

UC Riverside

UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Domain analysis of the tubulin cofactor system: a model for tubulin folding and dimerization

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8661c5km>

Journal

BMC Bioinformatics, 4(1)

ISSN

1471-2105

Authors

Grynberg, Marcin
Jaroszewski, Lukasz
Godzik, Adam

Publication Date

2003

DOI

10.1186/1471-2105-4-46

Peer reviewed

Research article

Open Access

Domain analysis of the tubulin cofactor system: a model for tubulin folding and dimerization

Marcin Grynberg^{1,2}, Lukasz Jaroszewski³ and Adam Godzik*²

Address: ¹Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 5A Pawinskiego St, 02-106 Warsaw, Poland, ²The Burnham Institute, 10901 N. Torrey Pines Rd., La Jolla, CA 92037, USA and ³current address Bioinformatics Core for Joint Center for Structural Genomics, UCSD, 9500 Gillman Dr. La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Email: Marcin Grynberg - marcin@ljcrf.edu; Lukasz Jaroszewski - lukasz@sdsc.edu; Adam Godzik* - adam@ljcrf.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 10 October 2003

Received: 20 January 2003

BMC Bioinformatics 2003, 4:46

Accepted: 10 October 2003

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/4/46>

© 2003 Grynberg et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Abstract

Background: The correct folding and dimerization of tubulins, before their addition to the microtubular structure, needs a group of conserved proteins called cofactors A to E. The biochemical analysis of cofactors gave an insight to their general functions, however not much is known about the domain structure and detailed, molecular function of these proteins.

Results: Combining modelling and fold prediction tools, we present 3D models of all cofactors, including several previously unannotated domains of cofactors B-E. Apart from the new HEAT and Armadillo domains in cofactor D and an unusual spectrin-like domain in cofactor C, we have identified a new subfamily of ubiquitin-like domains in cofactors B and E. Together, these observations provide a reliable, molecular level model of cofactor complex.

Conclusion: Distant homology searches allowed the identification of unknown regions of cofactors as self-reliant domains and allow us to present a detailed hypothesis of how a cofactor complex performs its function.

Background

The cytoskeleton plays a major role in many cell processes. However, it is not a passive scaffold. It is an active system, continuously changing its characteristics, and in particular constantly building and destroying the opposite ends of polymers forming the scaffold. Microtubule metabolism performs one of the leading roles in regulating various features of the cytoskeleton. The α - and β -tubulin dimerization and polymerization processes leading to microtubule formation are controlled by several multi-domain proteins. After translation of both proteins a group of chaperones called chaperonins (e.g. CCT) capture the tubulins and begin the folding of tubulin monomers [1]. Chaperonins are sufficient to fold completely actin and γ -tubulin but another set of proteins is needed

for the final folding and dimerization of α - and β -tubulins [2–5]. In the last ten years cofactors from different eukaryotic organisms were cloned and analyzed [4,6–18]. Apparently the set consists of five cofactors A, B, C, D and E and an ADP ribosylation factor-like protein 2, Arl2 that regulates the interaction of cofactor D with native tubulin.

The process of folding and dimerization of tubulins is complex. After release from chaperonin α -tubulin is seized by cofactor B while β -tubulin is captured by cofactor A. The next step is the replacement of cofactors B and A by cofactors E and D, respectively. The last stage of this process involves the formation of a super-complex consisting of cofactors C-E [2,4,10,19]. The release of tubulin α/β heterodimer is catalyzed in the presence of GTP [4,18]. In




Figure 1
Simplified model depicting the reactions involved in the assembly of the tubulin heterodimer [18]. The colors depict the previously known regions of the cofactors and correspond to the colors ascribed to various domains in Figure 2A. The α -tubulin is gray colored and β -tubulin is black colored. For clarity, the involvement of ADP ribosylation factor-like protein 2 (Arl2) in modulating the interaction of cofactors with tubulins [18] was omitted.

the last few years, the function of the cofactors has been described in increasing detail (Fig. 1) [8,19], but little is known about their domains and structural organization. As a result, we lack understanding of how the complex of cofactors may achieve its function.

In recent years, advances in sensitive sequence analysis tools and fold recognition algorithms have allowed us to recognize distant homologies, giving us important insights into the function and specific activities of many proteins. Here, we describe such analysis of the domain architecture of cofactors B-D. In particular, the application of fold recognition algorithms allowed us to predict three-dimensional structures of all but one domain in cofactors B, C, D and E, and provided us with critical information to link their structure with function (Fig. 2). Such predictions complement our understanding of how the cofactor complex might work in assembling tubulin dimers by providing structure-based understanding of function of individual domains and their possible interactions.

Results

The *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* homologue of cofactor B, Alp11 was studied in detail in a few recent publications [8,9]. This analysis identified the CLIP-170 domain,

described in PFAM as the cytoskeleton-associated domain (CAP-Gly domain, Pfam01302), required for efficient binding to α -tubulin. Our analysis suggests that this domain is about twice as long as that described in PFAM (about 80 amino acids). The structure of this domain cannot be predicted with confidence; however, the secondary structure prediction programs strongly suggest the presence of six beta strands. This domain is found in many other proteins (kinesin, dynactin, restin, dynein-associated protein). It is often repeated twice or three times in one protein suggesting a possibility of a beta barrel fold for this domain. The structure of this domain from the *C. elegans* homologue was solved recently by the SouthWest Structure Genomics Center [20], confirming this prediction and identifying the CAP-Gly domains as having an OB-fold of a beta barrel, formed by a twisted B-sheet. This fold was previously found to be involved in DNA binding and several enzymatic activities [21], but its presence in a cytoskeleton binding proteins comes as a complete surprise.

The center of cofactor B is occupied by a short helical domain. It was suggested to have a coiled-coil structure [9], although this is not confirmed by most of the coiled-coil prediction algorithms. This fragment was also charac-




Figure 2

Domain structure of cofactors B-E: Domain ranges and fold recognition algorithms used for function assignment are shown under each domain. Schematic 3D structures are shown when comparative modeling could be used with significant reliability. The same colors of the boxes depict regions belonging to the same domain family. Interestingly, in the cofactor B sequence the coiled-coil region overlaps with the CAP-Gly domain. Following sequences were used for analysis: cofactor B, Q99426; cofactor C, Q15814; cofactor D, O95458; cofactor E, Q15813. The structural models are available at <http://ffas.ljcrf.edu/ffas/tubulin/>.

Table 1: Confidence scores for cofactors domains. The best templates were used to build the theoretical model. In FFAS, all the scores below -9.000 are considered to be reliable, in Pcons – above 1.5.

Protein	Domain	Score	Method	Best Template	Reference
Cofactor B	UBL-like	-12.600	FFAS03	IJ8C	[24]
	CAP-Gly	-58.000	FFAS03	ILPL	[20]
Cofactor C	Spectrin-like	1.604	Pcons4	ICUN	[66]
	RP2/CAP-like	-35.300	FFAS03	IK4Z	[67]
Cofactor D	<i>Armadillo</i>	1.994	Pcons4	IEJL	[68]
	<i>Armadillo</i> HEAT	-32.500 -32.300	FFAS03 FFAS03	IQBK IB3U	[37,69]
Cofactor E	CAP-Gly	-46.400	FFAS03	IIXD	[70]
	LRR	-44.100	FFAS03	IH6T	[71]
	UBL-like	-13.000	FFAS03	IJ8C	[24]

terized as necessary for a satisfactory maintenance of cellular α -tubulin level.

The N-terminal part of cofactor B (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3A) was shown to be essential for its function [9]. A homologous domain is present in the C-terminus of cofactor E (see later), and also in several proteins that do not contain the CLIP-170 domain, including some that bind other cytoskeletal proteins. Here we show, using fold recognition algorithms, that this domain has a structure similar to ubiquitin. Some sequence patterns characteristic for ubiquitin are strongly conserved, for instance the lysine residues important for linkage in multi-ubiquitin chains [22]. Others are not, for instance the lack of the carboxyl-terminal extension containing an exposed double glycine motif essential for conjugation to target proteins [23]. The new domain can be classified in a broad ubiquitin-like (UBL) family consisting of sequences from multi-domain proteins known to be involved in the proteasome or other protein-protein interactions [23,24]. As this domain is crucial for cofactor's function we hypothesize its involvement in α -tubulin binding might be to assist CAP-Gly domain in keeping the tubulin in the folded state.

Cofactor C is composed of two domains. The N-terminal part has a strong coiled-coil sequence signature, but fold recognition programs are able to narrow down the prediction to indicate a significant similarity to the spectrin repeat. Spectrin consists of three α -helices that fold to form a short triple α -helical unit [25–27]. Several variants of the spectrin domains were identified and assigned to different functions [28–32]. Unfortunately, the cofactor C spectrin domain seems to be equally distant from all known spectrin variants. Therefore, no conclusion can be drawn regarding its function. The most intriguing problem lies in the fact that cofactor C contains only a single spectrin-like domain (the length on the N-terminal fragment corresponds to the average length of a spectrin repeat) while in all other known examples there are

always many spectrin repeats forming specific super-structures [33]. This may be an indication of a complex formation with other proteins with similar domains.

The C-terminal domain of cofactor C shares a significant similarity with a domain found in other cytoskeleton-related proteins. Distantly homologous domains were detected using FFAS algorithm in the distal part of adenylyl cyclase-associated protein (CAP) which is implicated in F-actin binding (13 % sequence identity) [34] as well as in the proximal part of the RP2 protein (29 % identity). Mutations in RP2 cause the X-linked *retinitis pigmentosa*, a severe retinal degeneration that leads to the loss of visual acuity and blindness [35]. Secondary structure predictions for this domain suggest an all-beta structure, but there is no consensus among the fold prediction programs indicating possibly a novel fold.

Cofactor D interacts directly with β -tubulin *in vivo* [18]. Recent sequence analysis revealed the presence of HEAT motifs in cofactor D [8]. The HEAT-repeat motif is characterized by the presence of pairs of anti-parallel helices stacked in a consecutive array. The repeats are then stacked in parallel [36] and are involved in protein-protein interactions [37]. Our analysis suggests that in addition to the previously identified HEAT repeats, the N-terminal part of cofactor D contains several *Armadillo* repeats which are evolutionary related to HEAT repeats, but differ by the presence of the additional short (two turns) helix followed by two longer helices [36]. *Armadillo* repeats are also involved in mediating the protein-protein interaction [36–38]. Fold recognition programs however were not able to recognize whether the second domain belongs to the HEAT or *Armadillo* family. Therefore, we conclude this part of the protein can be classified as the ARM repeat superfamily member.

It is worth noting that the analysis of the close homologue of cofactor D, the Alp1 protein from *S. pombe*, revealed a

```

cUBL-1      ---MVFVKTLN---RTLYLEVAANE-----DVLSTKQKTEAAEGIPSAEQRLLVFA-----
hFUBI      ---MQLFVRAQ---ELHTFEVTGQE-----TVAQLKAHVASLEGIAPEEQVVLVA-----
hUCRP      ---LSILVRNKK-GRSSTYEVRLTQ-----TVAHLKQQVSGLEGVQDDLFWLTFE-----
fyUSPP     --MPLAIRWQG-KKYD-LELEPNE-----TGSTLKHQLYSLTQVPPERQKVIK-----
rtRNAgtg   -PLYSVTVKWGK-EKFGGVELNTDE-----PPMVFKAQLFALTGVQPARORVMVK-----
fyAlp11    MNEITLFIKSS--SANAERRINPQW-----TVSOLKTKLVPIVGTPEQYOKLTYEPASST
gUBL       ----IRLSG---DVKRLEVSGGA-----TVGELKKLISQLIGEPSYKOKLSADN----
hcofE      --TLKIKYPHLDQKVLEKOLPGSM-----TIQKVKGLLSRLLKVPVSDLLLSYESPCK-
hBAG1     ---LTVTVTHSN--EKHDLHVTSCQGSSEPVVQDLAQVVEEVIIGVPEQSFQKLIHK-----
hcofB     -----LNTFRS-----EKRYSRSL-----TIAEFKCKLELLVGGSPASCMELELYG-VDD
    
```

```

cUBL-1      --GRQLE---DSD---CGLDAEATIYVNLLELLGG-----
hFUBI      --CAPLE---DEATLGCQGVVEALTTLEVAGRMLGG-----
hUCRP      --GAPLE---DQLPLGEGYGLKPLSTVFNLRRLRGGGTEPPG
fyUSPP     --GGQLK---DDVLLGSGVGIKPNATLLM---MGTAG---
rtRNAgtg   --GTLK---DDD-WGNIKIKNMTILM---MG-----
fyAlp11    VPGHVFVTSEENLDLGEFKLQPLGTIVV-----
gUBL       --GSRISLEDESRTLSSVGLHSCSVVSL-----
hcofE      -PREIELENDLKSLOFYSVENGDCLLVRW-----
hBAG1     --GKSLK--EMETPLSALGIQDCRCRVMIGKKNSP-----
hcofB     KFYSKLD--QEDALLGSPVDDCRIHVLDHSG-----
    
```

```

126  LSK LQEVS LR  NCA  VSCAGEKGGVAEA
152  CPN IRKVD LSK NL  LSSWDEVIHIADQ
178  LRH LEVLN VSE NK  LKFPSGSVL
200      TGTLSVLK VLVLNQTG ITWAEVLRVAVG
228  CPG LEELY LES NN  IFISERPTDV
251  LQT VKLLD LSS NQ  LIDENQLYL
273  IAH LPRLEQLIL SDTG ISSLHFPDAGIGCK
303      TSMFPSLKYLVV NDNQ ISQWSF
325  FNE LEKLPSSLRALSC LRNPLTKEDKEAETAR
356  LLI IASIGQLKTLNKCE ILPEERRAELDY
    
```

LXX LXXLX₁₋₂LX₁₋₃ NX₁₋₃ L

Figure 3

A. The alignment of representative subset of ubiquitin-like domain homologs. Abbreviations: cUBL-1, (Q07371) *Caenorhabditis briggsae* UBL-1; hFUBI, (P35544) human FUBI; hUCRP, (P05161) human UCRP domain 2; fyUSPP, (Q92353) fission yeast ubiquitin-specific processing protease; rtRNAgtg, (P40826) rabbit tRNA-guanine transglycosylase; fyAlp11, (Q10235) fission yeast Alp11; gUBL, (Q9PTE2) *Carassius auratus* ubiquitin-like protein; hcofE, (Q15813) human cofactor E; hBAG1, (Q99933) human BAG1; hcofB, (Q99426) human cofactor B. **B.** Sequence alignment of Leucine Rich Repeats in cofactor E. Consensus amino acids are red colored and a repeating motif is shown below.

significant difference in structure of both proteins. The 615–843 region from cofactor D sequence is not similar to the corresponding 571–766 region from Alp1. In fission yeast protein, the HEAT motives are interrupted by a coiled-coil region similar to the last coiled-coil domain from *S. cerevisiae* kinesin-related Cin8p (898–1017

region). Cin8p is essential for yeast spindle function opposing the force that draws separated poles back [39–41]. Its coiled-coil region might be involved in dimerization as it is in the case of kinesin [42]. This difference may be important since fission yeast Alp1 is able to bind

microtubules [13] whereas mammalian cofactor D does not [43].

In the supercomplex α -tubulin is directly bound to cofactor E [5]. The central 300 amino acids of cofactor E contain ten leucine rich repeats (LRRs) which are usually involved in protein-protein interactions [44,45]. The most important positions for LRR function are conserved (forming the typical subfamily of LRRs) although a few of them seem to be lost (Fig. 3B). The changed repeats could more or less fit the RI-like consensus from animals (XXXLXXLXX_C^NXL). But, according to Kajava [44], different LRR subfamilies never occur concomitantly within one LRR protein because the specific packing of repeats from one subfamily allows the formation of a specific hydrogen bond network between neighboring LRRs that could not be accomplished with LRRs from different subfamilies (Fig. 3B).

Two terminal domains of cofactor E are the same as in cofactor B, but in a reversed order. The CAP-Gly domain is on the N-terminus (in cofactor B it was on the C-terminus), and by simple analogy from the cofactor B function, it is probably involved in α -subunit binding as well, as suggested before [8]. The ubiquitin domain in cofactor E is in the C-terminal part (region 453–526). As discussed before, the function of this domain is still unknown.

Cofactor A is the first component of the β -tubulin folding pathway. It is discussed in the interest of integrity since its structure is known and it is not a subject of analysis here. It stabilizes the β -subunit and probably acts as a reservoir of tubulin folding intermediates for cofactor D [8]. It does not integrate into the super-complex but acts independently. Recently the crystal structure of the human cofactor A was described [46] and consists of a three- α -helix bundle. It interacts with β -tubulin *via* the helical regions.

Discussion

In the current study we have shown the complete domain composition of the cofactors involved in the final folding and dimerization of tubulin dimers. Our results narrow down the possible roles of previously unknown regions of these proteins.

The domain resemblance of cofactor B and E reflects their similar function in α -tubulin folding pathway, but the importance of the reverse order in which homologous domains appear in both proteins is unknown. CAP-Gly domain seems to be involved in α -subunit binding whereas the function of ubiquitin domain is unclear but most probably is involved in tubulin binding. It is interesting to note that both cofactors E and D contain extensive segments containing multiple domains involved in protein-protein interactions. The structure and length

(1192 amino acids) of cofactor D predisposes this protein to bridge all the functions of the super-complex by means of spatial integration of all subunits (Fig. 4) [8].


There are still other known features of the cofactor super-complex, such as its GAP action manifested in stimulating the polymerization-independent hydrolysis of GTP by β -tubulin [19] that could not be explained by the analysis presented here. By the process of elimination, (i.e. the presence of protein-protein interaction motifs only in cofactor D and the lack of necessity for cofactor E in GAP activity) we can deduct that the GTPase-activating function possibly resides in cofactor C. The latest article by Bartolini and colleagues [47] presents evidence that the C-terminal part of cofactor C is indeed involved in this process. They also showed that mutation in an arginine residue, which they name "arginine finger", is responsible for the loss of function in both cofactor C and RP2 proteins [47].

To summarize we want to present a model for the interactions of cofactors in the final complex (Fig. 4). The goal of the cofactor complex is to facilitate the interaction of two tubulins. This is why they have to be brought together by cofactors D and E. After cofactor E binds β -tubulin both by CAP-Gly and ubiquitin-like domains it interacts with the repetitive region of cofactor D through the LRR region. The tubulin monomers are now brought together. After the process of complex formation is finished cofactor C joins it by binding with the spectrin-like domain to cofactor D or to both cofactor D and E. Then the cofactor C C-terminal domain stimulates the release of tubulin dimer ready to be incorporated in microtubule structures.

An interesting evolutionary association can be made by comparison of domains of proteins implicated in tubulin folding and chromosome segregation. The CAP-Gly domain was found in CLIP-170/restin and p150^{Glued} proteins from the dynactin complex which is implicated as the dynein 'receptor' on organelles and kinetochores [14]. HEAT repeats were discovered in microtubule-associated protein family important for mitotic spindle assembly and chromosome movement [37]. LRRs are present in yeast topoisomerase II involved in chromosome segregation [48]. Finally ubiquitin is needed to separate sister chromatids [49]. It seems that the same bricks are used to build different houses on the same (microtubular) basis.

Conclusions

This analysis points to the importance of several newly recognized cofactor domains in the formation of the tubulin dimer. The discovery of ubiquitin-like domains (known to be essential for cofactor B function [9]) in cofactors B and E narrows down the possible functions of this domain, and suggests, together with other cofactor



Symbol	Structure or predicted structure	Annotation
		Full structure of cofactor A (PDB: 1H7C)
		HEAT region of cofactor D
	coiled-coil	The linking region of cofactor B
		Ubiquitin-like domain in cofactors B and E
		CAP-Gly domain in cofactors B and E. Figure represents the only example from <i>C. elegans</i> (PDB: 1LPL).
		Leucine Rich Repeats in cofactor E
		Spectrin-like domain in cofactor C

Figure 4

Model of domain interactions of the α/β -tubulin heterodimer folding pathway. The colors correspond to colors in Figure 2A. It is important to emphasize our hypothesis is most probable for the human system. As suggested by experiments, in fission yeast cofactors can act in a more linear manner with cofactor D homolog (Alp1) acting downstream from cofactors E and B [8].

domains, the model of protein interactions in the complex.

Methods

Distant homology recognition PSI-BLAST [50], FFAS03 [51] and fold recognition Pcons [51–57] methods have been used to find possible structural templates for tubulin cofactor sequences. In addition, fold recognition Meta Server [58] (available at <http://bioinfo.pl/>) has been applied to cofactor C and D sequences. Table 1 summarizes the scores and templates used in this work. Domain boundaries were predicted by a combination of multiple alignment analysis, distant homology/fold recognition and modeling.

Best scoring alignments from FFAS03 [51] and Pcons [52] methods have been used for model building. FFAS03 and Pcons4 methods have consistently ranked among the best automated fold recognition methods over the last years both in LiveBench [59] and CAFASP competition [60]. Structural models based on these alignments have been obtained with WHATIF [61] modeling program with "slow" option (exhaustive side-chain packing optimization). The model visualization was done at the MICE [62] servers available at <http://mice.sdsc.edu/>.

The sequences of the ubiquitin family have been collected from PFAM domain database and subsequently supplemented with sequences from SWISS-PROT database [63] with help of literature sources. The resulting set was clustered at 40% sequence identity with CD-HIT algorithm [64]. The alignment has been obtained with the CLUSTALW algorithm [65].

Abbreviations

CCT = chaperonin containing TCP-1 complex

CAP-Gly = cytoskeleton-associated protein – glycine-rich

CLIP-170 = cytoplasmic linker protein-170

FFAS = Fold & Function Assignment System

RP2 = retinitis pigmentosa 2

LRR = Leucine-rich repeat

GAP = GTPase activating activity

PSI-BLAST = position-specific iterated BLAST

SECSG = Center for the Southeast Collaboratory on Structural Genomics

CD-HIT = Cluster Database at High Identity with Tolerance

Authors' contributions

MG conceived of the study, carried out the bioinformatics analysis, prepared the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. LJ prepared the structural models of the domains. AG provided general guidance in the project, coordinated the modeling and bioinformatics aspects of it and prepared the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Sally Lewis, Dr. Dorit Hanein, Dr. Andrzej Paszewski and Dr. Iddo Friedberg for critical reading of the manuscript, and Dr. Graham Thomas for helping with the spectrin repeat analysis. Part of the research described here was supported by NIH GM60049.

References

- Rommelaere H, Van Troys M, Gao Y, Melki R, Cowan NJ, Vandekerckhove J and Ampe C: **Eukaryotic cytosolic chaperonin contains t-complex polypeptide 1 and seven related subunits.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1993, **90**:11975-11979.
- Gao Y, Vainberg IE, Chow RL and Cowan NJ: **Two cofactors and cytoplasmic chaperonin are required for the folding of alpha- and beta-tubulin.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1993, **13**:2478-2485.
- Gao Y, Melki R, Walden PD, Lewis SA, Ampe C, Rommelaere H, Vandekerckhove J and Cowan NJ: **A novel cochaperonin that modulates the ATPase activity of cytoplasmic chaperonin.** *J Cell Biol* 1994, **125**:989-996.
- Tian G, Huang Y, Rommelaere H, Vandekerckhove J, Ampe C and Cowan NJ: **Pathway leading to correctly folded beta-tubulin.** *Cell* 1996, **86**:287-296.
- Lewis SA, Tian G and Cowan NJ: **The a- and b-tubulin folding pathways.** *Trends Cell Biol* 1997, **7**:479-484.
- Radcliffe P, Hirata D, Childs D, Vardy L and Toda T: **Identification of novel temperature-sensitive lethal alleles in essential beta-tubulin and nonessential alpha 2-tubulin genes as fission yeast polarity mutants.** *Mol Biol Cell* 1998, **9**:1757-1771.
- Radcliffe PA, Garcia MA and Toda T: **The cofactor-dependent pathways for alpha- and beta-tubulins in microtubule biogenesis are functionally different in fission yeast.** *Genetics* 2000, **156**:93-103.
- Radcliffe PA, Hirata D, Vardy L and Toda T: **Functional dissection and hierarchy of tubulin-folding cofactor homologues in fission yeast.** *Mol Biol Cell* 1999, **10**:2987-3001.
- Radcliffe PA and Toda T: **Characterisation of fission yeast alp1 mutants defines three functional domains within tubulin-folding cofactor B.** *Mol Gen Genet* 2000, **263**:752-760.
- Tian G, Lewis SA, Feierbach B, Stearns T, Rommelaere H, Ampe C and Cowan NJ: **Tubulin subunits exist in an activated conformational state generated and maintained by protein cofactors.** *J Cell Biol* 1997, **138**:821-832.
- Melki R, Rommelaere H, Leguy R, Vandekerckhove J and Ampe C: **Cofactor A is a molecular chaperone required for beta-tubulin folding: functional and structural characterization.** *Biochemistry* 1996, **35**:10422-10435.
- Llosa M, Aloria K, Campo R, Padilla R, Avila J, Sanchez-Pulido L and Zabala JC: **The beta-tubulin monomer release factor (p14) has homology with a region of the DnaJ protein.** *FEBS Lett* 1996, **397**:283-289.
- Hirata D, Masuda H, Eddison M and Toda T: **Essential role of tubulin-folding cofactor D in microtubule assembly and its association with microtubules in fission yeast.** *Embo J* 1998, **17**:658-666.
- Grishchuk EL and McIntosh JR: **Sto1p, a fission yeast protein similar to tubulin folding cofactor E, plays an essential role in mitotic microtubule assembly.** *J Cell Sci* 1999, **112** (Pt 12):1979-1988.

15. Stearns T, Hoyt MA and Botstein D: **Yeast mutants sensitive to antimicrotubule drugs define three genes that affect microtubule function.** *Genetics* 1990, **124**:251-262.
16. Ursic D and Culbertson MR: **The yeast homolog to mouse Tcpl affects microtubule-mediated processes.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1991, **11**:2629-2640.
17. Archer JE, Vega LR and Solomon F: **Rbl2p, a yeast protein that binds to beta-tubulin and participates in microtubule function in vivo.** *Cell* 1995, **82**:425-434.
18. Bhamidipati A, Lewis SA and Cowan NJ: **ADP ribosylation factor-like protein 2 (Arl2) regulates the interaction of tubulin-folding cofactor D with native tubulin.** *J Cell Biol* 2000, **149**:1087-1096.
19. Tian G, Bhamidipati A, Cowan NJ and Lewis SA: **Tubulin folding cofactors as GTPase-activating proteins. GTP hydrolysis and the assembly of the alpha/beta-tubulin heterodimer.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:24054-24058.
20. Li S, Finley J, Liu ZJ, Qiu SH, Chen H, Luan CH, Carson M, Tsao J, Johnson D, Lin G, Zhao J, Thomas W, Nagy LA, Sha B, DeLucas LJ, Wang BC and Luo M: **Crystal structure of the cytoskeleton-associated protein glycine-rich (CAP-Gly) domain.** *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**:48596-48601.
21. Agrawal V and Kishan RK: **Functional evolution of two subtly different (similar) folds.** *BMC Struct Biol* 2001, **1**:5.
22. Dubiel W and Gordon C: **Ubiquitin pathway: another link in the polyubiquitin chain?** *Curr Biol* 1999, **9**:R554-7.
23. Buchberger A, Howard MJ, Proctor M and Bycroft M: **The UBX domain: a widespread ubiquitin-like module.** *J Mol Biol* 2001, **307**:17-24.
24. Walters KJ, Kleijnen MF, Goh AM, Wagner G and Howley PM: **Structural studies of the interaction between ubiquitin family proteins and proteasome subunit S5a.** *Biochemistry* 2002, **41**:1767-1777.
25. Yan Y, Winograd E, Viel A, Cronin T, Harrison SC and Branton D: **Crystal structure of the repetitive segments of spectrin.** *Science* 1993, **262**:2027-2030.
26. Speicher DW and Marchesi VT: **Erythrocyte spectrin is comprised of many homologous triple helical segments.** *Nature* 1984, **311**:177-180.
27. Parry DA, Dixon TW and Cohen C: **Analysis of the three-alpha-helix motif in the spectrin superfamily of proteins.** *Biophys J* 1992, **61**:858-867.
28. Kahana E, Flood G and Gratzner WB: **Physical properties of dystrophin rod domain.** *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 1997, **36**:246-252.
29. Djinovic-Carugo K, Young P, Gautel M and Saraste M: **Structure of the alpha-actinin rod: molecular basis for cross-linking of actin filaments.** *Cell* 1999, **98**:537-546.
30. Kennedy SP, Warren SL, Forget BG and Morrow JS: **Ankyrin binds to the 15th repetitive unit of erythroid and nonerythroid beta-spectrin.** *J Cell Biol* 1991, **115**:267-277.
31. Bennett V: **Ankyrins. Adaptors between diverse plasma membrane proteins and the cytoplasm.** *J Biol Chem* 1992, **267**:8703-8706.
32. Amann KJ, Renley BA and Ervasti JM: **A cluster of basic repeats in the dystrophin rod domain binds F-actin through an electrostatic interaction.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:28419-28423.
33. Djinovic-Carugo K, Gautel M, Ylanne J and Young P: **The spectrin repeat: a structural platform for cytoskeletal protein assemblies.** *FEBS Lett* 2002, **513**:119-123.
34. Stevenson VA and Theurkauf WE: **Actin cytoskeleton: putting a CAP on actin polymerization.** *Curr Biol* 2000, **10**:R695-7.
35. Schwahn U, Lenzner S, Dong J, Feil S, Hinzmann B, van Duijnhoven G, Kirschner R, Hemberger M, Bergen AA, Rosenberg T, Pinckers AJ, Fundele R, Rosenthal A, Cremers FP, Ropers HH and Berger W: **Positional cloning of the gene for X-linked retinitis pigmentosa 2.** *Nat Genet* 1998, **19**:327-332.
36. Groves MR and Barford D: **Topological characteristics of helical repeat proteins.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 1999, **9**:383-389.
37. Groves MR, Hanlon N, Turowski P, Hemmings BA and Barford D: **The structure of the protein phosphatase 2A PR65/A subunit reveals the conformation of its 15 tandemly repeated HEAT motifs.** *Cell* 1999, **96**:99-110.
38. Andrade MA and Bork P: **HEAT repeats in the Huntington's disease protein.** *Nat Genet* 1995, **11**:115-116.
39. Saunders WS, Koshland D, Eshel D, Gibbons IR and Hoyt MA: **Saccharomyces cerevisiae kinesin- and dynein-related proteins required for anaphase chromosome segregation.** *J Cell Biol* 1995, **128**:617-624.
40. Hoyt MA, He L, Loo KK and Saunders WS: **Two Saccharomyces cerevisiae kinesin-related gene products required for mitotic spindle assembly.** *J Cell Biol* 1992, **118**:109-120.
41. Saunders WS and Hoyt MA: **Kinesin-related proteins required for structural integrity of the mitotic spindle.** *Cell* 1992, **70**:451-458.
42. Kozielski F, Sack S, Marx A, Thormahlen M, Schonbrunn E, Biou V, Thompson A, Mandelkow EM and Mandelkow E: **The crystal structure of dimeric kinesin and implications for microtubule-dependent motility.** *Cell* 1997, **91**:985-994.
43. Martin L, Fanarraga ML, Aloria K and Zabala JC: **Tubulin folding cofactor D is a microtubule destabilizing protein.** *FEBS Lett* 2000, **470**:93-95.
44. Kajava AV: **Structural diversity of leucine-rich repeat proteins.** *J Mol Biol* 1998, **277**:519-527.
45. Kobe B and Deisenhofer J: **Proteins with leucine-rich repeats.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 1995, **5**:409-416.
46. Guasch A, Aloria K, Perez R, Avila J, Zabala JC and Coll M: **Three-dimensional structure of human tubulin chaperone cofactor A.** *J Mol Biol* 2002, **318**:1139-1149.
47. Bartolini F, Bhamidipati A, Thomas S, Schwahn U, Lewis SA and Cowan NJ: **Functional overlap between retinitis pigmentosa 2 protein and the tubulin-specific chaperone cofactor C.** *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**:14629-14634.
48. Wang X, Watt PM, Louis EJ, Borts RH and Hickson ID: **Pat1: a topoisomerase II-associated protein required for faithful chromosome transmission in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1996, **24**:4791-4797.
49. Konforti B: **Picture story. The great divide.** *Nat Struct Biol* 2001, **8**:488.
50. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W and Lipman DJ: **Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1997, **25**:3389-3402.
51. Rychlewski L, Jaroszewski L, Li W and Godzik A: **Comparison of sequence profiles. Strategies for structural predictions using sequence information.** *Protein Sci* 2000, **9**:232-241.
52. Lundstrom J, Rychlewski L, Bujnicki J and Elofsson A: **Pcons: a neural-network-based consensus predictor that improves fold recognition.** *Protein Sci* 2001, **10**:2354-2362.
53. Fischer D: **Hybrid fold recognition: combining sequence derived properties with evolutionary information.** *Pac Symp Biocomput* 2000:119-130.
54. Jones DT: **GenTHREADER: an efficient and reliable protein fold recognition method for genomic sequences.** *J Mol Biol* 1999, **287**:797-815.
55. Karplus K, Barrett C and Hughey R: **Hidden Markov models for detecting remote protein homologies.** *Bioinformatics* 1998, **14**:846-856.
56. Kelley LA, MacCallum RM and Sternberg MJ: **Enhanced genome annotation using structural profiles in the program 3D-PSSM.** *J Mol Biol* 2000, **299**:499-520.
57. Shi J, Blundell TL and Mizuguchi K: **FUGUE: sequence-structure homology recognition using environment-specific substitution tables and structure-dependent gap penalties.** *J Mol Biol* 2001, **310**:243-257.
58. Bujnicki JM, Elofsson A, Fischer D and Rychlewski L: **Structure prediction meta server.** *Bioinformatics* 2001, **17**:750-751.
59. Bujnicki JM, Elofsson A, Fischer D and Rychlewski L: **LiveBench-2: large-scale automated evaluation of protein structure prediction servers.** *Proteins* 2001, **Suppl 5**:184-191.
60. Fischer D and Rychlewski L: **The 2002 olympic games of protein structure prediction.** *Protein Eng* 2003, **16**:157-160.
61. Vriend G: **WHAT IF: a molecular modeling and drug design program.** *J Mol Graph* 1990, **8**:52-6, 29.
62. Tate JG, Moreland JL and Bourne PE: **Design and implementation of a collaborative molecular graphics environment.** *J Mol Graph Model* 2001, **19**:280-7, 369-73.
63. Gasteiger E, Jung E and Bairoch A: **SWISS-PROT: connecting bio-molecular knowledge via a protein database.** *Curr Issues Mol Biol* 2001, **3**:47-55.
64. Li W, Jaroszewski L and Godzik A: **Tolerating some redundancy significantly speeds up clustering of large protein databases.** *Bioinformatics* 2002, **18**:77-82.

65. Thompson JD, Higgins DG and Gibson TJ: **CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994, **22**:4673-4680.
66. Grum VL, Li D, MacDonald RI and Mondragon A: **Structures of two repeats of spectrin suggest models of flexibility.** *Cell* 1999, **98**:523-535.
67. Roswarski DA, Fedorov AA, Dodatko T and Almo SC: **Crystal Structure of the Actin-Binding Domain of Cyclase Associated Protein (CAP) from *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae*.** *to be published*.
68. Fontes MR, Teh T and Kobe B: **Structural basis of recognition of monopartite and bipartite nuclear localization sequences by mammalian importin-alpha.** *J Mol Biol* 2000, **297**:1183-1194.
69. Chook YM and Blobel G: **Structure of the nuclear transport complex karyopherin-beta2-Ran x GppNHp.** *Nature* 1999, **399**:230-237.
70. Saito K, Koshiha S, Kigawa T and Yokoyama S: **Solution Structure of the CAP-Gly Domain from Human Cyndromatosis Tumour-Suppressor Cyld.** *to be published*.
71. Schubert WD, Gobel G, Diepholz M, Darji A, Kloer D, Hain T, Chakraborty T, Wehland J, Domann E and Heinz DW: **Internalins from the human pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes* combine three distinct folds into a contiguous internalin domain.** *J Mol Biol* 2001, **312**:783-794.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

