# **UCLA**

# **UCLA Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

The effect of patent foramen ovale closure in patients with platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome

# **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/81g6n33c

# **Journal**

Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions, 86(4)

# **ISSN**

1522-1946

## **Authors**

Mojadidi, Mohammad Khalid Gevorgyan, Rubine Noureddin, Nabil et al.

# **Publication Date**

2015-10-01

## DOI

10.1002/ccd.25953

Peer reviewed

# The Effect of Patent Foramen Ovale Closure in Patients With Platypnea-Orthodeoxia Syndrome

Mohammad Khalid Mojadidi, MD, Rubine Gevorgyan, MD, Nabil Noureddin, MD, and Jonathan M. Tobis, MD, FACC

Background: Platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome is a rare condition characterizedbyhypoxemia in the upright position that is improved in the supine position. Although several etiologies of platypnea-orthodeoxia exist, it is frequently associated with right- to-left shunting of blood at the cardiac or pulmonary level, usually via a patent foramen ovale (PFO). The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence ofplatypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome in a select patient population with right-to-left shunting and to describe the outcomes after PFO closure. Methods: Patients withplatypnea-orthodeoxia were prospectively identified from a population of patients who had a PFO and were referred to UCLA from 2001 to 2012. Those patients who elected to have their PFO closed were assessed for the severity of their symptoms and interval SaO₂changes. The changes in SaO₂before and after closure were compared in the supine and upright position. Patients were classified depending on the result of PFO closure as having "improved  $SaO_2$ " or "no change." Results: Of 683 patients with PFO- associated conditions, 17 (2.5%) had platypnea-orthodeoxia and elected to close their PFO.Theresults in 11 of 17 patients (64.8%) were classified as having "improved SaO<sub>2</sub>"; they experienced improvement or complete resolution of their dyspnea andhypoxemia

(improved S a O  $_2$ f r o m b a s e l i n e 5 . 2 64.7% w h e n r e c u m b e n t a n d 1 5 . 6 63.0% w h e n

upright, P50.03 and P<0.0001, respectively). Patients with no change after PFO dosure predominantly had a pulmonary etiology for their hypoxia, with elevated mean pulmonary pressures measured before closure (51.4616.8 mm Hg, P50.06). Conclusion: PFO closure may resolve symptomatic postural dyspnea and hypoxemia and is an effective method for treating platypnea-orthodeoxia, but is not effective when the primary etiology of the hypoxemia is due to a pulmonary cause. Vc 2015 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: patent foramen ovale; platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome; right-to-left shunt

#### **BACKGROUND**

Platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome is a rare clinical condition characterized by dyspnea and hypoxemia in the upright position that improves in therecumbentposition. By definition, platypnea refers to dyspneathatis relieved by lying down, and worsened by standing orsitting upright; orthodeoxiaisarterial hypoxemiathat

ismade worseintheupright positionandimproved on lyingdown[1,2]. The etiology of this syndrome is us u-ally attributed to the presence of a right-to-left (R to L) shunt through a patent for a men ovale (PFO) or some-times an atrial septal defect (ASD) or a fenestrated ASD with interatrial an eury sm [3-5]. The prevalence of platy pnea-orthodeoxia a mong patients with R to L shunts

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Jacobi Medical Center, New York <sup>2</sup>Program in Interventional Cardiology, Division of Cardiology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of CaliforniaatLos Angeles, Los Angeles, California

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the onlineversion of this article.

Contract grant sponsor: St. Jude Medical, Inc.

<sup>†</sup>Dr. Tobis is a consultant for St. Jude Medical, Inc. and W.L. Gore, Inc.; St. Jude Medical, Inc. provided the transcranial Doppler system.

# 70 Mojadidi et

\*Correspondence to: Jonathan Tobis, MD, Director of Interventional Cardiology Research, UCLA, B976 Factor Building CHS, 10833 Le Conte Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90095.

E-mail:Jtobis@mednet.ucla.edu

 $_{\text{Vc}2015} \text{WileyPeriodicals,Inc.}$ 

Received 24 November 2014; Revision accepted 15

March2015DOI:10.1002/ccd.25953 Published online 9 June 2015 in Wiley OnlineLibrary(wileyonlinelibrary.com)

TABLE I. Patients with Platypnea-Orthodeoxia Stratified by Medical Condition at the Time of Referral

N	Pulmonarycomorbidities	N	Cardiaccomorbidities
3	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Pulmonary fibrosis	2	Pulmonic stenosis, S/p repair
4	Pulmonary hypertension, idiopathic or due to vasculitis	1	Aortic aneurysm with dissection
3	Hepato-pulmonary syndrome	2	Ebstein anomaly, S/p repair
1	S/p lung transplant with opportunistic infection	1	Idiopathic nonischemic cardiomyopathy <sup>a</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>This patient had combined cardiac and pulmonary comorbidities (hepato-pulmonary syndrome).

isunknown.Areviewofcurrent literature reveals that platypnea-orthodeoxiaismainly observedinpatientswithprominentR to Lshunting,

severepulmonary disease, he patic failure or a combination of these [2].

pathophysiology of platypneaorthodeoxiaiscomplex and is not yet Atthecore completely explained. of platypnea-orthodeoxia lies severeventilation-perfusion mismatch, with or without a R to Lshunt[6,7]. It is uncommon for an isolated pulmonarypa-thology to manifest as platypnea-orthodeoxia. Patients pulmonary disease usually have improved ventila- tion when they sit up, and therefore, increase theiroxy-gen saturation in the upright position. However, platypneaorthodeoxia has been described in patients who have lung pathology predominantly affectingthelower lobes, resulting in severe bibasilar ventilation- perfusion mismatch[8].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence of platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome in a select patient population with R to L shunting and to describe the outcomes after PFOclosure.

ofplatypnea-orthodeoxia withtheinclusion criteria ofdyspneaandhypoxemia(measured by a pulse- oximeter placed on afinger)in theupright position, which was improved in the supineposition. Those with underlying pulmonary disease underwent pulmonary function testing to assess the degree of pulmonary disease. The patients were assessed for the presence of a R to L shunt by performing a transesophage al echocardio-gram

(TEE)bubblestudy(PhillipsiCAI, iE33xMA- TRIX, Andover Massachusetts) alone orincombinationwithatranscranial Doppler (TCD) bubble study (Spencer Technologies, Seattle, Washington). This prospective

#### **METHODS**

consistedof The studypopulation 683patientsreferred to theInterventional Cardiologyprogram at theUniversityofCalifornia,Los Angelesbetween2001 2012 and for theassessmentof conditions associatedwithPFO, includingcryptogenic stroke, migraines, acephal-gicmigraines, sleepapnea, decompression illness, and platypnea-orthodeoxia. Patientswere assessed for the presence

observational study was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

The reason for referral was hypoxemia inallpatients. However, the preliminary diagnosis atthetime of referral varied; to help with theanalysis, patients were grouped as followed:

- 1. Those with concomitant pulmonary pathologyinadditionto PFO at the time of referral.
- 2. Those with cardiac comorbidity (structural cardiac anomaly in addition toPFO).
- 3. Those with a combination of pulmonary diseaseandcardiac anomaly (Tablel).

with Those patients platypneaorthodeoxia andPFOunderwent percutaneous PFO closure. The PFOmorphology was evaluated prior to the closure procedure using TEE. Whenever the PFO appeared to be larger than 10 mm by ultrasound imaging, PFO sizingwasperformed using a sizing balloon at the time of closure. Postclosure assessment consisted of clinical evaluation and TEE, or TEE and TCD at 3 months, exceptincases where the patient was symptomatic, whichwar-ranted earlier follow-up. If TCD indicated a residual shunt grade 3, then it was repeated at 3 monthinter-vals up to 1 year oruntil complete resolution of the shunt, asevidencedby anegativeTCD(grade0-2).

The level of dyspnea and hypoxemia was reassessed during follow up and patients were classified into two groups:

"Improvedoxygen saturation(SaO<sub>2</sub>)": SaO<sub>2</sub>improvedto>93% atrestin thesupine and sittingposition; supplemental oxygennolonger required whilesupine,noorlimitedoxygenrequiredwhe nupright.

"No change": Dyspnea and hypoxemia may have improved, but saturation remained<93% and the patient remained symptomatic requiring supplemental oxygen when supine and upright.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Continuous variables were expressed as meanval-ues±standard deviation. Nominal anddichotomous

2

Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions DOI 10.1002/ccd. Published on behalf of The Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and



Fig. 1. Left-Fluorographic image of a measuring balloon placed across a sigmoid-shaped, long PFO canal consistent with a large ostium secundum defect with stretched walls. Right- fluoroscopic image of a measuring balloon placed across the conventional PFO of a similar size. Both patients had their PFOs closed with a Helex 30 mm device.

variables were expressed as frequencypercentage.SPSS version 20.0 statistical software was used forthestudy analysis (IBM Corporation, Armonk NY).Analysis of variance and Fisher's exact test were usedforcomparison among the study groups; paired wasusedfor comparison within the study groups. Ap-level 0.05 was used to determine significance.

idiopathic cardiomyopathy, aortic aneurysm, adult congenital heart disease other than PFO) in6patients, and both a cardiac and pulmonary condition in 1 patient. In 4 of 17 patients, recent paralysis ofahemi-diaphragm, either iatrogenic or from a pathological process, contributed to sudden worsening oftheconditionand, ultimately, ledtoconsideration for PFO

# **RESULTS**

# Study Population

Of the 683 patients referred for evaluation ofPFOrelated conditions, 23 patients (3.4%) were diagnosed with platypnea-orthodeoxia. Of the 23, 18 (78.3%) were found to have R to L shunting through aPFOand had it closed percutaneously. The other 5 patients had primary liver or pulmonary disease with platypnea and orthodeoxia but did not have a PFO presentasdetermined by TCD or TEE. One patient was losttofollow-up, therefore. excluded was thefinalanalysis. The clinical descriptors of the 17 patients whose data were analyzed are presented in the Sup-porting Information Table. The mean age at thetimeof referral was 62.6 13.8, and 59%were female.

The primary pathology was a pulmonary condition (i.e., COPD, idiopathic pulmonary hypertension) in10patients, a cardiac etiology existing in addition tothePFO (i.e.,

## 70 Mojadidi et

closure. In 3 of 17 patients (17.6%), balloon sizingofthe PFO with the purpose measuring it at thetimeof closure demonstrated a long tunnel (Fig. 1). In2cases, PFO closure was used as a palliative procedure to improve the life patients' quality of by attemptingtoincreaseSaO<sub>2</sub>priortoalungorc ardiactransplant.

#### PFO Parameters and Follow-up

Based on TEE assessment, the mean length of the PFO was 9.0 5.3 mm, with a mean height

9.8 3.3 mm. An atrial septal aneurysm was foundin3patients, and1hadaprominentE ustachianvalve.

Prior to PFO closure, the mean TCD grade atrestwas 3.7 0.8 and on release of Valsalva was 4.3 0.9. Initial follow-up was 2.1 1.7 months after theindexprocedure, with the final follow-up on surviving patients performed at 11.4 3.4 months. At thattime,4 of 17 (23.5%) patients had mild to moderate residual R to L shunting demonstrated on TEE or TCD, withthe mean Spencer grade of 2.5 2 at rest and 3.70.9onValsalva.

#### PFO Closure and Outcome

Thecomparison among patients groupedbyoutcomeispresentedinTableII. Thegroupswere notstatistically differentintermsof age,bodymassindex,PFOanat-omy,orthe typeofdeviceused for PFOclosure. Those patients who experienced ani mprovementoraresolutionoftheir symptoms("improvedSaO<sub>2</sub>"group)primarilyha dacardiac diagnosis; thosewithnochangeinsymptoms and/or residual hypoxemiahadapulmonarydiag-nosis. ± ±

> ± ±

> > ±

TABLE II. Comparison of Patients Who Improved Versus Had No Change in Symptoms After Patent Foramen

Ovale Closure Improved Nochange Variable P-value Mean±SD, orN(%) Mean±SD, orN(%) Totalpatients 11(100%) 6(100%)  $59.0 \pm 12.9$ 69.3±14 Age 0.1 Reasonforreferral Pulmonarycomorbidities 5(45.4%) 5(83.3%) 0.3 Cardiaccomorbidities 6(54.6%) 0(0%) 0.0427 Both 0(0%)1(16.7%) 1 BMI(kg/m<sup>2</sup>) 30.1±5.8 8.0 29.1±7.6 PFO canal height oncath(mm)  $9.3 \pm 3.0$ 11.5 + 4.90.3 2(33.3%) Presence of atrials eptalaneurysm 1(9%) 0.5 **Amplatzer** Device 6(54.6%) 5(83.3%) 0.3 Helex 3(27.3%) 1(16.7%) 1 CardioSeal 1(9%) 0(0%) 1 1(9%) 0(0%) 1 Roboticsurgery Presence of residuals hunt 2(18.2%) 2(33.3%) 0.6 FEV1 (%ofpredicted)  $80.7 \pm 4.1$ 0.7 77.9 + 263FVC (%ofpredicted)  $69.3 \pm 30.7$  $91.9 \pm 19.3$ 0.1 FEV1/FVC(%)  $72.5 \pm 21.2$  $73.9 \pm 21.7$ 0.9 TV (%ofpredicted) 81.3±65  $99.5 \pm 4.9$ < 0.0001 TLC (%ofpredicted)  $107 \pm 18.1$ 92.5±0.7 0.0724 Mean pulmonary pressure (mmHg,precath)  $28.1 \pm 10.3$ 51.4±16.8 0.0028 SaO2 supine(%,preprocedure) 0.0411  $90.5 \pm 6.9$  $83.2 \pm 5.4$ SaO2 upright(%, preprocedure)  $76.3 \pm 5.2$ 76±69 0.9 SaO2 supine(%, postprocedure)  $95.7 \pm 2.1$ 87.2±35 < 0.0001  $91.7 \pm 7.8$ SaO2 upright(%, postprocedure) 78.5±4.9 0.002

TABLE III. Comparison of Oxygen Saturation Before and After PFO Closure in the Supine and Upright Position in Patients with Platypnea-Orthodeoxia

	SaO₂supine(%)			SaO₂upright(%)		
Group	Preclosure	Postclosure	P-value	Preclosure	Postclosure	P-
value Improved	90.5±6.9	95.7±2.1	0.03	76.3±5.2	91.7±7.8	
	< 0.0001					
( <i>N</i> ½11) Nochange ( <i>N</i> ½6)	83.2±5.4	87.2±35	0.2	76.0±6.9	78.5±4.9	0.4

Lung function as assessed by pulmonary function testing (PFT), in those with no symptom changeafterPFO closure, similar to the rest of the studypopu-lation. However. their mean pulmonary higher pressuresweresignificantly compared with the otherstudy group (p<0.05). Of the 4 patients with PFO receiving bosentan or sildenafil treatment for pulmonary hypertension, 3 experienced no changeins ymptomsor

SaO<sub>2</sub>after PFO closure, and 1 experienced complete resolution of playpnea-orthodeoxia. Ofthe 17 patients with platypnea-orthodeoxia, 11(65%) experienced a "positive" change' afterPFOclosure.with the SaO<sub>2</sub>improvingto>93% inthe supine andsitting position. These patientsnolonger required supplementaloxygen while supineandrequiredeitherno whenupright orverylimited oxygenuse (TableII).Ofthe 11patientswho wereinthe"improved SaO<sub>2</sub>" group,6(54%) hadcomplete resolution of their symptoms.

Of the 17 patients, 6 (35.3%) had no change in the severity of their symptoms after closing theirPFO.One patient had an initial exacerbation of hypoxemia due to the presence of a significant residual R to L shunt following use of a Helex device. One month after the index procedure, this patient had a second closure procedure using an Amplatzer ASD device with reduction of R to L shunting and improvementindyspnea and hypoxemia[9].

TableIIIpresentsthe data and SaO<sub>2</sub>ofpatientsbefore PFO after closure.FollowingPFOclosure,thepatientsfrom the "improved SaO₂" group encedanincreaseinthemeansupineSaO<sub>2</sub>by 5.2 4.7%, (p0.03). Similarly, the mean SaO<sub>2</sub>in theupright position improvedby 15.6 3.0% (p < 0.0001). Of the 11 patients, nolongerrequired use of supple- mental oxygen, and 5required limitedoxygen only whenuprightandduringphysical exertion.

±

 $\pm$ 

In contrast, the increase in mean SaO₂observed among patients from the "no change" group wasnotsignificant; all 6 patients continued to require supple- mental oxygen after PFOclosure.

Due tosignificant comorbiditiespresent in several of the patients, percutaneous PFOclosure, though success-ful, did not improve the overall outcome. Four patients died 7 weeks after the procedure due to heart failure from rapidly progressing idiopathic car-

diomyopathy; another patient died after 8 months due to an unresectable pancreatic cancer. The third patient died as a result of invasive aspergillosis in a single transplanted lung and esophage alcarcinoma; the fourth patient died from a massive pulmonaryem bolism.

#### DISCUSSION

Platypena-orthodeoxia is а complex medical condi- tion where patients often present with comorbidities that alone, or in combination with PFO, may be responsible severe postural desaturation. toLshunting through an interatrial defect (e.g., a PFOoran atrial septal defect) is the most frequent etiologyofplatypneaorthodeoxia [5,10]. The association with a trial septal aneurysm, Chiari network or a persistent Eustachian valve accentuates the degree of shunting and may also potentiate embolism a paradoxical through the [11-13].interatrial defect Platypneaorthodeoxia has been described after an unsuccessful ASD closure where the rim of the Amplatzerdevicewas found to be sitting on the anterior wall of the infe- rior vena placement incorrect theASDdevice over the inferior vena cava orifice directedtheinferior vena caval blood into the left atrium[14]. Although PFO is present throughout a patient'slife-time, platypnea-orthodeoxia occurs later life. Theonset of symptoms is usually linked to another cardiac or extracardiac event that configurationofthe changes the interatrial septum, thereby significantly increasing the degree of shunting. Some examples theseeventsare of pneumonectomy with a mediastinal aortic root elongation, aortic root aneurysm,

kvphosis. unilateral paralysis of the diaphragm, and а localizedperi-cardial effusion. hypothesized Ιt is that theseeventsmay cause rotation of the heart with stretching oftheinteratrial septum and opening of the PFO flap, provid- ing a greater degree of deoxygenated blood toenterthe left atrium. When the correction of the concomitant pathology leading to platypneaorthodeoxia is notpos-sible kyphoscoliosis, pneumonectomy, aorticrootdilation), PFO closure can be an effective waytoresolve orthostatic dyspnea and hypoxemia[15].

The concept of a postural change affecting the RtoLshuntisnotnew.Acorrelationbet weenthemagni-

tude of R to L shunting with the position of the body during TCD was described by Lao et al in 2007 [16]. The authors postulated that this postural dependence is likely due to buoyancy of bubbles and the anterior superior location of the PFO, and possibly due to a larger opening of the PFO flap in the sitting position. Caputi et al. in 2008 postulated that the higher Spencer grade on TCD may be due to an increase theamountof shunted blood from recumbent tostanding position, possiblydue tostretchingof the PFO[17]. The prevailing thatplatypnea-orthodeoxia theory resultsfrom a positional modification of the PFOanatomysuch that a change from supine to theupright position pro-duces stretching of theinteratrial communication, lead- ing to increaseddeoxygenatedblood flow from the atriumthroughthe riaht defect [10]. Thisphenomenonhas

beendemonstratedusing TEE and TTEwith patientsmoving from the supine tosittingpositionduringthe study or using atilt-table[18-20].

Dyspneaexacerbated in theuprightposition mayalsobe seen inpatients with hepatopulmonarysyndrome who havemultiple pulmonary arterio-venousfistulae secondary to liver failure [2,5,21]. Hepato-pulmonary withsymptoms syndrome ofplatypneaorthodeoxiais associatedwith often pleuascitesand hydrothorax with ral effusion. In these patients, the supineposition allowsanyintrathoraciceffusion to spread diffuselyacrosstheposteriorthorax, whereasth

euprightposition thisfluidtoaccumulatein the lung bases. The accumu-lated fluid prevents expansionof the lungs and aggra- vates hypoxemia. In addition to thismechanism, hepatopulmonarysyndromeis also associated with diffusearteriovenous fistulaeinthe pulmonary circulation. The deoxygenatedvenous blood shunts directly into the pul- monary veins without being oxygenated in thealveoli.In these patients, sitting upright results in shifting of blood to the dilated pre-capillary beds of the lung bases, causing increasedhypoxemicdyspnea [22-25]. This postural dyspnea isobservedin 5% ofcirrhotic patients[25]. Other pulmonarydisordersthat beenassoci-atedwith have platypneaorthodeoxiainclude chronic obstructivepulmonary disease (COPD),pulmonaryembolism,upper airwaytumorandacute respiratory distresssyndrome, oftenwithno evidence ofintracardiacR to Lshunting[6,26].

In our patient population, PFO closure resulted complete resolutionoforthostatic dyspneaandhypoxe-miain6/17(35.3%) patientswithplatypneaorthodeoxia, and significant improvementinanother5/17 (29.4%) patients for a total of 11/17 (64.7%)patients who improved following PFO closure. The resolution of symptoms theabsenceofresidualR Lshuntingonfollow-**PFO** upTCDdemonstratethattranscutaneous closuremaybenefitasubsetofpatientsbyprevent

deoxygenated venous blood from getting mixed with oxygenated systemic blood.

etiology While the of platypneaorthodeoxia isusu-ally attributed to a R to L shunt [1-5,10], 6 of the 23 patients referred to with a clinical diagnosisofplatypneaorthodeoxia did not have a R to L shuntbynoninvasive testing. In addition, 35.3% of our patients diagnosed with platypnea-orthodeoxia did not experi- ence change in their subjective symptomsand/orhypoxemia following closure. Although itwouldbe ideal to rule out other etiologies as the primary cause of the orthostatic dyspnea prior to PFO closure, clinically this may not be possible. In patients who have both a pulmonary shunt as well as a cardiac shunt, PFO closure may be necessary as a therapeutic trial to determine if the primary etiology of the

emiaisduetointracardiacRtoLshunting.

Since platypnea-orthodeoxia is a rare disorderandnot commonly seen by clinicians, it is often misdiag- nosed [27]. In our study there were 4 patients whohadsevere platypnea-orthodeoxia despite having a grade3R to L shunt by TCD, which represents a small amount of blood flow. It is possible that these patientshavegreater shunting from the inferior vena cava and aTCD with agitated saline injection from the legmayhaveproducedahighershuntgrade[28].

In our study, half of the patients with pulmonary comorbidities experiencedsignificant improvementoftheir symptoms afterPFOclosure,asdocumentedby oxygen saturation anddecreasedneedforsupplemental oxygen. The anatomic parameters of the PFO (length of presenceof ASA)weresimilar thecanal, those between experiencedimprovementand those who did not. Those who did notexperienceany from PFO change closure were significantly older (74.7) 4.7 vs. 59.5 0.015). The base-line pulmonary 9.9, p function notdiffersignifi-cantly testsdid between groups, makingPFT anunreliable predictorofsuccessafter PFO closure. Therefore, PFO closure shouldbeconsideredforthese patients, with concomitant pharmacological

withsilde- nafil or bosentan to alleviate any reversiblecompo-nent ofpulmonary hypertension. Toanticipate theeffect of PFO closure on pulmonary pressures, the pressure change mav be measured rightatriumorpulmonary arteryaftertemporary occlusionofthePFO with an inflated balloon [29]. Our study demonthatthe twodescriptors strated predicteda poor outcome after PFO closure in patientswithplatypnea-orthodeoxia were a) severeCOPDat presence of thetimeofreferral associatedwithmarkedpulmonaryhypertensio nand b) othersevere co-morbidities present at

the time of the procedure(suchashepato-

pulmonarysyndrome).

#### CONCLUSION

In patients with platypnea-orthodeoxia who havealarge intracardiac R to L shunt, successful closureofthe PFO may resolve symptomatic postural dyspnea and profound hypoxemia. PFO closure is not effective when the primary etiology of the hypoxemia is due to a pulmonary cause.

#### REFERENCES

- Faller M, Kessler R, Chaouat A, Ehrhart M, Petit H, Weitzenblum E. Platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome related toanaortic aneurysm combined with an aneurysm of theatrialseptum. Chest2000;118:553– 557.
- 2. Cheng TO. Platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome: Etiology, differen- tial diagnosis, and management. Catheter CardiovascInterv1999;47:64-66.
- 3. Delgado G, Inglessis I, Martin-Herrero F, YoergerD, Liberthson R, Buoanno F, Palacios I. Management of platypnea- orthodeoxia syndrome by transcatheter closure of atrialcommu-nication: Hemodynamic characteristics, clinical and echocardio- graphic outcome. J Invasive Cardiol 2004;16:578-582.
- 4. Gu, erinP, LambertV, GodartF, LegendreA, PetitJ, BourlonF,De Geeter B, Petit A, Monrozier B, Rossignol AM, JimenezM, Crochet D, Choussat A, Rey C, C, Losay J. Transcatheter of patent foramen ovale in closure patients with platypnea-orthodeoxia: Results o f а multicentric french Cardiovasc Intervent registry. Radiol2005;28:164-168.
- 5. Cheng TO. Mechanisms of platypnea-orthodeoxia: Whatcauseswater to flow uphill?Circulation 2002;105:e47
- 6. Michel O, Sergysels R, Ham H. Platypnea induced by worsen- ing of VA/Q inhomogeneity in the sitting position in chronic obstructive lung disease. Chest1988;93:1108–1010.
- 7. Katsoulis K, Minasidis I, Vainas A, Bikas C, KontakiotisT, Vakianis P. Platypnea and orthodeoxia associated with pneumo- cystis jiroveci and cytomegalovirus pneumonia: A case report. J Med Case Rep2009;3:9319
- 8. Salvetti M, Zotti D, Bazza A. Platypnea and orthodeoxia inapatient with pulmonary embolism. Am J Emerg Med 2013;31: 760.e1-2.
- Matsumura K, Gevorgyan R, Mangels D, Masoomi R, Mojadidi MK, Tobis J. Comparison of residual shunt rates in five devices used to treat patent foramen ovale. Catheter CardiovascInterv2014;84:455-463.
- 10.ChengTO.Reversibleorthodeoxia.AnnInternMed1992;11 6:875
- 11.Kerut EK, Nortfleet WT, Plotnick GD, Giles TD. Patentfora-men ovale: A review of associated conditions and the impactofphysiologicalsize.JAmCollCardiol20 01;38:613-623.

- 12.Fox ER, Picard MH, Chow CM, Levine RA, Schwamm L,KerrAJ. Interatrial septal mobility predicts larger shunts acrosspatentformaenovale.AmHeartJ2003;145:730-736.
- 13.Homma S, Sacco RL. Patent foramen ovale and stroke. Circula- tion2005;112:1063-1072.
- 14.Gomez-Rubin MC, Ruiz-Cantador J, Polio L, Lopez-Fernandez T, Gonzalez A, Oliver JM, Lopez-Sendon JL. Platypnea-ortho- deoxia after failed percutaneous closure of secundum atrialsep-tal defect. Congenit Heart Dis2 0 1 2; 7: E 7 0 7 2.
- 15.BlancheC,NobleS,RoffiM,TestuzA,M&ullerH,MeyerP,Bon vini JM, Bonvini RF. Platypnea-orthodeoxia syndromeinthe elderly treated by percutaneous patent foramen ovaleclo-sure: A case series and literature review. Eur J InternMed2013;24:813–817.

- 16.Lao AY, Sharma VK, Tsivogoulis G, Malkoff MD, Alexandrov AV, Frey JL. Effect of body positioning duringtranscranialdoppler detection of right-to-left shunts. Eur J Neurol 2007;14: 1035–1039.
- 17.Caputi L, Carriero MR, Parati EA, Onorato E, Casilli F, Berti M, Anzola GP. Postural dependency of right to left shunt:Roleof contrast-enhanced transcranial doppler and its potentialimpli-cations. Stroke2008;39:2380-2381.
- 18.Medina A, de Lezo JS, Caballero E, Ortega JR. Platypneaortho-deoxia due to aortic elongation.Circulation 2001;104:741
- 19.FloriaM,GabrielL,ShroederE,ChenuP,Ambvarus,V,Mar chandise B. Stroke and an unexplained dyspnea in anel-derly patient: Platypnea-orthodeoxia syndrome. Geriatr Gerontol Int2012;12:356–358.
- 20.Shiraishi Y, Hakuno D, Isoda K, Miyazaki K, Adachi T. Platyp- nea-orthodeoxia due to PFO and aortic dilation. JACC Cardio- vasc Imaging 2012;5:570–571.
- 21.Edwards AL, Cornatzer E, Shelton RW. Platypnea-Orthodeoxia syndrome: What is the driving force? Am J Med Sci (in press). [Epub aheadof print] doi: 10.1097/MAJ.0b013e31821fb508
- 22.Takase B, Tanaka Y, Hattori H, Ishihara M. Importance of pla- typnea orthodeoxia in the differential diagnosis of dyspnea.In-tern Med2012;51:1651–1652.

- 23.Capodicasa E, De Bellis F, Muscat C. The hepatopulmonary- cutaneous syndrome: Description of a case and suggestion ofaunifyinghypothesis.CaseRepGastroenterol20 10;4:273-278.
- 24.Ali OM, Agarwal A, Akram S. Platypnea orhtodeoxia: A "laid- back" case of dyspnea. BMJ Case Rep (in press). doi: 10.1136/ bcr-2012-007810
- 25.Lambrecht GL, Malbrain ML, Corenmans P, VerbistL, Verhaegen H. Orhtodeoxia and, platypnea in liver cirrhosis: Effectofpropranolol. Acta Clin Belg 1994; 49:26-30.
- 26.Hussain SF, Mekan SF. Platypnea-orthodeoxia: Report of two cases and review of the literature. South Med J2004;97:657-662.
- 27.Upadhyaya SG, Ritchie AJ, Sarev T, Ryding A. If at first you do not succeed, think again! BMJ Case Rep. 2012;pii: bcr1220115442. doi:10.1136/bcr.12.2011.5442
- 28.Gevorgyan R, Perlowski A, Shenoda M, Mojadidi MK, Agrawal H, Tobis JM. Sensitivity of brachial versus femoral vein injection of agitated saline to detect Right-to-left shunts with transcranialdoppler.CatheterCardiovascInterv2014; 84:992-996.
- 29.SanchezRecaldeA,OliverJM,GaleoteG,Gonz,alezA,CalvoL,Jim,ene
  z-ValeroS,MorenoR,L,opezSend,onJL.Atrialseptaldefect with severe
  pulmonary hypertension in elderly patients:
  Usefulness of transient balloon occlusion. Rev Esp
  Cardiol 2010;63:860-864.