

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

Deconvolving a Complex, Real-Life Task: Do standard lab tasks predict CPR learning and retention?

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7kj538nb>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 41(0)

#### **Authors**

Maa, Sarah

Sense, Florian

Krusmark, Michael

et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2019

Peer reviewed

# **Deconvolving a Complex, Real-Life Task: Do standard lab tasks predict CPR learning and retention?**

**Sarah Maa**

University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

**Florian Sense**

University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

**Michael Krusmark**

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, United States

**Kevin Gluck**

Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio, United States

**Hedderik van Rijn**

University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

## **Abstract**

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), a basic life-saving skill, requires a combination of procedural and declarative knowledge. CPR proficiency was assessed and re-trained to criterion across four sessions (spaced weeks to months apart). In addition, three laboratory tasks were administered: continuation tapping, paired-associate learning, and Raven matrices. These served as proxies for procedural learning, declarative learning, and general cognitive ability, respectively. Even though a computational model (Predictive Performance Equation, Walsh et al., 2018) predicted long-term CPR performance, none of the lab tasks correlated with any aspect of CPR performance (initial performance, (re-)learning, or retention of CPR; see <https://osf.io/m8bx/> for details). These results highlight the challenges faced when translating lab results into real-world domains and can serve as a benchmark for applying computational models to real-life learning and forgetting.