

# UC Irvine

## UC Irvine Previously Published Works

### Title

Fidelity to the acupuncture intervention protocol in the ACUpuncture In The Emergency department for pain management (ACUITY) trial: Expanding the gold standard of STRICTA and CONSORT guidelines

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8gf053pr>

### Journal

Integrative Medicine Research, 13(2)

### ISSN

2213-4220

### Authors

Nielsen, Arya

Dyer, Natalie L

Lechuga, Claudia

et al.

### Publication Date

2024-06-01

### DOI

10.1016/j.imr.2024.101048

### Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

**Fidelity to intervention protocol in the Acupuncture in the Emergency Department for Pain Management (ACUITY) trial: expanding the gold standard of STRICTA and CONSORT guidelines.**

Arya Nielsen;<sup>1\*</sup> Natalie L. Dyer<sup>2</sup> Claudia Lechuga;<sup>3</sup> M. Diane McKee<sup>4</sup> Jeffery A. Dusek<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, New York, NY USA

<sup>2</sup> Susan Samueli Integrative Health Institute, University of California- Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Family and Social Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, USA

<sup>5</sup> Dept of Medicine, General Internal Medicine, University of California- Irvine. Irvine, CA, USA

\*Corresponding author: Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Department of Family Medicine & Community Health, 1325 Madison Avenue, Suite L5-40, New York, NY 10029, USA [Arya.Nielsen@mountsinai.org](mailto:Arya.Nielsen@mountsinai.org)

**Abstract word count:** 249 with titles

**Manuscript word count:** 3020

**ORCID iD:**

Arya Nielsen, PhD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3370-9123>;

Natalie L. Dyer, PhD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9592-194X>;

Claudia Lechuga: MS: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3268-9351>

M. Diane McKee, MD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1228-718X>;

Jeffery A. Dusek, PhD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9581-0564>

## **ABSTRACT**

### Background

Acupuncture shows promise as an effective nonpharmacologic option for acute pain in the emergency department (ED). ACUITY is an NCCIH-funded, multi-site feasibility randomized controlled trial (RCT) of acupuncture in 3 EDs (Cleveland, Nashville, and San Diego). Following CONSORT and STRICTA guidelines, (RCTs) generally report intervention details and acupoint options but fidelity to acupuncture interventions, critical to reliability in intervention research, is unreported.

### Methods

ACUITY acupuncturists were trained in study design, responsive acupuncture manualization protocol, logistics and real-time recording of session details via REDCap forms created to track fidelity.

### Results

Across 3 recruiting sites, 79 participants received acupuncture: 51% women, 43% Black/African American, with heterogeneous acute pain sites at baseline: 32% low back, 22% extremity, 20% abdominal, 10% head. Objective tracking found 98% adherence to the acupuncture manualization protocol, including staging, ED location, participant position, number of insertion points (M = 13.2, range 2-22), needle retention time (M = 23.5 min, range 4-52), session time (M = 40.3 min, range 20-66), and use of each acupuncture point. Pragmatically, participants were treated in ED common areas (52%), private rooms (39%), and semi-private rooms (9%).

### Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first RCT to report fidelity to an acupuncture protocol. Fidelity monitoring will be fundamental for ACUITY2, which would be a future definitive, multi-site RCT. Furthermore, we recommend that fidelity to acupuncture interventions be added to CONSORT and STRICTA reporting guidelines.

**Clinical Trials.gov:** NCT04880733

**Keywords:** Acupuncture therapy, Acute Pain, Fidelity to intervention.

## 1. Background

Pain prompts the majority of visits to the Emergency Department (ED) but remains inefficiently treated<sup>1</sup> where commonly used medications have a high risk of adverse effects. Opioids are associated with respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting, dizziness, drowsiness, weakness, dry mouth, constipation and pruritis, even in the short term,<sup>2</sup> and can lead to long term use, misuse or death.<sup>3</sup> While programs to reduce opioid prescribing in the ED have had some success,<sup>4-6</sup> 8.1% of all US ED visits resulted in an opioid prescription at discharge in 2019-2020.<sup>3</sup> Seven percent of opioid naïve patients prescribed an opioid for acute musculoskeletal (MSK) pain continued opioid use at 3-12 months after ED discharge.<sup>7</sup> Whereas acute MSK pain has been as successfully treated in the ED by NSAIDs,<sup>3,7</sup> NSAIDs carry risks of adverse effects such as gastrointestinal bleeding, acute stroke, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure cardiovascular death, hypertension, and acute renal failure, exacerbated in older patients.<sup>3</sup> To better manage acute pain in the ED, effective low risk options are needed.

Acupuncture therapy shows promise as an effective pain-reducing, opioid-sparing option for acute pain. Evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs)<sup>8-11</sup> support the use of acupuncture in the ED for reducing acute pain.<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, evidence-based, nonpharmacologic options such as acupuncture therapy are supported or recommended as part of comprehensive pain care by U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ),<sup>13,14</sup> the Food and Drug Administration (FDA),<sup>15</sup> the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS),<sup>16</sup> the Joint Commission,<sup>17</sup> the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP).<sup>18,19</sup> Further, acupuncture is recommended as a first-line treatment option for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain by the American College of Physicians (ACP)<sup>20</sup> and the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP).<sup>21</sup>

While guidelines are slow to impact clinical practice in medicine,<sup>22,23</sup> ongoing research as well as dissemination of research evidence is crucial. Research into acupuncture effectiveness has moved from efficacy trials of very specific patient sets, using rote acupuncture prescriptions and non-inert sham acupuncture as a comparator<sup>24-26</sup> to more pragmatic trials: comparing acupuncture therapy to another therapy or usual care in a real-world settings with diverse real-world patients.<sup>27-29</sup> Acupuncture is now recognized as a complex intervention with interacting components. Researchers have adapted the Medical Research Council's guidance of 2000<sup>30</sup> and 2008<sup>31</sup> to develop and evaluate complex interventions like acupuncture therapy.<sup>32</sup> Modifying a Delphi process developed by the RAND Corporation for convergence of expert opinion within topic areas,<sup>33</sup> a consensus-based acupuncture intervention protocol can be created. Sometimes called 'manualization', this expert consensus process<sup>34</sup> seeks to strike a balance between standardization and flexibility in acupuncture research. Session parameters and acupoint options are standardized for replicability but are flexible enough to be responsive to diverse presentations and as they evolve through time.<sup>35-40</sup> The ACUITY team used a modified Delphi process in creating the responsive acupuncture protocol, detailed elsewhere.<sup>40</sup> Specifically, the process included creating an Acupuncture Advisory Panel (AAP) of nine acupuncture experts with 5-44 years of experience in acupuncture practice and 2-16 years of experience providing acupuncture in the acute pain care setting. Preliminary information from acupuncture trials for acute pain was assembled and disseminated to the AAP along with survey questions on session parameters and acupoints for specific acute pain conditions included in the trial. Responses were collated and intervention details were discussed, confirmed, and reconfirmed over three Zoom meetings.<sup>40</sup>

Consensus manualization of acupuncture therapy as a complex intervention in the ACUITY pragmatic trial resulted in a responsive acupuncture protocol: standardized acupuncture point options that are replicable while providing flexibility to respond to unique participant presentations.<sup>34-40</sup> While responsive manualizations allow acupuncture to be delivered in pragmatic trials and settings in a way that is akin to real-world clinical practice, adherence to protocol or 'fidelity' is not typically assessed or reported.<sup>41</sup> Treatment fidelity refers to the degree to which an

intervention is implemented as intended.<sup>42</sup> In general, while intervention research is required to report trial design and protocol details,<sup>43,44</sup> there appears to have been an assumption by peer reviewers that the design and protocols have been followed. Whether in randomized trials with simple standardized protocols or in randomized trials of complex healthcare interventions, to date, fidelity has been poorly addressed.<sup>45</sup> Fidelity to the intervention is critical to the reliability, validity, replicability, and scale-up of the results of an intervention research study.<sup>41</sup> Indeed, fidelity has not yet been included in the CONSORT extension for acupuncture trials: STRICTA (STandards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture).<sup>44</sup>

Acupuncture in the Emergency Department for Pain Management (ACUITY) was funded by the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health via an R01 grant (AT010598). The objectives were to: conduct a multi-center feasibility RCT, examine feasibility of data collection, develop/deploy a acupuncture intervention manualization, and assess feasibility/implementation (barrier/facilitators) in 3 EDs affiliated with the BraveNet Practice Based Research Network.

Here we report on the fidelity to ACUITY acupuncture protocol in the treatment of acute non-emergent musculoskeletal, back, pelvic, noncardiac chest, abdominal, flank or head pain presenting in the ED.

## **2. Methods**

An aim of ACUITY was to conduct a multi-site feasibility RCT of acupuncture in the ED to prepare for a future, definitive RCT. ACUITY focused on recruiting a diverse underserved population including Black/African Americans, Hispanics or Latinos, and those with less education attainment.<sup>46</sup> Another goal of ACUITY was to have the pragmatic delivery of acupuncture occur in various locations within the ED. Additional goals included assessing data quality completeness, evaluating participant recruitment and retention, and developing an acupuncture intervention protocol. The ACUITY team used a modified Delphi process in creating the responsive acupuncture manualization protocol, detailed elsewhere.<sup>40</sup>

ACUITY was launched at each trial site sequentially, hence trial acupuncturists were trained sequentially in all aspects of ACUITY including the study design,

acupuncture intervention protocols, study logistics, and REDCap electronic data capture forms for charting of session details.<sup>47,48</sup> The ACUITY team intentionally created a means to track fidelity where session details were recorded using REDCap session forms and acupoint option grids (Supplement 1 and 2).<sup>40</sup> Details of the intervention recorded by the acupuncturists included: steps or staging of care including evaluation, palpation and history of the condition and site, number of acupoints used, specific acupoints needed (whether local and distal were included; whether auricular points were used), needle retention time, whether general recommendations were given, and length of the session. Exporting the REDCap data to Excel allowed an accurate assessment of each acupuncturists' adherence to protocol for each of the intervention details, as well as an overall fidelity assessment for the trial. Tracking of the STRICTA items to assess fidelity to the responsive acupuncture manualization protocol was done by objective review (author AN) of all acupuncture sessions. Fidelity assessment was made by 3 authors (AN, NLD, JAD).

### **3. Results**

Across 3 recruiting sites, 83 participants were randomized to acupuncture (3 withdrew consent, 1 was unable to receive acupuncture due to a need for clinical imaging). Of the 79 participants who received acupuncture, characteristics were as follows: average age 45.1 years (16.0 SD), 51.9% women, 43% Black/African American, 13.9% Hispanic, 31.7% with a college degree or more education, 53.2 % with either Medicare or Medicaid. All participant demographics and characteristics are reported in Table 1. The primary acute pain site at baseline was varied with 32% low back, 22% extremity, 20% abdominal, 10% head, 8% multiple primary sites of pain and less than 5% each for flank, neck, and chest. Nearly one third of the participants had acute back pain as a primary pain site at baseline. Baseline pain and anxiety data (on the 0-10 Numeric Rating Scale) are also reported in Table 1.

INSERT TABLE 1

Approximately 71% of participants were treated in either a seated or seated and reclining position; 28.2% were able to be in a prone, supine, or lateral recumbent position. Compared to acupuncture delivered in private-practice clinical settings,

conditions were different in the ED environment wherein the position of the participant limited the delivery of the intervention in 40% of the sessions (see Table 2). Pragmatically, participants were treated in various ED locations including common areas (52%), private rooms (39%), and semi-private rooms (9%).

## INSERT TABLE 2

Table 3 illustrates the six components which were used to assess fidelity to the protocol. The components are equally weighed and include 1) staging of care; 2) needling sites (number and name); 3) needle retention time; 4) acupuncture session length; 5) whether general recommendations were provided to participants at the session end and 6) completion of the acupuncture session form. First, the steps/staging of care included talking with the participant about the presenting problem(s), including location and nature of pain, range of motion (ROM) observation, palpation of regions and 'channels', selection of acupoints, and so on.<sup>40</sup> Second, the expected number of needling sites ranged from 1-18, ACUITY fidelity allowed for up to 2 additional sites, for a potential total of 20 sites. Two sessions reported 21 and 22 sites respectively resulting in 97.4% (77/79) adherence to protocol. Third, the expected needle retention time was 15-30 minutes with the fidelity definition (+/-5 minutes) allowing for a range of 10-35 minutes. Three sessions did not report needle retention time. The resulting fidelity to protocol for needle retention time was 96.2% (76/79). Fourth, the expected session length was 30-60 minutes with a fidelity definition (+/- 10 minutes) allowing for session to be from 20-70 minutes. One session did not report session length and one session included an ultrasound deviating from the session length expectation resulting in 97.4% (77/79) fidelity. Fifth, general recommendations of basic self-care relative to traditional East Asian Medicine and acute pain included general moderation of food and diet, water and remaining hydrated as well as general movement, activity or exercise precautions and general breathing awareness. These recommendations were given in 97.4% (77/79) of sessions. Sixth, completion of the acupuncture session form and points grid is necessary for fidelity tracking, and we set a 95% completion rate as the threshold for adherence to form completion allowing for a few minor details to be missing.<sup>49</sup> With that threshold, ACUITY had 100% form completion adherence to the protocol. Finally, averaging the six components



resulted in an overall rate of 98.1% adherence to the responsive acupuncture manualization protocol (See Table 3).

INSERT TABLE 3

In addition to calculating the adherence to the protocol, we report averages by each recruiting site (University Hospital [UH], Vanderbilt University Medical Center [VUMC], University of California San Diego [UCSD]). In terms of acupuncture needling details, we report the number of acupoint sites that were needled (see Table 4). The overall mean number of sites was 13.2 (range of 2-22) and minimal differences across centers. The overall average needle retention time was 23.5 min (range 4-52 min) with UCSD having the longest average needle retention duration. The overall mean session length was 40.3 min (range 20-66) with UH having the shortest average session duration. Finally, auricular acupuncture was recommended for each participant within the session, with the option for needling and/or to use ear seeds (extended auricular acupressure) as a means of extending the session. More than half (55.7%) of participants received some form of auricular therapy with either needles, ear seeds, or both. However, while ACUITY session forms tracked which ear points were used, they did not require the acupuncturists to distinguish between needles or seeds within a session. These details will be charted in the acupuncture documentation for the larger trial. VUMC charted the least use and UCSD had the highest use of auricular therapy.

INSERT TABLE 4

Traditional acupuncture therapy emphasizes use of both local and distal points relative to the site of pain. However, the location of some sessions in the ED and limitations to accessing acupoints due to participants' physical position meant some sessions were not 'ideal' in terms of local and distal point access. Sessions accessing only distal points were at 41.8%; with 58.2% using local and distal points (see Table 5). Private room locations for sessions facilitated use of both local and distal points.

INSERT TABLE 5

The charting by acupuncturists in REDCap (Supplements 1 and 2) created a record of session details that informed tracking of fidelity to the manualization parameters.<sup>40</sup> Supplement 3 provides the frequency of 'recommended lead points'<sup>40</sup> for all acute pain conditions for participants who received acupuncture (n = 79). Frequency (% of participants) of acupoints recommended specifically for acute low back pain (n = 30), in addition to the recommended lead points, is also reported in Supplement 3. The level of detail provided in Supplements 1, 2, 3 and 4 supports the reliability of the acupuncture manualization protocol, the ability of the acupuncturist to chart session details, and compliance with STRICTA guidelines. The STRICTA table including fidelity to protocol items is detailed in Supplement 4.

INSERT SUPPLEMENTS links 1, 2, 3 and 4.

#### **4. 1 Discussion**

ACUITY was a multi-site, feasibility RCT conducted to prepare for a future, definitive RCT of acupuncture for pain relief in the ED. As fidelity of acupuncture interventions is not routinely assessed or reported in RCTs<sup>44</sup>, two innovations of ACUITY were to (1) develop a responsive manualization of acupuncture therapy protocol for treatment of various pain conditions and (2) reliably track the study acupuncturists' adherence, or fidelity to the manualization protocol. Importantly, objective review found ACUITY acupuncturists had a 98% adherence to the responsive acupuncture manualization. What is considered adequate, high, or low fidelity to an acupuncture intervention in an acupuncture trial has not been established, or even discussed in the literature. One interpretation in 'health behavior change' trials is that fidelity > 80% reflects 'high fidelity' whereas < 50% signals 'low fidelity'.<sup>50</sup> ACUITY reports high fidelity to specific treatment parameters of steps and staging of care including evaluation, palpation and history of the condition and pain site(s), completing session forms: number of acupoints used, specific acupoints needed, (whether local and distal included, whether auricular points used), needle retention time, whether general recommendations were given

and length of the session. Despite environmental (such as the space being too loud) and treatment limitations (such as the patient seated in a chair or wheelchair), ACUITY acupuncturists were successfully able to adhere to the acupuncture protocol in the session.

Fidelity to an intervention is critical to the reliability, validity, replicability, and scale-up of the results of an intervention research study.<sup>41</sup> While not yet required in the STRICTA guidelines,<sup>44</sup> fidelity reporting was added to the updated CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) Statement extension (2017) for 'Nonpharmacologic Treatments': 'whether and how' fidelity or adherence to interventions is assessed or enhanced.<sup>51</sup> There has been movement to assess and report intervention fidelity in some complex interventions that also use manualizations such as behavioral research,<sup>52,53</sup> surgery,<sup>54</sup> quality improvement,<sup>55</sup> manual therapies<sup>56,57</sup> and mind-body<sup>58</sup> therapies. We propose that assessment of fidelity to be added as a STRICTA extension in acupuncture trial reporting.

Our detailed responsive acupuncture manualization protocol and REDCap session forms facilitated tracking and reporting the high degree of fidelity to intervention, including reporting of the frequency of use of acupoints. The authors make no conclusions about acupoint selection except to report high fidelity to the acupuncture protocol even if a session intervention was not 'ideal' due to the limitations of a session location (such as being in a common area) and/or access to participants' acupoints (such as the participant being seated). While it is theoretically ideal to utilize both local and distal points in private practice or clinical ambulatory settings, we found that use of both was reported in 58.2% of the sessions due to limitations noted above. We found that regardless of the body site of reported pain, the most common points utilized for all pain locations were LI 4 right (67%) and LI 4 left (53%). Bilateral LI 4 and LV 3, known as 'four gates' (in the web of the hand between the thumb and first finger, similarly at the web of the foot) are often used together and were among the recommended 'lead' points for all acute pain conditions. LI 4 was used more on the right and LV 3 was used less than LI 4; this may have been due to acupoint access limitations. BL 62 is commonly used and a recommended aLBP lead point, and GB 34 was an optional point. Yet, GB 34 was used roughly 1/3 of the time and BL 62 slightly less often. Tracking

fidelity and reporting high fidelity to intervention not only supports the feasibility determination for this multi-site pilot study,<sup>59</sup> but the methods of fidelity assessment can be used to support future research including a large multi-site RCT of acupuncture for acute pain in the ED.

#### *4.2 Limitations*

As discussed above, two main challenges for ACUITY acupuncturists were the (1) location within the ED for delivering acupuncture (52% of sessions were in common areas) and (2) limitations to accessing specific acupoints due to participants' physical position (such as treatment in a chair). In the purest sense, some acupuncture sessions were not 'ideal' due to these limitations even though a high fidelity to ACUITY acupuncture protocol was maintained. Because ACUITY was launched at each trial site sequentially with site-specific training, orientation and ramp up, there was not the opportunity to engage the acupuncture teams in cross-site meetings during study recruitment. Such meetings would enable the individual assessing fidelity (author AN) to uncover issues as they may occur during the RCT. In the future RCT, the fidelity assessor will regularly meet with the acupuncture teams to encourage certain treatment options, assess adherence to the protocol and to maintain consistency of optimal care across multiple settings and groups of providers for a longer period of time and for a larger recruited sample.

#### **4.3 Conclusion**

To the best of our knowledge, ACUITY is the first RCT to report fidelity to an acupuncture protocol. Reporting 98% adherence to intervention supports the feasibility of this multi-site study. Assessing and reporting fidelity will be fundamental for ACUITY2, a future definitive multi-site RCT of acupuncture in the ED for acute pain. Fidelity to the intervention is critical to the reliability, validity, replicability, and scale-up of any intervention research results. Fidelity assessment and reporting is a suggested addition to CONSORT and STRICTA research guidelines for acupuncture trials.

## **Acknowledgments**

CWRU/UH Center: Megan Quesada LAc, Christine Kaiser DACM LAc, Samuel Rodgers-Melnick MPH MT-BC, Dennis Vroom MS

VUMC Center: Karen Miller RN MPA, Alexandra Dimidik MS, LaKeysha Wiggins AAS, Adam Turner BS, Jake Sturgill BS, Chongbin Zhu PhD

UCSD Center: Erin Raskin DACM LAc, Amanda Walker MA, Daisy Cruz BA, Alejandra Reyes LAc

Einstein Data Coordinating Center: Qi Gao PhD, Ryung Kim PhD

## **Financial support:**

### **Author contributions:**

Conceptualization: AN, JAD

Methodology: AN, JAD, CL, ND

Formal analysis: AN, ND

Investigation: AN, MDM, ND, JAD

Data Curation: AN, JAD, CL, ND

Writing - Original Draft: AN, ND

Writing - Review & Editing: AN, JAD, CL, ND, MDM

Project administration: AN, JAD, MDM

Funding acquisition: JAD, MDM

**Conflict of Interest Disclosure:** AN, JAD, CL, ND, MDM report no conflict of interest.

**Funding:** Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Center of Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award number R01AT010598 and U0AT010598.

The study sponsor had no role in the study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

**Data availability:** The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article [and/or its supplementary material].

## References

1. Mura P, Serra E, Marinangeli F, et al. Prospective study on prevalence, intensity, type, and therapy of acute pain in a second-level urban emergency department. *J Pain Res.* 2017;10:2781-2788. doi:10.2147/jpr.s137992
2. Daoust R, Paquet J, Cournoyer A, et al. Side effects from opioids used for acute pain after emergency department discharge. *Am J Emerg Med.* Apr 2020;38(4):695-701. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2019.06.001
3. Daoust R, Paquet J, Marquis M, et al. Efficacy of prescribed opioids for acute pain after being discharged from the emergency department: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med.* Dec 2023;30(12):1253-1263. doi:10.1111/acem.14790
4. Santo L, Schappert SM. Opioids Prescribed to Adults at Discharge From Emergency Departments: United States, 2017-2020. *NCHS Data Brief.* Jan 2023; (461):1-8.
5. Floyd SB, NcGarby S, Cordero Romero S, et al. Emergency Department Alternatives to Opioids: Adapting and Implementing Proven Therapies in Practice. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* Jan 10 2023;20(2)doi:10.3390/ijerph20021206
6. Daoust R, Paquet J, Marquis M, et al. Evaluation of Interventions to Reduce Opioid Prescribing for Patients Discharged From the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Network Open.* 2022;5(1):e2143425-e2143425. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.43425
7. Chen Q, Maher CG, Han CS, et al. Continued Opioid Use and Adverse Events Following Provision of Opioids for Musculoskeletal Pain in the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Drugs.* Nov 2023;83(16):1523-1535. doi:10.1007/s40265-023-01941-1
8. Jan AL, Aldridge ES, Rogers IR, Visser EJ, Bulsara MK, Niemtzw RC. Review article: does acupuncture have a role in providing analgesia in the emergency setting? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Emerg Med Australas.* Oct 2017;29(5):490-498. doi:10.1111/1742-6723.12832
9. Jan AL, Aldridge ES, Rogers IR, Visser EJ, Bulsara MK, Niemtzw RC. Does Ear Acupuncture Have a Role for Pain Relief in the Emergency Setting? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Med Acupunct.* Oct 1 2017;29(5):276-289. doi:10.1089/acu.2017.1237
10. Chia KL, Lam RPK, Lam CK, Tsui SH. Acupuncture in the emergency department: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Acupunct Med.* Mar 26 2018;36(3):183-192. doi:10.1136/acupmed-2017-011547
11. Sakamoto JT, Ward HB, Vissoci JRN, Eucker SA. Are non-pharmacologic pain interventions effective at reducing pain in adult patients visiting the Emergency Department? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med.* Mar 15 2018;25(8):940-957. doi:10.1111/acem.13411
12. Nielsen A, Dusek JA, Taylor-Swanson L, Tick H. Acupuncture Therapy as an Evidence-Based Nonpharmacologic Strategy for Comprehensive Acute Pain Care: The Academic Consortium Pain Task Force White Paper Update. *Pain Med.* 2022;23(9):1582-1612. doi:10.1093/pm/pnac056

13. Skelly AC, Chou R, Dettori JR, et al. Noninvasive Nonpharmacological Treatment for Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review Update. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 227. AHRQ Publication No. 20-EHC009. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, April 2020; Update June 22, 2022. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Accessed January 10, 2024. <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/noninvasive-nonpharm-pain-update/research>
14. Chou R, Wagner J, Ahmed AY, et al. Treatments for Acute Pain: A Systematic Review. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 240; AHRQ Publication No. 20(21)-EHC006; update June 22, 2022. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; December 2020 Accessed January 10, 2024.
15. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Education Blueprint for Health Care Providers Involved in the Treatment and Monitoring of Patients with Pain October 2023. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Updated October 2023. Accessed January 10, 2024. <https://www.fda.gov/media/173774/download>
16. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Pain Management Inter-Agency Task Force Report: Best Practices: Inter-Agency Updates, Gaps, Inconsistencies, and Recommendations U.S. Dept Health & Human Services. Accessed January 10, 2024. <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/pmtf-final-report-2019-05-23.pdf>
17. The Joint Commission. The Joint Commission R3 Report: Requirement, Rational, Reference. Pain assessment and management standards for hospitals. The Joint Commission. Accessed January 10, 2024. [https://www.jointcommission.org/-/media/tjc/documents/standards/r3-reports/r3\\_report\\_issue\\_11\\_2\\_11\\_19\\_rev.pdf](https://www.jointcommission.org/-/media/tjc/documents/standards/r3-reports/r3_report_issue_11_2_11_19_rev.pdf)
18. Motov S, Strayer R, Hayes BD, et al. The Treatment of Acute Pain in the Emergency Department: A White Paper Position Statement Prepared for the American Academy of Emergency Medicine. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2018/05/01/ 2018;54(5):731-736. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2018.01.020>
19. Jaquis WP. Management of Acute and Chronic Pain: CDC Request for Comment. American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP). Accessed January 30, 2024. <https://www.acep.org/siteassets/new-pdfs/advocacy/acep-response-to-cdc-rfi-on-pain-management.pdf>
20. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, Forcica MA. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. Feb 14 2017;166(7):514-530. doi:10.7326/m16-2367
21. American Academy of Family Physicians. AAFP Clinical Practice Guideline: Low Back Pain. American Academy of Family Physicians. Accessed January 10, 2024. <https://www.aafp.org/family-physician/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all-clinical-recommendations/back-pain.html>
22. Jermini-Gianinazzi I, Blum M, Trachsel M, et al. Management of acute non-specific low back pain in the emergency department: do emergency physicians follow the guidelines? Results of a cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open*. Aug 4 2023;13(8):e071893. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2023-071893
23. Roseen EJ, Conyers FG, Atlas SJ, Mehta DH. Initial Management of Acute and Chronic Low Back Pain: Responses from Brief Interviews of Primary Care Providers. *J Altern Complement Med*. Mar 2021;27(S1):S106-s114. doi:10.1089/acm.2020.0391

24. Lee B, Kwon C-Y, Lee HW, et al. Different Outcomes According to Needling Point Location Used in Sham Acupuncture for Cancer-Related Pain: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2023;15(24):5875.
25. Birch S, Lee MS, Kim TH, Alraek T. Historical perspectives on using sham acupuncture in acupuncture clinical trials. *Integr Med Res*. Mar 2022;11(1):100725. doi:10.1016/j.imr.2021.100725
26. Lee Y-S, Kim S-Y, Lee H, Chae Y, Lee MS. ACURATE: A guide for reporting sham controls in trials using acupuncture. *J Evid Based Med*. 03/23 2023;16doi:10.1111/jebm.12524
27. Hohenschurz-Schmidt D, Kleykamp B, Draper-Rodi J, et al. Pragmatic trials of pain therapies - a systematic review of methods. *Pain*. 04/21 2021;in pressdoi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002317
28. Weinfurt KP, Hernandez AF, Coronado GD, et al. Pragmatic clinical trials embedded in healthcare systems: generalizable lessons from the NIH Collaboratory. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. Sep 18 2017;17(1):144. doi:10.1186/s12874-017-0420-7
29. National Institutes of Health. Rethinking Clinical Trials: Living Textbook of Pragmatic Clinical Trials. Accessed January 20, 2024. <http://rethinkingclinicaltrials.org/>
30. Campbell M, Fitzpatrick R, Haines A, et al. Framework for design and evaluation of complex interventions to improve health. *BMJ*. 2000;321(7262):694-696. doi:10.1136/bmj.321.7262.694
31. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2008;337:a1655. doi:10.1136/bmj.a1655
32. Schnyer RN, Allen JJ. Bridging the gap in complementary and alternative medicine research: manualization as a means of promoting standardization and flexibility of treatment in clinical trials of acupuncture. *J Altern Complement Med*. Oct 2002;8(5):623-34. doi:10.1089/107555302320825147
33. Hsu CC, Sandford BA. The Delphi technique: making sense of consensus. *Pract Assess Res Eval*. 2007;12(10):1-8.
34. MacPherson H, Schroer S. Acupuncture as a complex intervention for depression: a consensus method to develop a standardised treatment protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Complement Ther Med*. Jun 2007;15(2):92-100. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2006.09.006
35. Schnyer RN, Wayne PM, Kaptchuk TJ, Cheng X, Zhang Z, Stason WB. Standardization of individualized treatments in a randomized controlled trial of acupuncture for stroke rehabilitation. *J Altern Complement Med*. Mar 2006;12(2):106-9. doi:10.1089/acm.2006.12.106
36. Smith CA, Grant S, Lyttleton J, Cochrane S. Using a Delphi consensus process to develop an acupuncture treatment protocol by consensus for women undergoing Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) treatment. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. Jul 07 2012;12:88. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-12-88
37. Citkovitz C. Acupuncture during stroke rehabilitation: development of a manual for researching a complex intervention (Doctoral Dissertation, University of Westminster). 2015.
38. Nielsen A, Anderson B, Citkovitz C, et al. Developing and employing a 'responsive manualization' in the 'Acupuncture Approaches to Decrease Disparities in Outcomes of Pain Treatment' comparative effectiveness study. *Acupunct Med*. Mar 22 2019;37(3):184-191. doi:10.1177/0964528419834015



39. Nielsen A, Ocker L, Majd I, et al. Acupuncture intervention protocol: Consensus process for a pragmatic randomized controlled trial of acupuncture for management of chronic low back pain in older adults, an NIH HEAL Initiative funded project. *Glob Adv Health Med*. 2021;10:2164956121100709. doi:10.1177/21649561211007091
40. Nielsen A, Olson J, Quesada M, et al. Acupuncture intervention for acute pain in the Emergency Department trial: a consensus process. *Acupunct Med*. Aug 2022;40(4):339-346. doi:10.1177/09645284221076507
41. Feely M, Seay KD, Lanier P, Auslander W, Kohl PL. Measuring Fidelity in Research Studies: A Field Guide to Developing a Comprehensive Fidelity Measurement System. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*. 2018/04/01 2018;35(2):139-152. doi:10.1007/s10560-017-0512-6
42. Murphy SL, Gutman SA. Intervention Fidelity: A Necessary Aspect of Intervention Effectiveness Studies. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*. 2012;66(4):387-388. doi:10.5014/ajot.2010.005405
43. Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *PLoS Med*. Mar 24 2010;7(3):e1000251. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000251
44. MacPherson H, Altman DG, Hammerschlag R, et al. Revised Standards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA): Extending the CONSORT statement. *J Evid Based Med*. Aug 2010;3(3):140-55. doi:10.1111/j.1756-5391.2010.01086.x
45. McGee D, Lorencatto F, Matvienko-Sikar K, Toomey E. Surveying knowledge, practice and attitudes towards intervention fidelity within trials of complex healthcare interventions. *Trials*. Sep 19 2018;19(1):504. doi:10.1186/s13063-018-2838-6
46. Dusek JA, Kallenberg GA, Hughes RM, et al. Acupuncture in the emergency department for pain management: A BraveNet multi-center feasibility study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Mar 4 2022;101(9):e28961. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000028961
47. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. Apr 2009;42(2):377-81. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
48. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform*. Jul 2019;95:103208. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208
49. Ginsburg LR, Hoben M, Easterbrook A, Anderson RA, Estabrooks CA, Norton PG. Fidelity is not easy! Challenges and guidelines for assessing fidelity in complex interventions. *Trials*. May 29 2021;22(1):372. doi:10.1186/s13063-021-05322-5
50. Borrelli B. The Assessment, Monitoring, and Enhancement of Treatment Fidelity In Public Health Clinical Trials. *J Public Health Dent*. Winter 2011;71(s1):S52-s63. doi:10.1111/j.1752-7325.2011.00233.x
51. Boutron I, Altman DG, Moher D, Schulz KF, Ravaud P. CONSORT Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments: A 2017 Update and a CONSORT Extension for Nonpharmacologic Trial Abstracts. *Ann Intern Med*. Jul 4 2017;167(1):40-47. doi:10.7326/m17-0046
52. Baker J, Stringer H, McKean C. Ensuring treatment fidelity in intervention studies: Developing a checklist and scoring system within a behaviour change paradigm. *Int J Lang Commun Disord*. Sep 16 2023;doi:10.1111/1460-6984.12955

53. Craig SL, Pascoe RV, Iacono G, Pang N, Pearson A. Assessing the Fidelity of an Affirmative Cognitive Behavioral Group Intervention. *Res Soc Work Pract.* May 2023;33(4):375-389. doi:10.1177/10497315221110865
54. Akpoviroro O, Castagne-Charlotin M, Joyce NP, Malikova MA. Assessment of the Relationship Between Protocol Adherence, Study Complexity and Personnel in Surgical Clinical Trials. *Ther Innov Regul Sci.* Jul 2023;57(4):619-628. doi:10.1007/s43441-023-00506-4
55. MacEachern L, Ginsburg LR, Hoben M, et al. Developing a tool to measure enactment of complex quality improvement interventions in healthcare. *BMJ Open Qual.* Feb 2023;12(1)doi:10.1136/bmj-oq-2022-002027
56. Salamh P, Cook C, Reiman MP, Sheets C. Treatment effectiveness and fidelity of manual therapy to the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Musculoskeletal Care.* Sep 2017;15(3):238-248. doi:10.1002/msc.1166
57. Larson ER, Kinkead B, Edwards SA, et al. Model structure for protocol adherence utilizing a manualized therapeutic massage intervention. *J Complement Integr Med.* Oct 12 2018;16(2)doi:10.1515/jcim-2016-0118
58. Robins JL, Jallo N, Kinser PA. Treatment Fidelity in Mind-Body Interventions. *J Holist Nurs.* Jun 2019;37(2):189-199. doi:10.1177/0898010118800573
59. Dusek JA, Kallenberg GA, Storrow AB, et al. Acupuncture in the Emergency Department for Pain Management (ACUITY): Results from a BraveNet Multi-Center Feasibility Study. *Under review.* 2024;