UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Fungal biodiversity and conservation mycology in light of new technology, big data, and changing attitudes

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7mc1m7pv

Journal Current Biology, 31(19)

ISSN 0960-9822

Authors

Lofgren, Lotus A Stajich, Jason E

Publication Date

2021-10-01

DOI

10.1016/j.cub.2021.06.083

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

1 2	Fungal Biodiversity and Conservation Mycology in light of New Technology, Big Data, and Changing Attitudes
3	
4 5	
6	*Lotus A. Lofgren ¹ , Jason E. Stajich ¹
7	
8	¹ Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of California-Riverside, Riverside,
9	CA 92521 USA
10	
11	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
12	Lotus Lofgren, lotuslofgren@gmail.com, 612 598 8963
13	
14	KEYWORDS:
15	Fungal biodiversity, conservation mycology, HTS, technology, biodiversity, data conservation
16	
17	AUTHOR ORCID IDs:
18	Lotus A. Lofgren: orcid.org/0000-0002-0632-102X
19	Jason E. Stajich: orcid.org/0000-0002-7591-0020
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

34 Abstract

35 Fungi have successfully established themselves across seemingly every possible niche, substrate, 36 and biome, where they are fundamental to biogeochemical cycling, interspecies interactions, food production, and drug bioprocessing, as well as playing less heroic roles as difficult to treat human 37 38 infections and devastating plant pathogens. Despite community efforts to estimate and catalog 39 fungal diversity, we have only named and described a minute fraction of the fungal world. The 40 identification, characterization, and conservation of fungal diversity is paramount to preserving 41 fungal bioresources, and to understanding and predicting ecosystem cycling, and the evolution and 42 epidemiology of fungal disease. Although species and ecosystem conservation is necessarily the foundation of preserving this diversity, there is value in expanding our definition of conservation 43 44 to include the protection of biological collections, ecological metadata, genetic and genomic data, and the methods and code used for our analysis. These definitions of conservation are 45 interdependent. For example, we need metadata on host specificity and biogeography to 46 47 understand rarity, and set priorities for conservation. To aid in these efforts, we need to draw 48 expertise from diverse fields to tie traditional taxonomic knowledge to modern -omics based 49 approaches, and support the advancement of diverse research perspectives. We also need new 50 tools, including an updated framework for describing and tracking species known only from DNA, 51 and the continued integration of functional predictions to link genetic diversity to functional and 52 ecological diversity. Here, we review the state of fungal diversity research as shaped by recent 53 technological advancements, and how changing viewpoints in taxonomy, -omics, and systematics 54 can be integrated to advance mycological research and preserve fungal biodiversity.

55

56

57 Estimating fungal diversity

58 The methods used to quantify fungal diversity have changed drastically over recent decades. An 59 enormous body of knowledge has been amassed through the construction and refinement of 60 intricate keys dedicated to distinguishing fungi based primarily on macro- and micro- morphology. 61 However, the advent of High Throughput Sequencing (HTS) along with shotgun and targeted 62 metagenomics have demonstrated the existence of vast pools of previously undetected 63 biodiversity. It is now recognized that many fungi lack the distinguishing morphological characters 64 necessary to delineate species based on morphology alone^{1,2}, making holistic approaches that 65 incorporate diverse data such as biogeography, ecology, chemotyping, population- and phylogenetics and genomics essential for characterizing fungal biodiversity^{3–5}. In 2018, DNA sequence 66 analysis was used in 94% of published fungal taxonomic studies, a higher percentage than for any 67 68 other group of organisms assessed⁶. Estimates of the total number of fungal species in existence have varied widely with the incorporation of new, often increasingly complex models. 69 Hawksworth updated his original estimate of 1.5 million species, approximated using plant:fungal 70 ratios from well-studied habitats⁷, to 2.2 - 3.8 million by weighting those ratios by geographic 71 72 distribution, known generic richness, and lifestyle⁸. Less conservative figures range from the often-73 cited number of 3.5 - 5.1 million species estimated using DNA markers amplified from soil and

extrapolated to plant: fungal ratios⁹, to 6.3 million using extrapolation from HTS data¹⁰, and up to 74 11.7 - 13.2 million species generated using meta-analysis of culture-dependent:culture-75 independent taxa recovery ratios¹¹. In all of these cases (and compared to the many other species-76 number estimates not mentioned), estimates of total fungal species diversity swamp the mere 77 78 146,155 species currently described (https://www.catalogueoflife.org/annual-checklist), and 79 account for only 1.2 - 14.6% of the total potential species pool. The number of new species 80 descriptions added per year currently averages around 2,000 - an increase over the last decade that shows no sign of saturation, and is thought to be driven in large part by molecular methods for 81 species delineation, reclassification and taxon splitting^{12,13}. Despite this increase, at the current rate 82 83 of description, it will take generations of work before we have named and described enough species to adequately assess the true diversity of the Fungal Kingdom. 84

- 85
- 86

87 Sources of newly appreciated fungal diversity

Enabled in large part by advances in molecular genetics, investigation into cryptic environments 88 and novel substrates have highlighted the magnificent breadth of fungal niche occupation. In recent 89 years, these studies have yielded previously unknown fungal diversity in lichens^{14,15}, rock¹⁶, 90 marine and fresh water systems^{18,20,22,24,26}, glaciers¹⁸, caves¹⁹, floral nectaries²¹, inside foliar and 91 other plant tissues²³ and in association with other fungi²⁵ (Fig. 1). Marine systems make an 92 93 excellent case study of this newly appreciated diversity; fungi were once considered a rare 94 component of marine environments, but culture-independent methods revealed their widespread distribution and diversity in marine systems. Currently more than 1,100 species of marine fungi 95 96 have been described²⁷; a number that likely represents only a small percentage of the total species pool, as many more are detected but unknown to science²⁸. These species are phylogenetically 97 98 diverse, representing both known groups and deep branching undescribed lineages, and 99 demonstrate morphological and functional diversity, niche differentiation, and biogeographic stratification (see ³⁵ for a recent review). Marine sediments are estimated to harbor a proportion of 100 fungal biomass equivalent to terrestrial soil, including both active members and inactive DNA of 101 both marine and terrestrial origin^{30–33}. Like investigations into terrestrial fungal systems, the push 102 103 to incorporate metabolomics and proteomics approaches will help illuminate the proportion and 104 identity of the active component of these marine communities, and help to characterize fungal 105 metabolites that can be applied for clinical and biotechnological use^{35,38}.

106

107 Although fungi colonize nearly every environment on earth, fungal diversity is not uniformly 108 distributed. Fungi display high levels of endemism, and environmental filtering mediates the 109 differential abundance of fungal taxa and functional groups by complex interactions between 110 biotic- and abiotic factors, such as the co-localization of fungal host species, temperature, moisture, 111 altitude, pH, and nutrient availability^{34,36}. For example, while fungal endophytes, saprotrophs, and 112 parasites display a typical latitudinal diversity gradient, the diversity of ectomycorrhizal fungi 113 tracks the diversity of ectomycorrhizal host trees, putatively displaying the highest richness in 114 temperate zones^{37–41}. Likewise, whereas the abundance and diversity of ecto- and arbuscular 115 mycorrhizal fungi generally declines with increasing nitrogen availability, saprotrophs and plant 116 pathogens often display the opposite patterns^{37,39–42}.

- 117
- 118

119 Changing attitudes toward categorization

120 Because of their immense impact on human systems, fungi have been traditionally characterized 121 by the outcomes of their interactions with animals and agriculturally important crop species: i.e. 122 species X is a pathogen, because important crop Y dies when infected by X. However, there is 123 mounting appreciation for both functional guild fluidity, and the importance of interspecific 124 variation. It is clear that many fungal species classically assigned to a single guild take on the roles 125 of other guilds at different life stages, when in association with different host species, or when 126 exposed to differential environmental variables. Examples include *Botrvtis* species, which can act either as endophytes or as pathogens depending on host life stage⁴³, and *Fusarium graminearum*, 127 128 which can act as an endophyte or a pathogen depending on host species⁴⁴. Similarly, there is considerable variability in nutrient exchange between arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi and host 129 depending on host life stage, environmental factors, and fungal intraspecific variation^{45,46}. 130 131 Metagenomics and pan-genomics have facilitated recent revelations regarding diversity within a 132 species, both helping to define fungal individuals, and highlighting the importance and magnitude of intraspecific genetic differences^{47,48}. These techniques have shown that in addition to gene 133 variants (insertions, deletions, and single nucleotide polymorphisms), single species contain 134 135 significant variation in the presence/absence, copy number, and structural arrangement of both 136 genes and chromosomes. There is a growing appreciation that assessment methods are key to 137 detecting this variation; for example, fungi are commonly grouped by DNA sequence similarity 138 into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) by clustering marker regions at 97% similarity. This 139 cut off is intended to approximate species-level differentiation while accounting for variation and 140 sequencing errors, but recent work has shown that subtle patterns of intraspecific diversity can be 141 missed at this cut off, and advocate for the use of Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) over OTUs, 142 which recognize single nucleotide changes between sequences⁵⁷. The recognition of intraspecific 143 diversity has been further facilitated by the adoption of techniques for constructing *de novo* 144 assemblies that are not constrained by the gene repertoire of reference genomes, and novel 145 techniques that negate the need to obtain axenic cultures prior to sequencing⁵¹. These technologies have been particularly important for investigating fungi that inhabit extreme, cryptic, or difficult-146 147 to-access environments. For example, single cell sequencing has enabled investigation of fungi 148 from environments that are difficult to analyze using traditional means, such as the targeting of single nuclei within the multinucleated spores of AM fungi⁵², and have facilitated the 149 phylogenomic placement of unculturable early-diverging species in the Cryptomycota, 150 151 Chytridiomycota and Zoopagomycota⁵³.

- 152
- 153

154 The challenge and opportunity of environmental sequence data

- 155 The accessibility and widespread adoption of HTS, particularly the sequencing of fungal marker 156 regions such as ITS (designated as the universal barcode region for fungi), has greatly accelerated our understanding of fungal diversity, function, and biogeography^{10,36,63}. These techniques span a 157 diversity of protocols, sequencing platforms and analysis pipelines (see ⁵⁵ for a recent review) with 158 159 ever increasing affordability, and have driven the democratization of DNA sequence analysis, and 160 the investigation of complex microbial communities. However, HTS is not without challenges 161 including a risk of decoupling organismal expertise from fungal community analysis, and the fact 162 that many sequences generated during HTS analyses cannot be taxonomically assigned to species.
- 163

164 The increased accessibility of HTS has enabled researchers to investigate fungal communities 165 without the requirement of mycological training. This has raised concerns about the potential for 166 increased bias in the ecological and functional interpretations based on these results^{56,57}. Despite 167 worries that the -omics revolution would bring about a generation of computational specialists who are detached from the biological systems that they study, organisms remain at the center of 168 169 mycological research. While specialization has increased, so has cross-discipline collaboration. 170 HTS in particular has been responsible for bringing outside specialists into the mycological fold, 171 facilitating the graceful incorporation of fungi into studies traditionally designed around bacterial targets, such as the human microbiome^{56,58}, clinical diagnostics⁶⁸, and the rumen of herbivorous 172 mammals⁷⁰. 173

174

175 Given the small number of accepted species relative to the total estimated fungal diversity, the fact 176 that many of the sequences generated during HTS analyses cannot be taxonomically assigned to 177 species (or at times to genus or higher classifications) is not surprising. Importantly, a lack of 178 barcode sequence homology does not imply that a sequence belongs to an undescribed species, as the barcodes of many described species have yet to be added to digital repositories $^{60-62}$. It is 179 180 unknown how many currently unmatched sequences could be assigned if type material for all 181 named species were represented in sequence databases, however, given the 16 billion fungal ITS reads currently housed in NCBI's short read archive^{60,63}, it is likely that vast pools of unmatched 182 183 sequence reads representing novel taxa would remain.

184

185 Currently, the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (The Code) does 186 not accept DNA as a type, preventing the formal description of taxa known only from sequences. 187 The problem of how to address the naming of these taxa is one of the most significant and controversial issues currently facing mycology (See ^{60,64} for a recent review), spurring heated 188 debate and many proposed solutions⁶⁵ spanning amendments to *The Code*, and functional 189 190 workarounds such as the use of persistent alphanumeric identifiers (like those employed by the 191 UNITE database https://unite.ut.ee) (Fig. 2A-D). Arguments against the use of DNA as a type 192 include concerns over data quality control, the number and identity of DNA regions needed to 193 make a taxonomic determination and prevent taxonomic instability, how to prevent the creation of redundant or artificial names, and the charge that the absence of type material will prohibit the
 collection of additional data, reassessment, and verification using more traditional taxonomic
 approaches⁶⁶.

197

198 As sequencing technologies rapidly progress, the generation of whole closed fungal genomes from environmental samples may soon be within reach for fungi as it is now for bacteria⁶⁶, and would 199 200 address at least some of the concerns related to using DNA for fungal type material. Long read 201 sequencing of the full rDNA cistron may offer a middle ground, and provide a viable alternative for resolving phylogenetic relationships of some difficult taxa using a single region⁶⁷. Although 202 203 The Code officially allows for types in the form of mixed samples, the use of substrate submissions 204 for cryptic taxa (the substrate sequenced to produce unmatched HTS reads) is discouraged⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰. 205 Regardless of the viability of assigning these mixed samples as type material in the future, HTS 206 substrate preservation is a valuable investment. Although it should be noted that substrate 207 preservation is not always possible as destructive sampling is sometimes required, preserving these 208 resources would enable future analyses as advances in microfluidics, single cell sequencing, and in-situ visualization techniques continue to improve⁶⁹⁻⁷¹, but would require the development and 209 standardization of methods for preservation of diverse complexes of materials (such as soil, fecal 210 211 matter, water, and rumen). Initiatives such as the Earth BioGenome Project and the Global Genome 212 Biodiversity Project are working to preserve and standardize access to DNA and high quality tissue samples, but focus mostly on animals and plants^{69,71,72}. Ultimately, increasing the chance that a 213 214 HTS database search will match a named species will entail continuing efforts to populate 215 databases by sequencing existing type material (including surmounting the challenges associated 216 with sequencing very old specimens of variable preservation quality^{58,85}), as well as increasing the 217 number of described fungal species (with appropriate cataloguing of their associated barcodes), 218 and community consensus on how to assign names to the numerous taxa known only from HTS.

219 220

221 Linking functional diversity to taxonomic diversity

222 One of the most significant challenges facing mycological research is to couple genetic diversity 223 to functional diversity. Genome sequencing has opened up new avenues for the prediction of gene 224 function, the phylogenetic history of important proteins, domains, and gene families, and has 225 facilitated functional mapping of active transcriptional responses to a plethora of environmental stimuli. Fungal functional databases including the integrated progression of FunGuild⁷⁴, Fun^{Fun75}, 226 227 and FungalTraits⁷⁶, have enabled researchers to make functional predictions from mixed 228 environmental samples. Advances in culture-independent approaches for predicting fungal 229 function are important resources for organisms that are at times difficult or impossible to culture 230 independently. However, functional predictions will remain putative until they can be validated in 231 the context of living organisms, making culture-dependent research, and the improvement of 232 fungal culture techniques, central to research progress. Among new technologies, advances in molecular genetics, metabolomics, microfluidics, imaging, chemical ecology, and nutrient tagging
are generating excitement and valuable insights into fungal function.

235

236 Molecular genetic techniques for elucidating fungal functional diversity at the level of individual 237 genes has long been a staple in mycological research, but remain nascent for non-model fungi. The advancement of novel genetic transformation systems, such as the recently developed system for 238 the chytrid Spizellomyces^{77,78}, promises to open previously inaccessible doors to confirm the 239 function of genes in diverse fungal groups. The further development of genetic manipulations 240 241 including transformation and CRISPR-Cas9 directed mutagenesis (particularly, surmounting the 242 technical hurdles to transforming fungal dikaryons), will enable research which has until now been 243 out of reach for mycologists working outside of model systems.

244

245 Advances in metabolomics and chemical ecology have proven particularly important in lichens, where metabolic profiling is used for taxonomy⁷⁹, and for identifying chemical exchange during 246 247 interkingdom interactions. These include the complex crosstalk that occurs during the process of fungal pathogen infection⁸⁰, as well as between mutualistic fungal endophytes and their host 248 plants⁸¹. Uehling et al.⁸² demonstrated the power of combined approaches for elucidating 249 250 interspecies interactions using a metabolomics-microfluidics system to describe the relationship 251 between Mortierella elongata and growth promoting Burkholderia bacteria. Microfluidics are 252 emerging as a novel technique to investigate fungal functional and trait diversity in real time; 253 recent examples include insights into the dynamics of fungal endosome trafficking⁸³, tradeoffs between fungal traits such as growth rate and cell plasticity^{84,85}, and how diverse fungi search and 254 255 navigate complex microenvironments^{84,86}. Advances in single-cell imaging promise to further 256 increase the resolution of fungi within these microenvironments, as exemplified by the recent 257 application of infrared spectroscopy to in-situ chemical imaging of the decomposition activity of individual hyphal tips in the ectomycorrhizal species *Paxillus involutus*⁸⁷. New applications to 258 259 older imaging technologies also continue to aid in resolving fungal structure, including visualizing 260 the distribution of third-party basidiomycete yeasts in lichen thali using fluorescent in-situ hybridization (FISH)⁸⁷, and fluorescent protein-tagging to characterize 'toxisomes' - unique 261 trichothecene biosynthetic and transport complexes formed in *Fusarium graminearum*⁸⁸. Finally, 262 263 advances in nutrient tagging and tracking are enabling researchers to investigate resource exchange 264 between individuals at unprecedented scales, such as the investigation into partner choice and 265 nutrient sanctioning using quantum dot fluorescent nanoparticles to track the exchange of nitrogen⁸⁹ and phosphorus⁹⁰ in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Likewise, the development of Stable 266 267 Isotope Probing (SIP) coupled to HTS, has allowed researchers to link fungal community members with specific nutrient dynamics, such as taxon-specific rates of fungal cellulose degradation⁹¹ and 268 temporally-variable carbon dynamics in grasslands⁹², while Nano-Secondary Ion Mass 269 Spectrometry (NanoSIMS), has identified fungal spores as potential regulators of sodium salt 270 271 dynamics and cloud formation⁹³.

273 A role for community science in fungal diversity research

274 Public engagement is critical to conservation efforts and has immense potential to aid in the 275 mapping and characterization of as-yet undescribed fungal diversity. Historically, contributions to 276 fungarium collections from the public, amateur societies, and other non-academic sources have 277 been key to both amassing fungal collections, and to the identification and characterization of fungal species^{94,95}. Today, platforms such as iNaturalist (http://www.inaturalist.org) and 278 279 Mushroom Observer (https://mushroomobserver.org/) have created new avenues for engagement 280 between professional mycologists and community scientists, and powerful tools to locate rare 281 species, and more generally document geographic distribution, phenology, and frequency. The 282 data aggregated by these platforms are invaluable for conservation efforts; for example, the IUCN Macrofungi of North America working group relies heavily on data from community science 283 platforms to construct risk assessments and nominate species for Red List status (Christian 284 285 Schwartz - working group member, personal communication). Like fungarium collections, these 286 platforms are prone to sampling bias that privileges charismatic macro-fungi and geographic regions where participants live⁹⁶ (Fig. 2A-D). Geotagged observations vary in both the quality and 287 quantity of associated metadata, but are bolstered by community curation that validates proposed 288 289 species IDs. In addition to encouraging more taxonomic experts to aid in validating community 290 science records, crowdsourced data can be further improved by supporting training initiatives for 291 community scientists, such as those administered by the Fungal Diversity Survey 292 (https://fundis.org/), and the Continental Mycoblitz (2019)293 (https://www.inaturalist.org/projects/continental-mycoblitz-2019). Increasing awareness of best 294 practices for logging observations, including how to photograph and voucher specimens, and how 295 to identify and log important traits, ecological notes, and other metadata, will increase both data 296 quality and community knowledge.

297

298 Targeted community science initiatives have also been successfully undertaken; for example, The 299 Danish Fungal Atlas project has amassed over >235,000 community science contributions of 300 Basidiomycota, including 197 species new to Denmark, at least 15 species new to science, and has 301 moreover documented species declines associated with soil acidification and nitrogen deposition⁹⁷. 302 Overall, community science platforms are helping to raise public awareness and appreciation of 303 fungi and fungal diversity, and drive increases in the number of geotagged fungal observations, 304 which inform more complete and higher resolution models of the distribution of rare species⁹⁸. 305 The spatial and temporal coverage of these types of crowdsourced data facilitates investigation of 306 topics such as phenology and biogeography, that would otherwise be difficult or impossible to 307 address.

- 308 309
- 310 *Conservation mycology*

311 Although notably absent from historical conservation efforts, the protection of fungi and the

312 development of Conservation Mycology as a subfield have grown considerably over the last

313 decade⁹⁹. It's clear that fungi are susceptible to the same anthropogenic factors that contribute to species decline in other organisms, and that at the current rate of description, many species of fungi 314 will risk extinction before they can be described and protected^{100,101}. Heilmann-Clausen et al.¹⁰² 315 made one of the first formal arguments for fungal conservation by characterizing fungi as 316 317 ecosystem hubs, bioindicators, providers of food, medicine and biotechnology, and as a Rosetta 318 stone for conserving other highly speciose organisms. Since then, the number of fungal species 319 listed in the IUCN Red List has grown from 32 to 425 (https://www.iucnredlist.org/), a number 320 which is still insignificant compared to the number of Red Listed plants (50,369) and animals 321 (78,126). Explanations for the neglect of fungi in traditional conservation efforts are many: these 322 include stigma around protecting a group that is perceived as unglamorous and at times dangerous⁹⁹, assumed functional redundancy and a lack of functional characterization¹⁰², and the 323 technical difficulty of assigning species, defining populations, and assessing global 324 325 distributions^{103,104}. Assessing rarity is often the first step for conservation initiatives, but counting 326 fungi is not as easy as counting other types of organisms; fruitbody counts are not only conditioned 327 on seasonality and the ability to produce sporocarps in the first place, but have long been known to corresponds poorly with other metrics of fungal abundance such as ectomycorrhizal root-tip 328 counts¹⁰⁵, and HTS read abundance¹⁰⁶. Ectomycorrhizal root-tip abundance, in turn, also 329 corresponds poorly with soil mycelial abundance¹⁰⁷. Conversely, gene copy numbers of ITS, are 330 extremely low in some taxa such as *Microsporidia*¹⁰⁸ and *Pneumocystis*¹⁰⁹ and highly variable 331 within taxa including between individuals within the same population¹¹⁰. Additionally, some 332 fungal groups display sequence variation between rDNA copies¹¹¹, impeding amplification and 333 334 further complicating the reliability of HTS barcoding for relative abundance assessments. 335 Regardless of which tool is used for estimating fungal abundance, the process is innately coupled 336 to theoretical issues concerning what constitutes a fungal individual in the first place, where a 337 distinct entity can represent a single cell, or some of the largest organisms on earth¹¹².

338

339 New technologies and tactics are in development to remedy many of these issues. Spike-in internal DNA standards for fungal community analysis ameliorate some of the issues associated with HTS 340 abundance estimates^{113,114}. Fungal functional databases and advances in metatranscriptomics have 341 the potential to aid in linking genetic diversity to functional diversity^{75,115}, and metagenomic and 342 343 amplicon studies (such as those now compiled in the GlobalFungi database) will aid in assessing biogeographic frequency¹¹⁶. Global modeling efforts are being undertaken to predict fungal 344 biogeography both now and under future climate regimes¹¹⁷. Efforts to link community science 345 observations with diverse metadata (e.g. the ClimFun database linking fungal phenology and 346 347 climate change data) will help contextualize fungi in broader conservation and risk assessment frameworks¹¹⁸. These efforts will help set conservation priorities, but of themselves do not address 348 issues relating to our inability to protect the vast biodiversity represented in undescribed fungal 349 350 species.

352 Broadening the criteria for acceptable type-specimens has the potential to increase the number of 353 described species, and consequently, the number of species that can be protected using traditional 354 conservation measures. However, traditional species-centric conservation approaches may not be 355 the most efficient or effective tactic for fungal conservation regardless of the number of species 356 targeted for protection⁹⁸. Fungi are highly interconnected organisms, frequently engaged in (often obligate) associations with a multitude of interaction partners including plants, insects, vertebrates, 357 protists, bacteria, and viruses. Because of this, fungal conservation is innately linked to the 358 359 conservation of these fungal associates. Protecting consortia at the ecosystem level may effectively 360 bypass the need to list individual fungal species and facilitate conservation without depending on 361 defining individual species relative to traditional conservation value assessments, which are often infeasible for cryptic and under-described organisms¹¹⁹. In contrast to species-centric approaches 362 that focus on assessing population declines, function, and habitat requirements for single-species, 363 364 ecosystem-level protections allow for prioritization schemes structured around broader metrics 365 such as system connectivity, or the identification of biodiversity hot-spots (including the potential 366 to incorporate sequence-based community analysis that includes undescribed taxa). Additionally, the benefits of ecosystem-level protections extend well past the fungal kingdom⁹⁹. Fungi are 367 routinely used in restoration efforts¹²⁰, and form critical associations with rare or Red-Listed 368 species across wetlands¹²¹, aquatic environments¹²², forests¹²³ and grasslands¹²⁴. Because of the 369 combination of high levels of connectivity, high diversity, and poorly-characterized function, 370 371 ecosystem-level approaches may be a more efficient tool for fungal conservation¹⁰². However, it 372 has been noted that species- and ecosystem-level approaches are not mutually exclusive, and that 373 adapting tactics to individual use may ultimately prove the most effective means for fungal 374 conservation¹²⁵.

375 376

377 Expanding our definition of conservation to include diverse data

378 Just as type specimens enable reanalysis of raw data for future researchers, the preservation of raw 379 -omics data, metadata, and code, enable reproducibility and reanalysis. There is a growing 380 emphasis on the importance of data protection, curation, and accessibility, typified by the priorities outlined in the FAIR Principles¹²⁶ (https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles) which state that data 381 382 should be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable. Most journals now require the 383 preservation of raw data prior to publication; the use of repositories such as NCBI's short read 384 archive (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) for raw sequence data, or treeBASE for phylogenetic data (https://treebase.org), Data Dryad for diverse raw datasets (https://datadryad.org), and 385 386 protocols.io for wet bench protocols (https://www.protocols.io) have become standard. Equally important is the increased usage of code archiving via repositories such as Zenodo 387 388 (https://zenodo.org) and Figshare (https://figshare.com). Code archiving, along with clearly embedded annotations and versioning, is critical to enabling reproducibility and critically 389 390 assessing published methods and conclusions. However, far fewer journals require code 391 preservation than raw data preservation, and there is still a disheartening frequency of publications

with bioinformatic methods sections that simply state "a custom script was used", preventing 392 393 others from fully understanding, or building on the work presented. This is the wet bench 394 equivalent of stating that "molecular methods were used" without further explanation. According 395 to our informal poll, the slow adoption of stable code repositories in mycology stems from multiple 396 concerns and misunderstandings within the community. These include a lack of confidence in the 397 code itself (fears over publishing code errors, or publishing code that will be judged as 'inefficient' 398 or 'ugly'), opinions around resource ownership and the right to code sequestration, and lack of 399 training on how to annotate, version, and publish code in the first place. Similarly, disparities in 400 the quantity and quality of associated metadata in repositories such as NCBI, routinely result in incomplete datasets that are likely to limit secondary usage¹²⁷ including their utility in conservation 401 assessments. Standardized repositories built around FAIR principles, such as GEOME¹²⁸ for 402 403 sequence and ecological data, increasing education and community awareness around data 404 preservation, and addressing the concerns to make code and data openly available in publications 405 as noted above, should be a priority for the mycology community and scientists more generally. 406 The conservation of diverse data ensures reproducibility and enables more effective biological 407 conservation by allowing information to be readily exchanged between diverse mycological 408 subfields and the broader conservation community.

409 410

411 The role of collections in securing diverse data

412 Herbaria, Fungaria, and collections-based institutions house type specimens upon which species 413 definitions are based, and voucher the products of biodiversity surveys and scientific studies for 414 preservation and reuse. These institutions are critical to cataloguing fungal diversity, generating 415 knowledge, and mapping the abundance and distribution of fungi over time¹²⁹. Collections ensure 416 that specimens and specimen-derived data can be reevaluated in the future, as theory and 417 technology advance in ways that did not exist at the time of collection. Collections offer a unique opportunity to assess rarity and extinction risk¹³⁰ and act as a direct window into the past, enabling 418 the tracking of critical indicators of global change^{131,132}, pollution¹³³, epidemiology¹³⁴, 419 biogeography¹³⁵, and evolution¹³⁶. In recent years, there have been significant efforts to digitize 420 421 collections, including searchable relational databases of photographs, metadata, and DNA, as 422 exemplified by MyCoPortal (https://mycoportal.org) a database of collections spanning multiple 423 universities, botanic gardens, museums, and government agencies, that houses 7,394,281 424 occurrence records as of this writing. These entries have made many historic collections publicly accessible, and have enabled new opportunities for machine learning and meta-analysis^{137,138}. 425 426 Despite these contributions, herbaria are currently under threat. The reprioritization of funding 427 away from natural-history based research has resulted in the downsizing, closure, or relocation of 428 many collections to larger centralized facilities¹²⁹.

429

430 Culture collections are another important axis to cataloguing, preserving, and making fungal431 diversity accessible to the research community. Fungal culture collections represent both large,

long-standing repositories as well as numerous smaller stocks housed in private collections and 432 herbaria^{139,140} (Table 1). These collections vary in both size and quality, with the designation of 433 microbial Biological Resource Centre (mBRC) reserved for collections that adopt the standards 434 435 set by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development or the ISO standards for 436 biobanks, entailing outside certification, tracking and validation of strain identity and provenance^{140,141}. Culture collections are particularly well developed for ascomycete yeasts, 437 reflecting their importance to food production and biotechnology, and aided by the relative ease 438 of preservation compared to many filamentous species^{139,142}. Indeed, the ease and ability to 439 preserve fungal cultures is highly variable; fungi that sporulate in culture have greater storage 440 441 viability than vegetative cultures, while obligate symbionts are often maintained in labor-intensive co-culture¹³⁶. Public access to published strains is essential for reproducibility and building on 442 current research, but the deposition of strains into professional repositories remains low¹⁴³. The 443 444 U.S. Culture Collection Network (USCCN) supported by the National Science Foundation's 445 Research Coordination Network, aims to increase awareness of the benefits of culture repositories, coordinate best practices, and to protect endangered collections, including fungi¹⁴¹. Currently, only 446 $\sim 17\%$ of described fungal species are preserved in culture collections, these represent a sample 447 448 that is heavily biased both taxonomically and geographically with the majority of cultures originating from Europe, North America and Asia¹³⁸ (Fig. 3 E-F). Advances in our ability to culture 449 450 taxa previously thought to be unculturable offer hope that in the future we may be able to generate type material for many previously uncharacterized taxa^{144,145}. However, it is likely that many 451 species of fungi will remain difficult or impossible to isolate or maintain as axenic cultures due to 452 phenomena such as obligate interspecies interactions, or metabolic syntrophy^{146,147}. 453 454 Cryopreservation facilitates the safeguarding of viable genetic diversity before extinction, and may 455 be particularly important for groups that cannot currently be cultured, and are thus less likely to be described. However, most collections only accept isolated individuals, and many unculturable 456 species cannot be separated from their microbial consortia or complex substrates¹⁴⁸. In order for 457 458 cryopreservation to be used to its full potential, curators and funding bodies must see the value of accepting mixed samples, coupled to investment in improved methods for the storage of microbial 459 consortia¹⁴⁹. 460

- 461
- 462

463 The conservation of knowledge and the interdependence of classic mycology and modern 464 approaches

Fungi are extraordinarily connected organisms, forming complex interaction networks at multiple ecological scales. Just as conservation efforts in general move from species-centric initiatives to those focused on whole-ecosystem protection, mycological research has become increasingly integrative and collaborative. The rise of molecular and bioinformatic subfields have brought about a revolution in our ability to identify and characterize fungi. Coinciding with this explosion of tools and information has been a decline in the number of trained taxonomists, decreased funding for taxonomy, and a dearth of positions available for taxonomists entering the job market¹⁵⁰. 472 However, the incorporation of Integrative Taxonomy practices are reenergizing the field with both

- 473 the incorporation of new tools for carrying out alpha taxonomy, and an expansion of the data types
- 474 preserved and distributed by collections curators^{6,151}. Examples include machine learning and
- 475 MaldiTOF for automated species identification¹⁵², microCT and 3D modeling for external and
- internal image analysis¹⁵³, GC-MS and HPLC metabolite profiling for chemotaxonomy¹⁵¹, and
 genetic and genomic tools for phylogenetic placement and delineation (see Aime et al. 2021 for a
- 478 recent review on community standards for archiving diverse fungal alpha-taxonomy data¹⁵⁴).
- 479 Whereas pitting molecular and computational methods for species identification against traditional
- 480 mycology erodes collaboration and collective progress, integrative approaches promise to push the
- 481 field forward while preserving organismal knowledge and well-developed tools.
- 482 483

484 To conserve and build fungal knowledge, we must also address systemic gaps in our knowledge 485 base, such as geographic disparities in sampling and research. New fungal species descriptions 486 come disproportionately from Europe, Asia, and North America, highlighting both the volume of undescribed species from relatively well characterized regions, as well as geographic disparities 487 in sampling, the uneven global distribution of taxonomists, the unintended impacts of restrictive 488 export policies, and unequal access to scientific resources¹²⁴. The preservation and characterization 489 490 of fungi from under-sampled geographic regions, particularly in known biodiversity hotspots, is critical to safeguarding fungal diversity. Local expertise from both professional and community 491 scientists can go far to fill these gaps¹²⁵. Local leadership is associated with greater long-term 492 success of biodiversity and conservation initiatives¹³⁷. Further, prioritizing capacity-building 493 494 among local mycologists recognizes the experience of regional and indigenous people, and builds 495 resources at the local level where they are most likely to be used and built upon. Likewise, 496 investment in local and indigenous expertise acknowledges the damaging roles of western 497 colonialism and bio-appropriation in mycological research. Local collaboration should be 498 structured around meaningful credited contributions, where regional experts are not just guides or 499 sample collectors, but collaborators, contributors, authors, and research leaders. Facilitating fair 500 international collaboration for biodiversity research is often mired in political and socio-economic 501 issues. The Convention of Biological Diversities' Nagoya Protocol, which has been in effect since 502 2014, provides a framework for equitable benefit sharing of genetic resources and indigenous 503 biodiversity knowledge and has facilitated protections and invaluable dialogue about research bioethics and ownership¹⁵⁵. However, the Nagoya Protocol has been criticized for stifling both the 504 advancement of local research and international research collaboration by privileging local 505 506 government regulations that are at times directly responsible for the destruction of biodiversity, 507 are often primarily concerned with the protection of natural resources perceived to be of economic 508 interest, and do not necessarily distinguish between taxonomic research and commercial 509 research¹⁵⁶. Describing and protecting biodiversity is necessarily connected to the socioeconomic 510 concerns of local communities, and the success of long-term biodiversity programs depend on 511 taking these concerns into account¹³⁷. Protecting the rights of local communities while facilitating 512 local capacity-building and international collaboration is being further complicated as lawmakers 513 rush to incorporate genetic and genomic resources into provisions designed to address whole 514 organisms^{155,157}. The results of these policy decisions have important implications for mycological research in particular, due to the relatively small genome size and ease of sequencing relative to 515 516 larger eukaryotes, and related amenability of fungi to high-mobility third generation sequencing 517 platforms like the Oxford Nanopore. These attributes provide loopholes to current laws, allowing 518 researchers to extract genomic information onsite, and thus avoid the transport of whole organisms 519 across international borders.

520

521 Finally, but critically, the conservation of knowledge entails considering whose knowledge we are 522 conserving, and who has been excluded. When last surveyed, the Mycological Society of America 523 had a membership that was 85% white, with women increasingly underrepresented after the 524 postdoc stage¹⁵⁸. These numbers mirror those in other life science fields, where people of color, 525 women, LGBTQAI, and disabled scientists are also increasingly unrepresented as they advance through the academic ranks¹⁵⁹. The far-reaching effects of the loss of these individuals from the 526 527 field cannot be overstated, and there is a profound need to recruit, truly support, and retain 528 mycologists with diverse identities.

- 529
- 530

531 *Concluding remarks*

532 Preserving fungal diversity is imperative to protecting ecosystem functions, agricultural security, 533 and human health. Mycologists have made significant progress illuminating species occurrence, 534 function, and ecological relationships, but the bulk of fungal biodiversity is yet to be characterized. 535 Accelerating fungal biodiversity research will require 1) amended frameworks for describing and 536 tracking species 2) continued improvement in techniques and technologies for characterizing 537 cryptic species 3) improvements in tools for linking functional diversity to genotypic diversity 4) 538 preserving and engaging with fungaria and amending culture collection protocols and policy to 539 recognize and preserve mixed substrates 5) preserving and standardizing diverse bodies of data 540 and code, and the implementation of open science practices to all data sources including but not 541 limited to methods, code, and cultures 6) building on the conservation practices (particularly at the 542 ecosystem level) established in other systems with consideration for the barriers to conservation 543 specific to fungi 7) ensuring the preservation of traditional mycological knowledge while 544 incorporating new tools for mycological progress, and 8) the continued training and development 545 of mycologists from diverse backgrounds, regions, and perspectives.

- 546
- 547

548 Acknowledgements

549 We thank the two reviewers for their helpful comments, Christian Schwarz for use of direct 550 communication comments, and Christian Schwarz, Allison Walker and members of the Stajich

551 Lab for their feedback on a previous version of this manuscript. Thanks to Christopher Lane for

enlightening feedback on the impact of DNA based types. LAL is supported by funding from the
National Institutes of Health (grant no. R01AI130128) and a UC Riverside-City of Hope seed
grant. JES is a CIFAR Fellow in the program Fungal Kingdom: Threats and Opportunities and was
supported by funding from National Science Foundation (grants no. DEB-1441715 and DEB1557110). Finally, we would like to acknowledge that we are writing from the perspective of US
based, modern genome-focused mycology, and that this lens influences the discussion points
raised above.

- 559
- 560

561 Figure 1: The discovery of fungal diversity from previously underappreciated habitats. Although representatives from each system are depicted as sporulating, many of the fungi being 562 563 discovered in these systems lack phenotypically diagnostic features such as obvious sporulation-564 making molecular technologies critical to their discovery and characterization. Representatives 565 depicted in icons are listed in parentheses. A) Fresh water (*Batrachochytrium* sp.) B) Marine 566 habitats (Posidoniomyces atricolor) C) Arctic and glacier systems (Cryptococcus sp.) D) 567 Fungicolous fungi associated with other fungi (Hypomyces sp.) E) Lichens (Letharia vulpina with 568 Tremella sp. and Cyphobasidium sp.) F) Endophytes of plant roots, shoots, and leaves (Epichloë 569 sp.) G) Anaerobic gut fungi (Neocallimastix) H) Nectar yeasts (Metschnikowia gruessii), I) 570 Endoliths living in and on rocks, and desert fungi in association with bio crusts (Bacillicladium 571 sp., yeast form) J) Arthropod-associated fungi (Laboulbenia pedicellate) K) Cave- and mine-572 associated fungi (Pseudogymnoascus sp.) L) Soil-associated fungi (Trichoderma harzianum).

573

574 Figure 2: What should constitute a voucher? Type material for fungal species descriptions 575 typically takes the form of fruitbodies or preserved cultures (or an image in rare cases) (A), 576 however, many fungal taxa are known only from DNA and cannot be described via the current 577 requirements of the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants. The suitability 578 of alternative type material is hotly debated, including **B**) substrates from which the HTS 579 sequences were generated (mixed consortia known as 'bag-types'), C) DNA barcodes or longer 580 sequence fragments such as whole rDNA cistrons, or **D**) whole genome sequences. Alternatives to 581 amending the current standards for species descriptions E), include the assignment of provisional 582 names, or persistent alphanumeric identifiers.

583

584 Figure 3: The global origin of fungal resources by phylum and resource type. Preserved 585 specimens (such as those held in herbaria) (A-B) display bias toward Ascomycota and collections 586 from the US, Europe, and Australia, whereas observations (such as those made on community 587 science platforms like iNaturalist) (C-D) are biased toward Basidiomycota, with participation 588 concentrated in Europe, the US, and Australia. Culture collections (E-F) are greatly biased toward 589 Ascomycota, reflecting their importance in industry and agriculture, with most collections isolated 590 from Europe, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, and the US. Data represents 6,583,270 records of 591 preserved specimens from 249 countries, 11,485,089 observations from 202 countries, and

- 592 112,433 living cultures isolated from 205 countries. Data were downloaded from GBIF.org (27
 593 April 2021) GBIF Occurrence Download https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.9733fq. Maps and figure
 594 generated in the R programing environment, using ggplot2 and rworldmap. Scripts available at
 595 github.com/MycoPunk/CB review (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4738456).

TABLE 1: A non-exhaustive list of notable fungal culture collections

Culture Collection	Size and Focus of Collection
American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (US)	>79,000 fungal strains including >4,800 type cultures
Fungal Genetics Stock Center (FGSC) (US)	>75,000 fungal strains including many mutant libraries
BIOTEC (BCC) (TH)	>60,000 fungal strains with a focus on entomopathogenic
	fungi
Agricultural Research Service Culture Collection (NRRL)	>68,000 fungal strains with a focus on plant pathogens
<u>(US)</u>	
CBS-KNAW culture collection (NL)	>57,000 fungal strains
CABI Living Resource Collection (US)	>28,000 strains with a focus on agriculturally relevant fungi
Canadian Collection of Fungal Cultures (DAOMC/CCFC)	>20,000 fungal strains with a focus on plant pathogens and
<u>(CA)</u>	mycotoxigenic fungi
China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center	>20,000 fungal strains
(CGMCC) (CN)	
Genebank Project (NARO) (JP)	>17,000 fungal strains
BCCM/IHEM Fungi Collection (BE)	>15,000 fungal strains with a focus on animal pathogens and
	allergenic fungi
Reference Culture Collection at the Center for Forest	>12,000 strains with a focus on wood associated
Mycology (US)	Basidiomycetes
The UAMH Center for Global Microfungal Biodiversity	>10,000 fungal strains with a focus on biomedically relevant
<u>(CA)</u>	fungi
Phaff Yeast Culture Collection (US)	>7,500 strains of yeast, including >1,000 different species and
	>200 novel species
Mycobase of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (FR)	>6,000 strains with a focus on saprophytic Ascomycetes and
	Zygomycetes
International Culture Collection of Vesicular Arbuscular	>900 strains of AM fungi
Mycorrhizae (INVAM) (US)	

References:

- Rashmi, M. (2019). A worldwide list of endophytic fungi with notes on ecology and diversity.
 Mycosphere 10, 798–1079.
- Lücking, R., Dal-Forno, M., Sikaroodi, M., Gillevet, P.M., Bungartz, F., Moncada, B., YánezAyabaca, A., Chaves, J.L., Coca, L.F., and Lawrey, J.D. (2014). A single macrolichen
 constitutes hundreds of unrecognized species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 11091–
 11096.
- Haelewaters, D., De Kesel, A., and Pfister, D.H. (2018). Integrative taxonomy reveals hidden
 species within a common fungal parasite of ladybirds. Sci. Rep. *8*, 15966.

- 4. Zamora, J.C., Calonge, F.D., and Martín, M.P. (2015). Integrative taxonomy reveals an unexpected diversity in *Geastrum* section *Geastrum* (*Geastrales, Basidiomycota*). Persoonia 34, 130–165.
- 5. Boluda, C.G., Rico, V.J., Divakar, P.K., Nadyeina, O., Myllys, L., McMullin, R.T., Zamora,
 J.C., Scheidegger, C., and Hawksworth, D.L. (2019). Evaluating methodologies for species
 delimitation: the mismatch between phenotypes and genotypes in lichenized fungi (*Bryoria*sect. *Implexae, Parmeliaceae*). Persoonia *42*, 75–100.
- 6. Miralles, A., Bruy, T., Wolcott, K., Scherz, M.D., Begerow, D., Beszteri, B., Bonkowski, M.,
 Felden, J., Gemeinholzer, B., Glaw, F., et al. (2020). Repositories for Taxonomic Data:
 Where We Are and What is Missing. Syst. Biol. *69*, 1231–1253.
- 621 7. Hawksworth, D.L. (1991). The fungal dimension of biodiversity: magnitude, significance,
 622 and conservation. Mycol. Res. 95, 641–655.
- 8. Hawksworth, D.L., and Lücking, R. (2017). Fungal diversity revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 million
 species. In The Fungal Kingdom (ASM Press), pp. 79–95.
- 625 9. O'Brien, H.E., Parrent, J.L., Jackson, J.A., Moncalvo, J.-M., and Vilgalys, R. (2005). Fungal
 626 community analysis by large-scale sequencing of environmental samples. Appl. Environ.
 627 Microbiol. 71, 5544–5550.
- Baldrian, P., Větrovský, T., Lepinay, C., and Kohout, P. (2021). High-throughput sequencing
 view on the magnitude of global fungal diversity. Fungal Divers., 1–9.
- Wu, B., Hussain, M., Zhang, W., Stadler, M., Liu, X., and Xiang, M. (2019). Current insights
 into fungal species diversity and perspective on naming the environmental DNA sequences
 of fungi. Mycology 10, 127–140.
- 633 12. Cheek, M., Nic Lughadha, E., Kirk, P., Lindon, H., Carretero, J., Looney, B., Douglas, B.,
 634 Haelewaters, D., Gaya, E., Llewellyn, T., et al. (2020). New scientific discoveries: Plants and
 635 fungi. Plants People Planet 2, 371–388.
- Hibbett, D., and Glotzer, D. (2011). Where are all the undocumented fungal species? A study
 of Mortierella demonstrates the need for sequence-based classification. New Phytol. 191,
 592–596.
- 639 14. Spribille, T., Tuovinen, V., Resl, P., Vanderpool, D., Wolinski, H., Aime, M.C., Schneider,
 640 K., Stabentheiner, E., Toome-Heller, M., Thor, G., et al. (2016). Basidiomycete yeasts in the
 641 cortex of ascomycete macrolichens. Science 353, 488–492.
- Tuovinen, V., Ekman, S., Thor, G., Vanderpool, D., Spribille, T., and Johannesson, H. (2019).
 Two Basidiomycete Fungi in the Cortex of Wolf Lichens. Curr. Biol. 29, 476-483.e5.

644 16. Coleine, C., Pombubpa, N., Zucconi, L., Onofri, S., Stajich, J.E., and Selbmann, L. (2020).
645 Endolithic Fungal Species Markers for Harshest Conditions in the McMurdo Dry Valleys,
646 Antarctica. Life 10, 13.

- 647 17. de Menezes, G.C.A., Porto, B.A., Amorim, S.S., Zani, C.L., de Almeida Alves, T.M., Junior,
 648 P.A.S., Murta, S.M.F., Simões, J.C., Cota, B.B., Rosa, C.A., et al. (2020). Fungi in glacial ice
 649 of Antarctica: diversity, distribution and bioprospecting of bioactive compounds.
 650 Extremophiles 24, 367–376.
- 18. Poli, A., Bovio, E., Ranieri, L., Varese, G.C., and Prigione, V. (2020). News from the Sea: A
 New Genus and Seven New Species in the Pleosporalean Families Roussoellaceae and
 Thyridariaceae. Diversity *12*, 144.
- Cunha, A.O.B., Bezerra, J.D.P., Oliveira, T.G.L., Barbier, E., Bernard, E., Machado, A.R.,
 and Souza-Motta, C.M. (2020). Living in the dark: Bat caves as hotspots of fungal diversity.
 PLoS One 15, e0243494.
- 657 20. Gonçalves, M.F.M., Esteves, A.C., and Alves, A. (2020). Revealing the hidden diversity of
 658 marine fungi in Portugal with the description of two novel species, *Neoascochyta fuci* sp. nov.
 659 and *Paraconiothyrium salinum* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 70, 5337–5354.
- Klaps, J., Lievens, B., and Álvarez-Pérez, S. (2020). Towards a better understanding of the
 role of nectar-inhabiting yeasts in plant-animal interactions. Fungal Biol Biotechnol 7, 1–7.
- Luo, Z.-L., Hyde, K.D., Liu, J.-K. (jack), Maharachchikumbura, S.S.N., Jeewon, R., Bao, D.F., Bhat, D.J., Lin, C.-G., Li, W.-L., Yang, J., et al. (2019). Freshwater Sordariomycetes.
 Fungal Divers. 99, 451–660.
- Jia, Q., Qu, J., Mu, H., Sun, H., and Wu, C. (2020). Foliar endophytic fungi: diversity in species and functions in forest ecosystems. Symbiosis 80, 103–132.
- Ettinger, C.L., and Eisen, J.A. (2020). Fungi, bacteria and oomycota opportunistically
 isolated from the seagrass, Zostera marina. PLoS One *15*, e0236135.
- Maurice, S., Arnault, G., Nordén, J., Botnen, S.S., Miettinen, O., and Kauserud, H. (2021).
 Fungal sporocarps house diverse and host-specific communities of fungicolous fungi. ISME
 J. 15, 1445–1457.
- 672 26. Walker, A.K., and Robicheau, B.M. (2021). Fungal diversity and community structure from
 673 coastal and barrier island beaches in the United States Gulf of Mexico. Sci. Rep. 11, 3889.
- 27. Jones, E.B.G., Suetrong, S., Sakayaroj, J., Bahkali, A.H., Abdel-Wahab, M.A., Boekhout, T.,
 and Pang, K.-L. (2015). Classification of marine Ascomycota, Basidiomycota,
 Blastocladiomycota and Chytridiomycota. Fungal Divers. 73, 1–72.
- 8. Nagano, Y., Nagahama, T., Hatada, Y., Nunoura, T., Takami, H., Miyazaki, J., Takai, K., and
 8. Horikoshi, K. (2010). Fungal diversity in deep-sea sediments the presence of novel fungal
 8. groups. Fungal Ecology *3*, 316–325.
- 680 29. Orsi, W.D. (2018). Ecology and evolution of seafloor and subseafloor microbial
 681 communities. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 16, 671–683.

- 30. Vasco-Palacios, A.M., Bahram, M., Boekhout, T., and Tedersoo, L. (2020). Carbon content
 and pH as important drivers of fungal community structure in three Amazon forests. Plant
 Soil 450, 111–131.
- Brockett, B.F.T., Prescott, C.E., and Grayston, S.J. (2012). Soil moisture is the major factor
 influencing microbial community structure and enzyme activities across seven
 biogeoclimatic zones in western Canada. Soil Biol. Biochem. 44, 9–20.
- Bahram, M., Põlme, S., Kõljalg, U., Zarre, S., and Tedersoo, L. (2012). Regional and local
 patterns of ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity and community structure along an altitudinal
 gradient in the Hyrcanian forests of northern Iran. New Phytol. *193*, 465–473.
- 33. Talbot, J.M., Bruns, T.D., Taylor, J.W., Smith, D.P., Branco, S., Glassman, S.I., Erlandson,
 S., Vilgalys, R., Liao, H.-L., Smith, M.E., et al. (2014). Endemism and functional
 convergence across the North American soil mycobiome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111,
 6341–6346.
- Arnold, A.E., and Lutzoni, F. (2007). Diversity and host range of foliar fungal endophytes:
 are tropical leaves biodiversity hotspots? Ecology *88*, 541–549.
- 697 35. Vargas-Gastélum, L., and Riquelme, M. (2020). The Mycobiota of the Deep Sea: What Omics
 698 Can Offer. Life 10, 292.
- 36. Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Põlme, S., Kõljalg, U., Yorou, N.S., Wijesundera, R., Villarreal
 Ruiz, L., Vasco-Palacios, A.M., Thu, P.Q., Suija, A., et al. (2014). Fungal biogeography.
 Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. Science *346*, 1256688.
- 702 37. Veach, A.M., Stokes, C.E., Knoepp, J., Jumpponen, A., and Baird, R. (2018). Fungal
 703 Communities and Functional Guilds Shift Along an Elevational Gradient in the Southern
 704 Appalachian Mountains. Microb. Ecol. 76, 156–168.
- 38. Zain Ul Arifeen, M., Ma, Y.-N., Xue, Y.-R., and Liu, C.-H. (2019). Deep-Sea Fungi Could
 Be the New Arsenal for Bioactive Molecules. Mar. Drugs 18, 9.
- 39. Kjøller, R., Nilsson, L.-O., Hansen, K., Schmidt, I.K., Vesterdal, L., and Gundersen, P.
 (2012). Dramatic changes in ectomycorrhizal community composition, root tip abundance and mycelial production along a stand-scale nitrogen deposition gradient. New Phytol. 194, 278–286.
- 40. Chen, Y.-L., Xu, Z.-W., Xu, T.-L., Veresoglou, S.D., Yang, G.-W., and Chen, B.-D. (2017).
 Nitrogen deposition and precipitation induced phylogenetic clustering of arbuscular
 mycorrhizal fungal communities. Soil Biol. Biochem. *115*, 233–242.
- 714 41. Zhao, A., Liu, L., Chen, B., Fu, W., Xie, W., Xu, T., Zhang, W., Ye, Q., Feng, H., and Fu, S.
 715 (2020). Soil fungal community is more sensitive to nitrogen deposition than increased rainfall
 716 in a mixed deciduous forest of China. Soil Ecology Letters 2, 20–32.

- Andrew, C., Halvorsen, R., Heegaard, E., Kuyper, T.W., Heilmann-Clausen, J., KrisaiGreilhuber, I., Bässler, C., Egli, S., Gange, A.C., Høiland, K., et al. (2018). Continental-scale
 macrofungal assemblage patterns correlate with climate, soil carbon and nitrogen deposition.
 J. Biogeogr. 45, 1942–1953.
- van Kan, J.A.L., Shaw, M.W., and Grant-Downton, R.T. (2014). *Botrytis* species: relentless necrotrophic thugs or endophytes gone rogue? Mol. Plant Pathol. *15*, 957–961.
- 44. Lofgren, L.A., Leblanc, N.R., Certano, A.K., Nachtigall, J., Labine, K.M., Riddle, J., Broz,
 K., Dong, Y., Bethan, B., Kafer, C.W., et al. (2018). *Fusarium graminearum*: Pathogen or
 endophyte of North American grasses? New Phytologist 217, 1203–1212.
- 45. Hoeksema, J.D., and Bruna, E.M. (2015). Context-dependent outcomes of mutualistic
 interactions (Oxford University Press Oxford, UK).
- 46. Monier, B., Peta, V., Mensah, J., and Bücking, H. (2017). Inter- and Intraspecific Fungal
 Diversity in the Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. In Mycorrhiza Function, Diversity,
 State of the Art, A. Varma, R. Prasad, and N. Tuteja, eds. (Springer International Publishing),
 pp. 253–274.
- Tagirdzhanova, G., Saary, P., Tingley, J.P., Díaz-Escandón, D., Abbott, D.W., Finn, R.D.,
 and Spribille, T. (2021). Predicted input of uncultured fungal symbionts to a lichen symbiosis
 from metagenome-assembled genomes. Genome Biol. Evol. *13*, evab047.
- 48. Badet, T., Oggenfuss, U., Abraham, L., McDonald, B.A., and Croll, D. (2020). A 19-isolate
 reference-quality global pangenome for the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*.
 BMC Biol. 18, 12.
- Potgieter, L., Feurtey, A., Dutheil, J.Y., and Stukenbrock, E.H. (2020). On Variant Discovery
 in Genomes of Fungal Plant Pathogens. Front. Microbiol. *11*, 626.
- Fadiji, A.E., and Babalola, O.O. (2020). Metagenomics methods for the study of plantassociated microbial communities: A review. J. Microbiol. Methods *170*, 105860.
- 51. Montoliu-Nerin, M., Sánchez-García, M., Bergin, C., Grabherr, M., Ellis, B., Kutschera,
 V.E., Kierczak, M., Johannesson, H., and Rosling, A. (2020). Building de novo reference
 genome assemblies of complex eukaryotic microorganisms from single nuclei. Sci. Rep. *10*,
 1303.
- 52. Ahrendt, S.R., Quandt, C.A., Ciobanu, D., Clum, A., Salamov, A., Andreopoulos, B., Cheng,
 J.-F., Woyke, T., Pelin, A., Henrissat, B., et al. (2018). Leveraging single-cell genomics to
 expand the fungal tree of life. Nat Microbiol *3*, 1417–1428.
- 53. Nilsson, R.H., Anslan, S., Bahram, M., Wurzbacher, C., Baldrian, P., and Tedersoo, L.
 (2019). Mycobiome diversity: high-throughput sequencing and identification of fungi. Nat.
 Rev. Microbiol. 17, 95–109.

- 54. Korf, R.P. (2005). Reinventing taxonomy: a curmudgeon's view of 250 years of fungal taxonomy, the crisis in biodiversity, and the pitfalls of the phylogenetic age. Mycotaxon 93, 407–416.
- 755 55. Raimondi, S., Amaretti, A., Gozzoli, C., Simone, M., Righini, L., Candeliere, F., Brun, P.,
 756 Ardizzoni, A., Colombari, B., Paulone, S., et al. (2019). Longitudinal Survey of Fungi in the
 757 Human Gut: ITS Profiling, Phenotyping, and Colonization. Front. Microbiol. 10, 1575.
- 56. Brock, P.M., Döring, H., and Bidartondo, M.I. (2009). How to know unknown fungi: the role
 of a herbarium. New Phytol. *181*, 719–724.
- Tipton, L., Zahn, G.L., Darcy, J.L., Amend, A.S., and Hynson, N.A. (2021). Hawaiian Fungal
 Amplicon Sequence Variants Reveal Otherwise Hidden Biogeography. Microb. Ecol., 1–10.
- 58. Dentinger, B.T.M., Gaya, E., O'Brien, H., Suz, L.M., Lachlan, R., Díaz-Valderrama, J.R.,
 Koch, R.A., and Aime, M.C. (2016). Tales from the crypt: genome mining from fungarium
 specimens improves resolution of the mushroom tree of life. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. *117*,
 11–32.
- 59. Lücking, R., Aime, M.C., Robbertse, B., Miller, A.N., Aoki, T., Ariyawansa, H.A., Cardinali,
 G., Crous, P.W., Druzhinina, I.S., Geiser, D.M., et al. (2021). Fungal taxonomy and sequencebased nomenclature. Nat. Microbiol. *6*, 540–548.
- 769 60. Zamora, J.C., Svensson, M., Kirschner, R., Olariaga, I., Ryman, S., Parra, L.A., Geml, J.,
 770 Rosling, A., Adamčík, S., Ahti, T., et al. (2018). Considerations and consequences of
 771 allowing DNA sequence data as types of fungal taxa. IMA Fungus 9, 167–175.
- 61. Hawksworth, D.L., Hibbett, D.S., Kirk, P.M., and Luecking, R. (2018). (F-005-006)
 Proposals to permit DNA sequence data to be used as types of names of fungi. IMA Fungus
 9, V–VI.
- 62. Lücking, R., Kirk, P.M., and Hawksworth, D.L. (2018). Sequence-based nomenclature: a
 reply to Thines et al. and Zamora et al. and provisions for an amended proposal "from the
 floor" to allow DNA sequences as types of names. IMA Fungus *9*, 185–198.
- Fernandez, C.W., See, C.R., and Kennedy, P.G. (2020). Decelerated carbon cycling by
 ectomycorrhizal fungi is controlled by substrate quality and community composition. New
 Phytol. 226, 569–582.
- 64. Thines, M., Crous, P.W., Aime, M.C., Aoki, T., Cai, L., Hyde, K.D., Miller, A.N., Zhang, N.,
 and Stadler, M. (2018). Ten reasons why a sequence-based nomenclature is not useful for
 fungi anytime soon. IMA Fungus 9, 177–183.
- Moss, E.L., Maghini, D.G., and Bhatt, A.S. (2020). Complete, closed bacterial genomes from
 microbiomes using nanopore sequencing. Nat. Biotechnol. *38*, 701–707.

- 786 66. Tedersoo, L., Anslan, S., Bahram, M., Kõljalg, U., and Abarenkov, K. (2020). Identifying the
 'unidentified' fungi: a global-scale long-read third-generation sequencing approach. Fungal
 788 Divers. 103, 273–293.
- 789 67. Zhou, W., Le, J., Chen, Y., Cai, Y., Hong, Z., and Chai, Y. (2019). Recent advances in microfluidic devices for bacteria and fungus research. Trends Analyt. Chem. *112*, 175–195.
- 68. Gandhi, J., Jayasudha, R., Naik, P., Sharma, S., Dave, V.P., and Joseph, J. (2019). Targeted
 High-Throughput Sequencing Identifies Predominantly Fungal Pathogens in Patients with
 Clinically Infectious, Culture-Negative Endophthalmitis in South India. Microorganisms 7,
 411.
- 69. Lewin, H.A., Robinson, G.E., Kress, W.J., Baker, W.J., Coddington, J., Crandall, K.A.,
 Durbin, R., Edwards, S.V., Forest, F., Gilbert, M.T.P., et al. (2018). Earth BioGenome
 Project: Sequencing life for the future of life. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 4325–4333.
- 70. Fliegerova, K.O., Podmirseg, S.M., Vinzelj, J., Grilli, D.J., Kvasnová, S., Schierová, D.,
 Sechovcová, H., Mrázek, J., Siddi, G., Arenas, G.N., et al. (2021). The Effect of a High-Grain
 Diet on the Rumen Microbiome of Goats with a Special Focus on Anaerobic Fungi.
 Microorganisms 9, 157.
- Seberg, O., Droege, G., Barker, K., Coddington, J.A., Funk, V., Gostel, M., Petersen, G., and
 Smith, P.P. (2016). Global Genome Biodiversity Network: saving a blueprint of the Tree of
 Life a botanical perspective. Annals of Botany *118*, 393–399.
- 72. Droege, G., Barker, K., Astrin, J.J., Bartels, P., Butler, C., Cantrill, D., Coddington, J., Forest,
 F., Gemeinholzer, B., Hobern, D., et al. (2014). The Global Genome Biodiversity Network
 (GGBN) Data Portal. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D607-12.
- Nguyen, N.H., Song, Z., Bates, S.T., Branco, S., Tedersoo, L., Menke, J., Schilling, J.S., and
 Kennedy, P.G. (2016). FUNGuild: An open annotation tool for parsing fungal community
 datasets by ecological guild. Fungal Ecol. 20, 241–248.
- 74. Zanne, A.E., Abarenkov, K., Afkhami, M.E., Aguilar-Trigueros, C.A., Bates, S., Bhatnagar,
 J.M., Busby, P.E., Christian, N., Cornwell, W.K., Crowther, T.W., et al. (2020). Fungal
 functional ecology: bringing a trait-based approach to plant-associated fungi. Biol. Rev.
 Camb. Philos. Soc. 95, 409–433.
- 815 75. Põlme, S., Abarenkov, K., Henrik Nilsson, R., Lindahl, B.D., Clemmensen, K.E., Kauserud,
 816 H., Nguyen, N., Kjøller, R., Bates, S.T., Baldrian, P., et al. (2020). FungalTraits: a user817 friendly traits database of fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles. Fungal Divers. 105, 1–16.
- 818 76. Medina, E.M., Robinson, K.A., Bellingham-Johnstun, K., Ianiri, G., Laplante, C., Fritz819 Laylin, L.K., and Buchler, N.E. (2020). Genetic transformation of *Spizellomyces punctatus*,
 820 a resource for studying chytrid biology and evolutionary cell biology. Elife *9*, e52741.
- 77. Lumbsch, H.T. (2002). Analysis of Phenolic Products in Lichens for Identification and
 Taxonomy. In Protocols in Lichenology: Culturing, Biochemistry, Ecophysiology and Use in

- Biomonitoring, I. C. Kranner, R. P. Beckett, and A. K. Varma, eds. (Springer Berlin
 Heidelberg), pp. 281–295.
- 825 78. Farkas, E., Biró, B., Varga, N., Sinigla, M., and Lőkös, L. (2021). Analysis of Lichen
 826 Secondary Chemistry Doubled the Number of *Cetrelia* W.L. Culb. & C.F. Culb. Species
 827 (Parmeliaceae, Lichenised Ascomycota) in Hungary. Cryptogamie Mycologie 42, 1–16.
- 828 79. Chen, F., Ma, R., and Chen, X.-L. (2019). Advances of Metabolomics in Fungal Pathogen–
 829 Plant Interactions. Metabolites 9, 169.
- 80. Rasmussen, S., Parsons, A.J., and Newman, J.A. (2009). Metabolomics analysis of the *Lolium perenne–Neotyphodium lolii* symbiosis: more than just alkaloids? Phytochem. Rev. *8*, 535–
 550.
- 81. Uehling, J.K., Entler, M.R., Meredith, H.R., Millet, L.J., Timm, C.M., Aufrecht, J.A., Bonito,
 G.M., Engle, N.L., Labbé, J.L., Doktycz, M.J., et al. (2019). Microfluidics and Metabolomics
 Reveal Symbiotic Bacterial–Fungal Interactions Between *Mortierella elongata* and *Burkholderia* Include Metabolite Exchange. Frontiers in Microbiology 10.
- 82. Bieger, B.D., Rogers, A.M., Bates, S., and Egan, M.J. (2020). Long-distance early endosome
 motility in *Aspergillus fumigatus* promotes normal hyphal growth behaviors in controlled
 microenvironments but is dispensable for virulence. Traffic 21, 479–487.
- 840 83. Fukuda, S., Yamamoto, R., Yanagisawa, N., Takaya, N., Sato, Y., Riquelme, M., and
 841 Takeshita, N. (2020). Trade-off between plasticity and velocity in mycelial growth. Cold
 842 Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2020.10.25.354373.
- 843 84. Held, M., Kašpar, O., Edwards, C., and Nicolau, D.V. (2019). Intracellular mechanisms of
 844 fungal space searching in microenvironments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 116, 13543–
 845 13552.
- 846 85. Forin, N., Nigris, S., Voyron, S., Girlanda, M., Vizzini, A., Casadoro, G., and Baldan, B.
 (2018). Next generation sequencing of ancient fungal specimens: The case of the saccardo
 848 mycological herbarium. Front. Ecol. Evol. *6*, 129.
- 849 86. Aleklett, K., Ohlsson, P., Bengtsson, M., and Hammer, E.C. (2021). Fungal foraging
 850 behaviour and hyphal space exploration in micro-structured Soil Chips. ISME J. 15, 1782–
 851 1793.
- 87. Op De Beeck, M., Troein, C., Siregar, S., Gentile, L., Abbondanza, G., Peterson, C., Persson,
 853 P., and Tunlid, A. (2020). Regulation of fungal decomposition at single-cell level. ISME J.
 854 14, 896–905.
- 855 88. Boenisch, M.J., Broz, K.L., Purvine, S.O., Chrisler, W.B., Nicora, C.D., Connolly, L.R.,
 856 Freitag, M., Baker, S.E., and Kistler, H.C. (2017). Structural reorganization of the fungal
 857 endoplasmic reticulum upon induction of mycotoxin biosynthesis. Sci. Rep. 7, 44296.

- 858 89. Whiteside, M.D., Digman, M.A., Gratton, E., and Treseder, K.K. (2012). Organic nitrogen
 859 uptake by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a boreal forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 55, 7–13.
- 90. Van't Padje, A., Oyarte Galvez, L., Klein, M., Hink, M.A., Postma, M., Shimizu, T., and
 Kiers, E.T. (2021). Temporal tracking of quantum-dot apatite across in vitro mycorrhizal
 networks shows how host demand can influence fungal nutrient transfer strategies. ISME J. *15*, 435–449.
- 864 91. Koechli, C., Campbell, A.N., Pepe-Ranney, C., and Buckley, D.H. (2019). Assessing fungal
 865 contributions to cellulose degradation in soil by using high-throughput stable isotope probing.
 866 Soil Biol. Biochem. *130*, 150–158.
- Hannula, S.E., Morriën, E., van der Putten, W.H., and de Boer, W. (2020). Rhizosphere fungi
 actively assimilating plant-derived carbon in a grassland soil. Fungal Ecol. 48, 100988.
- 869 93. China, S., Burrows, S.M., Wang, B., Harder, T.H., Weis, J., Tanarhte, M., Rizzo, L.V., Brito,
 870 J., Cirino, G.G., Ma, P.-L., et al. (2018). Fungal spores as a source of sodium salt particles in
 871 the Amazon basin. Nat. Commun. 9, 4793.
- 94. Osmundson, T.W., Robert, V.A., Schoch, C.L., Baker, L.J., Smith, A., Robich, G., Mizzan,
 L., and Garbelotto, M.M. (2013). Filling gaps in biodiversity knowledge for macrofungi:
 contributions and assessment of an herbarium collection DNA barcode sequencing project.
 PLoS One *8*, e62419.
- 876 95. Watling, R. (1998). The role of the amateur in mycology what would we do without them!
 877 Mycoscience *39*, 513–522.
- 878 96. Kido, A., and Hood, M.E. (2020). Mining new sources of natural history observations for
 879 disease interactions. Am. J. Bot. 107, 3–11.
- 880 97. Heilmann-Clausen, J., Bruun, H.H., Ejrnæs, R., Frøslev, T.G., Læssøe, T., and Petersen, J.H.
 (2019). How citizen science boosted primary knowledge on fungal biodiversity in Denmark.
 882 Biol. Conserv. 237, 366–372.
- 883 98. Irga, P.J., Dominici, L., and Torpy, F.R. (2020). The mycological social network a way
 884 forward for conservation of fungal biodiversity. Environ. Conserv. 47, 243–250.
- May, T.W., Cooper, J.A., Dahlberg, A., Furci, G., Minter, D.W., Mueller, G.M., Pouliot, A.,
 and Yang, Z. (2019). Recognition of the discipline of conservation mycology. Conserv. Biol.
 33, 733–736.
- 100. Mueller, G.M. (2017). Progress in conserving fungi: engagement and red listing. BGJournal
 14, 30–33.
- 890 101. Nic Lughadha, E., Bachman, S.P., Leão, T.C.C., Forest, F., Halley, J.M., Moat, J., Acedo, C.,
 891 Bacon, K.L., Brewer, R.F.A., Gâteblé, G., et al. (2020). Extinction risk and threats to plants
 892 and fungi. Plants People Planet 2, 389–408.

- 102. Heilmann-Clausen, J., Barron, E.S., Boddy, L., Dahlberg, A., Griffith, G.W., Nordén, J.,
 Ovaskainen, O., Perini, C., Senn-Irlet, B., and Halme, P. (2015). A fungal perspective on
 conservation biology. Conserv. Biol. 29, 61–68.
- Bogen 103. Dahlberg, A., and Mueller, G.M. (2011). Applying IUCN red-listing criteria for assessing and
 reporting on the conservation status of fungal species. Fungal Ecol. 4, 147–162.
- 898 104. Blackwell, M., and Vega, F.E. (2018). Lives within lives: Hidden fungal biodiversity and the
 899 importance of conservation. Fungal Ecol. 35, 127–134.
- 900 105. Gardes, M., and Bruns, T.D. (1996). Community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a *Pinus* 901 *muricata* forest: above- and below-ground views. Can. J. Bot. 74, 1572–1583.
- 106. Baptista, P., Reis, F., Pereira, E., Tavares, R.M., Santos, P.M., Richard, F., Selosse, M.-A.,
 and Lino-Neto, T. (2015). Soil DNA pyrosequencing and fruitbody surveys reveal contrasting
 diversity for various fungal ecological guilds in chestnut orchards. Environ. Microbiol. Rep.
 7, 946–954.
- 107. Kjøller, R. (2006). Disproportionate abundance between ectomycorrhizal root tips and their
 associated mycelia. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 58, 214–224.
- 108. O'Mahony, E.M., Tay, W.T., and Paxton, R.J. (2007). Multiple rRNA variants in a single
 spore of the microsporidian Nosema bombi. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 54, 103–109.
- 910 109. Cissé, O.H., Ma, L., Wei Huang, D., Khil, P.P., Dekker, J.P., Kutty, G., Bishop, L., Liu, Y.,
 911 Deng, X., Hauser, P.M., et al. (2018). Comparative population genomics analysis of the
 912 mammalian fungal pathogen Pneumocystis. MBio 9, e00381-18.
- 913 110. Lofgren, L.A., Uehling, J.K., Branco, S., Bruns, T.D., Martin, F., and Kennedy, P.G. (2019).
 914 Genome-based estimates of fungal rDNA copy number variation across phylogenetic scales
 915 and ecological lifestyles. Mol. Ecol. 28, 721–730.
- 111. Kijpornyongpan, T., and Catherine Aime, M. (2016). Rare or rarely detected? Ceraceosorus guamensis sp. nov.: a second described species of Ceraceosorales and the potential for underdetection of rare lineages with common sampling techniques. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 109, 1127–1139.
- 920 112. Smith, M.L., Bruhn, J.N., and Anderson, J.B. (1992). The fungus Armillaria bulbosa is among
 921 the largest and oldest living organisms. Nature 356, 428–431.
- 922 113. Harrison, J.G., John Calder, W., Shuman, B., and Alex Buerkle, C. (2021). The quest for
 923 absolute abundance: The use of internal standards for DNA-based community ecology. Mol.
 924 Ecol. Resour. 21, 30–43.
- 114. Nguyen, N.H., Smith, D., Peay, K., and Kennedy, P. (2015). Parsing ecological signal from noise in next generation amplicon sequencing. New Phytol. 205, 1389–1393.

- 115. Kuske, C.R., Hesse, C.N., Challacombe, J.F., Cullen, D., Herr, J.R., Mueller, R.C., Tsang,
 A., and Vilgalys, R. (2015). Prospects and challenges for fungal metatranscriptomics of
 complex communities. Fungal Ecol. 14, 133–137.
- 930 116. Větrovský, T., Morais, D., Kohout, P., Lepinay, C., Algora, C., Awokunle Hollá, S.,
 931 Bahnmann, B.D., Bílohnědá, K., Brabcová, V., D'Alò, F., et al. (2020). GlobalFungi, a global
 932 database of fungal occurrences from high-throughput-sequencing metabarcoding studies. Sci
 933 Data 7, 228.
- 117. Větrovský, T., Kohout, P., Kopecký, M., Machac, A., Man, M., Bahnmann, B.D., Brabcová,
 V., Choi, J., Meszárošová, L., Human, Z.R., et al. (2019). A meta-analysis of global fungal
 distribution reveals climate-driven patterns. Nat. Commun. 10, 5142.
- 118. Andrew, C., Heegaard, E., Kirk, P.M., Bässler, C., Heilmann-Clausen, J., Krisai-Greilhuber,
 I., Kuyper, T.W., Senn-Irlet, B., Büntgen, U., Diez, J., et al. (2017). Big data integration: PanEuropean fungal species observations' assembly for addressing contemporary questions in
 ecology and global change biology. Fungal Biol. Rev. *31*, 88–98.
- 941 119. Barron, E.S. (2018). Who Values What Nature? Constructing Conservation Value with Fungi.
 942 In The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Physical Geography, R. Lave, C. Biermann, and S. N.
 943 Lane, eds. (Springer International Publishing), pp. 373–392.
- 120. Koziol, L., Schultz, P.A., House, G.L., Bauer, J.T., Middleton, E.L., and Bever, J.D. (2018).
 The Plant Microbiome and Native Plant Restoration: The Example of Native Mycorrhizal
 Fungi. Bioscience *68*, 996–1006.
- 947 121. Fuchs, B., and Haselwandter, K. (2004). Red list plants: colonization by arbuscular
 948 mycorrhizal fungi and dark septate endophytes. Mycorrhiza 14, 277–281.
- 949 122. Wainwright, B.J., Zahn, G.L., Zushi, J., Lee, N.L.Y., Ooi, J.L.S., Lee, J.N., and Huang, D. (2019). Seagrass-associated fungal communities show distance decay of similarity that has implications for seagrass management and restoration. Ecol. Evol. 9, 11288–11297.
- 123. Haug, I., Setaro, S., and Suárez, J.P. (2021). Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and
 Ectomycorrhizas in the Andean Cloud Forest of South Ecuador. In The Andean Cloud Forest,
 R. W. Myster, ed. (Springer International Publishing), pp. 111–129.
- 955 124. Eriksen, M., Bjureke, K.E., and Dhillion, S.S. (2002). Mycorrhizal plants of traditionally
 956 managed boreal grasslands in Norway. Mycorrhiza *12*, 117–123.
- 957 125. Molina, R., Horton, T.R., Trappe, J.M., and Marcot, B.G. (2011). Addressing uncertainty:
 958 How to conserve and manage rare or little-known fungi. Fungal Ecol. 4, 134–146.
- 126. Wilkinson, M.D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I.J.J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A.,
 Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L.B., Bourne, P.E., et al. (2016). The FAIR
 Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Sci Data *3*, 160018.

- 962 127. Gonçalves, R.S., and Musen, M.A. (2019). The variable quality of metadata about biological
 963 samples used in biomedical experiments. Sci Data 6, 190021.
- 128. Riginos, C., Crandall, E.D., Liggins, L., Gaither, M.R., Ewing, R.B., Meyer, C., Andrews,
 K.R., Euclide, P.T., Titus, B.M., Therkildsen, N.O., et al. (2020). Building a global genomics
 observatory: Using GEOME (the Genomic Observatories Metadatabase) to expedite and
 improve deposition and retrieval of genetic data and metadata for biodiversity research. Mol.
 Ecol. Resour. 20, 1458–1469.
- 969 129. Funk, V.A. (2017). North American Herbaria and their Tropical Plant Collections: What
 970 exists, what is available, and what the future may bring. The Royal Danish Academy of
 971 Sciences and Letters 6, 73–96.
- 130. Albani Rocchetti, G., Armstrong, C.G., Abeli, T., Orsenigo, S., Jasper, C., Joly, S., Bruneau,
 A., Zytaruk, M., and Vamosi, J.C. (2020). Reversing extinction trends: new uses of (old)
 herbarium specimens to accelerate conservation action on threatened species. New Phytol.
 230, 433–450.
- 976 131. Meineke, E.K., Davis, C.C., and Davies, T.J. (2018). The unrealized potential of herbaria for
 977 global change biology. Ecol. Monogr. *88*, 505–525.
- 978 132. Andrew, C., Diez, J., James, T.Y., and Kauserud, H. (2018). Fungarium specimens: a largely
 979 untapped source in global change biology and beyond. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol.
 980 Sci. 374, e20170392.
- 133. Wu, L., Isley, C.F., Handley, H.K., and Taylor, M.P. (2021). Atmospheric sources of
 anthropogenic and geogenic trace metals in Australian lichens and fungi. Anthropocene 33,
 e100279.
- 134. Richards-Hrdlicka, K.L. (2012). Extracting the amphibian chytrid fungus from formalinfixed specimens: Extracting chytrid DNA from fixed amphibians. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3,
 842–849.
- 135. Huang, Y.-L., Bowman, E.A., Massimo, N.C., Garber, N.P., U'Ren, J.M., Sandberg, D.C.,
 and Arnold, A.E. (2018). Using collections data to infer biogeographic, environmental, and
 host structure in communities of endophytic fungi. Mycologia *110*, 47–62.
- 136. Holmes, M.W., Hammond, T.T., Wogan, G.O.U., Walsh, R.E., LaBarbera, K., Wommack,
 E.A., Martins, F.M., Crawford, J.C., Mack, K.L., Bloch, L.M., et al. (2016). Natural history
 collections as windows on evolutionary processes. Mol. Ecol. 25, 864–881.
- 137. Pearce, T.R., Antonelli, A., Brearley, F.Q., Couch, C., Campostrini Forzza, R., Gonçalves,
 S.C., Magassouba, S., Morim, M.P., Mueller, G.M., Nic Lughadha, E., et al. (2020).
 International collaboration between collections-based institutes for halting biodiversity loss
 and unlocking the useful properties of plants and fungi. Plants People Planet 2, 515–534.

- 138. Paton, A., Antonelli, A., Carine, M., Forzza, R.C., Davies, N., Demissew, S., Dröge, G.,
 Fulcher, T., Grall, A., Holstein, N., et al. (2020). Plant and fungal collections: Current status,
 future perspectives. Plants People Planet 2, 499–514.
- 139. Boundy-Mills, K.L., Glantschnig, E., Roberts, I.N., Yurkov, A., Casaregola, S., Daniel, H.M., Groenewald, M., and Turchetti, B. (2016). Yeast culture collections in the twenty-first
 century: new opportunities and challenges. Yeast *33*, 243–260.
- 140. Boundy-Mills, K., McCluskey, K., Elia, P., Glaeser, J.A., Lindner, D.L., Nobles, D.R., Jr,
 Normanly, J., Ochoa-Corona, F.M., Scott, J.A., Ward, T.J., et al. (2020). Preserving US
 microbe collections sparks future discoveries. J. Appl. Microbiol. *129*, 162–174.
- 1006 141. Boundy-Mills, K., Hess, M., Bennett, A.R., Ryan, M., Kang, S., Nobles, D., Eisen, J.A.,
 1007 Inderbitzin, P., Sitepu, I.R., Torok, T., et al. (2015). The United States Culture Collection
 1008 Network (USCCN): Enhancing Microbial Genomics Research through Living Microbe
 1009 Culture Collections. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. *81*, 5671–5674.
- 1010 142. Van Hop, D. (2018). Establishment and Management of Culture Collections of 1011 Microorganisms (mBRC): An Overview. In Microbial Resource Conservation: Conventional 1012 to Modern Approaches, S. K. Sharma and A. Varma, eds. (Springer International Publishing), 1013 pp. 55–109.
- 1014 143. Becker, P., Bosschaerts, M., Chaerle, P., Daniel, H.-M., Hellemans, A., Olbrechts, A.,
 1015 Rigouts, L., Wilmotte, A., and Hendrickx, M. (2019). Public Microbial Resource Centers:
 1016 Key Hubs for Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable (FAIR) Microorganisms and
 1017 Genetic Materials. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. *85*, e01444-19.
- 1018 144. Ali, W.A., AL-Jaff, B.M.A., and Saleem AL-saeedi, S.S. (2018). Cultivation and detection
 1019 of unculturable fungi in soil using soil infusion agar (SIA). Journal of university of Anbar for
 1020 Pure science 12, 1–10.
- 1021 145. Jung, D., Liu, L., and He, S. (2020). Application of in situ cultivation in marine microbial
 1022 resource mining. Marine Life Science & Technology 3, 148–161.
- 1023 146. Libby, E., Hébert-Dufresne, L., Hosseini, S.-R., and Wagner, A. (2019). Syntrophy emerges
 1024 spontaneously in complex metabolic systems. PLoS Comput. Biol. 15, e1007169.
- 1025 147. Vogel, K.J., and Moran, N.A. (2013). Functional and evolutionary analysis of the genome of
 1026 an obligate fungal symbiont. Genome Biol. Evol. 5, 891–904.
- 1027 148. Ryan, M.J., McCluskey, K., Verkleij, G., Robert, V., and Smith, D. (2019). Fungal biological
 1028 resources to support international development: challenges and opportunities. World J.
 1029 Microbiol. Biotechnol. *35*, 139.
- 149. Smith, D., Kermode, A., Cafà, G., Buddie, A.G., Caine, T.S., and Ryan, M.J. (2020).
 Strengthening mycology research through coordinated access to microbial culture collection strains. CABI Agriculture and Bioscience 1, 2.

- 1033 150. Orr, M.C.C., Ascher, J.S., Bai, M., Chesters, D., and Zhu, C.-D. (2020). Three questions:
 1034 How can taxonomists survive and thrive worldwide? Megataxa 1, 19–27.
- 1035 151. Kuhnert, E., Sir, E.B., Lambert, C., Hyde, K.D., Hladki, A.I., Romero, A.I., Rohde, M., and
 1036 Stadler, M. (2017). Phylogenetic and chemotaxonomic resolution of the genus
 1037 Annulohypoxylon (Xylariaceae) including four new species. Fungal Divers. *85*, 1–43.
- 1038 152. Chalupová, J., Raus, M., Sedlářová, M., and Sebela, M. (2014). Identification of fungal
 1039 microorganisms by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Biotechnol. Adv. 32, 230–241.
- 1040 153. Pallua, J.D., Kuhn, V., Pallua, A.F., Pfaller, K., Pallua, A.K., Recheis, W., and Pöder, R.
 1041 (2015). Application of micro-computed tomography to microstructure studies of the
 1042 medicinal fungus *Hericium coralloides*. Mycologia 107, 227–238.
- 1043 154. Aime, M.C., Miller, A.N., Aoki, T., Bensch, K., Cai, L., Crous, P.W., Hawksworth, D.L.,
 1044 Hyde, K.D., Kirk, P.M., Lücking, R., et al. (2021). How to publish a new fungal species, or
 1045 name, version 3.0. IMA Fungus *12*, 11.
- 1046 155. Laird, S.A., and Wynberg, R.P. (2016). Locating Responsible Research and Innovation
 1047 Within Access and Benefit Sharing Spaces of the Convention on Biological Diversity: the
 1048 Challenge of Emerging Technologies. Nanoethics *10*, 189–200.
- 1049 156. Prathapan, K.D., Pethiyagoda, R., Bawa, K.S., Raven, P.H., Rajan, P.D., and 172 co-signatories from 35 countries (2018). When the cure kills-CBD limits biodiversity research.
 1051 Science 360, 1405–1406.
- 1052 157. Laird, S., Wynberg, R., Rourke, M., Humphries, F., Muller, M.R., and Lawson, C. (2020).
 1053 Rethink the expansion of access and benefit sharing. Science *367*, 1200–1202.
- 1054 158. Cheeke, T.E., Branco, S., Haelewaters, D., Natvig, D.O., Maltz, M., Rodriguez, S.C., Cafaro,
 1055 M.J., and May, G. (2018). Diversity in the Mycological Society of America. Inoculum *March*1056 *Edition*, 1–10.
- 1057 159. Rushworth, C.A., Baucom, R.S., Blackman, B.K., Neiman, M., Orive, M.E., Sethuraman, A.,
 1058 Ware, J., and Matute, D.R. (2021). Who are we now? A demographic assessment of three
 1059 evolution societies. Evolution 75, 208–218.









Ε

Species names

Genus specific epithet

Preliminary designators

Genus specific epithet nom. seq.

ABC012.345

DOIs







