sierra Nevada transitioned to non-
522 forest vegetation between 2011-2020, primarily due to drought-related tree mortality and wildfires.
523 While future forest biomass trends carry some uncertainty (Lenihan et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2018),
524 the majority of projections and trend analyses suggest a significant decline in biomass (Rogers et
525 al., 2011; Wang et al., 2022; J. N. Williams et al., 2023), underscoring the potential value of
526 management strategies like assisted migration.

527 Our simulations showed that under a business-as-usual climate scenario, 15 out of 23 species
528 would face significant biomass reduction. This aligns with previous studies that have documented
529 population declines in similar species due to climate-induced disturbances (Coops & Waring, 2011;
530 Loarie et al., 2008; Stanke et al., 2021). For instance, Stanke et al. (2021) noted declines in *A.*
531 *lasiocarpa*, *P. engelmannii*, and *P. contorta latifolia*, while Coops & Waring (2011) predicted a
532 40%-50% range reduction for *P. contorta latifolia* and *P. ponderosa* by 2080 in the Northwestern
533 US under similar climate scenarios, assuming no dispersal.

534 AM in our simulations effectively reduced extinction risks for certain species by enhancing
535 their biomass. *C. nootkatensis* (7), for example, showed significant biomass increases (60% and
536 120% higher than no action scenarios under optimistic and business-as-usual climates,
537 respectively). AM also supported biomass retention in *A. lasiocarpa* (3) and *A. procera* (4) under
538 the business-as-usual scenario. However, the overall ecosystem impact of AM was limited,
539 resulting in only slight increases in total biomass and gamma diversity.

540 Interestingly, AM led to biomass declines in four species, possibly due to increased
541 competition from other relocated species. The most significant conservation benefits of AM were
542 observed for species intolerant to fire, drought, and heat, particularly when targeting post-fire
543 locations and implementing AM with greater frequency, number of relocated individuals, and
544 number of target locations.

545 546 *Candidate species for AM*

547 Our model identified species with low tolerance to drought, fire, and high temperature as the
548 most vulnerable species to climate change, making them prime candidates for AM to be relocated
549 to more climatically suitable habitat. This aligns with predictions from previous Species
550 Distribution Models (SDMs) that these species may face severe declines in biomass and range
551 under a business-as-usual climate scenario (Coops & Waring, 2011; Stanke et al., 2021). Our
552 dynamic model, accounting for competition and dispersal, suggests that natural dispersal is too
553 slow to keep pace with rapid climate change for these at-risk species (Figure S10), reinforcing
554 findings from earlier studies.

555 In our model, these at-risk species with low tolerance to fire, drought, and/or heat then
556 benefited most from AM. To identify potential AM candidates in other ecosystems, one could
557 employ a phylogenetic approach to pinpoint clades sensitive to these stresses (Niinemets &
558 Valladares, 2006). Alternatively, a trait-based analysis could estimate climatic tolerance for each
559 tree species. For instance, thin bark is often a marker of low fire resistance (Kidd & Varner, 2019;
560 Stevens et al., 2020), so species with such traits could be considered for AM in systems with fewer
561 available data. Note that these suggestions are speculative and require further research to validate
562 their effectiveness before implementation in forest management practices.

563

564 *Optimal approaches for AM*

565 In our model, the most effective AM strategies were post-fire seedling AM and post-fire seed
566 AM (Figure 5). These methods likely do well due to reduced establishment failure and reduced
567 competition for transplanted trees. In our model, the effectiveness of seed dispersal (e.g., via
568 drones) post-fire was comparable to moving greenhouse-cultivated seedlings, suggesting an
569 alternative to the conventional approach. However, current seed-based propagation has seen
570 limited success in forestry, and drone-based seeding lacks definitive field-based analysis of success
571 (Castro et al., 2023).

572 Seedling survival and growth are typically hindered by surrounding vegetation (due to light
573 competition) and are enhanced by canopy openness (Berkowitz et al., 1995; Duclos et al., 2013;
574 Gerhardt, 1996). Our post-fire AM strategies target recently burned areas with lower biomass and
575 higher canopy openness (Figure S7), providing relocated seeds or seedlings with more light and
576 other resources. Although our model does not account for water competition, soil water availability
577 is also often higher in recently burned forests, where water withdrawals by living vegetation have
578 been reduced (e.g., (Cardenas & Kanarek, 2014)). At the same time, water stress in exposed, highly
579 insolated burned sites can be very high in the dry season, negatively impacting seedling survival
580 (Shive et al., 2018); our model does not account for topographically driven soil water availability.

581 Least-competition AM strategies also performed well, targeting areas with minimal biomass
582 and hence reduced competition. However, these strategies often relocate species to higher
583 elevations with less suitable temperatures (Figure S7), slightly diminishing their effectiveness
584 compared to post-fire AM.

585

586 *Accounting for the risks of AM*

587 Our model highlights two risks of assisted migration: establishment failure for target species
588 and increased competition with non-target species. For instance, *A. grandis* (2) showed no biomass
589 change during simulations, suggesting establishment failure. This is likely due to harsh 2100
590 climates under the SSP585 scenario, with suitable habitats shifting outside our study region, as
591 also projected in other studies (Coops & Waring, 2011). Such conditions may permit survival but
592 hinder seedling establishment. Identifying species unlikely to benefit from AM is as crucial as

593 finding suitable targets, considering AM's costs.

594 Additionally, our model indicates increased competition risks to non-target or target species.
 595 For example, under all eight AM strategies in the SSP585 scenario, *A. concolor* (16) and *P. jeffreyi*
 596 (19), predominantly located in southern California and the Sierra Nevada, showed reduced
 597 biomass compared to no action. This decrease might result from establishment failures at AM
 598 destinations and increased competition from other AM-targeted species within their current range.

599

600

601

602 *Potential effects of model assumptions*

603 Our model, while comprehensive, is based on several simplifying assumptions, which can
 604 inevitably affect our conclusions. First, our climatic data resolution is 1km, which averages over
 605 microclimatic variations (i.e., due to elevational or topographic changes) that affect tree
 606 demography (De Frenne et al., 2019; Fick & Hijmans, 2017). Cooler, wetter microclimates can
 607 buffer macroclimate effects, potentially leading our model to overestimate negative climate change
 608 impacts on seedling/sapling survival and, consequently, forest biomass and range loss. On the other
 609 hand, assisted migration strategies that are focused on relocation to open-canopy areas, such as the
 610 least-competition and post-fire strategies, will likely be riskier in warmer, drier microclimates. Our
 611 model ignores microclimatic variation on the landscape, and assumes that dry and moist
 612 microclimates balance each other out at the landscape scale.

613 Second, due to the lack of data, our model does not include the effects of non-fire disturbances,
 614 such as those arising from pests, pathogens, or seed predators, despite their significant role in forest
 615 dynamics (Bentz et al., 2010). In particular, we did not model bark beetles and diseases like white
 616 pine blister rust and Sudden Oak Death, which are prevalent in the North American Mediterranean
 617 Climate Zone (NAMCZ) (Berner et al., 2017; Negrón et al., 2009). This exclusion likely leads to
 618 an underestimation of tree mortality and extinction risks, and therefore a potential underestimation
 619 in AM frequency. Bark beetle and disease susceptibility could also be considered in choosing AM
 620 target locations to minimize infection risks, but this raises the issue of potentially spreading
 621 diseases through translocated seedlings. Furthermore, our model's coarse scale does not account
 622 for the critical role of soil microsites in seed germination and survival (Castro et al. 2023).
 623 Incorporation of these additional drivers of forest dynamics and their connections to climate
 624 change could expand the primary factors that influence vulnerability to climate change beyond the
 625 three modeled here (drought, fire, and temperature tolerance).

626 Third, our study's spatial limits are defined by geographic and political boundaries, not
 627 ecological properties. This limitation is especially relevant for species like *A. lasiocarpa* in the
 628 western United States, where suitable habitats for northward movement are scarce. Cross-border
 629 coordination, such as with Canada, could potentially increase the persistence of northern species,
 630 aiding conservation goals (Schwartz et al., 2012; Vitt et al., 2010).

631 Fourth, our model presupposes that tree seeds, once deposited, can persist in the seed bank
632 through the entire duration of the 100-year simulation. Forest dynamic models frequently assume
633 indefinite seed longevity for computational ease and due to the absence of detailed seed longevity
634 data for most species (Botkin et al., 1972; Harald K. M. Bugmann & Solomon, 2000; Rasche et
635 al., 2012). However, empirical evidence suggests that most tree seeds do not survive more than a
636 year or two under typical field conditions (Davies et al., 2020; Mame et al., 2019; Nadarajan et al.,
637 2023). Therefore, the persistent seed bank assumed here could lead to an overestimation of
638 recruitment success, thereby potentially inflating the projected biomass for certain species. To
639 evaluate the impact of our assumption regarding long-term seed viability on our conclusions, we
640 conducted a series of simulations with a one-year seed bank duration under the SSP585 emission
641 scenario. The outcomes mirrored the patterns observed in Figures 2 and 4 (Figure S9). We interpret
642 this consistency to stem from the strong filtering effects of climate and light conditions on plant
643 growth and survival, such that these processes are the primary drivers of which species establish
644 and outweigh any effect of seed bank availability.

645 Lastly, our model does not account for the potential of phenotypic and genetic adaptations in
646 tree species, which might reduce extinction risk and the need for AM under climate change (Chown
647 et al., 2010; Nicotra et al., 2010). Short-term phenotypic changes, such as increased root-to-shoot
648 ratio for water efficiency (Nicotra et al., 2010), and long-term evolutionary adaptations are crucial
649 considerations (Alberto et al., 2013). The concept of assisted gene flow, moving locally-adapted
650 genes within species ranges, emerges as an additional strategy for dispersal-limited species,
651 warranting further investigation in future studies incorporating evolutionary dynamics (Aitken &
652 Bemmels, 2016; Kelly & Phillips, 2019; Young et al., 2020).

653

654 *Model applications to other settings*

655 Applying our approach in new geographic locations to evaluate conservation performance of
656 AM strategies requires data on species-specific physiological parameters (see Table.S1), which
657 might be available in the literature or the TRY dataset (Kattge et al., 2020), as well as data on
658 species occurrence and climatic tolerance traits. The European Alps are one candidate location for
659 implementing this framework to evaluate AM performance, because these parameters are available
660 for hundreds of European tree species (H. Bugmann, 1994). To inform management of climate-
661 threatened species in more data-poor locations, future research could focus on our broader take-
662 home messages of which species could be candidate functional types for AM (e.g., species
663 intolerant to fire, drought, or heat, depending on which environmental factors climate change is
664 impacting and how in a given location) and the effects of inter-specific competition on AM risks
665 and benefits.

666

667

668 **Open Research Statement**

669 The major code (novel) and example data to replicate the results in this paper are on the
 670 GitHub repository: https://github.com/Yibiaozou/UCDavis_Forest_AM, which is also the
 671 intended repository for permanent archive. Observation data and climatic data used in this paper
 672 are already published and publicly available, with those items cited in this submission. Tree
 673 observation data in year 2017 was downloaded from LEMMA
 674 (<https://lemmdownload.forestry.oregonstate.edu/>) using the following query: [BA_GE_3,
 675 ABAM_BA, ABGRC_BA, ABLA_BA, ABPRSH_BA, ACMA3_BA, ARME_BA, CHNO_BA,
 676 PIEN_BA, PICO_BA, PIMO3_BA, PIPO_BA, PSME_BA, QUGA4_BA, TSHE_BA, TSME_BA,
 677 CADE27_BA, PIJE_BA, PILA_BA, PIAL_BA, QUKE_BA, PIBA_BA]. For climatic data, we
 678 used WorldClim version-2.1 [https://www.worldclim.org/data/cmip6/cmip6_clim30s.html]. For
 679 burned-area data, we used observation from Williams et al. (2023) on Dryad
 680 [<https://doi.org/10.25338/B8TP97>].

681

682

683 **Reference**

684 Aitken, S. N., & Bemmels, J. B. (2016). Time to get moving: assisted gene flow of forest trees. *Evolutionary*
 685 *Applications*, 9(1), 271-290. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12293>

686 Alberto, F. J., Aitken, S. N., Alía, R., González-Martínez, S. C., Hänninen, H., Kremer, A., Lefèvre, F.,
 687 Lenormand, T., Yeaman, S., Whetten, R., & Savolainen, O. (2013). Potential for evolutionary responses to climate
 688 change - evidence from tree populations. *Global Change Biology*, 19(6), 1645-1661. doi:10.1111/gcb.12181

689 Backus, G. A., & Baskett, M. L. (2021). Identifying robust strategies for assisted migration in a competitive
 690 stochastic metacommunity. *Conservation Biology*, n/a(n/a). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13736>

691 Bentz, B. J., Régnière, J., Fettig, C. J., Hansen, E. M., Hayes, J. L., Hicke, J. A., Kelsey, R. G., Negrón, J. F., &
 692 Seybold, S. J. (2010). Climate Change and Bark Beetles of the Western United States and Canada: Direct and
 693 Indirect Effects. *Bioscience*, 60(8), 602-613. doi:10.1525/bio.2010.60.8.6

694 Berkowitz, A. R., Canham, C. D., & Kelly, V. R. (1995). Competition vs. Facilitation of Tree Seedling Growth
 695 and Survival in Early Successional Communities. *Ecology*, 76(4), 1156-1168. doi:10.2307/1940923

696 Berner, L. T., Law, B. E., Meddens, A. J. H., & Hicke, J. A. (2017). Tree mortality from fires, bark beetles, and
 697 timber harvest during a hot and dry decade in the western United States (2003–2012). *Environmental Research*
 698 *Letters*, 12(6), 065005. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aa6f94

699 Botkin, D. B., Janak, J., & Wallis, J. R. (1972). Some ecological consequences of a computer model of forest
 700 growth. *Journal of Ecology*, 60, 849-872.

701 Bugmann, H. (1994). *On the ecology of mountainous forests in a changing climate. a simulation study*. ETH
 702 Zürich, Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11850/141625>

703 Bugmann, H., & Cramer, W. (1998). Improving the behaviour of forest gap models along drought gradients.
 704 *Forest ecology and management*, 103(2), 247-263. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(97\)00217-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(97)00217-X)

705 Bugmann, H. K. M. (1996). A simplified forest model to study species composition along climate gradients.
 706 *Ecology*, 77(7), 2055-2074. doi:Doi 10.2307/2265700

- 707 Bugmann, H. K. M., & Solomon, A. M. (2000). EXPLAINING FOREST COMPOSITION AND BIOMASS
 708 ACROSS MULTIPLE BIOGEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS. *Ecological Applications*, 10(1), 95-114.
 709 doi:10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[0095:Efcaba]2.0.Co;2
- 710 Busing, R. T., & Solomon, A. M. (2006). *Modeling the Effects of Fire Frequency and Severity on Forests in the*
 711 *Northwestern United States* (2006-5061). Retrieved from <http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/sir20065061>
- 712 Cardenas, M. B., & Kanarek, M. R. (2014). Soil moisture variation and dynamics across a wildfire burn
 713 boundary in a loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) forest. *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, 490-502.
 714 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.07.016>
- 715 Castro, J., Morales-Rueda, F., Alcaraz-Segura, D., & Tabik, S. (2023). Forest restoration is more than firing
 716 seeds from a drone. *Restoration Ecology*, 31(1), e13736. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13736>
- 717 Champagne, E., Royo, A. A., Tremblay, J.-P., & Raymond, P. (2021). Tree assisted migration in a browsed
 718 landscape: Can we predict susceptibility to herbivores? *Forest ecology and management*, 498, 119576.
 719 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119576>
- 720 Chen, B., Jin, Y., Scaduto, E., Moritz, M. A., Goulden, M. L., & Randerson, J. T. (2021). Climate, Fuel, and
 721 Land Use Shaped the Spatial Pattern of Wildfire in California's Sierra Nevada. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
 722 *Biogeosciences*, 126(2), e2020JG005786. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005786>
- 723 Chown, S. L., Hoffmann, A. A., Kristensen, T. N., Angilletta, M. J., Jr., Stenseth, N. C., & Pertoldi, C. (2010).
 724 Adapting to climate change: a perspective from evolutionary physiology. *Climate Research*, 43(1-2), 3-15. Retrieved
 725 from <https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/cr/v43/n1-2/p3-15/>
- 726 Clark, J. S., Iverson, L., Woodall, C. W., Allen, C. D., Bell, D. M., Bragg, D. C., D'Amato, A. W., Davis, F. W.,
 727 Hersh, M. H., Ibanez, I., Jackson, S. T., Matthews, S., Pederson, N., Peters, M., Schwartz, M. W., Waring, K. M., &
 728 Zimmermann, N. E. (2016). The impacts of increasing drought on forest dynamics, structure, and biodiversity in the
 729 United States. *Global Change Biology*, 22(7), 2329-2352. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13160>
- 730 Cohen, J. (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and psychological measurement*,
 731 20(1), 37-46.
- 732 Cook, C. N., de Bie, K., Keith, D. A., & Addison, P. F. E. (2016). Decision triggers are a critical part of
 733 evidence-based conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 195, 46-51. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.12.024>
- 734 Coops, N. C., & Waring, R. H. (2011). Estimating the vulnerability of fifteen tree species under changing
 735 climate in Northwest North America. *Ecological Modelling*, 222(13), 2119-2129.
 736 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.03.033>
- 737 Davies, R. M., Hudson, A. R., Dickie, J. B., Cook, C., O'Hara, T., & Trivedi, C. (2020). Exploring seed
 738 longevity of UK native trees: implications for ex situ conservation. *Seed Science Research*, 30(2), 101-111.
 739 doi:10.1017/S0960258520000215
- 740 Davis Margaret, B., & Shaw Ruth, G. (2001). Range Shifts and Adaptive Responses to Quaternary Climate
 741 Change. *Science*, 292(5517), 673-679. doi:10.1126/science.292.5517.673
- 742 De Frenne, P., Zellweger, F., Rodríguez-Sánchez, F., Scheffers, B. R., Hylander, K., Luoto, M., Vellend, M.,
 743 Verheyen, K., & Lenoir, J. (2019). Global buffering of temperatures under forest canopies. *Nature Ecology &*
 744 *Evolution*, 3(5), 744-749. doi:10.1038/s41559-019-0842-1

- 745 Duclos, V., Boudreau, S., & Chapman, C. A. (2013). Shrub Cover Influence on Seedling Growth and Survival
 746 Following Logging of a Tropical Forest. *Biotropica*, 45(4), 419-426. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12039>
- 747 Fick, S. E., & Hijmans, R. J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land
 748 areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 37(12), 4302-4315. doi:10.1002/joc.5086
- 749 Gerhardt, K. (1996). Effects of root competition and canopy openness on survival and growth of tree seedlings
 750 in a tropical seasonal dry forest. *Forest ecology and management*, 82(1), 33-48. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-
 751 1127\(95\)03700-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(95)03700-4)
- 752 Gutierrez, A. G., Snell, R. S., & Bugmann, H. (2016). Using a dynamic forest model to predict tree species
 753 distributions. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 25(3), 347-358. doi:10.1111/geb.12421
- 754 Gutiérrez, A. G., Snell, R. S., & Bugmann, H. (2016). Using a dynamic forest model to predict tree species
 755 distributions. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 25(3), 347-358. doi:10.1111/geb.12421
- 756 Guyette, R., Stambaugh, M. C., Dey, D., & Muzika, R. M. (2017). The theory, direction, and magnitude of
 757 ecosystem fire probability as constrained by precipitation and temperature. *Plos One*, 12(7), e0180956.
 758 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0180956
- 759 Haase, D. L., Pike, C., Enebak, S., Mackey, L., Ma, Z., & Rathjen, M. (2019). Forest nursery seedling
 760 production in the United States—fiscal year 2018. *Tree Planters' Notes*, 62, 20-24.
- 761 Hartmann, H., Bastos, A., Das, A. J., Esquivel-Muelbert, A., Hammond, W. M., Martínez-Vilalta, J., McDowell,
 762 N. G., Powers, J. S., Pugh, T. A. M., Ruthrof, K. X., & Allen, C. D. (2022). Climate Change Risks to Global Forest
 763 Health: Emergence of Unexpected Events of Elevated Tree Mortality Worldwide. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*,
 764 73(1), 673-702. doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-102820-012804
- 765 Hewitt, N., Klenk, N., Smith, A. L., Bazely, D. R., Yan, N., Wood, S., MacLellan, J. I., Lipsig-Mumme, C., &
 766 Henriques, I. (2011). Taking stock of the assisted migration debate. *Biological Conservation*, 144(11), 2560-2572.
 767 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.04.031>
- 768 Holt, R. D. (1990). The microevolutionary consequences of climate change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,
 769 5(9), 311-315. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(90\)90088-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(90)90088-U)
- 770 Hughes, T. P., Kerry, J. T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J. G., Anderson, K. D., Baird, A. H.,
 771 Babcock, R. C., Beger, M., Bellwood, D. R., Berkelmans, R., Bridge, T. C., Butler, I. R., Byrne, M., Cantin, N. E.,
 772 Comeau, S., Connolly, S. R., Cumming, G. S., Dalton, S. J., Diaz-Pulido, G., Eakin, C. M., Figueira, W. F., Gilmour,
 773 J. P., Harrison, H. B., Heron, S. F., Hoey, A. S., Hobbs, J.-P. A., Hoogenboom, M. O., Kennedy, E. V., Kuo, C.-y.,
 774 Lough, J. M., Lowe, R. J., Liu, G., McCulloch, M. T., Malcolm, H. A., McWilliam, M. J., Pandolfi, J. M., Pears, R.
 775 J., Pratchett, M. S., Schoepf, V., Simpson, T., Skirving, W. J., Sommer, B., Torda, G., Wachenfeld, D. R., Willis, B.
 776 L., & Wilson, S. K. (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. *Nature*, 543(7645), 373-377.
 777 doi:10.1038/nature21707
- 778 Irwin, B. J., & Conroy, M. J. (2013). Consideration of reference points for the management of renewable
 779 resources under an adaptive management paradigm. *Environmental Conservation*, 40(4), 302-309.
 780 doi:10.1017/S0376892913000222
- 781 Iverson, L. R., & McKenzie, D. (2013). Tree-species range shifts in a changing climate: detecting, modeling,
 782 assisting. *Landscape Ecology*, 28(5), 879-889. doi:10.1007/s10980-013-9885-x

783 Kattge, J., Bönisch, G., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, I. C., Leadley, P., Tautenhahn, S., Werner, G. D. A.,
784 Aakala, T., Abedi, M., Acosta, A. T. R., Adamidis, G. C., Adamson, K., Aiba, M., Albert, C. H., Alcántara, J. M.,
785 Alcázar, C. C., Aleixo, I., Ali, H., Amiaud, B., Ammer, C., Amoroso, M. M., Anand, M., Anderson, C., Anten, N.,
786 Antos, J., Apgaua, D. M. G., Ashman, T. L., Asmara, D. H., Asner, G. P., Aspinwall, M., Atkin, O., Aubin, I.,
787 Baastrup-Spohr, L., Bahalkeh, K., Bahn, M., Baker, T., Baker, W. J., Bakker, J. P., Baldocchi, D., Baltzer, J.,
788 Banerjee, A., Baranger, A., Barlow, J., Barneche, D. R., Baruch, Z., Bastianelli, D., Battles, J., Bauerle, W., Bauters,
789 M., Bazzato, E., Beckmann, M., Beeckman, H., Beierkuhnlein, C., Bekker, R., Belfry, G., Belluau, M., Beloiu, M.,
790 Benavides, R., Benomar, L., Berdugo-Lattke, M. L., Berenguer, E., Bergamin, R., Bergmann, J., Bergmann Carlucci,
791 M., Berner, L., Bernhardt-Römermann, M., Bigler, C., Bjorkman, A. D., Blackman, C., Blanco, C., Blonder, B.,
792 Blumenthal, D., Bocanegra-González, K. T., Boeckx, P., Bohlman, S., Böhning-Gaese, K., Boisvert-Marsh, L.,
793 Bond, W., Bond-Lamberty, B., Boom, A., Boonman, C. C. F., Bordin, K., Boughton, E. H., Boukili, V., Bowman, D.,
794 Bravo, S., Brendel, M. R., Broadley, M. R., Brown, K. A., Bruelheide, H., Brumnich, F., Bruun, H. H., Bruy, D.,
795 Buchanan, S. W., Bucher, S. F., Buchmann, N., Buitenwerf, R., Bunker, D. E., Bürger, J., Burrascano, S., Burslem,
796 D., Butterfield, B. J., Byun, C., Marques, M., Scalon, M. C., Caccianiga, M., Cadotte, M., Cailleret, M., Camac, J.,
797 Camarero, J. J., Company, C., Campetella, G., Campos, J. A., Cano-Arboleda, L., Canullo, R., Carbognani, M.,
798 Carvalho, F., Casanoves, F., Castagnyrol, B., Catford, J. A., Cavender-Bares, J., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cervellini, M.,
799 Chacón-Madrigal, E., Chapin, K., Chapin, F. S., Chelli, S., Chen, S. C., Chen, A., Cherubini, P., Chianucci, F.,
800 Choat, B., Chung, K. S., Chytrý, M., Ciccarelli, D., Coll, L., Collins, C. G., Conti, L., Coomes, D., Cornelissen, J. H.
801 C., Cornwell, W. K., Corona, P., Coyea, M., Craine, J., Craven, D., Cromsigt, J., Cseceserits, A., Cufar, K., Cuntz, M.,
802 da Silva, A. C., Dahlin, K. M., Dainese, M., Dalke, I., Dalle Fratte, M., Dang-Le, A. T., Danihelka, J., Dannoura, M.,
803 Dawson, S., de Beer, A. J., De Frutos, A., De Long, J. R., Dechant, B., Delagrangé, S., Delpierre, N., Derroire, G.,
804 Dias, A. S., Diaz-Toribio, M. H., Dimitrakopoulos, P. G., Dobrowolski, M., Doktor, D., Dřevojan, P., Dong, N.,
805 Dransfield, J., Dressler, S., Duarte, L., Ducouret, E., Dullinger, S., Durka, W., Duursma, R., Dymova, O., A, E. V.,
806 Eckstein, R. L., Ejtehadi, H., Elser, J., Emilio, T., Engemann, K., Erfanian, M. B., Erfmeier, A., Esquivel-Muelbert,
807 A., Esser, G., Estiarte, M., Domingues, T. F., Fagan, W. F., Fagúndez, J., Falster, D. S., Fan, Y., Fang, J., Farris, E.,
808 Fazlioglu, F., Feng, Y., Fernandez-Mendez, F., Ferrara, C., Ferreira, J., Fidelis, A., Finegan, B., Firn, J., Flowers, T.
809 J., Flynn, D. F. B., Fontana, V., Forey, E., Forgiarini, C., François, L., Frangipani, M., Frank, D., Frenette-Dussault,
810 C., Freschet, G. T., Fry, E. L., Fyllas, N. M., Mazzochini, G. G., Gachet, S., Gallagher, R., Ganade, G., Ganga, F.,
811 García-Palacios, P., Gargaglione, V., Garnier, E., Garrido, J. L., de Gasper, A. L., Gea-Izquierdo, G., Gibson, D.,
812 Gillison, A. N., Giroldo, A., Glasenhardt, M. C., Gleason, S., Gliesch, M., Goldberg, E., Göldel, B., Gonzalez-Akre,
813 E., Gonzalez-Andujar, J. L., González-Melo, A., González-Robles, A., Graae, B. J., Granda, E., Graves, S., Green,
814 W. A., Gregor, T., Gross, N., Guerin, G. R., Günther, A., Gutiérrez, A. G., Haddock, L., Haines, A., Hall, J.,
815 Hambuckers, A., Han, W., Harrison, S. P., Hattingh, W., Hawes, J. E., He, T., He, P., Heberling, J. M., Helm, A.,
816 Hempel, S., Hentschel, J., Hérault, B., Hereş, A. M., Herz, K., Heuertz, M., Hickler, T., Hietz, P., Higuchi, P., Hipp,
817 A. L., Hirons, A., Hock, M., Hogan, J. A., Holl, K., Honnay, O., Hornstein, D., Hou, E., Hough-Snee, N., Hovstad,
818 K. A., Ichie, T., Igić, B., Illa, E., Isaac, M., Ishihara, M., Ivanov, L., Ivanova, L., Iversen, C. M., Izquierdo, J.,
819 Jackson, R. B., Jackson, B., Jactel, H., Jagodzinski, A. M., Jandt, U., Jansen, S., Jenkins, T., Jentsch, A., Jespersen, J.
820 R. P., Jiang, G. F., Johansen, J. L., Johnson, D., Jokela, E. J., Joly, C. A., Jordan, G. J., Joseph, G. S., Junaedi, D.,

821 Junker, R. R., Justes, E., Kabzems, R., Kane, J., Kaplan, Z., Kattenborn, T., Kavelenova, L., Kearsley, E., Kempel,
822 A., Kenzo, T., Kerkhoff, A., Khalil, M. I., Kinlock, N. L., Kissling, W. D., Kitajima, K., Kitzberger, T., Kjoller, R.,
823 Klein, T., Kleyer, M., Klimešová, J., Klipel, J., Kloeppe, B., Klotz, S., Knops, J. M. H., Kohyama, T., Koike, F.,
824 Kollmann, J., Komac, B., Komatsu, K., König, C., Kraft, N. J. B., Kramer, K., Kreft, H., Kühn, I., Kumarathunge,
825 D., Kuppler, J., Kurokawa, H., Kurosawa, Y., Kuyah, S., Laclau, J. P., Lafleur, B., Lallai, E., Lamb, E., Lamprecht,
826 A., Larkin, D. J., Laughlin, D., Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y., le Maire, G., le Roux, P. C., le Roux, E., Lee, T., Lens, F.,
827 Lewis, S. L., Lhotsky, B., Li, Y., Li, X., Lichstein, J. W., Liebergesell, M., Lim, J. Y., Lin, Y. S., Linares, J. C., Liu,
828 C., Liu, D., Liu, U., Livingstone, S., Llusà, J., Lohbeck, M., López-García, Á., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Lososová, Z.,
829 Louault, F., Lukács, B. A., Lukeš, P., Luo, Y., Lussu, M., Ma, S., Maciel Rabelo Pereira, C., Mack, M., Maire, V.,
830 Mäkelä, A., Mäkinen, H., Malhado, A. C. M., Mallik, A., Manning, P., Manzoni, S., Marchetti, Z., Marchino, L.,
831 Marcilio-Silva, V., Marcon, E., Marignani, M., Markesteijn, L., Martin, A., Martínez-Garza, C., Martínez-Vilalta, J.,
832 Mašková, T., Mason, K., Mason, N., Massad, T. J., Masse, J., Mayrose, I., McCarthy, J., McCormack, M. L.,
833 McCulloh, K., McFadden, I. R., McGill, B. J., McPartland, M. Y., Medeiros, J. S., Medlyn, B., Meerts, P., Mehrabi,
834 Z., Meir, P., Melo, F. P. L., Mencuccini, M., Meredieu, C., Messier, J., Mészáros, I., Metsaranta, J., Michaletz, S. T.,
835 Michelaki, C., Migalina, S., Milla, R., Miller, J. E. D., Minden, V., Ming, R., Mokany, K., Moles, A. T., Molnár, A.
836 t., Molofsky, J., Molz, M., Montgomery, R. A., Monty, A., Moravcová, L., Moreno-Martínez, A., Moretti, M., Mori,
837 A. S., Mori, S., Morris, D., Morrison, J., Mucina, L., Mueller, S., Muir, C. D., Müller, S. C., Munoz, F., Myers-
838 Smith, I. H., Myster, R. W., Nagano, M., Naidu, S., Narayanan, A., Natesan, B., Negoita, L., Nelson, A. S.,
839 Neuschulz, E. L., Ni, J., Niedrist, G., Nieto, J., Niinemets, Ü., Nolan, R., Nottebrock, H., Nouvellon, Y.,
840 Novakovskiy, A., Nystuen, K. O., O'Grady, A., O'Hara, K., O'Reilly-Nugent, A., Oakley, S., Oberhuber, W.,
841 Ohtsuka, T., Oliveira, R., Öllerer, K., Olson, M. E., Onipchenko, V., Onoda, Y., Onstein, R. E., Ordonez, J. C.,
842 Osada, N., Ostonen, I., Ottaviani, G., Otto, S., Overbeck, G. E., Ozinga, W. A., Pahl, A. T., Paine, C. E. T., Pakeman,
843 R. J., Papageorgiou, A. C., Parfionova, E., Pärtel, M., Patacca, M., Paula, S., Paule, J., Pauli, H., Pausas, J. G., Peco,
844 B., Penuelas, J., Perea, A., Peri, P. L., Petisco-Souza, A. C., Petraglia, A., Petritan, A. M., Phillips, O. L., Pierce, S.,
845 Pillar, V. D., Pisek, J., Pomogaybin, A., Poorter, H., Portsmouth, A., Poschlod, P., Potvin, C., Pounds, D., Powell, A.
846 S., Power, S. A., Prinzing, A., Puglielli, G., Pyšek, P., Raavel, V., Rammig, A., Ransijn, J., Ray, C. A., Reich, P. B.,
847 Reichstein, M., Reid, D. E. B., Réjou-Méchain, M., de Dios, V. R., Ribeiro, S., Richardson, S., Riibak, K., Rillig, M.
848 C., Riviera, F., Robert, E. M. R., Roberts, S., Robroek, B., Roddy, A., Rodrigues, A. V., Rogers, A., Rollinson, E.,
849 Rolo, V., Römermann, C., Ronzhina, D., Roscher, C., Rosell, J. A., Rosenfield, M. F., Rossi, C., Roy, D. B., Royer-
850 Tardif, S., Rüger, N., Ruiz-Peinado, R., Rumpf, S. B., Rusch, G. M., Ryo, M., Sack, L., Saldaña, A., Salgado-Negret,
851 B., Salguero-Gomez, R., Santa-Regina, I., Santacruz-García, A. C., Santos, J., Sardans, J., Schamp, B., Scherer-
852 Lorenzen, M., Schleuning, M., Schmid, B., Schmidt, M., Schmitt, S., Schneider, J. V., Schowanek, S. D., Schrader,
853 J., Schrod, F., Schuldt, B., Schurr, F., Selaya Garvizu, G., Semchenko, M., Seymour, C., Sfair, J. C., Sharpe, J. M.,
854 Sheppard, C. S., Sheremetiev, S., Shiodera, S., Shipley, B., Shovon, T. A., Siebenkäs, A., Sierra, C., Silva, V., Silva,
855 M., Sitzia, T., Sjöman, H., Slot, M., Smith, N. G., Sodhi, D., Soltis, P., Soltis, D., Somers, B., Sonnier, G., Sørensen,
856 M. V., Sosinski, E. E., Jr., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Souza, A. F., Spasojevic, M., Sperandii, M. G., Stan, A. B., Stegen,
857 J., Steinbauer, K., Stephan, J. G., Sterck, F., Stojanovic, D. B., Strydom, T., Suarez, M. L., Svenning, J. C., Svitková,
858 I., Svitok, M., Svoboda, M., Swaine, E., Swenson, N., Tabarelli, M., Takagi, K., Tappeiner, U., Tarifa, R.,

- 859 Tauugourdeau, S., Tavsanoğlu, C., Te Beest, M., Tedersoo, L., Thiffault, N., Thom, D., Thomas, E., Thompson, K.,
 860 Thornton, P. E., Thuiller, W., Tichý, L., Tissue, D., Tjoelker, M. G., Tng, D. Y. P., Tobias, J., Török, P., Tarin, T.,
 861 Torres-Ruiz, J. M., Tóthmérész, B., Treurnicht, M., Trivellone, V., Trolliet, F., Trotsiuk, V., Tsakalos, J. L., Tsiripidis,
 862 I., Tysklind, N., Umehara, T., Usoltsev, V., Vadeboncoeur, M., Vaezi, J., Valladares, F., Vamosi, J., van Bodegom, P.
 863 M., van Breugel, M., Van Cleemput, E., van de Weg, M., van der Merwe, S., van der Plas, F., van der Sande, M. T.,
 864 van Kleunen, M., Van Meerbeek, K., Vanderwel, M., Vanselow, K. A., Vårhammar, A., Varone, L., Vasquez
 865 Valderrama, M. Y., Vassilev, K., Vellend, M., Veneklaas, E. J., Verbeeck, H., Verheyen, K., Vibrans, A., Vieira, I.,
 866 Villacís, J., Violle, C., Vivek, P., Wagner, K., Waldram, M., Waldron, A., Walker, A. P., Waller, M., Walther, G.,
 867 Wang, H., Wang, F., Wang, W., Watkins, H., Watkins, J., Weber, U., Weedon, J. T., Wei, L., Weigelt, P., Weiher, E.,
 868 Wells, A. W., Wellstein, C., Wenk, E., Westoby, M., Westwood, A., White, P. J., Whitten, M., Williams, M., Winkler,
 869 D. E., Winter, K., Womack, C., Wright, I. J., Wright, S. J., Wright, J., Pinho, B. X., Ximenes, F., Yamada, T., Yamaji,
 870 K., Yanai, R., Yankov, N., Yguel, B., Zanini, K. J., Zanne, A. E., Zelený, D., Zhao, Y. P., Zheng, J., Zheng, J.,
 871 Ziemińska, K., Zirbel, C. R., Zizka, G., Zo-Bi, I. C., Zotz, G., & Wirth, C. (2020). TRY plant trait database -
 872 enhanced coverage and open access. *Glob Chang Biol*, 26(1), 119-188. doi:10.1111/gcb.14904
- 873 Kelly, E., & Phillips, B. (2019). How many and when? Optimising targeted gene flow for a step change in the
 874 environment. *Ecology Letters*, 22(3), 447-457. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13201>
- 875 Kidd, K. R., & Varner, J. M. (2019). Differential relative bark thickness and aboveground growth discriminates
 876 fire resistance among hardwood sprouts in the southern Cascades, California. *Trees*, 33(1), 267-277.
 877 doi:10.1007/s00468-018-1775-z
- 878 Kreyling, J., Bittner, T., Jaeschke, A., Jentsch, A., Jonas Steinbauer, M., Thiel, D., & Beierkuhnlein, C. (2011).
 879 Assisted Colonization: A Question of Focal Units and Recipient Localities. *Restoration Ecology*, 19(4), 433-440.
 880 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-100X.2011.00777.x>
- 881 Lenihan, J. M., Bachelet, D., Neilson, R. P., & Drapek, R. (2008). Response of vegetation distribution,
 882 ecosystem productivity, and fire to climate change scenarios for California. *Climatic Change*, 87(1), 215-230.
 883 doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9362-0
- 884 Loarie, S. R., Carter, B. E., Hayhoe, K., McMahon, S., Moe, R., Knight, C. A., & Ackerly, D. D. (2008).
 885 Climate Change and the Future of California's Endemic Flora. *Plos One*, 3(6), e2502.
 886 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002502
- 887 Mame, E. R., Glenn, R. M., & Cynthia, D. H. (2019). Seed longevity and dormancy state in an invasive tree
 888 species: *Ailanthus altissima* (Simaroubaceae). *The Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society*,
 889 146(2), 79-86. doi:10.3159/TORREY-D-18-00038.1
- 890 McLachlan, J. S., Hellmann, J. J., & Schwartz, M. W. (2007). A framework for debate of assisted migration in
 891 an era of climate change. *Conservation Biology*, 21(2), 297-302. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00676.x
- 892 McPherson, M. L., Finger, D. J. I., Houskeeper, H. F., Bell, T. W., Carr, M. H., Rogers-Bennett, L., & Kudela,
 893 R. M. (2021). Large-scale shift in the structure of a kelp forest ecosystem co-occurs with an epizootic and marine
 894 heatwave. *Communications Biology*, 4(1), 298. doi:10.1038/s42003-021-01827-6
- 895 Minter, B. A., & Collins, J. P. (2010). Move it or lose it? The ecological ethics of relocating species under
 896 climate change. *Ecological Applications*, 20(7), 1801-1804. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0318.1>

- 897 Mohan, M., Richardson, G., Gopan, G., Aghai, M. M., Bajaj, S., Galgamuwa, G. A. P., Vastaranta, M.,
 898 Arachchige, P. S. P., Amorós, L., Corte, A. P., de-Miguel, S., Leite, R. V., Kganyago, M., Broadbent, E. N., Doaemo,
 899 W., Shorab, M. A., & Cardil, A. (2021). UAV-Supported Forest Regeneration: Current Trends, Challenges and
 900 Implications. *Remote Sensing*, 13(13). doi:10.3390/rs13132596
- 901 Nadarajan, J., Walters, C., Pritchard, H. W., Ballesteros, D., & Colville, L. (2023). Seed Longevity-The
 902 Evolution of Knowledge and a Conceptual Framework. *Plants (Basel)*, 12(3). doi:10.3390/plants12030471
- 903 Nathan, R., Horvitz, N., He, Y. P., Kuparinen, A., Schurr, F. M., & Katul, G. G. (2011). Spread of North
 904 American wind-dispersed trees in future environments. *Ecology Letters*, 14(3), 211-219. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
 905 0248.2010.01573.x
- 906 Negrón, J. F., McMillin, J. D., Anhold, J. A., & Coulson, D. (2009). Bark beetle-caused mortality in a drought-
 907 affected ponderosa pine landscape in Arizona, USA. *Forest ecology and management*, 257(4), 1353-1362.
 908 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.12.002>
- 909 Nicotra, A. B., Atkin, O. K., Bonser, S. P., Davidson, A. M., Finnegan, E. J., Mathesius, U., Poot, P.,
 910 Purugganan, M. D., Richards, C. L., Valladares, F., & van Kleunen, M. (2010). Plant phenotypic plasticity in a
 911 changing climate. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15(12), 684-692. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.09.008>
- 912 Niinemets, Ü., & Valladares, F. (2006). TOLERANCE TO SHADE, DROUGHT, AND WATERLOGGING OF
 913 TEMPERATE NORTHERN HEMISPHERE TREES AND SHRUBS. *Ecological Monographs*, 76(4), 521-547.
 914 doi:10.1890/0012-9615(2006)076[0521:Ttsdaw]2.0.Co;2
- 915 Ohmann, J. L., & Gregory, M. J. (2002). Predictive mapping of forest composition and structure with direct
 916 gradient analysis and nearest- neighbor imputation in coastal Oregon, U.S.A. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*,
 917 32(4), 725-741. doi:10.1139/x02-011
- 918 Pedlar, J. H., McKenney, D. W., Aubin, I., Beardmore, T., Beaulieu, J., Iverson, L., O'Neill, G. A., Winder, R.
 919 S., & Ste-Marie, C. (2012). Placing Forestry in the Assisted Migration Debate. *Bioscience*, 62(9), 835-842.
 920 doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.9.10
- 921 Pierce, D. W., Kalansky, J., & Cayan, D. R. (2018). *CLIMATE, DROUGHT, AND SEA LEVEL RISE*
 922 *SCENARIOS FOR CALIFORNIA S FOURTH CLIMATE CHANGE ASSESSMENT*.
- 923 Rasche, L., Fahse, L., Zingg, A., & Bugmann, H. (2012). Enhancing gap model accuracy by modeling dynamic
 924 height growth and dynamic maximum tree height. *Ecological Modelling*, 232, 133-143.
 925 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.03.004
- 926 Ricciardi, A., & Simberloff, D. (2009). Assisted colonization is not a viable conservation strategy. *Trends in*
 927 *Ecology & Evolution*, 24(5), 248-253. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.12.006
- 928 Richardson, D. M., Hellmann, J. J., McLachlan, J. S., Sax, D. F., Schwartz, M. W., Gonzalez, P., Brennan, E. J.,
 929 Camacho, A., Root, T. L., Sala, O. E., Schneider, S. H., Ashe, D. M., Clark, J. R., Early, R., Etterson, J. R., Fielder,
 930 E. D., Gill, J. L., Minter, B. A., Polasky, S., Safford, H. D., Thompson, A. R., & Vellend, M. (2009).
 931 Multidimensional evaluation of managed relocation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(24),
 932 9721. doi:10.1073/pnas.0902327106
- 933 Rogers, B. M., Neilson, R. P., Drapek, R., Lenihan, J. M., Wells, J. R., Bachelet, D., & Law, B. E. (2011).
 934 Impacts of climate change on fire regimes and carbon stocks of the U.S. Pacific Northwest. *Journal of Geophysical*

- 935 *Research: Biogeosciences*, 116(G3). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JG001695>
- 936 Sáenz-Romero, C., Neill, G., Aitken, S. N., & Lindig-Cisneros, R. (2021). Assisted Migration Field Tests in
937 Canada and Mexico: Lessons, Limitations, and Challenges. *Forests*, 12(1). doi:10.3390/f12010009
- 938 Safford, H. D., Butz, R. J., Bohlman, G. N., Coppoletta, M., Estes, B. L., Gross, S. E., Merriam, K. E., Meyer,
939 M. D., Molinari, N. A., & Wuenschel, A. (2021). Fire Ecology of the North American Mediterranean-Climate Zone.
940 In C. H. Greenberg & B. Collins (Eds.), *Fire Ecology and Management: Past, Present, and Future of US Forested*
941 *Ecosystems* (pp. 337-392). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- 942 Schwartz, M. W., Hellmann, J. J., McLachlan, J. M., Sax, D. F., Borevitz, J. O., Brennan, J., Camacho, A. E.,
943 Ceballos, G., Clark, J. R., Doremus, H., Early, R., Etterson, J. R., Fielder, D., Gill, J. L., Gonzalez, P., Green, N.,
944 Hannah, L., Jamieson, D. W., Javeline, D., Minter, B. A., Odenbaugh, J., Polasky, S., Richardson, D. M., Root, T.
945 L., Safford, H. D., Sala, O., Schneider, S. H., Thompson, A. R., Williams, J. W., Vellend, M., Vitt, P., & Zellmer, S.
946 (2012). Managed Relocation: Integrating the Scientific, Regulatory, and Ethical Challenges. *Bioscience*, 62(8), 732-
947 743. doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.8.6
- 948 Shive, K., Preisler, H., Welch, K., Safford, H., Butz, R., O'Hara, K., & Stephens, S. (2018). From the stand-
949 scale to the landscape-scale: predicting the spatial patterns of forest regeneration after disturbance. *Ecological*
950 *Applications*, 28. doi:10.1002/eap.1756
- 951 Simler, A. B., Williamson, M. A., Schwartz, M. W., & Rizzo, D. M. (2019). Amplifying plant disease risk
952 through assisted migration. *Conservation Letters*, 12(2), e12605. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12605>
- 953 Stanke, H., Finley, A. O., Domke, G. M., Weed, A. S., & MacFarlane, D. W. (2021). Over half of western
954 United States' most abundant tree species in decline. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 451. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-
955 20678-z
- 956 Stevens, J. T., Kling, M. M., Schwilk, D. W., Varner, J. M., & Kane, J. M. (2020). Biogeography of fire regimes
957 in western U.S. conifer forests: A trait-based approach. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 29(5), 944-955.
958 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13079>
- 959 Stoel, T. B. (1978). THE NATIONAL FOREST MANAGEMENT ACT. *Environmental Law*, 8(2), 549-567.
960 Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43266251>
- 961 Swart, N. C., Cole, J. N. S., Kharin, V. V., Lazare, M., Scinocca, J. F., Gillett, N. P., Anstey, J., Arora, V.,
962 Christian, J. R., Hanna, S., Jiao, Y., Lee, W. G., Majaess, F., Saenko, O. A., Seiler, C., Seinen, C., Shao, A.,
963 Sigmond, M., Solheim, L., von Salzen, K., Yang, D., & Winter, B. (2019). The Canadian Earth System Model
964 version 5 (CanESM5.0.3). *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 12(11), 4823-4873. doi:10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019
- 965 Tejedor Garavito, N., Newton, A. C., Golicher, D., & Oldfield, S. (2015). The Relative Impact of Climate
966 Change on the Extinction Risk of Tree Species in the Montane Tropical Andes. *Plos One*, 10(7), e0131388.
967 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131388
- 968 Tilman, D., May, R. M., Lehman, C. L., & Nowak, M. A. (1994). Habitat destruction and the extinction debt.
969 *Nature*, 371(6492), 65-66. doi:10.1038/371065a0
- 970 Vitt, P., Havens, K., Kramer, A. T., Sollenberger, D., & Yates, E. (2010). Assisted migration of plants: Changes
971 in latitudes, changes in attitudes. *Biological Conservation*, 143(1), 18-27.
972 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.08.015>

973 Wang, J. A., Randerson, J. T., Goulden, M. L., Knight, C. A., & Battles, J. J. (2022). Losses of Tree Cover in
 974 California Driven by Increasing Fire Disturbance and Climate Stress. *AGU Advances*, 3(4), e2021AV000654.
 975 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1029/2021AV000654>

976 Welch, K. R., Safford, H. D., & Young, T. P. (2016). Predicting conifer establishment post wildfire in mixed
 977 conifer forests of the North American Mediterranean-climate zone. *Ecosphere*, 7(12), e01609.
 978 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1609>

979 Williams, J. N., Safford, H. D., Enstice, N., Steel, Z. L., & Paulson, A. K. (2023). High-severity burned area
 980 and proportion exceed historic conditions in Sierra Nevada, California, and adjacent ranges. *Ecosphere*, 14(1),
 981 e4397. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4397>

982 Williams, M. I., & Dumroese, R. K. (2013). Preparing for Climate Change: Forestry and Assisted Migration.
 983 *Journal of Forestry*, 111(4), 287-297. doi:10.5849/jof.13-016

984 Young, D. J. N., Blush, T. D., Landram, M., Wright, J. W., Latimer, A. M., & Safford, H. D. (2020). Assisted
 985 gene flow in the context of large-scale forest management in California, USA. *Ecosphere*, 11(1), e03001.
 986 doi:10.1002/ecs2.3001

987 Zhu, K., Woodall, C. W., & Clark, J. S. (2012). Failure to migrate: lack of tree range expansion in response to
 988 climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 18(3), 1042-1052. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02571.x>

989 Zhu, K., Zhang, J., Niu, S., Chu, C., & Luo, Y. (2018). Limits to growth of forest biomass carbon sink under
 990 climate change. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 2709. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05132-5

991
 992

993 **Biosketch**

994 Yibiao Zou is broadly interested in global ecosystem ecology. This work represents a component
 995 of his undergraduate work at UC Davis on forest assisted migration. He now works on questions
 996 of alternative biome states and forest fragmentation in Crowther Lab, ETH Zurich (see Crowther
 997 Lab, <https://crowtherlab.com/>).

998

999 Author contributions: YZ, GAB and MLB, conceived the ideas; YZ conducted the simulations and
 1000 analysed the data; and YZ led the writing with assistance from GAB, HDS, SS and MLB.

1001