

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title

Strategies to Detect Hidden Geothermal Systems Based on Monitoring and Analysis of CO2 in the Near-Surface Environment

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/88m1f9h4>

Authors

Lewicki, Jennifer L.
Oldenburg, Curtis M.

Publication Date

2008-06-16

Peer reviewed

Strategies to Detect Hidden Geothermal Systems Based on Monitoring and
Analysis of CO₂ in the Near-Surface Environment

Jennifer L. Lewicki^{a,*} and Curtis M. Oldenburg^a

^a Earth Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road,
Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

* Corresponding author. Fax: 510-486-5686; E-mail address: jllewicki@lbl.gov

Abstract

We investigate the potential for CO₂ monitoring in the near-surface environment as an approach to exploration for hidden geothermal systems. Numerical simulations of CO₂ migration from a model hidden geothermal system show that CO₂ concentrations can reach high levels in the shallow subsurface even for relatively low CO₂ fluxes. Therefore, subsurface measurements offer an advantage over above-ground measurements which are affected by winds that rapidly disperse CO₂. To meet the challenge of detecting geothermal CO₂ emissions within the natural background variability of CO₂, we propose an approach that integrates available detection and monitoring techniques with statistical analysis and modeling.

Keywords: Hidden geothermal system; Exploration; carbon dioxide; flux; concentration; Numerical simulation; Statistics; Basin and Range

1. Introduction

Worldwide, most commercial geothermal projects have been developed in or near areas of surface manifestations (e.g., hot springs, geysers, fumaroles). Also, the majority of hydrothermal systems with obvious surface expressions in the U.S. have been explored to determine their development potential. Discovery of new geothermal systems will therefore require exploration of areas where the resources are either hidden or lie at greater depths than presently known reservoirs. As a result, research must be geared toward the development of novel exploration techniques to locate these less-obvious geothermal systems.

We define “hidden” geothermal systems as those with no apparent surface features. Emissions of moderate-to-low solubility gases (e.g., CO₂, He, CH₄) may be one of the primary near-surface signals from these systems. Therefore, the detection of anomalous gas emissions related to hidden geothermal systems may be an important tool to discover new resources (Klusman et al., 2000). We focus our study on the detection and monitoring of CO₂ in the near-surface environment as an approach to discover hidden geothermal systems because (1) CO₂ is the major non-condensable gas present in geothermal systems (e.g., Ellis and Mahon, 1977), (2) due to its moderate solubility in water, CO₂ from volcanic-hydrothermal sources tends to migrate to the near-surface in the gaseous phase in equal or greater proportion than dissolved in groundwater (e.g., Cruz et al., 1999; Favara et al., 2001; Evans et al., 2002), and (3) a broad range of technologies is available to monitor CO₂ in the near-surface environment. Importantly, however, CO₂ is produced in the near surface by a variety of biologic and hydrologic processes, and

identifying CO₂ from hidden geothermal resources will involve monitoring a system with large variation in fluxes and concentrations arising from these processes. The detection of anomalous CO₂ will therefore require searching for potential geothermal anomalies, likely of small magnitude, over areas of tens of km² or more within the varying background CO₂ fluxes and concentrations. Here, we present integrated measurement, modeling, and analysis strategies designed to meet this challenge.

2. CO₂ in known volcanic and hydrothermal systems

Numerous diffuse CO₂ degassing studies have been conducted in known (i.e., “visible”) volcanic and hydrothermal environments, many of which focused on characterizing the magnitude and spatial distribution of CO₂ emissions. Table 1 summarizes the maximum (and average, if applicable) surface CO₂ fluxes and soil CO₂ concentrations measured in selected known systems. As shown, the maximum CO₂ fluxes and concentrations measured varied widely, but could reach up to ~75,000 g m⁻²d⁻¹ and 90 vol.%, respectively. Both elevated CO₂ fluxes and concentrations were commonly associated with faults, fractures, and eruptive fissures within the study areas. While surface CO₂ emission rates from hidden geothermal systems will likely be lower than those measured in known volcanic and hydrothermal systems, the preferential pathways for CO₂ flow from depth to the near surface should be similar.

3. Simulations of geothermal CO₂ migration

We conducted numerical simulations to evaluate the magnitudes and spatial distribution of anomalous near-surface CO₂ concentrations and fluxes that might result when CO₂ leaks from a hidden geothermal system at depth. These simulations were carried out to provide information we can then use to design and evaluate potential detection and monitoring methods.

We use the numerical code T2CA (Oldenburg and Unger, 2004), a research module of TOUGH2 (Pruess et al., 1999). T2CA retains the fundamental porous media flow and transport capabilities of TOUGH2, and models five components (water, brine, CO₂, a gas tracer, and air) under isothermal or non-isothermal conditions. The main advance in T2CA is the implementation of a simple atmospheric transport and dispersion capability for dilute gases based on the variable-K theory (Arya, 1999). That is, a constant time-averaged logarithmic wind velocity profile is specified for advection above the ground surface, and atmospheric dispersion is modeled as a diffusion process with variable diffusivity as a function of height. In this way, T2CA models coupled subsurface and atmospheric surface layer gas flow and transport.

The geologic framework of the modeled hidden geothermal system is based loosely on an arid Basin and Range Province system like the Dixie Valley (Nevada) geothermal system, only without any surface manifestations. In particular, we consider a two-dimensional system in which an alluvial fan covers a geothermal anomaly associated with deep range-bounding faults so that it is essentially invisible at the surface (Fig. 1). Within this system, we model the upward migration of CO₂ from a small (15 m²) region that represents the top of a conductive fault, located near the water table. The CO₂ spreads in the heterogeneous system (i.e., the alluvial fan) as it migrates upward until it

seeps out at the ground surface. The grid consists of 67 15-m gridblocks in the x -direction, and 31 4-m gridblocks in the z -direction. We do not consider the effects of infiltration of meteoric water to simplify models and focus attention on arid Basin and Range-type environments. Additional properties of the system are presented in Table 2.

We consider both a homogeneous permeability case, along with five realizations of heterogeneous permeability generated using simulated annealing. The heterogeneous permeability cases are significant because gas migration will tend to follow high-permeability pathways. Here, permeability is characterized by a mean value of 10^{-12} m^2 , and varies by four orders of magnitude. There is a 45-m correlation length in the x -direction, and no correlation in the z -direction, thereby creating a layered structure intended to represent an alluvial fan. We simulated source strength CO_2 fluxes of 5.76, 57.6 and $576 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ which will produce much smaller surface fluxes as the CO_2 spreads during migration from the source region to the ground surface. For reference, the largest source CO_2 flux we consider is similar to the highest surface CO_2 fluxes measured at Dixie Valley (Table 1). The source fluxes were arbitrarily chosen to produce small CO_2 seepage fluxes, and are significantly lower than those measured in known/visible volcanic and hydrothermal systems (e.g., Table 1). We chose to model this low range of values to test the limits of available technologies for near-surface CO_2 detection. We present results for 200 years of simulation, at which point the gas seepage flux and concentrations are nearly steady.

To emphasize the key simulation results relevant to design of a near-surface CO_2 monitoring program, we present coupled CO_2 subsurface migration and surface-layer mixing for one of our five heterogeneous permeability realizations, high source CO_2 flux,

and constant wind speeds of 1 and 3 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Figure 2 shows that the gas in the plume is essentially pure CO₂ in the subsurface, even though the source CO₂ flux is quite small. Conversely, surface-layer CO₂ concentrations only reach maximum values of ~10⁻⁶ mole fraction (1 ppmv) for both wind speeds considered, indicating that surface-layer winds are capable of diluting CO₂ concentrations to very small values above the ground surface. For reference, natural ambient CO₂ concentration is approximately 360 ppmv. We note that stagnant conditions and topographic depressions are moderating effects that could allow higher CO₂ concentrations to develop, although these effects are not modeled here. In general, under low to moderate wind speed conditions, the relatively high simulated CO₂ concentrations observed at the ground surface and in the subsurface suggest that monitoring of CO₂ in these regions may have greater potential to detect anomalous CO₂ of geothermal origin than above-ground techniques.

Figure 3 shows horizontal profiles of CO₂ concentration at 3 m depth and surface CO₂ flux for the low, medium, and high source CO₂ fluxes and one heterogeneous permeability realization. These profiles all show a similar increase and then decrease in concentration and flux crossing the CO₂ plume. Maximum CO₂ concentrations for the low, medium, and high source strengths are about 2 x 10⁴, 2 x 10⁵ and 7 x 10⁵ ppmv, respectively, whereas maximum surface CO₂ fluxes are about 4 x 10⁻⁴, 0.52, and 100 g m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively. These profiles also show that the width of the CO₂ plume increases with increasing source strength. If the source area of CO₂ emission were increased (i.e., to simulate more diffuse, rather than focused CO₂ flow), the magnitude of the near-surface CO₂ concentration and flux signals would decrease, while the width of the anomaly would increase. Relative to the homogeneous permeability cases (not shown

here), fluxes along the heterogeneous profiles are more spatially variable and maximum observed fluxes may be greater or lower, depending on whether high or low permeability was assumed, respectively. Complete results for the five heterogeneous permeability realizations, homogeneous permeability, and three source CO₂ flux values can be found in Lewicki and Oldenburg (2004).

4. Background CO₂

We define “background” CO₂ as CO₂ derived mainly from the atmosphere and biologically mediated oxidation of organic carbon. Background soil CO₂ fluxes and concentrations are primarily dependent on CO₂ production in the soil by biological processes, flow of CO₂ from biologic/hydrologic sub-soil sources into the soil column, and exchange of CO₂ with the atmosphere by concentration and pressure-driven transport processes (diffusion and advection, respectively).

Biologically produced CO₂ in soils (i.e., soil respiration) is derived from root respiration and oxidative decay of organic matter. While many factors may regulate soil respiration rates, changes in atmospheric and soil temperature and soil moisture have been shown to strongly influence these rates and related CO₂ concentrations and fluxes (e.g., Wiant, 1967a; Wiant 1967b; Kucera and Kirkham, 1971; Alexander, 1977; Amundson and Smith, 1988; Osozawa and Hasegawa, 1995). CO₂ that enters soil from sub-soil sources can be derived from groundwater degassing of CO₂ that in turn was derived from respiration, atmospheric, and carbonate mineral sources. Also, production of CO₂ at sub-soil depths can occur by oxidative decay of relatively young or ancient

(peat, lignite, kerogen) organic matter in the vadose zone. Exchange of CO₂ from subsurface sources with the atmosphere can occur by diffusion and/or advection. Diffusive flow depends on the gas production rate and soil temperature, moisture, and properties such as porosity. Advective flow can be driven by changes in atmospheric temperature, pressure, wind, and rainfall (e.g., Schery et al., 1984; Hinkle 1994; Massmann and Farrier, 1992; Lewicki et al., 2003b).

The chemical and isotopic compositions of gases collected at soil and sub-soil depths provides information on CO₂ production and the source of this CO₂ (Table 3). CO₂ concentration profiles measured as a function of depth in the vadose zone can yield information about CO₂ production. For example, an increase in CO₂ concentration with depth below the soil indicates CO₂ production at sub-soil depths. Production of CO₂ by oxidative decay of organic matter tends to consume O₂ at a similar rate. Also, atmospheric O₂ will diffuse down into the soil and sub-soil as subsurface CO₂ diffuses to the atmosphere. A flux of geothermal CO₂ would produce elevated CO₂ concentration at depth, relative to the atmosphere, but would not be accompanied by O₂ consumption, although atmospheric O₂ would diffuse down into the soil. Based on these general processes, the CO₂ and O₂ concentration profiles should be different, depending on whether a geothermal flux is present. One might expect groundwater degassing of respiration and atmospheric-derived CO₂ to show similar chemical trends within the vadose zone to degassing of geothermal CO₂. However, vadose zone CO₂ concentrations produced by groundwater degassing and biological respiration processes have generally been reported to be less than 13 vol.% CO₂ (e.g., Wood and Petraitis, 1984; Amundson and Davidson, 1990; Wood et al., 1993), whereas near-surface CO₂ concentrations

associated with geothermal emissions could be much higher. For example, simulated geothermal source CO₂ fluxes of 57.6 to 576 g m⁻² d⁻¹ produce maximum near-surface CO₂ concentrations of ~20–70 vol.% (2×10^5 to 7×10^5 ppmv, Fig. 3). Importantly, however, the maximum near-surface CO₂ concentration produced by a source CO₂ flux of 5.76 g m⁻² d⁻¹ is only predicted to be ~2 vol.% (2×10^4 ppmv, Fig. 3), which could be problematic to distinguish from background CO₂ concentrations. Table 3 also shows that the ranges of typical carbon isotopic compositions of geothermal CO₂ are distinct from those whose dominant sources are background biological CO₂. Here, carbon-13 values are reported as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, the deviation in parts per thousand (‰) of the ¹³C/¹²C ratio in the sample from that of the Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) reference standard. Carbon-14 values are reported as $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$, the deviation, in parts per thousand of the ¹⁴C/¹²C ratio in the sample from that of the reference standard (oxalic acid decay corrected to 1950). Analysis of the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ compositions of gases collected from the soil and, in particular, from the sub-soil where biologic CO₂ production rates are low can serve as a tool to distinguish between CO₂ of geothermal and background origins.

5. Instrumentation for monitoring CO₂

A broad range of technologies is available to measure near-surface CO₂ concentrations and fluxes to detect anomalous CO₂ of geothermal origin. These technologies include (1) the infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) for measurement of concentrations at point locations, (2) the accumulation chamber (AC) method for measuring soil CO₂ fluxes at point locations, (3) the eddy covariance (EC) method for

measuring net CO₂ flux over a given area, (4) hyperspectral imaging of vegetative stress resulting from elevated CO₂ concentrations, and (5) light detection and ranging (LIDAR) that can measure CO₂ concentrations over an integrated path in the air column. These techniques differ from one another in terms of the spatial and temporal scale of the measurement, measurement sensitivity and error, and cost. Here, we focus on the relatively low-cost and reliable IRGA, AC, and EC methods for CO₂ concentration and flux measurements.

5.1. Infrared gas analyzer

The infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) is an instrument commonly used to measure CO₂ concentration in subsurface or atmospheric air. The measurement is based on CO₂ absorption of infrared radiation. Portable IRGAs are available for use in the field and can make single or continuous measurements over time; each measurement is made within about one second to minutes, depending on the instrument. IRGAs are available to measure CO₂ concentration over a low range (e.g., 0-1000 ppmv) or over a high range (e.g., 0-100 vol.%). The precision and accuracy can be as good as ± 0.2 ppmv at 350 ppmv and $\pm 1\%$, respectively. The cost of equipment may range from ~\$500 to \$30,000.

Soil or subsoil gas CO₂ concentrations can be rapidly measured at many point locations within a large area using a probe and a portable IRGA. Using this method, a probe is driven down to the depth of interest, gas is pumped from the soil or sub-soil into the IRGA by an internal pump, and CO₂ concentration is measured.

5.2. Accumulation chamber method

IRGAs may be coupled with additional instrumentation to obtain surface CO₂ fluxes. For example, the accumulation chamber (AC) method (e.g., Chiodini et al., 1998) is used to measure soil CO₂ flux using an AC and an IRGA. An AC with an open bottom (cm² scale) is placed on the soil surface, the contained air is circulated through the AC and the IRGA, and the rate of change of CO₂ concentration in the AC is measured by the IRGA (Fig. 4). The flux of CO₂ (F) is then calculated according to

$$F = \left(\frac{\rho V}{A} \right) \left(\frac{d[CO_2]}{dt} \right) \quad (1)$$

where ρ is the molar density of air, V is the volume of the measurement system, and A is the area of the AC footprint. The accuracy of the AC method was estimated to be -12.5 % due to alteration of gas flow by the AC (Evans et al., 2001); precision is ± 10 % (Chiodini et al., 1998). Each AC measurement is typically made by one person within several minutes, allowing for many measurements to be made over relatively short periods of time under variable terrain conditions. The cost of the portable AC instrumentation typically ranges from $\sim \$15,000$ to $\$25,000$.

5.3. Eddy covariance method

Eddy covariance (EC) (Baldocchi, 2003 and references therein) is a technique whereby high-frequency measurements of atmospheric CO₂ concentration at a fixed

height above ground are made by an IRGA, along with micrometeorological variables such as wind velocity, temperature, and relative humidity (Fig. 5). Integration of these measurements provides a gross conservation of energy and mass over an area of land (the EC footprint) from which the net CO₂ flux is derived. The method essentially involves time averaging the product of the time series of fluctuating CO₂ concentration and vertical wind velocity. Under steady-state conditions and for sufficiently long averaging time, this converges to the ensemble mean flux. The measured vertical CO₂ flux is an integral of the surface flux over the upwind footprint (typically m² to km²), the size of which scales with the measurement height, and is also dependent on meteorological conditions. One advantage of EC is that it provides a spatially and temporally averaged measurement, potentially providing more representative flux data and allowing for more efficient monitoring strategies than point measurements can provide. A limitation of the EC method is that it assumes a horizontal and homogeneous surface, and violations of this assumption can introduce significant error into the measurement. However, under acceptable terrain and meteorological conditions, estimates of daytime and nighttime error are generally less than 7 and 12%, respectively (e.g., Moore, 1986; Soegaard et al., 2000; Berger et al., 2001). The cost of EC equipment can range from ~\$15,000 to \$40,000.

6. Strategies for detection of geothermal CO₂

To meet the challenge of detecting potentially small-magnitude geothermal CO₂ emissions within the natural background variability of CO₂, we propose an approach that

integrates available detection and monitoring techniques with statistical analysis and modeling strategies. Overall, we advocate a monitoring strategy that initially focuses on rapid, economical, reliable measurements of CO₂ concentrations in the soil and potentially in pre-existing wells and/or gradient holes within the study area, and surface CO₂ fluxes using the AC method. Our objective is to minimize the number of these measurements and then focus more time- and cost-intensive methods on “high-probability” anomalies of geothermal origin.

Even small geothermal source CO₂ fluxes are expected to produce high CO₂ concentrations within the vadose zone (see Section 3). As a result, if wells and/or gradient holes already exist within the area targeted for geothermal exploration, they should be sampled for CO₂ concentration. These measurements may be particularly useful because they will be made at sub-soil depths where background CO₂ input is usually lower than within the soil zone. If elevated CO₂ concentrations are measured within wells or gradient holes, further geochemical analyses (e.g., isotopic) should be conducted to determine the CO₂ source. Point measurements of soil CO₂ fluxes and concentrations should also be made along grids using the AC method and a portable IRGA, respectively. These measurements should be repeated at (at least) one site within the study area to characterize diurnal variation. If the study area satisfies the terrain requirements of the EC technique and the project budget permits, EC should be used to measure net surface fluxes. Importantly, using any of the above-mentioned techniques, particular attention should be paid to characterizing gas flow along pathways that are highly permeable to gas flow from depth to the near surface, such as faults and fractures. For example, soil CO₂ concentrations and fluxes should be made within grids along

fault/fracture zones at close sample spacing to characterize the spatial distribution and magnitude of CO₂ signals associated with these areas.

To obtain a baseline data set against which potential anomalous geothermal CO₂ emissions can be compared, the spatial and temporal variability of soil CO₂ fluxes and concentrations should also be quantified within a background area with similar geologic, climatic, and ecosystem characteristics to the area targeted for geothermal exploration. Bayesian statistical analysis of CO₂ concentration and flux measurements in both the area targeted for geothermal exploration and the background study area should be used to identify the presence (or absence) of CO₂ anomalies with high statistical confidence, and estimate the number of measurements required to do this (e.g., Bayes, 1763; Lewicki and Oldenburg, 2004). Also, geostatistical methods should be used to map the spatial distribution of soil CO₂ concentrations and fluxes, and these parameters' autocorrelation and cross-correlation coefficients. These maps can be used to discern spatial patterns that may be indicative of geothermal CO₂ emissions and estimate total CO₂ emission rates from the study areas (e.g., Lewicki et al., 2005).

Once CO₂ concentrations and fluxes have been determined to be anomalous with high statistical confidence, more expensive sampling of gas profiles with depth through the vadose zone, and chemical and isotopic analyses could be undertaken. In particular, an increase in CO₂ concentration with depth would indicate deep CO₂ emissions. Also, the carbon-13 and carbon-14 values of subsurface CO₂ should distinguish CO₂ of geothermal from background origin. Integrated analysis of all measurements can be used to determine if CO₂ derived from a deep geothermal source is present, and if so, the spatial extent of the anomaly.

7. Conclusions

The properties of CO₂, methods for detection and monitoring of this gas, and the ranges of natural background CO₂ fluxes and concentrations are generally well known. We are also able to gain some insight into expected near-surface CO₂ concentrations and fluxes resulting from CO₂ migration and seepage from hidden geothermal reservoirs using numerical simulation. In particular, modeling suggests that shallow subsurface CO₂ concentrations can become very large even for modest CO₂ fluxes, and that mean surface winds are very effective at dispersing CO₂ emissions. Nevertheless, detecting small anomalous geothermal CO₂ fluxes and concentrations within natural background CO₂ variations poses a challenge. The exploration strategy that we propose to find geothermal CO₂ involves integrated measurement and statistical analysis to understand the natural background system. Once this understanding is achieved, integrated measurement, modeling, and sampling technologies can be applied toward the characterization of CO₂ within the study area targeted for exploration. If CO₂ concentrations and fluxes are suggestive of the presence of CO₂ derived from a geothermal source, the area should be investigated further by more cost- and time-intensive vertical profile sampling and isotopic analyses. Integrated analysis of all measured data can be used to determine if CO₂ derived from a deep geothermal source is present, and if so, the spatial extent of the anomaly. The appropriateness of further geophysical measurements, installation of deep wells, and geochemical analyses of deep

fluids can then be decided based on the results of the near surface CO₂ monitoring program.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Geothermal Technologies, of the U.S. Department of Energy, and by the Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098. We thank C. Doughty (LBNL) and B.M. Kennedy (LBNL) for constructive reviews of this paper.

References

- Alexander, M., 1977. Introduction to Soil Microbiology, 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Amundson, R.G., Davidson, E.A., 1990. Carbon and nitrogeneous gases in the soil atmosphere. J. Geochem. Explor. 38, 13-41.
- Amundson, R.G. Smith, V.S., 1988. Annual cycles of physical and biological properties in an uncultivated and irrigated soil in the San Joaquin Valley of California. Agriculture, Ecosystems, Env., 20, 195–208.
- Arya, S.P., 1999. Air Pollution Meteorology and Dispersion. Oxford University Press.
- Baldocchi, D., 2003. Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: past present, and future. Global Change Biology, 9, 479-492.

- Bayes, T., 1763. An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. Lond.*, 53, 370–418.
- Berger, B.W., Davis, K.J., Yi, C., Bakwin, P.S., Zhao, C., 2001. Long-term carbon dioxide fluxes from a very tall tower in a northern forest: flux measurement methodology. *J. Atm. Ocean Technol.*, 18, 529-542.
- Bergfeld, D., Goff, F., Janik, C.J., 2001. Elevated carbon dioxide flux at the Dixie Valley geothermal field, Nevada; relations between surface phenomena and the geothermal reservoir. *Chem. Geol.*, 177, 43-66.
- Cardellini, C., Chiodini, G., Frondini, F., Granieri, D., Lewicki, J., Peruzzi, L., 2003. Accumulation chamber measurements of methane fluxes: application to volcanic-geothermal areas and landfills. *Appl. Geochem.*, 18, 45-54.
- Chiodini, G., Cardellini, C., Frondini, F., Granieri, D., Marini, L., Ventura, G., 2001. CO₂ degassing and energy release at Solfatara volcano. Campi Flegrei, Italy, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 16,213–16,221.
- Chiodini, G., Cioni, G. R., Guidi, M., Raco, B., Marini, L., 1998. Soil CO₂ flux measurements in volcanic and geothermal areas. *Appl. Geochem.*, 13, 543–552.
- Cruz, J.V., Couthinho, R.M., Carvalho, M.R., Oskarrson, N., Gislason, S.R., 1999. Chemistry of waters from Furnas volcano, Sao Miguel, Azores: fluxes of volcanic carbon dioxide and leached materials. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 92, 151-167.
- Ellis, A.J. Mahon, W.A.J., 1977. *Chemistry and Geothermal Systems*. Academic Press, New York.
- Evans, W. C., Sorey, M.L., Cook, A.C., Kennedy, B.M., Shuster, D.L., Colvard, E.M., White, L.D., Huebner, M.L. 2002. Tracing and quantifying magmatic carbon

- discharge in cold groundwaters: lessons learned from Mammoth Mountain, USA. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 114, 291–312.
- Evans, W.C., Sorey, M.L., Kennedy, B.M., Stonestrom, D.A., Rogie, J.D., Shuster, D.L., 2001. High CO₂ emissions through porous media: Transport mechanisms and implications for flux measurement and fractionation. *Chem. Geol.*, 177, 15-29.
- Favara, R., Giammanco, S., Inguaggiato, S., Pecoraino, G. 2001. Preliminary estimate of CO₂ output from Pantelleria Island volcano (Sicily, Italy): evidence of active mantle degassing. *Appl. Geochem.*, 16, 883-894.
- Gerlach, T.M., Doukas, M.P., McGee, K.A., Kessler, R., 2001, Soil efflux and total emission rates of magmatic CO₂ at the Horseshoe Lake tree kill, Mammoth Mountain, California. *Chem. Geol.*, 177, 101-116.
- Hernandez, P.A., Salazar, J.M., Shimoike, Y., Mori, T., Notsu, K., Perez, N., 2001. Diffuse emission of CO₂ from Miyakejima volcano, Japan. *Chem. Geol.*, 177, 175-185.
- Hinkle, M.E., 1994. Environmental conditions affecting concentrations of He, CO₂, O₂, and N₂ in soil gases. *Appl. Geochem.*, 9, 53–63.
- Klusman, R.W., Moore, J.N., LeRoy, M.P., 2000. Potential for surface gas flux measurements in exploration and surface evaluation of geothermal resources, *Geothermics*. 29, 637-670.
- Koepenick, K.W., Brantley, S.L., Thompson, J.M., Rowe, G.L., Nyblade, A.A., Moshy, C., 1996. Volatile emissions from the crater and flank of Oldoinyo Lengai volcano, Tanzania. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 13,819-13,830.
- Kucera, C.L., Kirkham, D.L., 1971. Soil respiration studies in tallgrass prairie in

- Missouri. *Ecology*, 52, 912–915.
- Lewicki, J.L. Oldenburg, C.M., 2004. Strategies for detecting hidden geothermal systems by near-surface gas monitoring. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Report LBNL-56895.
- Lewicki, J.L. Bergfeld, D., Cardellini, C., Chiodini, G., Granieri, D., Varley, N., Werner, C., in press, 2005. Comparative soil CO₂ flux measurements and geostatistical estimation methods on Masaya volcano, Nicaragua. *Bull. Volcanol.*
- Lewicki, J.L., Connor, C., St-Amand, K., Stix, J., Spinner, W., 2003a. Self-potential, soil CO₂ flux, and temperature on Masaya volcano, Nicaragua. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1817.
- Lewicki, J.L., Evans, W.C. Hilley, G.E., Sorey, M.L., Rogie, J.D., Brantley, S.L., 2003b. Shallow soil CO₂ flow along the San Andreas and Calaveras faults, California. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, ECV 3-1 – ECV 3-14.
- Massmann, J., and D. Farrier, 1992. Effects of atmospheric pressures on gas transport in the vadose zone. *Water Resour. Res.*, 28, 777–791.
- Moore, C.J., 1986. Frequency response corrections for eddy covariance systems. *Bound. Layer Meteorol.*, 37, 17-35.
- Oldenburg, C.M., Unger, A.J.A., 2004. Coupled vadose zone and atmospheric surface-layer transport of CO₂ from geologic carbon sequestration seepage simulation. *Vadose Zone J.*, 3, 848–857.
- Osozawa, S., Hasegawa, S., 1995. Diel and seasonal changes in carbon dioxide concentration and flux in an andisol. *Soil Science*, 160, 117–124.
- Pruess, K., Oldenburg, C., Moridis, G., 1999. TOUGH2 User's Guide Version 2.0.

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Report LBNL-43134.

- Schery, S. D., Gaeddert, D.H., Wilkening, M.H., 1984. Factors affecting exhalation of radon from a gravelly sandy loam. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89, 7299–7309.
- Soegaard, H., Nordstroem, C., Friborg, T., Hansen, B.U., Christensen, T.R., Bay, C., 2000. Trace gas exchange in a high-arctic valley, 3. Integrating and scaling CO₂ fluxes from canopy to landscape using flux data, footprint modeling, and remote sensing. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 14, 725-744.
- Sorey, M.L., Evans, W.C., Kennedy, B.M., Farrar, C.D., Hainsworth, L.J., Hausback, B., 1998. Carbon dioxide and helium emissions from a reservoir of magmatic gas beneath Mammoth Mountain. California, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 15,303-15,323.
- van Genuchten, M.T., 1980. A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 44, 892–898.
- Werner, C., Brantley, S.L., Boomer, K., 2000. CO₂ emissions related to the Yellowstone volcanic system 2. Statistical sampling, total degassing, and transport mechanisms. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 10,831-10,846.
- Wiant, H.V., 1967a. Influence of temperature on the rate of soil respiration. *J. For.*, 65, 489–490.
- Wiant, H.V., 1967b. Influence of moisture content on the “soil respiration”. *J. For.*, 65, 902–903.
- Williams-Jones, G., Stix, J., Heiligmann, M., Charland, A., Sherwood Lollar, B., Arner, N., Garzon, G., Barquero, V.J., Fernandez, E., 2000. A model of diffuse degassing at three subduction-related volcanoes. *Bull. Volcanol.*, 62, 130-142.
- Wood, W.W. Petraitis, M.J., 1984. Origin and distribution of carbon dioxide in the unsaturated zone of the southern high plains of Texas. *Water Resour. Res.*, 20, 1193–

1208.

Wood, B.D., Keller, C.K., Johnstone, D.L., 1993. In situ measurement of microbial activity and controls on microbial CO₂ production in the unsaturated zone. Water Resour. Res., 29, 647–659.

Table 1. Measured soil CO₂ fluxes and concentrations at selected locations.

Site	Maximum CO ₂ flux (g m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Average CO ₂ flux (g m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Maximum soil CO ₂ conc. (vol.%)	References
Solfatara crater, Italy	75,000	1520	na	Chiodini et al., 2001; Cardellini et al., 2003
Poggio dell'Ulivo cold degassing area, Italy	22,000	3911	na	Cardellini et al., 2003
Poas volcano, Costa Rica	140	na	16	Williams Jones et al., 2000
Arenal volcano, Costa Rica	291	na	7	Williams Jones et al., 2000
Masaya volcano, Nicaragua	50,000	na	na	Lewicki et al., 2003a
Oldoinyo Lengai volcano, Tanzania	1350	166	90	Koepenick et al., 1996
Yellowstone volcanic system, USA	30,000	Travertine areas: 89 Acid sulfate areas: 1200	90	Werner et al., 2000
Dixie Valley Geothermal Field, USA	570	na	na	Bergfeld et al., 2001
Mammoth Mountain, USA	>10,000	1500-2100	90	Gerlach et al., 2001; Sorey et al., 1998
Miyakejima volcano, Japan	18,150	na	na	Hernandez et al., 2001

Table 2. Properties of the coupled subsurface–surface-layer model system.

Property	Value
<i>Subsurface</i>	
Subsurface region extent ($x \times y \times z$)	1 km x 1 m x 124 m
Discretization ($N_x \times N_y \times N_z$)	67 x 1 x 31
Standard deviation of log k	0.70
Minimum, maximum k	1×10^{-14} , $1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2$
Correlation length of k in X -, Z -direction	45, 1 m
Porosity (ϕ)	0.2
Infiltration rate (i)	0. cm yr ⁻¹
Temperature (isothermal)	15°C
CO ₂ source location (x, y)	293.5 m, -86 m
Source CO ₂ migration rate	$1. \times 10^{-4}$, $1. \times 10^{-5}$, $1. \times 10^{-6} \text{ kg s}^{-1}$
Residual water sat. (S_{lr})	0.1
Residual gas sat. (S_{gr})	0.01
van Genuchten (1980) α	$1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Pa}^{-1}$
van Genuchten (1980) m	0.2
<i>Surface Layer</i>	
Surface-layer region extent ($x \times y \times z$)	1 km x 1 m x 62 m
Discretization ($N_x \times N_y \times N_z$)	67 x 1 x 31
Pressure in surface layer	1 bar
Temperature	15 °C
Velocity profile	Logarithmic
Reference velocity at $z = 10 \text{ m}$	1 or 3 m s ⁻¹
Friction velocity for $v = 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	0.0861 m s ⁻¹
Friction velocity for $v = 3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	0.261 m s ⁻¹
Roughness length (z_0)	0.1 m

Table 3. Chemical and isotopic signatures related to CO₂ derived from different sources.

CO ₂ source	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ (‰)	$\Delta^{14}\text{C}_{\text{CO}_2}$ (‰)	Near-surface CO ₂ conc.	CO ₂ conc. profile with depth	O ₂ conc. profile with depth
Atmosphere	-7	70	Low	na	na
Plant root respiration and oxidative decay of young soil organic matter	C ₃ : -24 to -38 C ₄ : -6 to -19	≥70	Low to moderate	Increasing through soil zone	Decreasing through soil zone
Oxidative decay of ancient organic matter	C ₃ : -24 to -38 Aquatic/C ₄ : -6 to -19 Also age dependent	Highly depleted to absent, depending on age	Low	Potentially increasing through vadose zone	Potentially decreasing through vadose zone
Marine carbonate rocks	0 ± 4	Absent	Low	Increasing through vadose zone	No effect
Geothermal	-2 to -6	Absent	Moderate to high	Increasing through vadose zone	No effect

Conc., C₃, and C₄, refer to concentration, C₃ plants, and C₄ plants, respectively. All near-surface concentrations given are general estimates; they are strongly dependent on the magnitude of the CO₂ flux.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Conceptual model for gas migration from a deep hidden geothermal system. Also shown is the outline (dashed) of the two-dimensional model domain (see Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Coupled CO₂ subsurface migration and surface-layer mixing at $t = 200$ years for one heterogeneous permeability realization, high source CO₂ flux ($576 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), and constant wind speeds of 1 m s^{-1} (upper plot) and 3 m s^{-1} (lower plot). CO₂ concentration is in mole fraction. Dashed horizontal line shows ground surface.

Fig. 3. Horizontal profiles of shallow subsurface CO₂ concentration ($[\text{CO}_2]$, 3 m depth) and surface CO₂ flux for low, medium, and high (5.76 , 57.6 , and $576 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively) CO₂ source flux, one heterogeneous permeability realization, and $t = 200$ years.

Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of an accumulation chamber (AC) measurement system of soil CO₂ flux. The air contained in the AC is circulated through the AC and the infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) and the rate of change of CO₂ concentration in the AC is measured by the IRGA and recorded by the computer (PC).

Fig. 5. Schematic diagram of an eddy correlation (EC) instrumentation tower to measure surface CO₂ flux. An (A) open-path IRGA, (B) high frequency response sonic anemometer, and (C) box containing power source and datalogger/PC are shown.











