PHYSICAL REVIEW D

PARTICLES AND FIELDS

THIRD SERIES, VOLUME 56, NUMBER 7 **1 OCTOBER 1997**

ARTICLES

Measurement of the branching fraction of D_s inclusive semileptonic decay $D_s^+ \to e^+ X$

J. Z. Bai,[1] O. Bardon,[2,*] J. G. Bian,[1] I. Blum,[3] A. Breakstone,[4] T. Burnett,[5] Z. W. Chai,[1] G. P. Chen,[1] H. F. Chen,[6] J. Chen,[7] J. C. Chen,[1] S. M. Chen,[1] Y. Chen,[1] Y. B. Chen,[1] Y. Q. Chen,[1] B. S. Cheng,[1] R. F. Cowan,[2] X. Z. Cui,[1] H. L. Ding,[1] Z. Z. Du,[1] W. Dunwoodie,[8] X. L. Fan,[1] J. Fang,[1] M. Fero,[2] C. S. Gao,[1] M. L. Gao,[1] S. Q. Gao,[1] P. Gratton,[3] J. H. Gu,[1] S. D. Gu,[1] W. X. Gu,[1] Y. F. Gu,[1] Y. N. Guo,[1] S. W. Han,[1] Y. Han,[1] F. A. Harris,[4] J. He,[1] J. T. He,[1] M. He,[9] D. G. Hitlin,[10] G. Y. Hu,[1] J. L. Hu,[1] Q. H. Hu,[1] T. Hu,[1] X. Q. Hu,[1] X. P. Huang,[1] Y. Z. Huang,[1] J. M. Izen,[3] Q. P. Jia,[7] C. H. Jiang,[1] S. Jin,[1] Y. Jin,[1] L. Jones,[10] S. H. Kang,[1] Z. J. Ke,[1] M. H. Kelsey,[10,†] B. K. Kim,[3] D. Kong,[4] Y. F. Lai,[1] H. B. Lan,[1] P. F. Lang,[1] A. Lankford,[11] J. Li,[1] P. Q. Li,[1] Q. Li,[9] R. B. Li,[1] W. Li,[1] W. D. Li,[1] W. G. Li,[1] X. H. Li,[1] X. N. Li,[1] S. Z. Lin,[1] F. Lu,[1] H. M. Liu,[1] J. Liu,[1] J. H. Liu,[1] Q. Liu,[1] R. G. Liu,[1] Y. Liu,[1] Z. A. Liu,[1] X. C. Lou,[3] B. Lowery,[3] J. G. Lu,[1] J. Y. Lu,[1] S. Q. Luo,[1] Y. Luo,[1] A. M. Ma,[1] E. C. Ma,[1] J. M. Ma,[1] H. S. Mao,[1] Z. P. Mao,[1] R. Malchow,[7] M. Mandelkern,[11] X. C. Meng,[1] H. L. Ni,[1] J. Nie,[1] S. L. Olsen,[4] J. Oyang,[10] D. Paluselli,[4] L. J. Pan,[4] J. Panetta,[10] F. Porter,[10] E. Prabhakar,[10] N. D. Qi,[1] J. F. Qiu,[1] Y. H. Qu,[1] Y. K. Que,[1] G. Rong,[1] M. Schernau,[11] B. Schmid,[11] J. Schultz,[11] Y. Y. Shao,[1] B. W. Shen,[1] D. L. Shen,[1] H. Shen,[1] X. Y. Shen,[1] H. Y. Sheng,[1] H. Z. Shi,[1] X. R. Shi,[10] E. Soderstrom,[8] X. F. Song,[1] J. Standifird,[3] D. Stoker,[11] F. Sun,[1] H. S. Sun,[1] S. J. Sun,[1] J. Synodinos,[8] Y. P. Tan,[1] S. Q. Tang,[1] W. Toki,[7] G. L. Tong,[1] F. Wang,[1] J. F. Wang,[1] L. S. Wang,[1] L. Z. Wang,[1] M. Wang,[1] Meng Wang,[1] P. Wang,[1] P. L. Wang,[1] S. M. Wang,[1] T. J. Wang,[1] Y. Y. Wang,[1] M. Weaver,[10] C. L. Wei,[1] W. J. Wisniewski,[8] Y. G. Wu,[1] D. M. Xi,[1] X. M. Xia,[1] P. P. Xie,[1] W. J. Xiong,[1] D. Z. Xu,[1] G. F. Xu,[1] R. S. Xu,[1] Z. Q. Xu,[1] S. T. Xue,[1] J. Yan,[1] W. G. Yan,[1] C. M. Yang,[1] C. Y. Yang,[1] H. Yang,[3] J. Yang,[12] W. Yang,[1] X. F. Yang,[1] M. H. Ye,[1] S. W. Ye,[6] S. Z. Ye,[1] K. Yi,[1] K. Young,[5] C. S. Yu,[1] C. X. Yu,[1] Z. Q. Yu,[1] Z. T. Yu,[1] C. Z. Yuan,[1] B. Y. Zhang,[1] C. C. Zhang,[1] D. H. Zhang,[1] Dehong Zhang,[1] H. L. Zhang,[1] J. Zhang,[1] J. W. Zhang,[1] L. S. Zhang,[1] L. Zhang,[1] Q. J. Zhang,[1] S. Q. Zhang,[1] X. Y. Zhang,[1] Y. Zhang,[1] Y. Y. Zhang,[1] D. X. Zhao,[1] H. W. Zhao,[13] J. W. Zhao,[1] M. Zhao,[1] W. R. Zhao,[1] J. P. Zheng,[1] L. S. Zheng,[1] Z. P. Zheng,[1] G. P. Zhou,[1] H. S. Zhou,[1] L. Zhou,[1] Y. H. Zhou,[1] Q. M. Zhu,[1] Y. C. Zhu,[1] Y. S. Zhu,[1] and B. A. Zhuang[1]

(BES Collaboration)

[1]*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing 100039, People's Republic of China*
[2]*Massachusetts Institue of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*
[3]*Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75083-0688*
[4]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822*
[5]*Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195*
[6]*Department of Modern Physics, China's University of Science and Technology, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China*
[7]*Department of Physics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523*
[8]*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94309*
[9]*Dept. of Physics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China*
[10]*High Energy Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125*
[11]*Department of Physics, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, California 92717*
[12]*Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China*
[13]*Dept. of Technical Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China*

(Received 10 April 1997)

The absolute inclusive semileptonic branching fraction of the D_s meson has been measured based on 22.3 pb^{-1} of e^+e^- collision data collected with the Beijing Spectrometer at $\sqrt{s}=4.03$ GeV. At this energy, the

*Present adress: Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115.
†Present address: University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1.

0556-2821/97/56(7)/3779(4)/$10.00

D_s are produced in pairs: $e^+e^- \to D_s^+ D_s^-$. We reconstructed $171 \pm 21 \pm 15$ D_s events in five hadronic decay modes. In the recoil system of these events, several D_s inclusive semileptonic decays were observed and the branching fraction is estimated to be $B(D_s^+ \to e^+ X) = (7.7^{+5.7+2.4}_{-4.3-2.1})\%$. [S0556-2821(97)04119-2]

PACS number(s): 13.20.Fc, 14.40.Lb

Contrary to the expectations of the simple spectator model, the lifetimes of the charged and neutral D mesons are very different [1]. The total decay widths of D^+, D^0, and D_s^+ are found to be in the ratio 1:2.5:2.3 [1], indicating large nonspectator effects in charmed meson decays. These may be due to interference between hadronic decays. It is expected that the semileptonic decay widths for the D mesons will be approximately equal. The inclusive electronic semileptonic branching fractions of the D^+, $B(D^+ \to e^+ X) = (17.2 \pm 1.9)\%$, and D^0, $B(D^0 \to e^+ X) = (7.7 \pm 1.2)\%$ [1], are consistent with $\Gamma_{SL}(D^+) \approx \Gamma_{SL}(D^0)$ by using the different lifetimes of the D^+ and D^0. The branching fraction of $B(D_s^+ \to e^+ X)$ is expected to be about 8%. Mark III placed a 90% C.L. upper limit of 20% on this decay [2]. The inclusive semileptonic decay width of D_s can also be compared with the sum of D_s exclusive semileptonic decay widths [3–8].

This paper reports a measurement of the inclusive D_s semileptonic branching fraction with data collected using the Beijing Spectrometer (BES) at $\sqrt{s} = 4.03$ GeV, just above the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ threshold. We tag one D_s via its hadronic decays and search the recoil system for semileptonic decays with one well identified electron track. Charge conjugate decay modes are implicitly assumed throughout this paper.



FIG. 1. Mass distribution of D_s single tags. (a) $\phi\pi^+$, (b) $\overline{K}^{*0}K^+$, (c) $\overline{K}_S^0 K^+$, (d) $K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$, (e) $f_0 \pi^+$, and (f) combined.

The BES is a conventional cylindrical detector, which is described in detail in Ref. [9]. A four-layer central drift chamber surrounding the beampipe provides trigger information. Charged tracks are reconstructed in a 40-layer main drift chamber (MDC) with a momentum resolution of $\sigma_p / p = 0.017\sqrt{1+p^2}$ (p in GeV/c) and energy loss (dE/dx) resolutions of 8.5% for Bhabha electrons and 11% for hadrons. Scintillation counters provide time-of-flight (TOF) measurements, with resolutions ≈ 330 ps for Bhabha events and ≈ 450 ps for hadrons. A 12-radiation-length, lead-gas barrel shower counter (BSC), operating in limited streamer mode, measures the energies of electrons and photons over $\approx 80\%$ of the total solid angle. A solenoidal magnet provides a 0.4-T magnetic field in the central tracking region of the detector. Three double-layer muon counters instrument the magnet flux return and serve to identify muons of greater than 500 MeV/c. They cover $\approx 68\%$ of the total solid angle with longitudinal (transverse) spatial resolution of 5 cm (3 cm).

The trigger required at least one barrel TOF hit within 40 ns of the beam crossing, one hit in the outer two layers of the central drift chamber, one MDC trigger track, and a total BSC energy above 200 MeV. The integrated luminosity is determined from large-angle Bhabha events.

At $\sqrt{s} = 4.03$ GeV, D_s mesons can be produced only via $e^+e^- \to D_s^+ D_s^-$; the energy of each D_s is equal to the beam energy. The D_s mesons are reconstructed in the $\phi\pi^+$, $\overline{K}^{*0}K^+$, $K_S^0 K^+$, $K_S^0 K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$, and $f_0 \pi^+$ modes, with subresonances decaying as $\phi \to K^+ K^-$, $\overline{K}^{*0} \to K^- \pi^+$, $K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, and $f_0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$. Tracks are required to have $|\cos\theta| < 0.8$ and all tracks, save those from K_S^0 decays, must originate from the interaction region. Pions and kaons are identified by means of TOF and dE/dx measurements. Identification requires consistency with the pion or kaon hypothesis at a confidence level greater than 0.1%. In all modes except for $K_S^0 K^+$, kaon candidates are further required to have a larger confidence level for the kaon hypothesis than for the pion hypothesis. Kaons from the $K_S^0 K^+$ mode are high momentum and poorly identified. For the $f_0 \pi^+$ mode, all pion candidates are required in addition to have a larger confidence level for the pion hypothesis than for the kaon hypothesis.

Candidate D_s decays are subjected to a beam constrained fit and required to have a C.L. greater than 10%. Backgrounds are further reduced by requiring the difference between the measured energy of the D_s candidate and the beam energy $|E_{\mathrm{diff}}|$ to be less than 50 MeV for all modes except $K_S^0 K^+$, where an asymmetric cut $-50 < E_{\mathrm{diff}} < 20$ MeV is imposed. Subresonances are defined as follows: $|M(KK) - M(\phi)| < 18$ MeV/c^2, $|M(K\pi) - M(K^{*0})| < 50$ MeV/c^2, $|M(\pi\pi) - M(K_S^0)| < 22$ MeV/c^2, and $|M(\pi\pi) - M(f_0)| < 3$ MeV/c^2. The cosine of the polar angle in the $\overline{K}^{*0}$



FIG. 2. (a) Single tag mass spectra when a RS (unshaded) and a WS electron (shaded) is detected in the recoil system. (b) Single tag mass spectra when a RS (unshaded) and a WS hadron (shaded) is detected in the recoil system. (c) Single tag mass spectrum of net electrons corrected with charge symetric background and hadron misidentification.

helicity frame is required to be $|\cos\theta_h|>0.35$. For the $f_0\pi^+$ mode, the cosine of the D_s production angle relative to beam direction is required to be $|\cos\theta|<0.6$.

Signals for the D_s are evident in the mass spectra shown in Fig. 1. The peak below D_s in the $K_S^0K^+$ mode is due to $D^+\to K_S^0\pi^+$ produced via $e^+e^-\to DD^*$, where the pion is misidentified as a kaon. This peak is reproduced by Monte Carlo simulations. A fit to the mass spectrum, with a Gaussian function for the D_s signal and a quadratic function for combinatorial background (and another Gaussian function for the D background in $K_S^0K^+$ mode), yields the number of tagged events $N_{\rm tag}$ for each of the five modes and the total number of $171\pm21\pm15$ reconstructed D_s mesons. The first error is statistical; the second error is systematic and is obtained by varying the parametrization of the background. The D_s mass value from the fit is 1969.0 ± 0.6 MeV/c^2 with a resolution of 4.2 ± 0.5 MeV/c^2.

The events of Fig. 1(f) are sought for a recoil electron track. Candidates are required to have a momentum larger than 0.2 GeV/c, $|\cos\theta|<0.75$, and make an angle to the nearest oppositely charged electron track greater than 11.5°. For each candidate track, information from dE/dx, TOF, and BSC systems is used to calculate a joint confidence level for each particle hypothesis. We require the confidence level for the electron hypothesis to be above 1% and those for the pion and kaon hypotheses to be below 5%. At least one additional charged or isolated neutral track is required. This lowers the event selection efficiency by 1%.

Radiative Bhabha events from the same dataset are used to measure the electron identification efficiency P_{ee}, while pions from $J/\psi\to\omega\pi\pi$ events are used to estimate the hadron misidentification probability $P_{\pi e}$. The measured values of P_{ee} and $P_{\pi e}$ vary slightly with momentum. The average

TABLE I. Numbers of RS and WS electrons and hadrons.

	N_{D_s}	N_D	N_C
RS electrons	8.0	6.3	45.7
WS electrons	−0.4	2.3	16.1
RS hadrons	171	85	1249
WS hadrons	75	31	715

P_{ee} value for the $D_s^+\to e^+X$ momentum spectrum is 82%. Similarly, the average value $P_{\pi e}$ from inclusive D_s decays is 0.8%.

A recoil track with charge opposite to the tag is designated right sign (RS); otherwise, it is called wrong sign (WS). Figure 2(a) shows the single tag mass spectra when a RS or a WS electron is detected in the recoil system. Similarly, Fig. 2(b) shows the single tag mass spectra when a RS or a WS hadron is detected in the recoil system. The RS electron signal contains $D_s^-\to e^-X$ decays together with events due to $D\to e^-X$, misidentified hadrons, and charge symmetric backgrounds from residual γ conversions and π^0 Dalitz decays. The numbers of RS and WS electrons and hadrons for the D_s signal N_{D_s}, D background N_D, and combinatorial background N_C, which come from fits to Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), are shown in Table I. The corresponding WS distribution provides a measure of the charge symmetric background. The number of hadrons misidentified as electrons can be estimated from the number of hadrons in the recoil system, multiplied by the hadron misidentified probability P_{he}. Here we approximate the misidentification probability of kaons to be equal to that of pions. The corrected spectrum with charge symmetric backgrounds and hadron misidentifications subtracted is shown in Fig. 2(c). The enhancement at 1.97 GeV/c^2 is due to D_s semileptonic decay. The statistical significance is 97%.

The detection efficiency of the electron track is independent of the specific tag mode. The electron track efficiency is a product of P_{ee}, which is the electron identification efficiency, and ε_g, which incorporates geometric, momentum, and other acceptance cuts. ε_g is found to be 64% using a Monte Carlo simulation incorporating $\phi e\nu$, $\eta e\nu$, and $\eta' e\nu$ decays in proportions measured by CLEO II [5]. A $\sim$5% systematic uncertainty is used to account for the model dependence of ε_g.

The estimation of the branching fraction is based on the RS and WS distributions for electrons and hadrons, and the number of D_s tags. In each distribution, signal events follow a Gaussian distribution f_s centered at 1969.0 MeV/c^2 with a resolution of 4.2 MeV/c^2. The background distribution is approximated by a quadratic function f_1 describing the combinatorial background and a Gaussian distribution f_2 centered at 1948.4 MeV/c^2 describing the reflection from the D^*D final state. The parameters come from the fit to the D_s single tag mass spectrum. Each of the three functions is normalized to a unit integral.

We make unbinned likelihood fits to the four distributions of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). In each case, the likelihood function is defined according to



FIG. 3. Normalized likelihood versus branching fraction. The unshaded region, 68.27% of the area to the left (right) of the maximum, defines the error interval. The unphysical region is included.

$$\mathcal{L}(s,b_1,b_2)=e^{-s-b_1-b_2}\prod_{i=1}^{N}[sf_s(x_i)+b_1f_1(x_i)+b_2f_2(x_i)], \quad (1)$$

where x_i is the effective mass for the ith candidate events, s is the number of signal events, b_1 and b_2 represent the number of background events, and N denotes the total number of candidate events in the distribution in question.

To obtain a likelihood for the number of signal events alone, we marginalize $\mathcal{L}(s,b_1,b_2)$ with respect to b_1 and b_2:

$$\mathcal{L}(s)=\int\int \mathcal{L}(s,b_1,b_2)db_2db_1. \quad (2)$$

We calculate the likelihood for the net number $N_{\rm net}=N_{\rm RS}-N_{\rm WS}$ from a product of $\mathcal{L}_{\rm RS}(N_{\rm RS})$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm WS}(N_{\rm WS})$ for electrons and hadrons separately. This joint likelihood is marginalized to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(N_{\rm net})=\int \mathcal{L}_{\rm RS}(N_{\rm RS})\mathcal{L}_{\rm WS}(N_{\rm RS}-N_{\rm net})dN_{\rm RS}. \quad (3)$$

Using the values for the particle identification probabilities, we correct the net number of electrons and obtain a likelihood for the true number of electrons $N^e_{\rm true}=(N^e_{\rm net}-P_{he}N^h_{\rm net})/P_{ee}$ and marginalize with respect to the number of hadrons:

$$\mathcal{L}(N^e_{\rm true})=\int \mathcal{L}_e(P_{ee}N^e_{\rm true}+P_{he}N^h_{\rm net})\mathcal{L}_h(N^h_{\rm net})dN^h_{\rm net}. \quad (4)$$

A change of variables from $N^e_{\rm true}$ to $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+\to e^+X)$ using

$$\mathcal{B}(D_s^+\to e^+X)=\frac{N^e_{\rm true}}{\varepsilon_g N_{\rm tag}} \quad (5)$$

is shown in Fig. 3. The unshaded region, 68.27% of the area to the left (right) of the maximum, defines the error interval. This leads to a semileptonic branching fraction of

$$\mathcal{B}(D_s^+\to e^+X)=(7.7^{+5.7+2.4}_{-4.3-2.1})\%,$$

where the first error is statistical and the second error is systematic. The systematic uncertainties are evaluated by varying the electron momentum cut from 0.1 to 0.3 GeV/c ($\pm1.9\%$), the order of the polynomial background function from first to third ($\pm0.5\%$), the electron detection efficiency by $\pm1\sigma$ ($^{+0.5}_{-0.1}\%$), the hadron misidentification rate by $\pm1\sigma$ ($^{+0.9}_{-0.7}\%$), the width of the enhancement around 1.948 GeV/c^2 by $\pm1\sigma$ ($^{+0.4}_{-0.1}\%$), and the geometric efficiency by $\pm1\sigma$ ($^{+0.6}_{-0.2}\%$). These are added in quadrature.

Our measurement $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+\to e^+X)=(7.7^{+5.7+2.4}_{-4.3-2.1})\%$ is consistent with the Mark III [2] upper limit. Our branching fraction corresponds to a D_s semileptonic decay width of $\Gamma(D_s^+\to e^+X)=(1.65^{+1.23+0.51}_{-0.91-0.45})\times10^{11}$ s^{-1}, while D^+ and D^0 measurements [1] lead to $\Gamma(D^+\to e^+X)=(1.63\pm0.18)\times10^{11}$ s^{-1} and $\Gamma(D^0\to e^+X)=(1.86\pm0.29)\times10^{11}$ s^{-1}. The measured semileptonic decays of charmed mesons are consistent with the description of the spectator model, $\Gamma_{\rm SL}(D^+)\approx\Gamma_{\rm SL}(D^0)\approx\Gamma_{\rm SL}(D_s^+)$.

We would like to thank the staff of BEPC accelerator and the IHEP Computing Center. We also thank Professor Zhaoxi Zhang for useful discussions of D_s physics. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contract No. 19290400 and the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Contract No. KJ85 (IHEP); by the Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-FG03-92ER40701 (Caltech), DE-FG03-93ER40788 (Colorado State University), DE-AC02-76ER03069 (MIT), DE-AC03-76SF00515 (SLAC), DE-FG03-91ER40679 (UC Irvine), DE-FG03-94ER40833 (U Hawaii), DE-FG03-95ER40925 (UT Dallas); by the U.S. National Science Foundation, Grant No. PHY9203212 (University of Washington); and by the Texas National Research Laboratory Commission under Contract Nos. RGFY91B5, RGFY92B5 (Colorado State), and RCFY93-316H (UT Dallas).

[1] Particle Data Group, R. M. Barnett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 1 (1996).
[2] J. Z. Bai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 686 (1990).
[3] F. Butler *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **324**, 255 (1994).
[4] K. Kodama *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **309**, 483 (1993).
[5] CLEO Collaboration, G. Brandenburg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3804 (1995).
[6] V. Lubicz *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **274**, 415 (1992).
[7] D. Scora and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2783 (1995).
[8] A. N. Kamal *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1330 (1994).
[9] J. Z. Bai *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A **344**, 319 (1994).