

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

DNA-Damage Response during Mitosis Induces Whole-Chromosome Missegregation

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7rh8672w>

Journal

Cancer Discovery, 4(11)

ISSN

2159-8274

Authors

Bakhoun, Samuel F
Kabeche, Lilian
Murnane, John P
et al.

Publication Date

2014-11-01

DOI

10.1158/2159-8290.cd-14-0403

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Discov. 2014 November ; 4(11): 1281–1289. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0403.

DNA damage response during mitosis induces whole chromosome mis-segregation

Samuel F. Bakhoun^{1,2,3,*}, Lilian Kabeche^{1,2,6}, John P. Murnane⁴, Bassem I. Zaki^{2,5}, and Duane A. Compton^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Biochemistry, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, N.H.

²The Norris-Cotton Cancer Center, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, N.H.

³Department of Radiation Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, N.Y.

⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, C.A.

⁵Section of Radiation Oncology, Department of Medicine, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, N.H.

Abstract

Many cancers display both structural (s-CIN) and numerical (w-CIN) chromosomal instabilities. Defective chromosome segregation during mitosis has been shown to cause DNA damage that induces structural rearrangements of chromosomes (s-CIN). In contrast, whether DNA damage can disrupt mitotic processes to generate whole chromosomal instability (w-CIN) is unknown. Here we show that activation of the DNA damage response (DDR) during mitosis selectively stabilizes kinetochore-microtubule (k-MT) attachments to chromosomes through Aurora-A and Plk1 kinases, thereby increasing the frequency of lagging chromosomes during anaphase. Inhibition of DDR proteins, ATM or Chk2, abolishes the effect of DNA damage on k-MTs and chromosome segregation, whereas activation of the DDR in the absence of DNA damage is sufficient to induce chromosome segregation errors. Finally, inhibiting the DDR during mitosis in cancer cells with persistent DNA damage suppresses inherent chromosome segregation defects. Thus, DDR during mitosis inappropriately stabilizes k-MTs creating a link between s-CIN and w-CIN.

Keywords

Chromosomal instability; aneuploidy; DNA damage response; mitosis; kinetochores; ATM; Chk2; Aurora Kinase; Polo-like kinase-1 (Plk1)

* **Correspondence:** should be addressed to Samuel F. Bakhoun, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Radiation Oncology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, N.Y., 10065, U.S.A., Phone: 603-276-9791, Fax: 888-378-4978samuel.bakhoun@gmail.com, Duane A. Compton, Ph.D., Department of Biochemistry, Norris-Cotton Cancer Center, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, N.H., 03755, U.S.A., Phone: 603-650-1990, Fax: 603-650-1128, duane.a.compton@dartmouth.edu.

⁶Authors contributed equally to this manuscript and are co-first authors

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

INTRODUCTION

DNA damage elicits a complex signaling cascade that leads to cell cycle arrest. DNA damage response (DDR) signaling comprises two arms, ATR/Chk1 and ATM/Chk2, which respond to damage induced by replication stress and double-stranded DNA breaks, respectively (1). Upon DNA damage, Chk1 and Chk2 inhibit mitotic entry by deregulating Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) whose subsequent activation by Aurora-A is required for checkpoint recovery (1,2). This provides sufficient time for DNA repair before cells enter mitosis and commit to chromosome segregation. However, cancer cells often encounter DNA damage during mitosis secondary to checkpoint slippage with persistence of pre-mitotic damage (3) or due to *de novo* induction of DNA breaks by therapeutic agents such as ionizing radiation (4). While the compact chromatin structure of mitotic chromosomes may confer protective properties from DNA damaging agents (5), mitosis has long been recognized, for unknown reasons, as the most sensitive phase of the cell cycle to DNA damage (4,6). Mitotic cells do not possess the capacity to repair DNA breaks and ectopic activation of DNA repair can lead to deleterious consequences (7). Nonetheless, DNA damage during mitosis results in a partial DDR (8), whose consequences, at a time when the cell is chiefly preoccupied by the process of chromosome segregation, remains elusive.

Chromosome segregation errors during anaphase fall into three subtypes that arise from distinct mechanisms. Errors in mitotic spindle function spawn lagging chromosomes (9), whereby an entire chromosome fails to segregate properly by virtue of its attachment to microtubules emanating from opposite spindle poles (Fig. 1A). Lagging chromosomes are a hallmark of whole chromosomal instability (w-CIN) (10). Anaphase spindles can also exhibit bridged chromatin (3,11) such that DNA, from the same chromosome or from non-disjoined sister chromatids, is stretched towards opposite spindle poles (Fig. 1A). The third class of segregation errors consists of acentric chromatin fragments that are devoid of centromeres and thus cannot establish canonical kinetochore-microtubule (k-MT) attachments to the mitotic spindle (Fig. 1A). Chromatin bridges and acentric chromatin fragments are hallmarks of structural chromosomal instability (s-CIN) (3).

The relationship between DNA damage and s-CIN has long been established. Pre-mitotic DNA damage can lead to the formation of acentric chromosome arms as well as dicentric chromosomes that undergo successive breakage-fusion-bridge cycles, a defining feature of s-CIN (11). However, it was recently shown that pre-mitotic replication stress, which leads to DNA damage that persists into mitosis, is also a feature of colorectal cell lines with w-CIN (3). This suggests that s-CIN and w-CIN may co-exist in an interdependent relationship. Yet, a causative relationship between DNA damage and whole chromosome mis-segregation (w-CIN) is unclear and whether the mechanisms that lead to s-CIN can also directly engender w-CIN is unknown. Here, we use high-resolution immunofluorescence microscopy and live quantitative single-cell imaging to directly investigate the consequences of inducing DNA damage during mitosis. We then use genetic and short-term pharmacological interventions to determine the role of the DDR signaling on the fidelity of the process of whole chromosome segregation during anaphase.

RESULTS

DNA damage leads to chromosome segregation errors

To investigate the effect of DNA damage on chromosome segregation during mitosis, we used four human cell lines; two were near-diploid, chromosomally stable (RPE1 and HCT116) and two were aneuploid and exhibited w-CIN (U251 and U2OS). Cells were derived either from normal epithelium (RPE1), colorectal (HCT116), glioblastoma (U251), or osteosarcoma (U2OS) tumors. We induced DNA damage during mitosis through two independent mechanisms: by exposing cells to 0.5 μ M of doxorubicin, a DNA intercalating agent, or varying doses of ionizing radiation (IR). We then examined anaphase spindles for evidence of chromosome mis-segregation 25 minutes later. This provides sufficient time for many of the cells that were in mitosis during DNA damage induction to enter anaphase, but not sufficient time for cells that were in G2 to proceed through to anaphase (12). Exposure to Doxorubicin or IR led to significant increase in anaphase spindles containing lagging chromosomes and in the average number of lagging chromosomes per anaphase spindle, where single kinetochores were attached to microtubules emanating from opposite spindle poles (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. S1A). There was no increase in the frequency of chromatin bridges during anaphase (Fig. 1B) and the majority of anaphase spindles exhibited normal bipolar, and not multipolar, geometry (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Furthermore, there was only a slight increase in anaphase spindles containing only acentric chromatin fragments (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Fig. S1C) although our ability to resolve chromatin fragments in spindles that contained >3 lagging chromosomes was limited as was the case with many cells irradiated with 12 Gy. We thus termed these ‘combination’ cells containing multiple lagging chromosomes as well as chromatin fragments (Fig. 1). Thus, *de novo* induction of DNA damage during mitosis leads to chromosome segregation errors in otherwise normal appearing mitotic spindles.

DNA damage increases k-MT stability

Multiple mitotic defects can increase the frequency of lagging chromosomes in anaphase including pathways that perturb spindle geometry, the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC), sister-chromatid cohesion, and k-MT attachment stability (9). Exposing mitotic cells to IR did not substantially alter pre-anaphase spindle geometry as evidenced by the paucity of monopolar and multipolar spindles 25 minutes after irradiation (Supplementary Fig. S2A–B). To test if cohesion was perturbed due to DNA damage, we assessed mitotic chromosome spreads after exposure to IR or Doxorubicin (Supplementary Fig. S3A–C). We first irradiated mitotic cells that were arrested in the presence of nocodazole for 6 hours and examined mitotic chromosome spreads for defects in sister chromatid cohesion 1 hour later. We found no significant increase in the frequency of mitotic spreads with uncohesed sister chromatids between irradiated and control mitotic cells (Supplementary Fig. S3A, C). We also examined sister-chromatid cohesion in mitotic cells that were arrested in Nocodazole for up to 6 hours after being exposed to either Nocodazole alone or Doxorubicin with Nocodazole and found no disparity in sister chromatid cohesion upon Doxorubicin exposure (Supplementary Fig. S3B). To examine the effect of DNA damage on the ability of cells to maintain SAC signaling, we again exposed mitotic cells, arrested in the presence of nocodazole for 3 hours, to varying doses of IR and counted the mitotic index 1 hour later.

All cell lines exhibited equivalent mitotic index when exposed to 0Gy or 12Gy of IR (Supplementary Fig. S4A). We then obtained 5×10^4 mitotic cells using mitotic shakeoff 1 hour after treatment with either Nocodazole alone or Nocodazole and Doxorubicin (Supplementary Fig. S4B) and assessed the number of mitotic cells that were able to maintain SAC signaling when further challenged with Nocodazole alone for up to 6 hours and found no difference between cells that were exposed to only Nocodazole and those exposed to Nocodazole and Doxorubicin (Supplementary Fig. S4B). As a control, when nocodazole-arrested non-irradiated mitotic cells were placed in a medium devoid of Nocodazole, they satisfied the SAC and rapidly exited mitosis (Supplementary Fig. S4B). Collectively, these data show that induction of DNA damage during mitosis does not significantly alter spindle geometry, sister-chromatid cohesion, or the ability of cells to maintain SAC signaling. Thus, these mechanisms are unlikely to account for the observed increase in lagging chromosomes.

To test if k-MT attachment stability changes in response to mitotic DNA damage, we exposed RPE1 cells expressing photoactivatable GFP-tubulin to doxorubicin or 12Gy of IR. We then photoactivated a linear region on the mitotic spindle and quantified the rate of fluorescence dissipation of the photoactivated region as previously described (13). Control and irradiated cells were treated in $5 \mu\text{M}$ of MG132 to prevent anaphase onset, which by itself did not alter k-MT attachment stability (14). Quantitative measurements of fluorescence decay fit a double-exponential curve ($r^2 > 0.99$), where slow-decaying fluorescence corresponded to the more stable k-MT population and the fast-decaying fluorescence corresponded to the less stable, non-kinetochore bound, spindle microtubules (Fig. 2A–B). Interestingly, the half-life of k-MT fluorescence in metaphase spindles was significantly increased when mitotic cells were exposed to doxorubicin (5.6 ± 0.4 and 6.19 ± 0.4 min for $0.5 \mu\text{M}$ and $2 \mu\text{M}$ concentrations, respectively) or 12Gy of IR (6.0 ± 0.6 min) compared to control cells (3.8 ± 0.2 min), corresponding to a ~50–60% rise in k-MT stability (Fig. 2C). Prometaphase cells exhibited a similar increase in k-MT stability when exposed to Doxorubicin (Fig. 2C). Neither doxorubicin nor IR influenced the fraction of stable spindle microtubules (not shown) or the stability of the population of microtubules not attached to kinetochores (Supplementary Fig. S5A). Furthermore, exposure of U2OS cells to Doxorubicin also led to increase in kMT stability and this effect of dose-dependent (Supplementary Fig. S5B). In addition, irradiated metaphase spindles exhibited unperturbed poleward microtubule flux (Supplementary Fig. S5C), suggesting that induction of mitotic DNA damage selectively increases the stability of the k-MT attachments without compromising other microtubule-based spindle functions.

To confirm that the generation of lagging chromosomes in response to mitotic DNA damage was mediated by increased k-MT stability, we overexpressed GFP-tagged microtubule-depolymerizing kinesin-13 protein, Kif2b, in RPE1 cells (Fig. 2D). Kif2b localizes to kinetochores and selectively destabilizes k-MT attachments to chromosomes (13). Overexpression of GFP-Kif2b led to a significant, but not complete, reduction in lagging chromosomes in RPE1 cells exposed to 12Gy (Fig. 2E) suggesting that DNA damage leads to lagging chromosomes, in part, by excessively stabilizing k-MT attachments.

The DDR links DNA damage to chromosome mis-segregation

Induction of double-stranded DNA breaks during mitosis leads to a partial DDR through the phosphorylation and activation of Chk2 (8) but not Chk1 (15). We used short-term pharmacological inhibition of the Chk2 arm of the DDR pathway and measured k-MT stability shortly after the induction of DNA damage. KU55933, an inhibitor of activated ATM kinase (16), completely abolished the effects of doxorubicin on k-MT stability in metaphase cells (Fig. 3A with controls depicted in Fig. 2C). To confirm that this effect is mediated by DDR-specific activity of ATM, we used 2-arylbenzimidazole, a selective inhibitor of activated Chk2 kinase (17), which similarly abolished any increase in k-MT stability in the presence of either Doxorubicin or IR (Fig. 3A). These inhibitors were also effective at suppressing lagging chromosomes in the presence of Doxorubicin (Fig. 3B).

We then asked whether constitutive activation of the DDR in the absence of DNA damage was sufficient to induce chromosome segregation defects. To this end, we exposed cells to Chloroquine, an independent activator of ATM kinase (18). Interestingly, exposure of mitotic cells to Chloroquine for 25 minutes was sufficient to induce the formation of lagging chromosomes to levels comparable to mitotic cells exposed to DNA damage (Fig. 3C). Chloroquine did not alter the levels of γ -H2Ax in mitotic cells compared to control cells as evidenced by immunofluorescence and immunoblotting (Fig. 3D–E). However, it led to a significant increase p-Chk2-S19 levels in mitotic cells (Fig. 3E), indicating that it can lead to DDR activation without causing DNA damage in mitotic cells. This Chloroquine-induced phenotype was significantly suppressed with the Chk2 inhibitor, 2-arylbenzimidazole (Fig. 3C), confirming its specificity to the DDR pathway. Furthermore, Chloroquine exposure did not increase the frequencies of either acentric chromatin fragments or chromatin bridges (Fig. 3C) thus only influencing the process of whole chromosome segregation.

We then genetically abrogated the DDR through two independent means. First, we depleted cells of the Chk2 protein, using siRNA, and found an observable increase in both lagging chromosomes and chromatin bridges during anaphase, as previously reported (19). However, we observed no further increase in chromosome mis-segregation when cells were exposed to Doxorubicin during mitosis (Supplementary Fig. S6A–B). We then used AT22IJE-T human fibroblasts containing the Ataxia-Telangiectasia disrupting frameshift mutation at codon 762 of the ATM gene rendering it highly unstable (20). These cells failed to exhibit an increase in lagging chromosomes in the presence of Doxorubicin or Chloroquine. Interestingly, expression of FLAG-tagged wild-type recombinant human ATM (20) in AT22IJE-T fibroblasts rescued this phenotype as there was a >3-fold increase in cells containing lagging chromosomes upon addition of Chloroquine or Doxorubicin (Supplementary Fig. S6C). Collectively, these results suggest that the DDR during mitosis induces errors in whole chromosome mis-segregation by excessively stabilizing k-MT attachments.

The DDR acts through Aurora-A and Plk1 kinases

Mitotic entry following recovery from DNA damage requires activation of Plk1 by Aurora-A (2). Aurora-A localizes to the centrosomes where it activates Plk1, which in turn regulates the function of Aurora-A (21) and both kinases have been shown to modulate k-MT

attachments during mitosis (22,23). We used immunofluorescence microscopy to examine the behavior of these two mitotic kinases in response to DNA damage. There was a 1.5-fold increase in overall levels of phosphorylated Plk1 (pPlk1) and a smaller but significant increase in p-Aurora-A levels after doxorubicin treatment compared to control cells (Fig. 3F–H). Inhibition of Chk2 did not prevent the localization of pPlk1 to chromosomes; however, it reduced chromosome-associated pPlk1 levels to that of control levels. This is interesting given what is known about the regulation of Plk1 by the DDR prior to the G2/M checkpoint and suggests that the wiring of the DDR may differ once cells proceed past the G2/M checkpoint. To test if inhibition of Aurora-A or Plk1 alters the effect of DDR on k-MT attachments, we measured k-MT attachment stability following inhibition of Aurora-A and Plk1 with MLN8237 and BI2526, respectively (22). Inhibition of Aurora-A caused a ~30% decrease in k-MT attachment stability relative to untreated cells in metaphase (compare Fig. 3I to Fig. 2C) as expected from its role in establishing k-MT attachments (23). However, there were no differences in k-MT stability between cells treated with the Aurora-A inhibitor alone or with both the Aurora-A inhibitor and Doxorubicin (Fig. 3I). Accordingly, inhibition of Aurora-A suppressed the rise in lagging chromosomes in cells exposed to Doxorubicin during mitosis (Fig. 3B). Inhibition of Plk1 caused monopolar spindles, and decreased the percentage of microtubules in the stable population (22). However, the half-lives of k-MT between cells treated with the Plk1 inhibitor alone or with the Plk1 inhibitor and Doxorubicin was equivalent (Fig. 3I). The few cells that escaped mitotic arrest from short-term Plk1 inhibition and proceeded to anaphase exhibited a substantial increase in lagging chromosomes however there was no further increase upon addition of Doxorubicin (Fig. 3B). Finally, inhibition of another DDR-responsive k-MT-regulating mitotic kinase, MPS1, with Reversine (24), did not prevent the stabilization of k-MT attachments induced by Doxorubicin (Fig. 3I). We then tested the effect of DNA damage induction on Aurora-B kinase and its kinetochore substrates. We found that 12Gy of IR influenced neither the centromeric localization of Aurora-B nor the levels of Aurora-B substrates Hec1 and phospho-histone H3. Yet, there was an increase in the levels of p-Cenp-A although it is also phosphorylated by Aurora-A kinase (Supplementary Fig. S7A–G). Collectively, these results suggest that the DDR signals through Aurora-A and Plk1 to increase k-MT stability in response to mitotic DNA damage.

Inhibition of the DDR suppresses chromosome segregation defects in cancer cells

We asked if inhibition of the DDR could alter w-CIN in cell lines that naturally exhibit DNA damage during mitosis, a feature particularly prominent in human colorectal cancers. We pharmacologically inhibited Chk2 in RPE1 cells and in cells derived from colorectal (HCT116, HT29, SW480, and SW620) cervical (HeLa) brain (U251 and U87) and bone (U2OS) human cancers and assessed chromosome mis-segregation 25 minutes later. Strikingly, Chk2 inhibition led to significant suppression of inherent lagging chromosomes in 5 out of 9 cell lines surveyed (U2OS, U87, HT29, SW480, SW620) but it did not influence the frequencies of chromatin bridges or acentric chromatin fragments (Fig. 4A). Many of the assayed cell lines exhibited elevated levels of γ -H2AX as well as phosphorylated Chk2 compared to chromosomally stable RPE1 cells, as assessed by semi-quantitative immunofluorescence (Fig. 4B). This suggests that they exhibit some level of DNA damage during mitosis with associated activation of the DDR. Interestingly, the extent

to which Chk2 inhibition suppressed lagging chromosomes was directly proportional to the relative fluorescence of γ -H2AX during mitosis (Fig. 4C). This semi-quantitative correlation indicates that Chk2 inhibition suppresses whole chromosome mis-segregation associated with w-CIN discriminately in cells with elevated levels of DNA damage during mitosis.

DISCUSSION

Our work uncovers an unexpected consequence of partial DDR activation during mitosis, namely the collateral stabilization of k-MTs leading to whole chromosome mis-segregation (Fig. 4D). It has long been known that pre-mitotic DNA damage propagates s-CIN by generating chromatin bridges and acentric chromatin fragments during anaphase (11). However, it remained unclear whether (and how) DNA damage in mitosis can lead to w-CIN. Here, we show that the activation of ATM and Chk2 in response to DNA damage during mitosis leads to excessive stabilization of k-MT attachments through Aurora-A and Plk1 kinases, prompting the generation of lagging chromosomes during anaphase (Fig. 4D). Suppression of k-MT stability, however, did not fully restore chromosome mis-segregation (Fig. 2E), suggesting other potential mechanisms such as damage to centromeric chromatin or other spindle function. Our data also concurs with prior studies showing that unlike short-term pharmacologic inhibition of ATM and Chk2, their genetic depletion induces chromosome mis-segregation during mitosis in the absence of DNA damage (19). Yet, in these cells DNA damage during mitosis fails to further increase chromosome mis-segregation, suggesting that the enzymatic activities of ATM and Chk2 during mitosis are required to link DNA damage to whole-chromosome mis-segregation. Genetic depletion differs from pharmacological inhibition in that it abrogates the enzymatic and non-enzymatic activities of DDR proteins and depletes cells of ATM and Chk2 prior to mitosis. Thus, the observed increase in chromosome segregation in ATM and Chk2-depleted cells not exposed to DNA damage may be attributed to defects leading up to mitosis that interfere with the establishment of a functional mitotic spindle.

Many tumor types simultaneously exhibit s-CIN and w-CIN. Whole chromosome mis-segregation (w-CIN) can generate micronuclei predisposing chromosomes to pulverization (s-CIN) (25). This damage persists until the subsequent mitosis. We propose that this would in turn generate whole chromosome mis-segregation in a co-dependent relationship whereby w-CIN generates s-CIN, which subsequently leads to w-CIN. This feed-forward relationship provides one explanation for the frequent co-occurrence of s-CIN and w-CIN in cancer and the self-propagating nature of chromosomal instability.

METHODS

Cell culture and irradiation

Cells were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ in DMEM or McCoy's medium (HCT116) with 10% FBS, 50 IU ml⁻¹ penicillin, and 50 µg ml⁻¹ streptomycin and in 0.5–1.0 mg ml⁻¹ of G418 (geneticin) for plasmid selection. U251 cells were obtained as a gift from the Israel Lab (Dartmouth). AT22IJE-T and FLAG-ATM AT22IJE-T were kindly provided by Alan Eastman (Dartmouth). No authentication was done by the authors. Cells were γ -irradiated

with ^{137}Cs -irradiator (2.38Gy/min) or external beam radiation (6 MeV) delivered by a linear accelerator.

Inhibitors and small molecules

2-arylbenzimidazole (5- μM , Alan Eastman); KU-55933 10- μM , Santa-Cruz Biotechnology); MLN8054 (500-nM, Selleckchem); BI2536 (100-nM, Selleckchem), Reversine (5- μM), Chloroquine (31 μgml^{-1} , TY Chang).

Antibodies

Tubulin-specific DM1 α (Sigma-Aldrich), Anti-centromere (CREST, Dartmouth), hec1/NDC80-specific (Novus Biologicals), anti- γ -H2AX- (Novus Biologicals), GFP-specific (William Wickner), anti- pChk2 S19 and S33/35 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Chk2 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-pPlk1-Thr210 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-P-Aurora-A antibodies were used at dilutions of 1:1000. 1:10000 for anti-GFP-antibody).

Immunoblots

Membranes blocked with 0.5%-milk-TBS with 0.1%-Tween then blotted at 4C overnight with antibodies at 1:1000. Secondary HRP-conjugated anti-mouse/rabbit (BioRad) used at 1:3000.

Immunofluorescence imaging

Cells were fixed with 3.5%-paraformaldehyde or methanol (-20°C) for 15-minutes as previously described (14). Images were acquired with Orca-ER Hamamatsu cooled CCD camera mounted on an Eclipse TE2000-E Nikon-microscope. Image acquisition and iterative restoration were performed using Phylum-Live software (Improvision) as previously described (14). For phospho-antibodies, wash buffers were supplemented with 80nM okadaic acid and 40nM microcystin.

Photoactivation and measurement of kinetochore-microtubule stability

Images were acquired using Quorum WaveFX-X1 spinning-disk confocal system (Quorum Technologies, Canada) equipped with Mosaic digital mirror for photoactivation (Andor Technology, Connecticut) and Hamamatsu ImageEM camera (Bridgewater, New Jersey) as previously described (14).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Bernardo Orr, Alan C. Hartford, Hal Swartz, Ethan Dmitrovsky (Dartmouth), Mathieu Bakhom (UTMB), Giulio Genovese (Broad Institute), Jeffrey Guenette, Ashley Laughney (Harvard), Daphne Haas-Kogan (UCSF), and members of the Murnane and Compton Laboratories for critical feedback.

Financial Support (with recipient authors initials): National Institutes of Health Grant numbers R37GM051542 (DAC), R01GM008704 (LK), and R01CA120205 (JPM). The John H. Copenhaver Jr. Fellowship (LK), Hitchcock-Foundation grant number 250-4041 and Radiological Society of North America grant number 1304 (SB).

Abbreviations

w-CIN	numerical (whole) chromosomal instability
s-CIN	structural chromosomal instability
k-MT	kinetochore-microtubule
DDR	DNA damage response
SAC	spindle assembly checkpoint.

REFERENCES

1. van Vugt MATM, Gardino AK, Linding R, Ostheimer GJ, Reinhardt HC, Ong S-E, et al. A mitotic phosphorylation feedback network connects Cdk1, Plk1, 53BP1, and Chk2 to inactivate the G(2)/M DNA damage checkpoint. *PLoS Biol.* 2010; 8:e1000287. [PubMed: 20126263]
2. Macrek L, Lindqvist A, Lim D, Lampson MA, Klompmaker R, Freire R, et al. Polo-like kinase-1 is activated by aurora A to promote checkpoint recovery. *Nature.* 2008; 455:119–123. [PubMed: 18615013]
3. Burrell RA, McClelland SE, Endesfelder D, Groth P, Weller M-C, Shaikh N, et al. Replication stress links structural and numerical cancer chromosomal instability. *Nature.* 2013; 494:492–496. [PubMed: 23446422]
4. Gunderson LL, Tepper JE. *Clinical Radiation Oncology.* Churchill Livingstone. 2011
5. Pantelias GE, Terzoudi GI. Functional cell-cycle chromatin conformation changes in the presence of DNA damage result into chromatid breaks: a new insight in the formation of radiation-induced chromosomal aberrations based on the direct observation of interphase chromatin. *Mutat Res.* 2010; 701:27–37. [PubMed: 20398788]
6. Sinclair WK, Morton RA. X-ray sensitivity during the cell generation cycle of cultured Chinese hamster cells. *Radiat Res.* 1966; 29:450–474. [PubMed: 5924188]
7. Orthwein A, Fradet-Turcotte A, Noordermeer SM, Canny MD, Brun CM, Strecker J, et al. Mitosis inhibits DNA double-strand break repair to guard against telomere fusions. *Science.* 2014; 344:189–193. [PubMed: 24652939]
8. Giunta S, Belotserkovskaya R, Jackson SP. DNA damage signaling in response to double-strand breaks during mitosis. *The Journal of Cell Biology.* 2010; 190:197–207. [PubMed: 20660628]
9. Thompson SL, Bakhoun SF, Compton DA. Mechanisms of chromosomal instability. *Curr Biol.* 2010; 20:R285–R295. [PubMed: 20334839]
10. Thompson SL, Compton DA. Examining the link between chromosomal instability and aneuploidy in human cells. *J Cell Biol.* 2008; 180:665–672. [PubMed: 18283116]
11. Murnane JP. Telomere dysfunction and chromosome instability. *Mutat Res.* 2012; 730:28–36. [PubMed: 21575645]
12. Yang Z, Loncarek J, Khodjakov A, Rieder CL. Extra centrosomes and/or chromosomes prolong mitosis in human cells. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2008; 10:748–751. [PubMed: 18469805]
13. Bakhoun SF, Thompson SL, Manning AL, Compton DA. Genome stability is ensured by temporal control of kinetochore-microtubule dynamics. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2009; 11:27–35. [PubMed: 19060894]
14. Kabeche L, Compton DA. Checkpoint-independent stabilization of kinetochore-microtubule attachments by Mad2 in human cells. *Curr Biol.* 2012; 22:638–644. [PubMed: 22405866]
15. Stephan H, Concannon C, Kremmer E, Carty MP, Nasheuer HP. Ionizing radiation-dependent and independent phosphorylation of the 32-kDa subunit of replication protein A during mitosis. *Nucleic Acids Research.* 2009; 37:6028–6041. [PubMed: 19671522]
16. Hickson I, Zhao Y, Richardson CJ, Green SJ, Martin NMB, Orr AI, et al. Identification and characterization of a novel and specific inhibitor of the ataxia-telangiectasia mutated kinase ATM. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 64:9152–9159. [PubMed: 15604286]

17. Montano R, Chung I, Garner KM, Parry D, Eastman A. Preclinical development of the novel Chk1 inhibitor SCH900776 in combination with DNA-damaging agents and antimetabolites. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2012; 11:427–438. [PubMed: 22203733]
18. Bakkenist CJ, Kastan MB. DNA damage activates ATM through intermolecular autophosphorylation and dimer dissociation. *Nature.* 2003; 421:499–506. [PubMed: 12556884]
19. Stolz A, Ertych N, Kienitz A, Vogel C, Schneider V, Fritz B, et al. The CHK2-BRCA1 tumour suppressor pathway ensures chromosomal stability in human somatic cells. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010; 12:492–499. [PubMed: 20364141]
20. Ziv Y, Bar-Shira A, Pecker I, Russell P, Jorgensen TJ, Tsarfati I, et al. Recombinant ATM protein complements the cellular A-T phenotype. *Oncogene.* 1997; 15:159–167. [PubMed: 9244351]
21. Seki A, Coppinger JA, Jang CY, Yates JR, Fang G. Bora and the Kinase Aurora A Cooperatively Activate the Kinase Plk1 and Control Mitotic Entry. *Science.* 2008; 320:1655–1658. [PubMed: 18566290]
22. Liu D, Davydenko O, Lampson MA. Polo-like kinase-1 regulates kinetochore-microtubule dynamics and spindle checkpoint silencing. *The Journal of Cell Biology.* 2012; 198:491–499. [PubMed: 22908307]
23. Katayama H, Sasai K, Kloc M, Brinkley BR, Sen S. Aurora kinase-A regulates kinetochore/chromatin associated microtubule assembly in human cells. *Cell Cycle.* 2008; 7:2691–2704. [PubMed: 18773538]
24. Santaguida S, Tighe A, D'Alise AM, Taylor SS, Musacchio A. Dissecting the role of MPS1 in chromosome biorientation and the spindle checkpoint through the small molecule inhibitor reversine. *The Journal of Cell Biology.* 2010; 190:73–87. [PubMed: 20624901]
25. Crasta KK, Ganem NJN, Dagher RR, Lantermann ABA, Ivanova EVE, Pan YY, et al. DNA breaks and chromosome pulverization from errors in mitosis. *Nature.* 2012; 482:53–58. [PubMed: 22258507]

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The genome-protective role of the DNA damage response (DDR) depends on its ability to delay cell division until damaged DNA can be fully repaired. Here we show that when DNA damage is induced during mitosis, the DDR unexpectedly induces errors in the segregation of entire chromosomes thus linking structural and numerical chromosomal instabilities.





Figure 1. DNA damage during mitosis induces whole chromosome mis-segregation. **A**, Anaphase spindles of U251 cells containing lagging chromosomes, acentric chromatin fragments, chromatin bridges, and a combination of lagging chromosomes with acentric chromatin (from top to bottom). Cells were stained for Hec1/kinetochores (green), DNA (blue), and microtubules (red). Scale bar, 5- μ m. **B**, Percentage of anaphase spindles chromosome segregation defects 25 minutes after exposure to Doxorubicin or 12Gy of IR. Bars represent mean, n = 150 cells, 3 experiments, *, $p < 0.01$, error bars were omitted for clarity.

**Figure 2.**

DNA damage response pathway selectively increases k-MT stability during mitosis. **A**, Examples of Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) and time-lapse fluorescence images of spindles of RPE-1 cells before (Pre-PA) and at the indicated times after activation (PA) of GFP-tubulin fluorescence. Scale bar represents 5- μ m. **B**, Normalized fluorescence intensity over time after photoactivating RPE-1 metaphase spindles. Data points represent mean \pm SE, $n = 10$ cells, $r^2 > 0.99$ for all conditions. **C**, Half-life of kinetochore associated microtubules (k-MTs) in RPE1 cells in prometaphase (Prom) and metaphase (Met) cells exposed to Doxorubicin (Doxo) or 12Gy. Bars represent mean \pm SE; * $p < 0.01$, 2-tailed t-test. **D**, Immunoblots of RPE1 cells expressing GFP and GFP-Kif2b, stained using anti-GFP antibodies. DM1- α antibody was used to blot for α -tubulin as a loading control. **E**, Percentage of anaphase spindles containing lagging chromosomes as a function of IR dose in control RPE1 cells and cells expressing GFP-Kif2b. Bars represent mean \pm s.e.m; $n = 150$ cells, 3 experiments, * $p < 0.05$, 2-tailed t-test.




Figure 3. The DDR mediates chromosome segregation errors in response to DNA damage. **A**, Half-life of kinetochore associated microtubules (k-MTs) in metaphase RPE1 cells and cells exposed to Doxorubicin or to 12 Gy of IR in the presence of either Chk2 inhibitor or ATM inhibitor. Control for Fig. 3A is depicted in Fig. 2C. Bars represent mean \pm SE. **B**, Chromosome segregation defects in control RPE1 cells and cells exposed to Doxorubicin in the presence of ATM, Chk2, Aurora A, or Plk1 inhibitors. Bars represent mean, n = 150 cells, 3 experiments, **, $p < 0.01$. Error bars were omitted for clarity. **C**, Chromosome mis-

segregation in control RPE1 cells and cells exposed to Chloroquine or Chloroquine and the Chk2 inhibitor. Bars represent mean, $n = 150$ cells, 3 experiments, *, $p < 0.05$, **, $p < 0.01$. **D**, RPE1 cells stained for γ -H2Ax, and DNA in the presence of Doxorubicin or Chloroquine. **E**, Immunoblots of control RPE1 cells and cells exposed to Doxorubicin or Chloroquine stained using anti- γ -H2Ax, anti-pChk2-S19 antibodies. Lamin A/B (L A/B) antibody was used as a loading control. **F–G**, RPE1 cells stained for p-Aurora-A and p-Plk1 in the presence of Doxorubicin or Doxorubicin and the Chk2 inhibitor. **H**, Relative fluorescence intensity of p-Aurora-A and p-Plk1 in mitotic RPE1 cells exposed to Doxorubicin or Doxorubicin and the Chk2 inhibitor. Bars represent mean \pm s.e.m., $n = 20$ cells, 3 experiments, *, $p < 0.05$. Scale bar 5- μ m. **I**, Half-life of kinetochore associated microtubules (k-MTs) in RPE1 cells and cells exposed to Doxorubicin in the presence of either Aurora-A inhibitor or p-Plk1 inhibitor. Control for Fig. 3I is depicted in Fig. 2C. Bars represent mean \pm SE, $n > 10$ cells.




Figure 4. Inhibition of the DDR pathway suppresses chromosome mis-segregation in cancer cells. **A**, Chromosome mis-segregation frequencies in a panel of cell lines derived from normal epithelium (RPE1), bone (U2OS), brain (U251 and U87), ovarian (HeLa), and colorectal (HCT116, HT29, SW480, and SW620) cancers with and without the Chk2 kinase inhibitor. Bars represent mean, $n = 150$ cells, 3 experiments, *, $p < 0.01$. **B**, Fluorescence intensity of Chk2, pChk2 S19, pChk2 S33/35, and γ -H2Ax during mitosis in different cancer cell lines normalized to RPE1 cells. Bars represent mean, $n = 150$ cells, 3 experiments, *, $p < 0.01$. **C**,

Fold-change in frequencies of lagging chromosomes after Chk2 inhibition as a function of γ -H2Ax during mitosis. **D**, Schematic pathway linking DNA damage during mitosis to the formation of chromosome mis-segregation.