# UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

# Title

Reduction of coronavirus burden with mass azithromycin distribution

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7p9862kj

### Journal

Clinical Infectious Diseases, 71(16)

### ISSN

1058-4838

# Authors

Doan, Thuy Hinterwirth, Armin Arzika, Ahmed M <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2020-11-19

# DOI

10.1093/cid/ciaa606

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

### Reduction of coronavirus burden with mass azithromycin distribution

Thuy Doan<sup>1,2</sup>, Armin Hinterwirth<sup>1,2</sup>, Ahmed M. Arzika<sup>3</sup>, Lee Worden<sup>1</sup>, Cindi Chen<sup>1</sup>, Lina Zhong<sup>1</sup>, Catherine E. Oldenburg<sup>1,2</sup>, Jeremy D. Keenan<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas M. Lietman<sup>1,2</sup> for the MORDOR Study Group

<sup>1</sup>Francis I. Proctor Foundation, United States

<sup>2</sup>University of California, San Francisco, United States

<sup>3</sup>Carter Center, Niger

\*Corresponding Author. Thuy Doan, MD, PhD, Thuy.Doan@ucsf.edu, 513 Parnassus Ave., Medical Sciences Building, Room S309, UCSF, San Francisco, CA 94143-0944

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### ABSTRACT

We evaluated the potential antiviral effects of azithromycin on the nasopharyngeal virome of Nigerien children who had received multiple rounds of mass drug administration. We found that the respiratory burden of non-SARS coronaviruses was decreased with azithromycin distributions.

Trial Registration. Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02047981

CeRter

#### INTRODUCTION

Mass oral azithromycin distribution decreased childhood mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa.[1] Verbal autopsy revealed fewer deaths in communities treated with azithromycin from a number of infectious diagnoses, including dysentery and pneumonia.[2] Consistent with azithromycin's antibacterial properties, the gut pathogen load was reduced after the 4<sup>th</sup> biannual azithromycin distribution.[3] Because azithromycin may also have antiviral properties [4, 5], we performed an exploratory analysis on the effects of azithromycin on respiratory viral pathogens.

### METHODS

**Trial Oversight:** We obtained ethical approval for the study from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Committee for Human Research and the Ethical Committee of the Niger Ministry of Health (IRB # 10-01036). The study was undertaken in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. We obtained verbal informed consent from guardians of children prior to treatment and swab collection. No incentives were offered.

**Eligibility:** As part of MORDOR (*Macrolides Oraux pour Réduire les Décès avec un Oeil sur la Résistance*) I and II (NCT02047981) [3], 30 communities in the Dosso region of Niger were randomly selected for enrollment in a smaller sister trial to assess for infectious disease outcomes. The randomization unit was at the community level. All children aged 1 to 59 months and weighing at least 3800 grams were eligible for treatment. One community declined further participation after 24 months (Figure S1). Therefore, there were 29 participating communities at the 36-month time point.

**Intervention:** Children aged 1-59 months were randomized to receive one oral dose of placebo or azithromycin (height-based dosing to a target dose of  $\geq$ 20 mg/kg) every 6 months for 3 years. All field and laboratory personnel were masked to the assignments.

Sample Collection: Approximately 40 children from each of the 30 villages were randomly selected for sample collection at each time point (Figure S1). The children selected for sample collection at baseline may not be the same children selected at subsequent time points, and some children aged out of eligibility during the trial. Nasopharyngeal swabs were obtained at baseline (prior to treatment), 24 months (6 months after the 4<sup>th</sup> treatment), and 36 months (6 months after the 6<sup>th</sup> treatment). Samples were immediately placed in DNA/RNA Shield (Zymo Research) to deactivate pathogens and preserve nucleic acid integrity. The samples were placed on ice packs in the field, stored at -20°C in Niger, and shipped to UCSF for long-term storage at -80°C until sample processing. The collection dates for the baseline, 24-month, and 36-month time points were from March 11, 2015 to June 17, 2015, March 17, 2017 to June 14, 2017, and May 11, 2018 to July 1, 2018, respectively.

RNA-sequencing and Data Analysis: Ten samples from each village, at each time point, were randomly chosen (*N*=890) for deep RNA sequencing to evaluate for both RNA and DNA viruses (Figure S1 and Table S1).[3] Total RNA was extracted from the nasopharyngeal samples using the QIAGEN Allprep DNA/RNA Micro Kit per manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing libraries were prepared and sequenced as previously described.[3] Briefly, 25 ng of total RNA was converted to double-stranded cDNA. The cDNA was converted to Illumina sequencing libraries using the NEBNext Ultra II library preparation kit and amplified with 11 PCR cycles. Samples were sequenced on either the Illumina HiSeq 2500 or NovaSeq 6000 instrument using 125-base paired-end sequencing. Sequencing data were analyzed using a rapid, in-house computational pipeline to classify sequencings reads by comparison to the entire NCBI nucleotide (nt) reference database.[3, 6]

DESeq2 was used to perform differential abundance analysis on the DNA and RNA viruses identified across all samples [7]. Topconfects algorithm was used to determine the confident effect sizes.[8]

### RESULTS

We analyzed 890 nasopharyngeal samples from preschool children in the Dossa region of Niger that were collected from 2015 to 2018. Characteristics of children whose samples were sequenced and analyzed are shown in Table S1. At baseline, prior to treatment, Alphacoronavirus was more abundant in the nasopharynx of children randomized to the azithromycin arm (Figure S2). There was no difference in either abundance or prevalence of other virus genera between arms. At 24 months, children from villages that underwent 4 azithromycin treatments had an 8-fold reduction in Alphacoronavirus and a 14-fold reduction in Betacoronavirus compared to children from villages treated with placebo (Figure 1A). At 36 months, Betacoronavirus was again observed to be differentially abundant between treatment groups, but with a modest 1.6-fold relative reduction in the azithromycin arm. Other genera found to be differential between treatments were Enterovirus, Respirovirus, and Lymphocryptovirus. At the species level, Coronavirus-HKU1 and Coronavirus-NL63 abundances were significantly reduced in the azithromycin group at 24 months, and again at 36 months (Coronavirus-HKU1) (Figure 1A). We were unable to detect a significant change in the proportion of children who harbored any evidence of coronavirus with azithromycin compared to placebo at either the 24- or 36-month time points (Figure 1B). These results suggest that mass azithromycin distribution may decrease viral load, but not the prevalence of colonization in a community.

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, children who received biannual azithromycin administrations had a relative reduction in non-SARS coronavirus load in the nasopharynx compared to children who received biannual placebo administrations.

While the viruses identified in this study all have the potential to cause diseases, the detection of *Coronavirus-HKU1* and *Coronavirus-NL63* is notable given the SARS epidemic in 2003 and the current pandemic with the novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). These are enveloped single-stranded positive-sense RNA viruses. Particularly, *Coronavirus-HKU1* belongs to the same genus as SARS-CoV-2. Both *Coronavirus-HKU1* and *Coronavirus-NL63* are human pathogens that have been reported worldwide.[9, 10] Disease manifestations include respiratory tract infections, meningitis, and gastroenteritis, and are generally self-limiting although deaths have been documented in immunocompromised patients.[11] Whether mass azithromycin distribution provides herd effects or directly protects a treated child is unclear, as this study was not designed to determine the effects of mass azithromycin distribution on viral infections causing mortality. It is also unclear if clinical severity is dependent on viral load.

Macrolides have long been hypothesized to have therapeutic effects on viral infections, either via its anti-inflammatory effects or its off-target effects on viral replication. Recently, azithromycin is shown to have activity against SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro*.[12] Preliminary evidence has also suggested that azithromycin may reduce viral load in patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, although these studies were non-randomized and suffered from small sample sizes.[13] Therefore, it remains to be determined if the observations seen in this study are translatable to SARS-CoV-2 or if treatment with azithromycin provides any clinical protection against SARS-CoV-2.

This cluster-randomized controlled trial is limited by the absence of data on respiratory symptoms and the non-prespecified nature of the analyses. Moreover, the generalizability of the results outside of pre-school Nigerien children is unclear.

In summary, children in Niger treated with biannual mass azithromycin distributions experienced an associated decrease in the respiratory viral burden of non-SARS coronaviruses.

certer

### Funding

This work was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the National Eye Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number K08EY026986, the Peierls Foundation, Research to Prevent Blindness Career Development Award, and an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness (RPB, New York, NY). Pfizer donated all the medications used in this study.

None of the authors has any potential conflicts to disclose

ر ۲

ÇC'

### REFERENCE

- Keenan JD, Arzika AM, Maliki R, et al. Longer-Term Assessment of Azithromycin for Reducing Childhood Mortality in Africa. The New England journal of medicine 2019; 380(23): 2207-14.
- Keenan JD, Arzika AM, Maliki R, et al. Cause-specific mortality of children younger than 5 years in communities receiving biannual mass azithromycin treatment in Niger: verbal autopsy results from a cluster-randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Global health 2020; 8(2): e288-e95.
- Doan T, Hinterwirth A, Worden L, et al. Gut microbiome alteration in MORDOR I: a community-randomized trial of mass azithromycin distribution. Nature medicine 2019.
- 4. Schogler A, Kopf BS, Edwards MR, et al. Novel antiviral properties of azithromycin in cystic fibrosis airway epithelial cells. The European respiratory journal 2015; 45(2): 428-39.
- Retallack H, Di Lullo E, Arias C, et al. Zika virus cell tropism in the developing human brain and inhibition by azithromycin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2016; 113(50): 14408-13.
- 6. Doan T, Wilson MR, Crawford ED, et al. Illuminating uveitis: metagenomic deep sequencing identifies common and rare pathogens. Genome medicine **2016**; 8(1): 90.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome biology 2014; 15(12): 550.
- Harrison PF, Pattison AD, Powell DR, Beilharz TH. Topconfects: a package for confident effect sizes in differential expression analysis provides a more biologically useful ranked gene list. Genome biology 2019; 20(1): 67.

- 9. Chen Y, Liu Q, Guo D. Emerging coronaviruses: Genome structure, replication, and pathogenesis. Journal of medical virology **2020**; 92(4): 418-23.
- Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Goldstein E, Grad YH, Lipsitch M. Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. Science (New York, NY) 2020.
- 11. Prill MM, Iwane MK, Edwards KM, et al. Human coronavirus in young children hospitalized for acute respiratory illness and asymptomatic controls. The Pediatric infectious disease journal **2012**; 31(3): 235-40.
- Damle B, Vourvahis M, Wang E, Leaney J, Corrigan B. Clinical Pharmacology Perspectives on the Antiviral Activity of Azithromycin and Use in COVID-19. Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics 2020.
- 13. Ohe M, Shida H, Jodo S, et al. Macrolide treatment for COVID-19: Will this be the way forward? Bioscience trends **2020**.

Repiero

**Figure 1: Relative abundance and prevalence of respiratory viruses between placebo and azithromycin treated children.** A. Top virus genera or species at 5% false discovery rate (FDR) at 24 and 36 months. For each genus or species, the dot shows the log<sub>2</sub> fold change with confidence bound. Values in the non-shaded area represent more relative abundance in the azithromycin treated group whereas values in the shaded area represent more relative abundance in the placebo treated group. Benjamini-Hochberg correction was used to determine the adjusted *P*-values. B. *Coronavirus* prevalence at 24 and 36 months. ANCOVA *P*-values are shown. Abbreviations: FC, fold change.







в

	24 months			36 months		
	Placebo	Azithromycin	E unituo	Placebo	Azithromycin	Buntin
	Prevalence		r-+0+00	Preva	Prevalence	
Genera	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)			Mean (95% Confidence Interval)		
Alphacoronavirus	0.12 (0.05 to 0.21)	0.09 (0.03 to 0.18)	0.36	0.02 (0 to 0.05)	0.03 (0 to 0.06)	0.28
Betacoronavirus	0.04 (0.01 to 0.07)	0.02 (0 to 0.05)	0.38	0.05 (0.01 to 0.07)	0.04 (0.01 to 0.06)	0.70
Species						
Coronevirus-HKU1	0.03 (0 to 0.06)	0	0.10	0.05 (0.01 to 0.07)	0.04 (0.01 to 0.06)	0.71
Coronevirus-NL63	0.12 (0.06 to 0.21)	0.09 (0.03 to 0.17)	0.37	0.01 (0 to 0.02)	0.03 (0 to 0.07)	0.06