

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Corrigendum to “Beyond Sedlis—A novel histology-specific nomogram for predicting cervical cancer recurrence risk: An NRG/GOG ancillary analysis” [Gynecologic Oncology 162 (2021) 532-538]

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8dk959zs>

Journal

Gynecologic Oncology, 163(3)

ISSN

0090-8258

Authors

Levinson, Kimberly
Beavis, Anna L
Purdy, Christopher
et al.

Publication Date

2021-12-01

DOI

10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.09.017

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Gynecol Oncol. 2021 December ; 163(3): 616–617. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.09.017.

Corrigendum to “Beyond Sedlis—A novel histology-specific nomogram for predicting cervical cancer recurrence risk: An NRG/GOG ancillary analysis” [*Gynecologic Oncology* 162 (2021) 532–538]

Kimberly Levinson^{a,*}, Anna L. Beavis^a, Christopher Purdy^b, Anne F. Rositch^c, Akila Viswanathan^a, Aaron H. Wolfson^d, Michael G. Kelly^e, Krishnansu S. Tewari^f, Leah McNally^g, Saketh R. Guntupalli^h, Omar Ragabⁱ, Yi-Chun Lee^j, David S. Miller^k, Warner K. Huh^l, Kelly J. Wilkinson^m, Nicola M. Spirtosⁿ, Linda Van Le^o, Yovanni Casablanca^p, Laura L. Holman^q, Steven E. Waggoner^r, Amanda N. Fader^a

^aJohns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States

^bNRG Oncology, Clinical Trial Development Division, Biostatistics & Bioinformatics, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY, United States

^cJohns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, United States

^dSylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, Miami, FL, United States

^eWake Forest Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, NC, United States

^fUniversity of California Irvine, Orange, CA, United States

^gDuke Cancer Institute, Durham, NC, United States

^hUniversity of Colorado Anschutz, Aurora, CO, United States

ⁱKeck School of Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, United States

^jSUNY Health Science Center at Brooklyn, Brooklyn, NY, United States

^kUniversity of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, TX 75390-9032, United States

^lUniversity of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States

^mUniversity of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, United States

ⁿWomen’s Cancer Center, Las Vegas, NV, United States

^oUniversity of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, United States

^pWalter Reed National Medical Center, Bethesda, MD, United States

^qUniversity of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Stephenson Cancer Center, 800 Northeast Tenth St., Oklahoma City, OK, United States

^rCase Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, United States

*Corresponding author. klevins1@jhmi.edu (K. Levinson).

The authors regret that the version of Table 3 originally published in this article was incorrect. The online version has now been updated and the correct table can be found below.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3. Comparison of 3 yr RFS, nomogram recurrence risk, and Sedlis criteria for predictor variable combinations.

	Vascular Invasion	Invasion Depth	Tumor Size	SCC			AC		
				RFS (3 yr, CI)	Sedlis Criteria (+/-)	nomogram recurrence risk	RFS (3 yr, CI)	Sedlis Criteria (+/-)	nomogram recurrence risk
N		Superficial	(< 2 cm)	0.98 (0.96,1)	-	<5%	0.96 (0.90, 1.00]	-	<5%
N		Middle	(< 2 cm)	0.91 (0.87,0.95)	-	9%	0.97 (0.92, 1.00]	-	<5%
N		Deep	(< 2 cm)	0.86 (0.79,0.93)	-	16%	0.95 (0.87, 1.00]	-	7%
N		Superficial	(2-4 cm)	0.97 (0.95,1)	-	<5%	0.86 (0.70, 1.00]	-	14%
N		Middle	(2-4 cm)	0.88 (0.84,0.93)	-	11%	0.89 (0.77, 1.00]	-	11%
N		Deep	(2-4 cm)	0.82 (0.75,0.89)	-	18%	0.83 (0.66, 1.00]	-	17%
N		Superficial	(4 cm)	0.94 (0.9,0.99)	-	10%	0.81 (0.59, 1.00]	-	19%
N		Middle	(4 cm)	0.78 (0.7,0.86)	+	18%	0.85 (0.70, 1.00]	+	16%
N		Deep	(4 cm)	0.66 (0.58,0.75)	+	25%	0.77 (0.56, 1.00]	+	22%
Y		Superficial	(< 2 cm)	0.97 (0.94,0.99)	-	<5%	0.88 (0.71, 1.00]	-	15%
Y		Middle	(< 2 cm)	0.86 (0.8,0.92)	-	12%	0.91 (0.78, 1.00]	-	12%
Y		Deep	(< 2 cm)	0.78 (0.7,0.88)	+	20%	0.85 (0.70, 1.00]	+	18%
Y		Superficial	(2-4 cm)	0.96 (0.92,1)	-	6%	0.65 (0.32, 1.00]	-	32%
Y		Middle	(2-4 cm)	0.82 (0.76,0.89)	+	14%	0.71 (0.48, 1.00]	+	29%
Y		Deep	(2-4 cm)	0.73 (0.65,0.81)	+	21%	0.58 (0.36, 0.96]	+	35%
Y		Superficial	(4 cm)	0.91 (0.84,0.99)	+	16%	0.55 (0.19, 1.00]	+	39%
Y		Middle	(4 cm)	0.67 (0.57,0.79)	+	24%	0.63 (0.37, 1.00]	+	36%
Y		Deep	(4 cm)	0.52 (0.43,0.64)	+	31%	0.47 (0.24, 0.95]	+	42%