UCSF
UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Genome-wide meta-analyses identifies novel taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy-associated loci

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7wr2n2gh

Journal

Pharmacogenetics and Genomics, 28(2)

ISSN

1744-6872

Authors

Sucheston-Campbell, Lara E
Clay-Gilmour, Alyssa I
Barlow, William E
et al.

Publication Date

2018-02-01

DOI

10.1097/fpc.0000000000000318

Peer reviewed

Genome-wide meta-analyses identifies novel taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy-associated loci

Lara E. Sucheston-Campbell[a], Alyssa I. Clay-Gilmour[c], William E. Barlow[d], G. Thomas Budd[b], Daniel O. Stram[g], Christopher A. Haiman[g], Xin Sheng[g], Li Yan[i], Gary Zirpoli[i], Song Yao[i], Chen Jiang[k,l], Kouros Owzar[k,l], Dawn Hershman[j], Kathy S. Albain[m], Daniel F. Hayes[n], Halle C. Moore[b], Timothy J. Hobday[c], James A. Stewart[o], Abbas Rizvi[a], Claudine Isaacs[f], Muhammad Salim[r], Jule R. Gralow[e], Gabriel N. Hortobagyi[p], Robert B. Livingston[q], Deanna L. Kroetz[h] and Christine B. Ambrosone[i]

Objective Taxane containing chemotherapy extends survival for breast cancer patients. However, taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy (TIPN) cannot be predicted, prevented or effectively treated. Using genome-wide analyses, we sought to identify common risk variants for TIPN.

Patients and methods Women with high-risk breast cancer enrolled in SWOG 0221 were genotyped using the Illumina 1M chip. Genome-wide analyses were performed in relation to $\geq$ grade 3 Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) neuropathy in European and African Americans. Data were meta-analyzed with GW associations of CTCAE $\geq$ grade 3 versus <grade 3 in CALGB 40101 assuming a fixed effects model.

Results The percentage of $\geq$ grade 3 TIPN in 1269 European Americans and 139 African Americans in S0221, was 11.6 and 22.3%, respectively. CALGB 40101 $\geq$ grade 3 TOPN was 7.2%. The most significant association with $\geq$ grade 3 TIPN was the G allele of rs1858826 in *GNGT1* ($P_{meta} = 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$), which showed a decrease in risk of $\geq$ grade 3 TIPN (odds ratio = 0.29, 95% confidence interval: 0.18–0.46).

Conclusion The genetic variants associated with $\geq$ grade 3 TIPN are hypothesized to have biochemical functions and reside in and near genes involved in diabetes and diabetic neuropathy. This finding is consistent with results from CALGB 40101 pathway analyses. Larger homogeneous trials with similar dosing and criteria for defining neuropathy are needed to properly assess the relationship of genomics with the neuropathy spectrum. *Pharmacogenetics and Genomics* 28:49–55 Copyright © 2018 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Multidrug regimens for the treatment of breast cancer, particularly those including taxanes, have resulted in improved survival for breast cancer patients [1–3]. However, these drugs have both short-term and long-term effects that profoundly impact function and quality of life. Importantly, toxicities can also result in dose reduction or termination, thus reducing treatment efficacy. Taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy (TIPN), one of the most common side effects, cannot be predicted, prevented or effectively treated, and a substantial number of patients still experience grade 3 and 4 toxicities with symptoms lasting up to 2 years post-treatment [4].

Predictive markers of TIPN could be clinically relevant for prevention of this debilitating side effect. A number of studies, reviewed in [5], have sought to determine the underlying genetic susceptibility to TIPN [5–9], although results have not been consistent. The goal of the present study was to perform a meta-analyses of genome-wide associations with NCI Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 3 and greater sensory

neuropathies in two clinical trials, the North American Breast Cancer Intergroup clinical trial led by SWOG (S0221) and CALGB 40101. S0221 included 3294 women with high-risk breast cancer registered to differing dose and schedule of cyclophosphamide, anthracycline, and taxane, with blood samples collected from 1874 patients [10]. CALGB 40101 was a phase III randomized trial comparing cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin versus single-agent paclitaxel as adjuvant therapy for patients with breast cancer who were at relatively low risk for relapse [11].

Herein, we report on common genetic variants that confer susceptibility to grade 3 or greater clinical neuropathy, as well as those previously tested for association with neuropathy outcomes following treatment with paclitaxel.

Patients and methods
Patients
S0221
Patients participating in the North American Breast Cancer Intergroup clinical trial S0221 (NCT00070564) led by SWOG comprise the patient population. In this trial, patients with node-positive or high-risk node-negative operable breast cancer first received treatment with one of three different regimens of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (AC) and then were randomly assigned to either a standard-dose treatment of paclitaxel (T) given every 2 weeks for 12 weeks with pegfilgrastim support, or a low-dose weekly regimen for 12 weeks. The premise of the trial was to test whether a continuous 'metronomic' schedule (low-dose weekly regimen) is superior to an accelerated but more conventional schedule of AC–T for breast cancer [10].

To be eligible for the trial, patients must have had node-positive or high-risk (tumor ≥ 2 cm) node-negative operable stage II or III invasive breast cancer with known estrogen receptor/progesterone receptor status and no prior cancer, have undergone breast surgery, and have had no prior chemotherapy.

Specimen collection and DNA processing
All S0221 patients who participated in the substudy gave written informed consent to have blood drawn for germline genetic analysis. Blood was drawn into a 10-ml purple top tube upon registration to S0221 and shipped to the Roswell Park Cancer Institute Laboratory, where it was processed and stored at − 80°C. For this study, DNA was extracted from whole blood using Qiagen flexigene Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) according to manufacturer's protocol [8]. Genotyping was performed at the University of Southern California using the Illumina 1M chip (Illumina; San Diego, California, USA).

Toxicities evaluated in S0221
Toxicities were monitored and reported using the *CTCAE*, version 3.0, which contains descriptive terminology to be used for adverse event reporting. Grade 3 toxicities

interfere with activities of daily living, and grade 4 adverse events are life-threatening and often require hospitalization. Required toxicity reporting for S0221 included only those individuals experiencing grades 3 or 4. For each individual, only the maximum toxicity experienced following taxane treatment was reported. Because of the small number of grade 4 sensory toxicities (< 5), we consider ≥ grade 3 CTCAE collectively.

Statistical analyses
Genotyping and quality control
A total of 1966 total samples were sent for genotyping, including 44 replicate pairs ($n = 88$) and nine hapmap CEU trios ($n = 27$). Samples were randomized to plates based on toxicity reported (yes/no) and treatment arm [12]. Following sample quality control, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were removed if they could not be mapped to current genome build, could not be called, there was evidence of Mendelian or replicate errors, excess missingness (>2%), minor allele frequency less than 1%, or if they were out of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium proportions at P value less than 0.005 [separately tested in European Americans (EA) and African American (AA) populations] [13]. Principal components were constructed using a set of independent SNPs in all patients self-declaring 'Caucasian' race and 'Non-Hispanic' ethnicity and mean values for the first three eigenvectors within were determined [6]. To address population heterogeneity, individuals with any of the first three eigenvectors more than 2 SDs from each mean value were excluded. This was repeated for all individuals self-declaring 'Black' race and 'Non-Hispanic' ethnicity. All quality control measures were implemented in Plink and R statistical software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) [14].

Imputation
Genotype data were imputed using Impute2, v2.0 [15,16]. A reference panel of haplotypes was constructed using 1000 Genomes Phase 3 CEU and YRI data and imputed SNP genotypes using all Illumina SNPs that passed the previously described QC. Imputation results were removed at info score threshold of less than 0.70, certainty less than 0.70 and a minor allele frequency less than 0.01 using QCTool [17].

Genome-wide analyses is S0221
Logistic regression models for each SNP, adjusted for age and treatment arm, were used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the association of each SNP with ≥ grade 3 neuropathies. SNP P-values were determined using likelihood ratio tests. Genomic inflation factor was estimated for all analyses [18].

Power calculations for main effects in S0221
Calculations of risk that is detectable with 80% power were performed considering allele frequency ranges between 10 and 50% and assuming frequency of neuropathy at ~ 12%.

Applying Bonferroni's correction for one million tests (threshold of $P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$) we are able to detect with more than 80% power variants with ORs in the range of 2.45 down to 1.78 per copy of the risk allele assuming an effected allele frequency between 10 and 50%, respectively. This OR range is consistent with those found in the published literature.

Genome-wide analyses in CALGB 40101

Cancer and Leukemia Group B trial 40101 (NCT00041119, Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology) was conducted using a phase III 2×2 factorial design to determine whether six cycles of a chemotherapy regimen are superior to four cycles, and whether paclitaxel is as efficacious as AC, but with reduced toxicity [11]. Data were available 855 Northern European and 117 AA patients with breast cancer who were treated with taxanes typed at 521 600 SNPs using the HumanHap610-Quad Genotyping BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) [6]. Imputed data were not available for analysis. Only specimens from patients enrolled in CALGB 40101 who had signed a protocol-specific informed consent for sample use, in accordance with federal and institutional guidelines, were available for analysis. Although these data have been analyzed for neuropathy associations with cumulative dose and ordinal neuropathy grades [6], in order for meta-analyses to be done on comparable phenotypes across trials logistic regression was performed using data from the 855 Northern European patients; 59 experienced $\geq$ grade 3 toxicity and 796 experienced <grade 3 toxicity. In AA patients, 103 experienced <grade 3 toxicity, and 14 $\geq$ grade 3 toxicity [6]. Regression models for each SNP, adjusted for age and treatment arm, were used to calculate ORs and 95% CIs for the association of each SNP with $\geq$ grade 3 neuropathies. SNP *P*-values were determined using likelihood ratio tests.

Meta-analyses of S0221 and CALGB 40101

Meta-analyses were performed using S0221 EA, S0221 AA and CALGB 40101 Northern Europeans. Because of the small number of AA patients with $\geq$ grade 3 toxicity in CALGB 40101 we did not include these results in the meta-analyses. *P*-values for the three data sets were combined using METAL software with weights proportional to the sample size [19]. Regional association plots of the most significant regions were constructed using SNIPA [20].

Candidate gene analyses

More than 70 studies have been published testing associations between genetic variation and neuropathy, measured using CTCAE grades, FACT-TAX scores and/or cumulative paclitaxel dose to event [5]. We tested SNPs from these candidate studies, as well as those presented in the CALGB 40101 genome-wide association study Main and Supplementary results [6] and ECOG analyses [9] for association with CTCAE $\geq$ grade 3 in S0221.

Results

Quality control

The Consort Flow diagram for S0221 (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplemental digital content 1, *http://links.lww. com/FPC/B286*) shows details of the study schema for patients for whom there were DNA and genotype data available, as well as the results of the data cleaning and quality control steps (details provided in Supplementary Materials). Study design for both the meta-analyses and tests of previous candidate SNP associations is shown in Supplementary Fig. 2 (Supplemental digital content 2, *http://links.lww.com/FPC/B287*). Following quality assurance and control, 1269 EA (147 $\geq$ grade 3) and 139 AA (31 $\geq$ grade 3) individuals with CTCAE grade information were available for analysis. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. SNP quality control yielded a total of 741 726 and 775 449, EA and AA typed SNPs, respectively.

Genome-wide analyses with Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events neuropathy $\geq$ grade 3

The Manhattan and Q-Q plots of S0221 *P*-values are provided in Supplementary Figs 3 and 4 (Supplemental digital content 3, *http://links.lww.com/FPC/B288* and Supplemental digital content 4, *http://links.lww.com/FPC/B289*), respectively. Meta-analyses of the S0221 EA, S0221 AA and CALGB 40101 EA did not identify loci that display genome-wide significant association with $\geq$ grade 3 neuropathy. Table 2 shows the most significant meta-analysis associations with $\geq$ grade 3 neuropathy. The most significant association was the G allele in rs1858826, which correlates with a reduced odds of $\geq$ grade 3 (OR$_{meta}$ = 0.28; 95% CI: 0.12–0.55, $P_{meta} = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$) and shows consistent evidence of association across S0221 EA (OR = 0.21; 95% CI: 0.10–0.46, $P = 8.2 \times 10^{-7}$), S0221 AA (OR = 0.26; 95% CI: 0.07–0.95, $P = 0.04$), and CALGB 40101 EA (OR = 0.47, 95% CI: 0.20–1.09, $P = 0.07$). The second most significant association, the A allele in rs910920 in *NXN*, also correlates with a reduced odds of $\geq$ grade 3 (OR$_{meta}$ = 0.57; 95% CI: 0.45–0.73, $P_{meta} = 4.9 \times 10^{-6}$). Regional association plots of rs1858826 and rs910920 are shown in Supplementary Figs 5 and 6 (Supplemental digital content 5, *http://links.lww.com/FPC/B290* and Supplemental digital content 6, *http://links.lww.com/FPC/B293*), respectively.

Previously reported neuropathy-associated single-nucleotide polymorphisms

Results of these analyses by published candidate gene study are shown in Supplementary Table 1 (Supplemental digital content 7, *http://links.lww.com/FPC/B291*). Of the 209 unique SNPs identified, 177 independent SNPs were tested for association; 10 SNPs were in very strong linkage disequilibrium with another candidate SNP ($r^2 > 0.9$) and thus were considered dependent and 22 were either not imputed to a high degree of confidence (info score < 0.7) or did not have a proxy ($r^2 > 0.8$) available for testing. Proxy SNPs were defined as being in linkage disequilibrium, $r^2 > 0.8$,

Table 1 Characteristics of genotyped patients in S0221

Patient characteristics	Genetic and phenotypic information available (N=1853) [n (%)]		After genomic and phenotypic quality control and imputation (N=1408) [n (%)]	
	≥ Grade 3 (N=215)	< Grade 3 (N=1638)	≥ Grade 3 (N=178)	< Grade 3 (N=1230)
Arm				
1	44 (13.3)	287 (86.7)	37 (14.7)	214 (85.3)
2	56 (15.6)	302 (84.4)	47 (18.3)	210 (81.7)
3	31 (8.2)	349 (91.8)	22 (7.9)	255 (92.1)
4	23 (6.6)	327 (93.4)	20 (7.4)	249 (92.6)
5	38 (17.9)	174 (82.0)	32 (18.1)	145 (81.9)
6	23 (10.4)	199 (89.6)	20 (11.3)	157 (88.7)
Self-reported race[a]				
Caucasian, not Hispanic	155 (10.5)	1319 (89.5)	147 (11.6)	1122 (88.4)
Caucasian, Hispanic	11 (10.6)	93 (89.4)	0	0
Black, not Hispanic	33 (19.8)	134 (80.2)	31 (22.3)	108 (77.7)
Black, Hispanic	1 (33.3)	2 (66.6)	0	0
Asian	10 (14.7)	58 (85.3)	0	0
Native	1 (6.7)	14 (93.3)	0	0
Pacific Islander	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	0	0
Race unknown	2 (25)	6 (75)	0	0
BMI [mean (SD)]	30 (7.0)	31.4 (7.2)	32.71 (7.6)	30.7 (7.4)
Age [mean (SD)]	50.8 (10.7)	53.1 (9.7)	53.1 (9.7)	50.1 (10.3)

[a]Race groups do not sum to total because of small overlaps (< 2) across groups.

with the candidate SNP in the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 CEU as estimated using LDlink [21]. We report SNP ORs and *P*-values for all CTCAE ≥ grade 3 associations for both S0221 EA and AA. The most significant candidate association with grade 3 + neuropathy, rs3088050 (OR = 1.6, 95% CI: 1.2–2.1, $P = 0.0006$, $P_{adjusted} = 0.10$), is a proxy SNP for rs1966265, a coding variant in *FGFR4*. This variant was not significant in either the ordinal or cox regression analyses in CALGB 40101 [6].

Discussion

Defining sets of SNPs associated with neuropathy following taxane treatment could provide valuable biological insight as to the causes of this side effect or potentially be the first step in determining those at risk of neuropathy. The assignment of alternative chemotherapeutic regimens *a priori*, could thwart the decrease in quality of life due to neuropathy experience, and also improve treatment efficacy by avoiding dose reductions. Therefore, we set out to identify and validate common genetic variants that infer susceptibility to clinical neuropathy in patients treated with taxanes for breast cancer.

We did not identify genome-wide significant ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) SNPs associated with TIPN ≥ grade 3 versus <grade 3 in the meta-analysis of S0221 and CALGB4010 [6]. Our strongest associations on chromosomes 7 and 16 are hypothesized to have biochemical functions and have been correlated with genes involved in diabetes and diabetic neuropathy, a finding consistent with CALGB 40101 pathway analyses [22].

ChiP-Seq experiments have shown hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α binds in the region containing rs1858826. This transcription factor associates with gluconeogenesis and maturity onset diabetes of the young, a metabolic and genetic disorder that is a consequence of β-cell dysfunction. Furthermore, the neuropathy-associated G allele occupies a very significant position in the predicted motif *MYBL1*, which is hypothesized to have a role in the proliferation and/or differentiation of neurogenic, spermatogenic, and B-lymphoid cells [23,24]. Collectively, these data indicate that this SNP is likely to affect transcription factor binding [25].

The A allele in the variant, rs910920, showed a reduction in odds of neuropathy and is linked to a number of biochemical functions. This variant has strong potential to impact transcription factor binding, is a cis-eQTL for *VPS53* and the G allele (risk increasing) occupies a prominent position in the (predicted) *MEF2D* motif, as well as in *BCL6* and *MTF1* motifs [25]. The gene Ataxia telangiectasia-mutated (ATM), which plays a role in cellular responses to DNA damage, phosphorylates and activates the *MEF2D* transcription factor, and knockdowns of endogenous *MEF2D* in mice have been shown to increase sensitivity to etoposide-induced DNA damage and neuronal cell death [26]. BCL6, a master transcription factor, has been hypothesized to regulate *LITAF* [27], and mutations in the latter cause abnormalities in protein degradation in Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease type 1C, a demyelinating neuropathy disease [28–30]. *MTF1* is involved in DNA damage repair as it induces expression of metallothioneins and other genes involved in metal homeostasis in response to heavy metals [31]. Furthermore, previous studies of diabetic neuropathy in type 1 diabetes have found associated SNPs in *NXN*, ~68 kbp away from rs910920, but in moderate linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 = 0.65$) and SNPs within *NXN* also show genome-wide significant associations with type 2 diabetes [32,33].

The variant rs12202642 is a synonymous coding variant in *FGD2* and cis-eQTL for peptidase inhibitor 16, *PI16*, in blood; rs1857798 is not linked to annotation data which indicates any biochemical function [20].

Table 2 Most significant single-nucleotide polymorphism associations with grade 3 or more neuropathy in meta-analyses of S0221 and CALGB 40101

Chromosome: SNP	Alleles	Gene[a]	bp (hg19)	S0221 European Americans (147 ≥grade 3, 1122 <grade 3)			S0221 African Americans (31 ≥grade 3, 108 <grade 3)			CALGB 40101 European Americans (57 ≥grade 3, 797 <grade 3)			Meta-analyses		
				EAF	P[b]	OR (95% CI)	EAF	P[b]	OR (95% CI)	EAF	P	OR (95% CI)	EAF ≥3 grade/ grade <3	OR (95% CI)	P
7: rs1858826	A/**G**	*GNGT1*	93 349 015	0.09	8.2×10^{-7}	0.21 (0.10–0.46)	0.12	0.04	0.26 (0.07–0.95)	0.10	0.07	0.47 (0.20–1.09)	0.03/0.10	0.29 (0.18–0.46)	1.1×10^{-7}
17: rs910920	A/**G**	*NXN*	701 122	0.29	1.3×10^{-7}	0.44 (0.32–0.61)	0.26	0.81	0.91 (0.45–1.87)	0.28	0.20	0.73 (0.49–1.1)	0.22/0.31	0.57 (0.45–0.73)	4.9×10^{-6}
4: rs1857798	**C**/T	*MIR5684*	165 370 126	0.35	0.01	0.73 (0.56–0.95)	0.16	0.04	0.33 (0.11–0.99)	0.37	5×10^{-4}	0.44 (0.28–0.69)	0.30/0.38	0.44 (0.29–0.66)	8.3×10^{-6}
6: rs12202642	**C**/T	*FGD2*	36 979 583	0.05	0.02	2.98 (1.6–5.4)	0.12	0.04	13.7 (1.06–175.7)	0.05	4×10^{-4}	2.01 (1.1–3.8)	0.06/0.03	3.0 (1.7–5.3)	1.2×10^{-5}

EAF, effect allele frequency; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
Effect alleles are given in bold.
[a]The closet flanking annotated gene is noted if SNP is intergenic.
[b]Likelihood ratio test *P*-values.

ZFPM2, a gene containing the most significant association in the ordinal model for CALGB40101, which also harbored some evidence of association with linked SNPs in S0221 AA (Supplementary Table 1, Supplemental digital content 7, *http://links.lww.com/FPC/B291*) contains variants associated with coronary artery calcification in AA [34]. A number of studies have demonstrated a relationship between cardiovascular diabetic neuropathy in patients with both type 1 [35] and type 2 diabetes [36–38]. In addition, this gene harbors variants associated with circulating *VEGF* levels [39], which have been associated with diabetes [40], diabetic neuropathy [41] and protective effects on neuronal cells [42].

Chhibber *et al.* [22] recently demonstrated the idea that common genetic pathways contribute to neuropathy. Using GCTA analyses, the authors showed that the heritable component of paclitaxel-induced neuropathy is driven, in part, by genes involved in axon outgrowth. They further hypothesized that disruption of axon outgrowth may be one of the mechanisms by which paclitaxel treatment results in sensory peripheral neuropathy in susceptible patients. Although different mechanisms cause neuron damage in diabetes and following paclitaxel treatment, the Chhibber *et al.* [22] results, in conjunction with gene expression analyses in mouse and human studies of diabetic neuropathy, suggest that susceptibility to sensory peripheral neuropathy is driven by the same sets of genes [43]. Diabetes status was not made available on S0221 patients. BMI between EA women experiencing CTCAE ≥grade 3 neuropathy and those with <grade 3 shows some evidence of significant difference ($P = 0.02$). However, BMI was not significant in the genetic association models ($P > 0.10$), and there was no evidence of effect modification or confounding by BMI.

This study is appropriately powered to detect clinically relevant genetic effects and done clinical trial removes potential random variation that arises in observational studies. However, we do not have dates of neuropathy onset or cumulative dosage, without this information, we are not able to more sensitive analyses that could reveal genetic susceptibility to neuropathy onset given drug exposure. Larger studies on more diverse patient populations to validate, replicate, and discover variation related to neuropathy are warranted.

Acknowledgements

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1 Henderson IC, Berry DA, Demetri GD, Cirrincione CT, Goldstein LJ, Martino S, et al. Improved outcomes from adding sequential paclitaxel but not from escalating doxorubicin dose in an adjuvant chemotherapy regimen for patients with node-positive primary breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2003; **21**:976–983.

2 Mamounas EP, Bryant J, Lembersky B, Fehrenbacher L, Sedlacek SM, Fisher B, et al. Paclitaxel after doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide as adjuvant chemotherapy for node-positive breast cancer: results from NSABP B-28. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; **23**:3686–3696.

3 Perez EA. TAC – a new standard in adjuvant therapy for breast cancer? *N Engl J Med* 2005; **352**:2346–2348.

4 Hershman DL, Weimer LH, Wang A, Kranwinkel G, Brafman L, Fuentes D, et al. Association between patient reported outcomes and quantitative sensory tests for measuring long-term neurotoxicity in breast cancer survivors treated with adjuvant paclitaxel chemotherapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2011; **125**:767–774.

5 Abraham JE, Guo Q, Dorling L, Tyrer J, Ingle S, Hardy R, et al. Replication of genetic polymorphisms reported to be associated with taxane-related sensory neuropathy in patients with early breast cancer treated with paclitaxel. *Clin Cancer Res* 2014; **20**:2466–2475.

6 Baldwin RM, Owzar K, Zembutsu H, Chhibber A, Kubo M, Jiang C, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies novel loci for paclitaxel-induced sensory peripheral neuropathy in CALGB 40101. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012; **18**:5099–5109.

7 Boso V, Herrero MJ, Santaballa A, Palomar L, Megias JE, de la Cueva H, et al. SNPs and taxane toxicity in breast cancer patients. *Pharmacogenomics* 2014; **15**:1845–1858.

8 Sucheston LE, Zhao H, Yao S, Zirpoli G, Liu S, Barlow WE, et al. Genetic predictors of taxane-induced neurotoxicity in a SWOG phase III intergroup adjuvant breast cancer treatment trial (S0221). *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2011; **130**:993–1002.

9 Schneider BP, Li L, Radovich M, Shen F, Miller C, Flockhart DA, et al. Genome-wide association studies for taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy (TIPN) in ECOG-5103 and ECOG-1199. *Clin Cancer Res* 2015; **21**:5082–5091.

10 Budd GT, Barlow WE, Moore HC, Hobday TJ, Stewart JA, Isaacs C, et al. SWOG S0221: a phase III trial comparing chemotherapy schedules in high-risk early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2015; **33**:58–64.

11 Shulman LN, Berry DA, Cirrincione CT, Becker HP, Perez EA, O'Regan R, et al. Comparison of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide versus single-agent paclitaxel as adjuvant therapy for breast cancer in women with 0 to 3 positive axillary nodes: CALGB 40101 (Alliance). *J Clin Oncol* 2014; **32**:2311–2317.

12 Yan L, Ma C, Wang D, Hu Q, Qin M, Conroy JM, et al. OSAT: a tool for sample-to-batch allocations in genomics experiments. *BMC Genomics* 2012; **13**:689.

13 Laurie CC, Doheny KF, Mirel DB, Pugh EW, Bierut LJ, Bhangale T, et al. Quality control and quality assurance in genotypic data for genome-wide association studies. *Genet Epidemiol* 2010; **34**:591–602.

14 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007; **81**:559–575.

15 Howie B, Fuchsberger C, Stephens M, Marchini J, Abecasis GR. Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing. *Nat Genet* 2012; **44**:955–959.

16 Howie B, Marchini J, Stephens M. Genotype imputation with thousands of genomes. *G3 (Bethesda)* 2011; **1**:457–470.

17 Marchini J, Howie B. Genotype imputation for genome-wide association studies. *Nat Rev Genet* 2010; **11**:499–511.

18 Devlin B, Roeder K. Genomic control for association studies. *Biometrics* 1999; **55**:997–1004.

19 Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* 2010; **26**:2190–2191.

20 Arnold M, Raffler J, Pfeufer A, Suhre K, Kastenmuller G. SNiPA: an interactive, genetic variant-centered annotation browser. *Bioinformatics* 2015; **31**:1334–1336.

21 Machiela MJ, Chanock SJ. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. *Bioinformatics* 2015; **31**:3555–3557.

22 Chhibber A, Mefford J, Stahl EA, Pendergrass SA, Baldwin RM, Owzar K, et al. Polygenic inheritance of paclitaxel-induced sensory peripheral neuropathy driven by axon outgrowth gene sets in CALGB 40101 (Alliance). *Pharmacogenomics J* 2014; **14**:336–342.

23 Trauth K, Mutschler B, Jenkins NA, Gilbert DJ, Copeland NG, Klempnauer KH. Mouse A-myb encodes a trans-activator and is expressed in mitotically active cells of the developing central nervous system, adult testis and B lymphocytes. *EMBO J* 1994; **13**:5994–6005.

24 Bolcun-Filas E, Bannister LA, Barash A, Schimenti KJ, Hartford SA, Eppig JJ, et al. A-MYB (MYBL1) transcription factor is a master regulator of male meiosis. *Development* 2011; **138**:3319–3330.

25 Boyle AP, Hong EL, Hariharan M, Cheng Y, Schaub MA, Kasowski M, et al. Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. *Genome Res* 2012; **22**:1790–1797.

26 Chan SF, Sances S, Brill LM, Okamoto S, Zaidi R, McKercher SR, et al. ATM-dependent phosphorylation of MEF2D promotes neuronal survival after DNA damage. *J Neurosci* 2014; **34**:4640–4653.

27 Bertolo C, Roa S, Sagardoy A, Mena-Varas M, Robles EF, Martinez-Ferrandis JI, et al. LITAF, a BCL6 target gene, regulates autophagy in mature B-cell lymphomas. *Br J Haematol* 2013; **162**:621–630.

28 DiVincenzo C, Elzinga CD, Medeiros AC, Karbassi I, Jones JR, Evans MC, et al. The allelic spectrum of Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease in over 17 000 individuals with neuropathy. *Mol Genet Genomic Med* 2014; **2**:522–529.

29 Somandin C, Gerber D, Pereira JA, Horn M, Suter U. LITAF (SIMPLE) regulates Wallerian degeneration after injury but is not essential for peripheral nerve development and maintenance: implications for Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease. *Glia* 2012; **60**:1518–1528.

30 Street VA, Bennett CL, Goldy JD, Shirk AJ, Kleopa KA, Tempel BL, et al. Mutation of a putative protein degradation gene LITAF/SIMPLE in Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease 1C. *Neurology* 2003; **60**:22–26.

31 Akulevich NM, Saenko VA, Rogounovitch TI, Drozd VM, Lushnikov EF, Ivanov VK, et al. Polymorphisms of DNA damage response genes in radiation-related and sporadic papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2009; **16**:491–503.

32 Pezzolesi MG, Skupien J, Mychaleckyj JC, Warram JH, Krolewski AS. Insights to the genetics of diabetic nephropathy through a genome-wide association study of the GoKinD collection. *Semin Nephrol* 2010; **30**:126–140.

33 Saxena R, Saleheen D, Been LF, Garavito ML, Braun T, Bjonnes A, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a novel locus contributing to type 2 diabetes susceptibility in Sikhs of Punjabi origin from India. *Diabetes* 2013; **62**:1746–1755.

34 Wojczynski MK, Li M, Bielak LF, Kerr KF, Reiner AP, Wong ND, et al. Genetics of coronary artery calcification among African Americans, a meta-analysis. *BMC Med Genet* 2013; **14**:75.

35 Thilo C, Standl E, Knez A, Reiser M, Steinbeck G, Haberl R, et al. Coronary calcification in long-term type 1 diabetic patients – a study with multi slice spiral computed tomography. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2004; **112**:561–565.

36 Pitocco D, Marano R, di Stasio E, Scavone G, Savino G, Zaccardi F, et al. Atherosclerotic coronary plaque in subjects with diabetic neuropathy: the prognostic cardiovascular role of Charcot neuroarthropathy – a case–control study. *Acta Diabetol* 2014; **51**:587–593.

37 Faglia E. Characteristics of peripheral arterial disease and its relevance to the diabetic population. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds* 2011; **10**:152–166.

38 Rodrigues TC, Ehrlich J, Hunter CM, Kinney GL, Rewers M, Snell-Bergeon JK. Reduced heart rate variability predicts progression of coronary artery calcification in adults with type 1 diabetes and controls without diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2010; **12**:963–969.

39 Debette S, Visvikis-Siest S, Chen MH, Ndiaye NC, Song C, Destefano A, et al. Identification of cis- and trans-acting genetic variants explaining up to half the variation in circulating vascular endothelial growth factor levels. *Circ Res* 2011; **109**:554–563.

40 Wirostko B, Wong TY, Simo R. Vascular endothelial growth factor and diabetic complications. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 2008; **27**:608–621.

41 Motawi TK, Rizk SM, Ibrahim IA, El-Emady YF. Alterations in circulating angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors in type 2 diabetic patients with neuropathy. *Cell Biochem Funct* 2014; **32**:155–163.

42 Zachary I. Neuroprotective role of vascular endothelial growth factor: signalling mechanisms, biological function, and therapeutic potential. *Neurosignals* 2005; **14**:207–221.

43 Pande M, Hur J, Hong Y, Backus C, Hayes JM, Oh SS, et al. Transcriptional profiling of diabetic neuropathy in the BKS db/db mouse: a model of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2011; **60**:1981–1989.