## **UC Santa Barbara**

# **Himalayan Linguistics**

## **Title**

The locutor-referential pronoun in Zhoutun

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8752m8qq

## Journal

Himalayan Linguistics, 20(3)

## **Author**

Zhou, Chenlei

## **Publication Date**

2021

## DOI

10.5070/H920353482

## **Copyright Information**

Copyright 2021 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Peer reviewed



A free refereed web journal and archive devoted to the study of the languages of the Himalayas

## **Himalayan Linguistics**

The locutor-referential pronoun in Zhoutun

#### Chenlei Zhou

Chinese Academy of Social Sciences

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper explores a special pronoun, the locutor-referential pronoun *tha* in Zhoutun, a Tibetanized Chinese variant spoken in the Amdo Sprachbund. Two rules of the use of *tha* are found in this paper. Rule 1: If *tha* occurs in a complement clause of a speech verb, it refers to the internal locutor. Rule 2: If *tha* occurs in an environment other than a complement clause of a speech verb, it refers to the narrative locutor. If only rule 1 is followed, then *tha* can be considered a logophoric pronoun; however, *tha* is special in that it can also be used in the context to which rule 2 applies, a usage that does not fit the definition of a logophoric pronoun. The use of *tha* is not obligatory. An inherited form from Mandarin Chinese, the formation of the locutor-referential *tha* has to do with the contact with Amdo Tibetan and its probable evolving pathway is "third-person pronoun" logophoric pronoun" locutor-referential pronoun".

#### **KEYWORDS**

locutor-referential pronoun, Zhoutun, logophoric pronoun, Amdo Sprachbund

This is a contribution from *Himalayan Linguistics*, *Vol. 20(3): 169-184*. ISSN 1544-7502 © 2021. All rights reserved.

This Portable Document Format (PDF) file may not be altered in any way.

Tables of contents, abstracts, and submission guidelines are available at escholarship.org/uc/himalayanlinguistics

# The locutor-referential pronoun in Zhoutun\*

## Chenlei Zhou

Chinese Academy of Social Sciences

## 1 Introduction

This paper explores a special pronoun --tentatively termed as the "locutor-referential pronoun"--tha, in the Zhoutun vernacular (or 周屯话 zhoutunhua in Chinese), a Chinese variant spoken in the Amdo Sprachbund and strongly influenced by nearby Amdo Tibetan. tha is notable for two reasons. First, it seems to be used only in natural discourse, but in the data collected through elicitation during my fieldwork, no single instance was found. In other words, tha occurs in a limited context in Zhoutun. Second, such a "locutor-referential pronoun" is not reported in other Chinese dialects (including those which also located in the Amdo Sprachbund and deeply affected by Amdo Tibetan) or even in other languages¹. In literature, a similar notion is "logophoric pronoun", but the definition of logophoric pronoun does not cover the use of tha.

Given these two points, I believe that documenting the locutor-referential form and its usage in Zhoutun is important before its possible disappearance in the future (see section 4). Thus, although it is difficult to thoroughly test the usage rules and boundaries of *tha* and although the collected data containing *tha* are limited, it is nonetheless worthy of study. Before exploring the usage of *tha*, I would like to provide some background on Zhoutun in section 2.

#### 2 Zhoutun: An overview

# 2.1 Socialinguistic Context

The Zhoutun "vernacular" is a Northwest Chinese spoken by 800-900 people in Zhoutun Village, Guide County ( hri ka in Tibetan), Hainan Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, Qinghai Province, P.R. China. Unlike other Northwest Chinese dialects, Zhoutun was deeply influenced by Amdo Tibetan, and its basic word order was changed to a rigid SOV. In harmony with the SOV order, Zhoutun has a set of postpositions and morphological case markers that rarely exist in Mandarin Chinese which has SVO order.

Although the syntactic profile of Zhoutun has a number of features that can be classified into Tibetan-type, Zhoutun is a Northwest Chinese, rather than Tibetan. This is because the basic

<sup>\*</sup> This research is supported by European Research Council (ERC)-funded research project, "Tracing language and population mixing in the Gansu-Qinghai area", ERC-2019-AdG, 883700-TRAM. My thanks go to Kai Sun, reviewers and editors, any remaining errors are mine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although I did not find it in literature, it does be possible that such a pronoun exists or has been reported.

vocabulary and grammatical morphemes are derived from Chinese — they have clear cognates in Mandarin Chinese. But whether Zhoutun should be classified as a Chinese dialect, a distinct Sinitic language or a mixed language is still an open question, which largely depends on the criteria one would adopt to define a language or a dialect. In the study on Wutun, a similar vernacular to Zhoutun, also spoken in Qinghai Province and also under the huge influence of nearby non-Sinitic languages, Sandmann (2016: 2) argues that since it is unintelligible to the speakers who speak other Mandarin Chinese dialects, Wutun is defined by her as a "distinct Sinitic language" rather than a Chinese dialect. While it makes sense to consider "intelligibility", the question is whether intelligibility is the sole factor. In Chinese literature, a number of "unintelligible" variants are defined as Chinese dialects instead of distinct languages, such as Wu, Min, and Cantonese. The same is true for "mixed language"; people differ in the criteria of a mixed language. Regardless of which specific linguistic identity Zhoutun is defined as, I believe that the objective description of the Zhoutun grammar will not be affected by the terminological issue. And in this paper, I would conveniently use "Zhoutun" or "Zhoutun vernacular" to indicate the language spoken in Zhoutun Village.

Zhoutun data used in this study were collected during four trips to Zhoutun Village in September to October 2014, November 2014 to January 2015, August to September 2015, and in October 2020, for a total of nearly five months. The data were collected from two sources: purposive interview and natural discourse, which in turn includes interviews on specific topics, storytelling and daily conversations. In total, nearly 1,400 sentences were collected through purposive interview. And 17 stories, interviews, and daily conversations were recorded and transcribed (not yet strictly in phonetic transcription). The data contains over 25,000 words.

For more information on Zhoutun, one can refer to my series of work, i.e., Zhou 2016, 2019a, 2019b, 2020a, 2020b, 2021. In this research, I discuss the third-person pronoun and reflexive pronoun in Zhoutun, which are related to the current topic of the locutor-referential pronoun tha.

# 2.2 Third-person Pronouns

Zhoutun has two third-person pronouns, namely, *kur* and *atci*. Of the two, *kur* is also a demonstrative meaning 'that', e.g., *kur*  $_{l}\tilde{r}$  that people 'that person', *kur*  $_{l}\tilde{r}$  fu that one CL book 'that book'. The following are examples in which *kur* is used as a third-person pronoun.

kur is a subject with zero marking in (1a), while in (1b), it occurs in the object position and marked by the accusative marker xa/a ( $kur+a\rightarrow kua$ ). kur can also occupy the genitive position, the recipient position in ditransitive constructions, etc. To put it simply, kur has no limitation on the grammatical slot it can occupy. atci is another common third-person pronoun, but unlike kur, it cannot occur in the object position. Thus, the substitution of atci for kur in (1b) never happens. While

in other positions, e.g., genitive, recipient, subject, *atei* is free to occur. Another distinction between the two is that *kur* tends to (but not necessarily) refer to the object that appears on the spot, while *atei* can refer to the object that is not on the spot.

Of the two third-person pronouns, I hold that *kur* came from the demonstrative pronoun *kur*, which likely has to do with the demonstrative pronoun *kr* in old Chinese as well as some modern Chinese dialects, given that Mandarin *r* corresponds to *ur* in Zhoutun. For example, (Mandarin-Zhoutun) *r-ur* 'hungry'; *xr-xur* 'river'/ 'drink'; *kr-kur* 'cut'/ 'brother'/ 'song'. As for *atei*, the origin is unclear. I currently speculate that it might come from *teia*, the third person pronoun used in Qiaohua, a local variant of the Xining dialect spoken in Guide County and nearby villages, with the final a being dropped and the productive prefix *a-* in Zhoutun. However, further study is needed.

Notably, when asked whether the Mandarin Chinese third-person pronoun ta is used in Zhoutun or not, all my linguistic consultants gave a negative answer. Some of them further pointed out that ta is a Mandarin Chinese word but not a native Zhoutun word, and they never use it in their daily conversation. Interestingly, it was in the daily conversation with some of those consultants (who promised that they never use ta) that I observed the use of tha. The native speakers of Zhoutun appear to use the Mandarin Chinese element unwittingly while denying its existence in their language system. A more reasonable deduction is that tha in natural discourse is fundamentally different from ta as a Mandarin Chinese third-person pronoun. The former, though obviously originating from the latter, has already developed into a "locutor-referential pronoun" and therefore differentiates itself with the latter except that they are formally identical.

# 2.3 Reflexive Pronoun

In Zhoutun, the reflexive pronoun is *kurtcia*, which can be used as an argument (in (2)) or as an emphatic adverbial following the argument (in (3)).

- (2)kuγ teĩtsi li tha kurtcia lэ. tciã xrmirror LOC ABL self COMP PFV see 'S/he saw her/himself in the mirror.'
- (3) *liãteỹ kurteia eyr li tehi li.*Lianjun self school LOC go PART 'Lianjun is going to the school by herself.'

kurtcia is a common reflexive pronoun in Northwest Chinese (Cao 2008).

# 3 The Usage of tha

## 3.1 Rules for the Use of tha

Let us begin the discussion of the usage of *tha* by listing two examples in which *tha* might be recognized at first glance as an ordinary third-person pronoun or a logophoric pronoun.

- (4)  $tsaei_i$ lε lэ t¢ikã lэ, na хã tciw tsw Zhaxi PFV PFV come take **COMP** hurry at.once walk  $tha_i$ tchi li sur. **PART** tha go say 'Zhaxii came. (Hei) took (something) and hurried to go. (Hei) said that hei is leaving.'
- (5)  $\tilde{a}u\tilde{r}t\tilde{u}_i$ lэ, thε ka  $at \epsilon i_i$ tcio tsr xyAn Wendong PFV 3 teach **PROG** young **COMP** too tγ t¢iw tshutsũ, сіэсүү. tehitehim $\gamma_k$ only junior.middle.school elementary.school Qingqing:PL **NOMZ** li fã  $tha_i$ teio tcia Şi, sur. holiday begin COMP when tha teach **PART** say

'An Wendong<sub>i</sub> is too young. He<sub>j</sub> [i.e., a third person] only teaches the students in junior middle school and elementary school. When the students in the age of Qingqing<sub>k</sub> began their (winter) vacation, (he<sub>j</sub>) said that he<sub>j</sub> would teach them.' (Context: the speaker tells the addressee that another person, i.e., *atei* 'he', volunteered to teach kids in the village during winter vacation.)

In (4) tha refers to *tṣaei* (*Zhaxi* in pinyin), the producer of the utterance "*tchi li*". (5) involves three participants: *ãuỹtũ* (*An Wendong* in pinyin), *atei* 'he' and *tchĩtchĩ* (*Qingqing* in pinyin). In this more complicated context, the pronoun *tha* refers to the same participant as *atei*, i.e., the utterer of the words "*teiɔ li*".

One may wonder whether *tha* in both examples can be treated as an ordinary third-person pronoun used in the indirect speech context. This is plausible if one considers the context of Mandarin Chinese, in which the formally identical *ta* is used in indirect speech while *wo* 'I' is used in direct speech.

- (6) a. Zhaxi shuo ta lai le.

  Zhaxi say 3 come PFV

  'Zhaxi said that he came.'
  - b. Zhaxi shuo wo lai le.
    Zhaxi say 1 come PFV
    'Zhaxi said "I came".'

In the complement clause of the verbs of speech, thought, perception, etc., it is cross-linguistically very common to employ third-person pronouns in indirect reports. I argue, however, that tha in (4-5) is no ordinary third-person pronoun. First, Mandarin ta in indirect speech can refer to the speaker, but it can also refer to someone else. That is, the referent of Mandarin ta is based on pragmatics rather than syntax. That is, for the ta in (6a), it can refer to another person rather than Zhaxi. In contrast, it is a grammatical rule that tha in (4-5) refers only to Zhaxi and atci, respectively, not to someone else. Second, as discussed below, there are certain contexts in which tha cannot be translated as s/he but still refers to the producer of speech, thought, etc.

Now, we turn to an intriguing example in which tha can literally be translated as "I".

(7) $th\varepsilon$ сiэ si, tha sətshe tehi si şi, tha firewood when very young when pick go tha tcĩ kш, ри thũ ри рi рŧ. NEG tha strength NEG enough back COMP **PART** 'When I was very young, every time I went out to pick up firewood, I did not have enough physical strength to shoulder it.'

(7) is part of a narration in which the speaker recalls her childhood experience. Here, *tha* refers to the linguistically covert speaker.

In examples (8-9) below, the speakers "I" are overt.

(8) 
$$\eta r_i$$
  $t s r m r$   $t s u a$   $t s u a$   $t s u composition to the second point of the second point of$ 

'I<sub>i</sub> turned this way and went. Yuging saw me<sub>i</sub>, and (she) hurried to turn that way.'

In (8), there are two participants, i.e.,  $\eta r$  and  $\gamma tch\tilde{\imath}$ . Of the two, tha refers to  $\eta r$ , the utterer of the narrative speech. Note that (8) shows that tha can occupy an object slot, marked by the accusative marker xa. In (9) below, tha also refers to "I".

(9) 
$$yr_i$$
  $xif\tilde{a}$   $tshi$   $x\tilde{a}$   $ls$ ,  $mi$   $k\tilde{a}thu$ ,

1 supper eat COMP PFV not.have something.to.do

 $tr$   $tshutchi$   $ls$ ,  $kur$   $ts\tilde{a}$   $ls$ .

DM go.out PFV there stand PFV

 $tr$   $tha_i$   $ts\tilde{a}$   $ls$   $tsr$ ,  $mi$   $k\tilde{a}thu$   $tsr$ .

DM tha stand PFV PROG not.have something.to.do PROG ' $I_i$  finished the supper and found nothing to do. Then  $I_i$  went out and stood there, having nothing to do.'

Thus far, I have surveyed two types of contexts in which *tha* refers to "s/he" (as in (4-5)) and "I" (as in (7-9)). Arguably, *tha* is neither a third-person pronoun nor a first-person pronoun and fundamentally differs from the ordinary third-person pronoun *ta* in Mandarin Chinese.

With closer observation of the two types of contexts represented by examples (4-5) and (7-9), one can distinguish two kinds of speakers, or "locutors" adopted in this paper: the locutor who says something concrete represented as a complement clause of a speech verb (e.g., in *John said that he came here yesterday*, the locutor of *he came here yesterday* is *John*); and the locutor of a narration (e.g., in a narration such as *I came here yesterday and met John. I walked along with him. Then I...*, the locutor is I). For convenience and clarity, I henceforth call the first kind of locutor the "internal locutor" (IL), and the second kind of locutor the "narrative locutor" (NL). The IL and NL can be the same person, but they do not necessarily need to be. In, for example, *I met John yesterday and he said* 

to me that he bought a fantastic book, the IL of he bought a fantastic book is "John" while the NL of the whole narration is "I".

From (4-5) and (7-9), respectively, we can conclude two rules regarding the use of tha, as indicated in (10).

- (10) Rules for the use of *tha*:
  - Rule 1: If tha occurs in a complement clause of a speech verb, it refers to the IL.
  - Rule 2: If *tha* occurs in an environment other than a complement clause of a speech verb, it refers to the NL.

In natural discourse, however, the IL and NL frequently co-occur in the same context. Thus, both Rule 1 and Rule 2 can be activated, leading to a situation where *tha* in the same context refers to different locutors. For example:

(11)tshur tsa $\epsilon i_i$ t¢hi.  $tha_i$ sur lэ, ри vesterday Zhaxi NEG tha say **PFV** 2 NEG go tchi  $m\gamma$ ? thai tchi. ри go **PART** tha NEG go 'Zhaxi, did not go (to some place) yesterday. I, asked, "Didn't you, go?" (He, answered) Hei did not go.'

In (11), there are two kinds of locutors: the NL "I", which does not overtly appear but is the narrator of the narration, and the IL "Zhaxi", who is the speaker of the concrete content *pu tehi* 'not go'. In this situation, the first *tha*, following Rule 2, refers to the covert NL "I", while the second *tha*, following Rule 1, refers to the internal locutor "Zhaxi".

# 3.2 tha as a Locutor-referential Pronoun

In the section above, I described the use of *tha* and identified two rules for using it. But how do we define such a pronoun? Or straightforwardly, how do we term it? The most competitive candidate is "logophoric pronoun". Logophoric pronouns are "pronouns used to refer to the person whose words, thoughts, or emotions are being represented" (Culy 1997: 845). (12) illustrates the use of logophoric pronoun in Donno So (Culy 1994; cited from Huang 2007: 267):

- (12) a. Oumar Anta inyemeñ waa be gi.

  Oumar Anta LOG-ACC seen AUX said
  'Oumar<sub>1</sub> said that Anta<sub>2</sub> had seen him<sub>1</sub>.'
  - b. Oumar Anta won waa be gi.
    Oumar Anta 3SG-ACC seen AUX said
    'Oumar<sub>1</sub> said that Anta<sub>2</sub> had seen him<sub>3</sub>.'

In (12a), the logophoric pronoun *inyemeñ* refers to Oumar, the locutor of the words, while the third-person pronoun  $wo\tilde{n}$  in (12b) refers to someone other than Oumar. In contrast, for languages that do

not have logophoric pronouns, the distinction between (12a) and (12b) may not be successfully represented. See (13) from Mandarin Chinese.

(13) Zhangsan<sub>i</sub> shuo ta<sub>i/j</sub> lai le. Zhangsan say 3 come PFV 'Zhangsan<sub>i</sub> said that he<sub>i/j</sub> came.'

In (13), *ta* may refer to Zhangsan or someone else, depending on the particular context. Comparatively, *tha* in Zhoutun is paralleling with the logophoric pronoun in (12) rather than the third-person pronoun in (13). See (14).

(14) ητ tr anε<sub>i</sub> tha<sub>i</sub> mrmτ io tchi lo
1 GEN grandmother tha steamed.bun beg go PFV
u li.
say PART
'My grandmother<sub>i</sub> said that she<sub>i</sub> went to beg for steamed buns.'

In (14), tha refers to yr tr ane 'grandmother' but not someone else.

Logophoric pronouns were first found in African languages and thus introduced then to linguistic research; they also appear in some European languages, such as the Finnish and High Latvian dialects (Clements 1975; Culy 1997; Huang 2000; Nau 2006). More recently, scholars began to find them in Asian languages: in the Southern Hokkaido dialects of Ainu in Japan (Bugaeva 2008), in Amdo Tibetan (Ebihara 2014), in Khams Tibetan (Sun 2019) and in Nuosu Yi (Liu & Li 2016). The very fact that the logophoric pronouns are found in Amdo Tibetan, the donor language that deeply influenced Zhoutun, provides a possibility that *tha* in Zhoutun is also a logophoric pronoun and may, in one way or another, relate to the logophoric pronouns in Amdo Tibetan. However, a closer examination would reveal that the use of *tha*, i.e., the use disciplined by Rule 2 in (10), is not covered by the definition of the logophoric pronoun.

In the cross-linguistic studies on logophoric pronouns, Culy (1997: 848) points out that "logophoric pronouns occur only in the complements of certain predicates, and which predicates license logophoric pronouns varies from language to language." He (Culy 1994) shows that there is "a cross-linguistic implicational hierarchy of predicates that license logophoric pronouns", as shown in (15).

(15) A hierarchy of logophoric licensing predicates (Culy 1994: 1062; cited from Culy 1997: 848) speech > thought > knowledge > direct perception

That is, for example, a language that allows "knowledge" predicates as logophoric licensors will also have "thought" and "speech" predicates as logophoric licensors, but not vice versa. No matter what predicates a language may have as logophoric licensors, a logophoric pronoun should "occur in the complements of certain predicates", as claimed by Culy. But, as shown in Rule 2 and exemplified by (7)–(9), tha may appear as arguments of independent clauses. It is therefore problematic to term tha as a "logophoric pronoun".

Then, what about the term "reflexive pronoun"? As mentioned in 2.2, the properties that both *kurteia*, the reflexive pronoun, and *tha* can serve as an argument and that they both have some kind

of "self-referentiality" meaning provide a possibility that *tha* is also a reflexive pronoun. However, a key difference makes this possibility unlikely, i.e., a reflexive pronoun calls for an antecedent, usually in the same clause, for example:

In (16) *kurteia* refers to "Yulin", the antecedent in the same clause, but not "Zhaxi", the one outside the clause. In contrast, *tha* can refer to a unit that belongs to another clause or another sentence, see (17).

In (17), if *tha* were a reflexive pronoun, it should refer to "Yuqing" in the same clause rather than "I" in another sentence.

Given that neither "logophoric" nor "reflexive" pronoun is appropriate, I would propose the term "locutor-referential pronoun" in this paper to identify *tha*. Locutor-referential pronouns would be defined as "pronouns used to refer to the internal locutor (IL) of a clause and/or the narrative locutor (NL) of a narration." As for which type of locutor a locutor-referential pronoun refers to, it depends on where the pronoun occurs: If it occurs in a complement clause of a speech verb, it refers to the IL; otherwise, it refers to the NL. Of course, the rules that determine the referents of a locutor-referential pronoun might vary from language to language (if there are other languages that have such a pronoun). Compared to "logophoric pronouns", "locutor-referential pronouns" cover a broader range of function in that the latter can refer to both IL and NL, while logophoric pronouns only refer to IL.

# 3.3 The Nonobligatory Use of tha

Using *tha*, with the two rules for its use, however, is not obligatory. That is, when a context meets the condition in which *tha* is expected to be used, *tha* does not necessarily occur. See the examples below.

- (18)1xuthu тã sã phĩphie lε  $at \epsilon i_i$ na хã thereafter 3 bottle **PART** three take **COMP** come phĩphie lэ,  $\eta \gamma_i$ i kγ 1õ тã, sã PFV 1 three bottle CLPART one person li xux хã mγ. drink **COMP PART PART** 2- $\eta \gamma_i$ teĩ tshw  $ni_i$ thiã i xa.  $\eta a_i$ take COMP 2 1:DAT day 1 one one jin one хã lε. teĩ na take jin **COMP** come 3 $at \epsilon i_i$ pusãpusi  $t\gamma$ ma tsr.  $\eta a_i$ 3 dirty.words **ADVM** 1:DAT **PROG** curse .....[an interval with other contents] tcĩ хã kγ sã na lэ,  $tha_i$ i three jin take **COMP** come PFV LRP CLone teĩ 18 sã хã xux ри a. three person jin drink NEG **COMP PART**  $tha_i$ i teĩ tshw,  $ni_i$  $tha_i$ i thiã i teĩ 2 LRP jin take LRP one one day one jin lε. хã na take **COMP** come li pusãpusi  $at \epsilon i_i$  $tha_i$ tsui tsymy хa 3 dirty.words LRP DAT mouh LOC this.way  $t \gamma$ ma tsr. **ADVM** curse **PROG** '[Line 1-3] Thereafter he; took three bottles of wine here. I; am just one person, and (I<sub>i</sub>) cannot drink up three bottles of wine. (I<sub>i</sub> said) "I<sub>i</sub> will only take one jin, and youi take one jin a day to mei." Hei cursed mei with dirty words... [Line 4-6] (He<sub>i</sub> took) three jin (of wine) here. I<sub>i</sub> cannot drink up three jin (of wine) alone. (I<sub>i</sub> said) "I<sub>i</sub> will only take one jin, and you<sub>i</sub> take one jin a day to me<sub>i</sub>." He<sub>i</sub> cursed me<sub>i</sub> with dirty words.'
- (18) is an excerpt extracted from a narration. Interestingly, the locutor repeated the same content of what he said after an interval. The contents in Lines 1-3 and 4-6 are basically the same; Lines 1 and 4, Lines 2 and 5 and Lines 3 and 6 are three pairs with similar meanings. One can easily find that the NL yr in Line 1, the IL yr in Line 2 and the NL yr in Line 3 become *tha* in Lines 4-6, respectively, showing that the use of *tha* is optional and that ordinary personal pronouns can be used in the same context.

The following (19) is another example of the non-obligatory use of *tha*.

```
(19) 1-
                                                        li,
            k \gamma
                    netsi
                                         сiã
                                                                   ри
                                                                             iэ
                                                                                        ia,
                               ри
            this
                    milk
                               NEG
                                                        PART
                                                                   NEG
                                                                                        PART
                                         taste.sweet
                                                                             want
            an\varepsilon
                                    kγ
                                            netsi
                                                     а
                                                                pи
                                                                         iэ,
                                    this
            grandmother
                                            milk
                                                     ACC
                                                                NEG
                                                                         want
       2-
            t\gamma
                    ka
                                                                lэ.
                                  liə
                                                xy
                                                                PFV
            DM
                    this:ACC
                                  put.down
                                                COMP
       3-
            tha_i
                                                                   tşaçi
                                                                                         xã.
                    хa
                             nε
                                     ри
                                                nε
                                                        a,
                                                                             ni
                                                                                  tsa
                                                like
                                                                   Zhaxi
                                                                             2
            LRP
                    DAT
                                     NEG
                                                        PART
                                                                                  suck COMP
                             milk
                    ka
                                            kuã
                                                          lэ
                                                                                    iэ
                                                                                           li.
            atci:
                                  tsa
                                                                   tsr,
                                                                          ри
            3
                    this:ACC
                                  suck
                                            habituate
                                                          PFV
                                                                   PROG NEG
                                                                                    want PART
       5-
            khɛsuɨ
                        tiε
                                tshã
                                         kŧ
                                                        kŧ
                                                 Şi,
                                                                   tiɔ
                                                                             Şi,
            water
                        little
                                         give
                                                 when give
                                                                   COMP
                                                                             when
                                mix
                                     nene
                                                  ciã
                                                                            тi
                                                                                     li.
            \eta \gamma_i
                  ри
                             tsa,
                                                                   tsr
                             suck
                                     milk
                                                  taste.sweet
                                                                   PROG NEG
                  NEG
                                                                                     PART
            "The milk does not taste good; (I<sub>i</sub>) do not want it. (I<sub>i</sub>) do not want the milk."
            And (shei) put the milk down. (Shei said) Shei does not like the milk. Zhaxii,
            you, drink it. She, is used to drinking the (pure) milk and does not want the
            one with water. Even if only a little water is mixed into the milk and given
            (to her<sub>i</sub>), (she<sub>i</sub> would say) "I<sub>i</sub> will not drink it, the milk does not taste good."
```

The locutor of (19) is a grandmother, who is talking (to her friend) about her granddaughter: her little granddaughter does not drink the milk mixed with water. In Line 3, *tha*, according to Rule 1, is used to refer to the granddaughter, the IL, with the speech and thought verb being omitted. However, in Line 6, though the IL, the granddaughter, based on Rule 1, could be referred to by *tha*, the first-person pronoun yr is used instead.

From the description above, it can be concluded that *tha* is not prominent in Zhoutun, as indicated in two aspects. First, *tha* is used only in a particular context, i.e., in some natural discourse. It never occurs in the data collected through elicitation (at least for those collected in my fieldwork), which shows that native speakers do not consider *tha* to be a commonly used pronoun in the grammar system and that they perceive tha as having no importance because in those contexts of natural discourse where *tha* occurs, they use ordinary personal pronouns instead in elicitation queries. Second, even in natural discourse, the usage of *tha* is not mandatory. Ordinary personal pronouns can be used in the position where *tha* could be used.

A question then arises, that if tha is used non-obligatorily, what is the difference between tha and ordinary personal pronouns? In other words, what is the function of tha? Regrettably, I have not come to a definitive answer but only a guess. I guess that tha has a pragmatic function that enables the locutor to abstract from the scene and provide a third-party perspective to the narration. I guess tha has this function because tha corresponds to the third-person pronoun in Mandarin Chinese, which means that the original meaning of tha should have to do with the third-person pronoun. A third person refers to a third party who is not on the spot. Using a form of (or that at least highly related to) a third-person pronoun to refer to the locutor him/herself puts the otherwise involved locutor to the status of not being present. By doing so, the locutor can distance him/herself from the text and step back into a third-party perspective, making the utterance/narration appear more objective or less emotional. For example,

(20)=(7) the tha sətshe сiэ si, si tchi si, firewood when very young when tha pick go tha teĩ kш, ри рį ри thũ рŧ. strength NEG enough back NEG COMP PART tha When I was very young, every time I went out to pick up firewood, I did not have enough physical strength to shoulder it.'

In this example, the locutor is describing a rather bitter experience from her childhood. By using *tha*, as opposed to the first-person pronoun *yr* 'I', the locutor seems to distance herself from this bitter experience and the narration is thus less emotional. However, since the speculated function of *tha* is on a subtle pragmatic level, it is difficult to find solid evidence (also due to the inadequacy of data containing *tha* and the lack of awareness of the use of *tha* by native speakers) and further studies are needed.

# 4 The Development of tha

# 4.1 Where Did tha Come from?

like that."

Since locutor-referential pronouns are not seen in Mandarin Chinese and other Chinese dialects, whereas Amdo Tibetan is reported to have a similar pronoun, namely, the logophoric pronouns (see below), I temporarily deduce that the formation of the locutor-referential *tha* has to do with the contact with Amdo Tibetan. Note that this deduction does not imply that *tha* is directly borrowed from Amdo Tibetan. Now, let us turn to the logophoric pronoun in Amdo Tibetan.

According to Ebihara (2014), Amdo Tibetan has three logophoric pronouns, i.e., *kho* (masculine), *mo* (feminine), and *khoy* (familial plural). An example is as follows (Ebihara 2014: 6):

```
(21) ta
                                                          ndi=ki
              Гто
                    cira
                           i = a
                                         mə-ndzo.
      then
             LOG
                    back
                           house=DAT
                                         NEG-go:IPFV
                                                          DEM=ERG
      khon=ki
                   gepo
                              bawa
                                      тәп.
                                                   tə=ki
                                                                  gonmo=ta
      LOG=GEN
                   husband
                              frog
                                                                  night=PP
                                      COP:NEG
                                                   DEM=ERG
      bawa=ki
                   kondzə
                              ta
                                                             nə=zək
                   clothes
                              take.off=AUX=CONJ
      frog=GEN
                                                      then
                                                             human=INDF
     jən]
             tə=ki
                              ze=nəre=ja.
             DEM=ERG
                              sav=AUX=SFP
      COP
      "Then 'She will not return home. Her husband (=the husband in her family) is
      not a frog. [He] is a man at night after taking off the clothes' [the princess] said
```

In (21), the two logophoric pronouns *mo* and *khoŋ*, located in the complement clause (in the "[]") of the verb *ze* 'say', refer to the IL, the covert "princess". This example fits Rule 1 for the use of *tha* in Zhoutun. Whether Rule 2 for the use of *tha* also works in Amdo Tibetan remains unclear: Ebihara (2014) points out that in Amdo Tibetan, the logophoric pronouns "appear in reported speech and show co-reference with the third person original speaker of the reported speech"; i.e.,

they appear only in the complement clause of speech verbs, as shown in all the examples listed in that paper. This fundamentally distinguishes the logophoric pronouns from locutor-referential *tha* in Zhoutun, because *tha*, in addition to refer to the IL when it occurs in the complement clause of speech verbs, can refer to the NL, a function that is not shared by the logophoric pronouns in Amdo Tibetan (at least based on the description in Ebihara). Nevertheless, the formation of the logophoric pronouns in Amdo Tibetan does shed light on the formation of locutor-referential *tha*.

First, Amdo Tibetan has deep influence on Zhoutun. For example, Zhoutun has two sets of copulas. i.e., *şi/puşi* from Chinese and *ˌi/ma .ii* borrowed from Amdo Tibetan. And not to mention the great influence of the Amdo Tibetan on the syntax of Zhoutun (see 2.1).

Second, logophoric pronouns are actually not uncommon in Tibeto-Burman languages, although they are less commonly reported. Ebihara (2014), for example, claims that "as far as I know, logophoric pronouns have not been described in other Tibetan languages [than Amdo Tibetan] so far". However, this may be because that scholars have not noticed the phenomenon, rather than that the phenomenon does not exist: Investigating logophoric pronouns is relatively difficult, especially due to the fact that they are context-sensitive and often non-obligatory. In fact, as far as I know, the logophoric pronoun kho is found in Yulshul, a variant of Khams Tibetan (Sun 2019). This kind of pronoun is also found in other Tibeto-Burman languages, such as nDrapa (a Qiangic language; Shirai 2007) and Nuosu Yi (Liu & Li 2016).

Third, although the functions of the logophoric pronouns in Amdo Tibetan and the locutor-referential tha are not identical, part of *tha*'s function, i.e., the function specified in Rule 1, is the same as the logophoric pronouns in Amdo Tibetan. This indicates that they are functionally related.

Fourth, the logophoric pronouns and *tha* share the same etymology. Ebihara points out that the origin of the three logophoric pronouns in Amdo Tibetan may be "the non-logophoric personal pronouns in written Tibetan: *kho* for 'he,' *mo* for 'she,' and *khoŋ* for 'he' (honorific)." See the following example (Ebihara 2014: 7):

- (22) a.  $sonam_i=ki$  [ $kho_i$  ta  $jon_j=dzi$ ] =zi cet=tsak. Sonam=ERG LOG now come=AUX:EGO COMP speak=AUX 'Sonam said that he (=Sonam) will come now.'
  - b.  $sonam_i = ki$  [ $ya_i$  ta joy = dzi] =zi eet = ts > k.

    Sonam = ERG 1 now come = AUX: EGO COMP speak = AUX

    'Sonam said, "I (=Sonam) will come now."
  - c.  $sonam_i=ki$  [ $kh entsymbol{a} ga_i$  ta  $jo entsymbol{g}=dzi$ ] =zi  $get=ts entsymbol{a} k$ . Sonam= ERG 3 now come=AUX:EGO COMP speak=AUX "Sonam said, "he (=Sonam) will come now."

"Coincidently", *tha* is formally identical with the third-person pronoun *ta* in Mandarin Chinese. Another paralleling performance between logophoric pronouns in Amdo Tibetan and *tha* in Zhoutun is that they are all used non-obligatorily. As shown in (22) and discussed in 3.3, respectively.

So far, I can reasonably infer that (1) the locutor-referential *tha* was formed under the influence of Amdo Tibetan; and (2) a possible pathway for the formation of the locutor-referential *tha* is "third-person pronoun" logophoric pronoun" locutor-referential pronoun". Unfortunately,

however, there is not enough material to examine in detail how *tha* developed from the logophoric use to the locutor-referential use. An assumption is that since both logophoric pronouns and locutor-referential pronouns have some kind of "self-referentiality" meanings— they refer to the locutor-self of the sentence and the locutor-self of the narration, respectively— tha may extend from the logophoric usage to the locutor-referential pronoun.

# 4.2 Staying Non-prominent

As discussed in section 3.2, *tha* is not a prominent pronoun in Zhoutun. A possible reason is its non-obligatory use, showing its low prominence. If *tha* were used obligatorily, i.e., if it must appear in the appropriate context as governed by Rules 1 and 2, it would be hard for native speakers to deny its existence in the grammar system.

Another possible factor affecting the use of *tha* may concern the influence of Mandarin Chinese. As mentioned, the locutor-referential pronoun *tha* was initially a third-person pronoun inherited from Mandarin Chinese. With the other two third-person pronouns (i.e., *kur* and *atci*) having formed, *tha* lost its original function and became a locutor-referential pronoun. Undoubtedly, the Chinese varieties and Altaic languages in the Amdo Sprachbund were deeply influenced by Amdo Tibetan (see, e.g., Dwyer 2013; Xu 2014; Sandman & Simon 2016; Zhou 2019a, b;). As Sandman & Simon (2016) argued, in the Amdo Sprachbund, Amdo Tibetan is the "model language", whose many morphosyntactic features have been transferred into the Chinese and Altaic languages in the area. However, over time, recent decades saw an increasing influence from Mandarin Chinese.

In Zhoutun, my younger consultants around the age of 30 told me that when they were very young (i.e., more than 20 years ago), they could hear some "incomprehensible" words or sentences spoken by elderly people over 60. That, I assume, may reflect the more "Tibetanized" period of the Zhoutun dialect. In 2014, when I first went to Zhoutun, the native speakers rarely spoke Mandarin Chinese (thus, I could not understand them), but they could understand some of my words, showing that they had obtained basic knowledge of Mandarin Chinese. During the later investigation and the personal communication between my consultant and me after my fieldwork in recent years, I have noticed that their level of Mandarin Chinese has been increasing. As observed by Zhou (2020a), the penetration of Mandarin Chinese occurs mainly through TV programs. Moreover, mounting communication with Chinese people outside the village and compulsory education also partly contribute to the spreading of Mandarin Chinese.

The increasing influence of Mandarin Chinese is found not only in Zhoutun. In Tangwang (a Chinese variant spoken in Tangwang Town, Dongxiang Autonomous County, Linxia Hui Autonomous Prefecture, Gansu Province), which has been deeply affected by Amdo Tibetan and Santa, Xu (2014) noticed some phenomena showing the influence of Mandarin Chinese. For example, the younger generation tends to use the prepositional *ba* from Mandarin Chinese instead of the postpositional accusative *xa* to mark an object. Moreover, nearly two decades ago, Slater (2003: 8) had already pointed out the following:

In the last few generations, Han Chinese influence has grown tremendously in the region, and the population of Sinitic speakers has swelled massively, through successive waves of settlement from eastern China. As Sinitic speakers have spread out from their earlier small settlements in the river valleys and gained more pervasive social influence, entire populations of neighboring groups have become highly bilingual in

Chinese, which is by now the language not only of government and trade, but also of increasingly available education and mass media.

Now we return to Zhoutun. Accompanying the increasing influence of Mandarin Chinese is the decreasing level of Amdo Tibetan (see Zhou (2020a)). It could be expected that, on the one hand, there is a growing awareness among native Zhoutun speakers that Mandarin Chinese has the third-person pronoun ta. Since it is formally identical to the locutor-referential pronoun tha, native speakers may take a somewhat excessive strategy to keep Zhoutun pure from "Chinese" elements by consciously avoiding the use of tha. This can be illustrated by the statement in 2.1, in which native speakers assured that tha is a Chinese form but not an indigenous one. On the other hand, the decaying influence of Amdo Tibetan may have made the Zhoutun villagers gradually unfamiliar with the use of tha, especially under the condition of its nonobligatory use. The natural discourse in which tha is used all occurred among middle-aged people, while such usage has not been observed yet among the younger generation.

#### 5 Discussion

This paper describes the locutor-referential pronoun *tha* in Zhoutun. When *tha* occurs in a complement clause of a speech verb, it refers to the IL; when *tha* occurs in an environment other than a complement clause of a speech verb, it refers to the NL. The use of *tha* is not obligatory. Inherited from Mandarin Chinese, *tha* was first used as a third-person pronoun and then developed into a locutor-referential pronoun under the influence of the nearby Amdo Tibetan.

Because of its rare use, it is possible that I misinterpreted the data or missed some undetected uses of *tha*. What we are very sure of is that *tha* is different from third person, first person, reflexive pronouns in Zhoutun and its usage cannot be covered by logophoric pronouns. We need to collect more data to better examine the behavior of *tha*. On the other hand, it is reasonable to hold that *tha* may keep declining in use. That is, even if more natural discourse is collected, it is uncertain whether we can observe enough cases of *tha*. With the increasing influence of Mandarin Chinese, a pessimistic estimation is that *tha* may gradually disappear from Zhoutun. This makes the current research more meaningful.

Another significance of this research is that I hope to use it to evoke the study on such a pronoun in nearby languages (i.e., Tibetan and, more broadly, Tibeto-Burman languages). Since the functions of locutor-referential pronouns, especially the function to refer to the NL, requires in-depth investigation, it is possible that such pronouns do exist in Tibetan or Tibeto-Burman languages (or in other languages around the world) but have not yet been documented. The *tha* in Zhoutun may not be an isolated case.

## ABBREVIATIONS

1	first person	ERG	ergative
2	second person	GEN	genitive
3	third person	INDF	indefinite marker
ACC	accusative marker	LRP	locutor-referential pronoun

ADVM	adverbial marker	IPFV	imperfective
AUX	auxiliary verb	LOG	logophoric
CL	classifier	NEG	negative
COMP	complement verb	PART	particle
CONJ	conjunction	PFV	perfective
COP	copular	PL	plural
DAT	dative marker	PP	pragmatic particle
DEM	demonstrative	PROG	progressive
DM	discourse marker	REL	relativizer
EGO	egophoric	SFP	sentence-final particle

#### REFERENCES

Bugaeva, Anna. 2008. "Reported discourse and logophoricity in Southern Hokkaido dialects of Ainu". *Gengo Kenkyu* (Linguistic Study) 133: 31-75. <a href="http://arrow.latrobe.edu.au:8080/vital/access/HandleResolver">http://arrow.latrobe.edu.au:8080/vital/access/HandleResolver</a>

Cao, Zhiyun. 2008. *Hanyu fangyan dituji* (Linguistic atlas of Chinese dialects). Beijing: The Commercial Press.

Clements, George N. 1975. "The logophoric pronoun in Ewe: Its role in discourse". *Journal of West African Languages* 10: 141-177.

Culy, Christopher. 1997. "Logophoric pronouns and point of view". *Linguistics* 35: 845-859. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1515/ling.1997.35.5.845">https://doi.org/10.1515/ling.1997.35.5.845</a>

Dwyer, Arienne M. 2013. "Tibetan as a dominant Sprachbund language: Its interactions with neighboring languages". In: Gray Tuttle; Dare, Karma; & Wilber, Jonathan (eds.), *The third international conference on Tibetan language Volume 1: Proceedings of the panels on domains of use and linguistic interactions*, 259–302. New York: Trace Foundation.

Ebihara, Shiho. 2014. "Logophoric Pronouns in Amdo Tibetan". In: *Dongjingwaiguoyudaxue jishu yanyuxue Lunji* (A collection of linguistics of Tokyo Foreign Studies University) 10, 3-12. Tokyo: Tokyo Foreign Studies University Press.

Huang, Yan. 2000. Anaphora: A cross-linguistic study. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Liu, Hongyong & Xiao Li. 2016. "On Evidentiality in Nuosu Yi". *Language and Linguistics* 17.1: 113–132. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/1606822x15586683">https://doi.org/10.1177/1606822x15586683</a>

Nau, Nicole. 2006. "Out of Africa: Logophoric pronouns and reported discourse in Finnish and High Latvian dialects". *Acta Linguistica Lithuanica*. 4: 55-87.

Sandman, Erika & Simon, Camille. 2016. "Tibetan as a 'model language' in the Amdo Sprachbund: Evidence from Salar and Wutun". *Journal of South Asian Languages and Linguistics* 3.1: 85–122. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1515/jsall-2016-0003">https://doi.org/10.1515/jsall-2016-0003</a>

Slater, Keith W. 2003. A grammer of Mangghuer: A Mongolic language of China's Qinghai-Gansu sprachbund. London: Routledge Curzon. <a href="https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203987629">https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203987629</a>

Sun, Kai. 2019. Yushu zangyu fangyan (Labuhua) yanjiu (A study of Yulshul (Lab) Tibetan). Ph.D diss, Nankai University.

Xu, Dan. 2014. Tangwanghua yanjiu (Studies on Tangwang). Beijing: The Ethnic Publishing House.

- Zhou, Chenlei. 2016. *Qinghai Zhoutunhua cankao yufa* (A reference grammar of Zhoutun). Ph.D diss, Nankai University.
- Zhou, Chenlei. 2019a. "A special case marking system in the Sinitic languages of Northwest China." *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 47.2: 425–52. https://doi.org/10.1353/jcl.2019.0018
- Zhou, Chenlei. 2019b. "Ganqing fangyan gebiaoji 'xa' de laiyuan (On the origin of xa in Gan-Qing linguistic area)." *Language and Linguistics* 20.3: 493–513. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1075/lali.00042.zho">https://doi.org/10.1075/lali.00042.zho</a>
- Zhou, Chenlei. 2020a. "Case markers and language contact in the Gansu-Qinghai linguistic area." *Asian Languages and Linguistics* 1.1: 168–203. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1075/alal.00006.zho">https://doi.org/10.1075/alal.00006.zho</a>
- Zhou, Chenlei. 2020b. "The structure of Num+CL in the Zhōutún dialect: Issues induced by language contact." *Language and Linguistics* 21.1: 145–73. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1075/lali.00059.zho">https://doi.org/10.1075/lali.00059.zho</a>
- Zhou, Chenlei. 2021. "On the disjunctive constructions and related constructions in Zhoutun". *Lingua*. Published online. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2021.103183">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2021.103183</a>

Chenlei Zhou zhouchenlei@126.com