

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Riding the crest to get a head: neural crest evolution in vertebrates

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7kb1d8g1>

Journal

Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 22(10)

ISSN

1471-003X

Authors

Martik, Megan L
Bronner, Marianne E

Publication Date

2021-10-01

DOI

10.1038/s41583-021-00503-2

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

1 **Riding the crest to get a head: neural crest evolution in vertebrates**

2
3 Megan L. Martik and Marianne E. Bronner

4
5 Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA,
6 USA

7
8 ***Corresponding Author:** Marianne E. Bronner, mbronner@caltech.edu

9 10 **Abstract:**

11 In their seminal paper, Gans and Northcutt (1983) proposed that evolution of the
12 vertebrate “New Head” was enabled by advent of the neural crest and cranial
13 placodes¹. The neural crest is a stem cell population that arises adjacent to the
14 forming central nervous system and contributes to important cell types including
15 components of the peripheral nervous system, craniofacial skeleton, and elements
16 of the cardiovascular system². In the past few years, the New Head hypothesis has
17 been challenged by the discovery in invertebrate chordates of cells with some but
18 not all characteristics of vertebrate neural crest cells³⁻⁷. Here, we discuss recent
19 findings regarding how neural crest cells may have evolved during the course of
20 deuterostome evolution. The results suggest that there was progressive addition of
21 cell types into the repertoire of neural crest derivatives throughout vertebrate
22 evolution⁸. Novel genomic tools have enabled higher resolution insight into neural
23 crest evolution from both a cellular and gene regulatory perspective^{9,10}. Together,
24 these data provide clues regarding the ancestral neural crest state and how the

25 neural crest continues to evolve to contribute to the success of vertebrates as
26 efficient predators.

27

28

29 |

30 |

31 | **Introduction**

32 Nearly 40 years ago, the New Head hypothesis proposed that the complexity and
33 elaboration of the vertebrate head was a consequence of the advent of the neural
34 crest and cranial placodes (Figure 1)¹. These new cell types enabled assembly of the
35 craniofacial skeleton and a novel sensory system, which in turn allowed expansion
36 of the anterior neuroepithelium into the vertebrate brain (Figure 1)^{1,7,11,12}. The
37 morphological characters that arise from the neural crest and cranial placodes also
38 allowed for the transition from a predominantly filter feeding lifestyle of
39 invertebrate chordates to active predation of vertebrates. The multipotent neural
40 crest is a synapomorphy, shared and derived in all vertebrates, that is intimately
41 linked to the evolution and diversification of vertebrates.

42 Neural crest cells are characterized by their multipotency, migratory abilities,
43 and differentiative capacity². Early in development, the neural crest arises in the
44 dorsal most aspect of the forming central nervous system, from which it undergoes
45 an epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) to delaminate from the
46 neuroepithelium. These cells then migrate extensively throughout the early embryo
47 to give rise to diverse derivatives depending on their final location (Figure 2a). Four
48 main subpopulations of neural crest cells exist along the anteroposterior axis of
49 jawed vertebrates (Box 1): cranial, vagal, trunk, and lumbosacral (Figure 2b,c)¹³.

50 | The cranial neural crest arises from the anterior central nervous system levels--
51 forebrain, midbrain, and hindbrain. Whereas anterior-most cranial neural crest form
52 the frontonasal skeleton, more posterior cranial crest cells populate the pharyngeal
53 arches to form bone and cartilage of the jaw, middle ear, and neck (Figure 1)¹⁴⁻¹⁶.
54 The vagal neural crest predominately contributes to the enteric nervous system and
55 portions of the heart including the cardiac outflow tract, heart valves, cardiac
56 ganglia, and cardiomyocytes¹⁷⁻²⁰. The trunk neural crest gives rise to neurons and
57 glia of the dorsal root and sympathetic ganglia²¹. Finally, the lumbosacral neural
58 crest gives rise to portions of the enteric and sympathetic nervous systems (Figure
59 2b,c)²².

60 Underlying the development of these subpopulations is a pan-neural crest gene
61 regulatory network (GRN) that describes the regulatory interactions at each stage of
62 neural crest development (Figure 2d)^{2,10,23,24}. Superimposed on this global GRN are
63 axial specific subcircuits that are “plugged in” to core circuitry to imbue region-
64 specific developmental potentials²⁵. Comparative studies across diverse vertebrates
65 provide an approach for probing how these axial level specific subcircuits may have
66 evolved⁸. Identifying changes in gene regulatory programs across vertebrate
67 evolution can inform upon evolutionary change and morphological novelties found
68 that may have led to emergence of novel structures including the vertebrate New
69 Head²⁶.

70 | In addition to the vast number of derivatives the neural crest will generate, they
71 will also give rise to a multipotent population of cells that cover peripheral nerves
72 throughout the body called Schwann cell precursors (SCPs)²⁷. SCPs have been
73 reported to detach from peripheral nerves and become Schwann cells, autonomic
74 neurons, neuroendocrine cells, melanocytes, and other neural crest-derived cell

75 | [types²⁸⁻³¹. SCPs not only have important implications in regenerative medicine but](#)
76 | [also provide insights into the evolutionary origin of the neural crest³²⁻³⁴.](#)

77 | While invertebrate chordates lack *bona fide* neural crest cells, they do possess
78 | cell types that have either aspects of the cellular morphology or shared gene
79 | regulatory programs with the neural crest^{3,4,6,35}. However, these cell types also lack
80 | key features of neural crest cells like multipotency, extensive migratory capacity,
81 | and the ability to give rise to ectomesenchymal structural elements. That said,
82 | evidence from invertebrates helps to elucidate the ancestral state of the neural
83 | plate border, the neural crest, and the neural crest gene regulatory network (Figure
84 | 2d)^{26,36}.

85 | In this review, we examine the substantial contribution of the neural crest to the
86 | evolution of novel vertebrate traits. We discuss the presence of neural crest-like cell
87 | types in invertebrates, implications of the organization of the lateral [part of the](#)
88 | [neural](#) plate, and how addition of novel gene regulatory programs may have
89 | influenced advent and further specialization of the vertebrate neural crest. We
90 | speculate that the neural crest may have evolved in a stepwise fashion by
91 | progressive refinement of GRN subcircuits along the anterior-posterior axis during
92 | vertebrate evolution. Finally, we discuss the increasing complexity of neural crest
93 | derivatives and how co-option of gene regulatory programs throughout the course
94 | of vertebrate evolution has continued to imbue the vertebrate body plan with novel
95 | features. Given ongoing findings regarding the gene regulatory programs
96 | underlying multipotency, migration, and differentiation of neural crest cell and
97 | cranial placode cell types, the New Head hypothesis continues to develop and
98 | evolve.

99

100 **The neural crest gene regulatory network**

101 Development of animal body plans is encoded in the regulatory genome, and
102 modifications in gene regulatory programs lead to evolutionary change^{37,38}. Changes
103 in morphological characters are driven by alterations in gene regulatory modules by
104 gene innovation, gene duplication, and/or co-option of regulatory information from
105 different tissues. Gene regulatory networks describe the regulatory interactions
106 formed by transcription factors and cis-regulatory elements at each stage of
107 development in a particular cell type³⁹. Integrated in these regulatory networks are
108 cellular interactions mediated by signaling and receptor molecules and cues that
109 dictate gene expression and function. Gene regulatory networks are broken into
110 modules of regulatory information that include the genes and interactions that
111 function at different time points of development. The given regulatory interactions
112 in a cell at any given time represent its regulatory state⁴⁰. Conservation and
113 changes in gene regulatory logic are at the core of our understanding of what drives
114 evolution of the neural crest^{2,9,26,41}.

115 Formation of neural crest cells is controlled by a feed-forward gene regulatory
116 network that controls their induction, migration, and differentiation (Figure 2d). As
117 development proceeds, the neural crest progresses through successive regulatory
118 states from specification to EMT to migration and ultimately to differentiation
119 (Figure 2a)²⁴. Underlying each of these developmental milestones is a core gene
120 regulatory network that describes the key interactions at each stage of
121 development. The GRN is composed of developmental modules comprised of
122 transcription factors and signaling molecules that interact in order to drive discrete
123 steps of development (Figure 2d). By unraveling these networks, we can begin to
124 understand the logic dictating how the neural crest arises, differentiates, and may

125 have evolved to form unique derivatives in jawed vertebrates^{2,26}. The current view
126 of the neural crest gene network has emerged over time and encompasses
127 numerous organisms from basal vertebrates to mice and human pluripotent stem
128 cells (hPSCs)^{2,23,24,42,43}.

129 Initiation of neural crest formation begins during gastrulation, as a series of
130 signaling events including Wnts, FGFs, and BMPs refine the border between the
131 forming neural and non-neural ectoderm⁴⁴⁻⁴⁸. These signaling interactions promote
132 regionalization along the mediolateral axis of the developing embryo and, at the
133 presumptive neural plate border, activate downstream specification gene regulatory
134 modules that include transcription factors such as *Zic1*, *Msx1*, *Tfap2*, and *Pax3/7*
135 (Figure 2d)^{44,46,48-55}. Once the neural plate border has formed, the neural crest
136 becomes specified as exemplified by expression of transcription factors including
137 *SoxE*, *FoxD3*, *Snai1/2*, and *Tfap2*^{52,54,56}. Following specification, neural crest cells
138 undergo an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition to delaminate from the forming
139 central nervous system. This delamination process is tightly controlled by regulatory
140 interactions that coordinate a “Cadherin switch” to allow for the de-adhesion of
141 precursors from the neural tube⁵⁷⁻⁶². Once free from the central nervous system, the
142 neural crest cells activate a migratory gene network module to migrate extensively
143 throughout the embryo^{10,63-65}. Upon reaching appropriate locations, they activate
144 differentiation gene batteries that mediate differentiation into distinct derivatives
145 based on their anteroposterior location (Figure 2d)².

146 Along the anteroposterior body axis, the neural crest can be subdivided into four
147 main subpopulations: cranial, vagal, trunk, and lumbosacral (Figure 2b)(Box 1).
148 While neural crest cells at all axial levels form some common cell types like
149 melanocytes and glia, there also are neural crest-derived structures that are unique

150 to particular axial levels (Figure 2c). These unique derivatives are the consequence
151 of deployment of axial-specific circuits that drive distinct fates related to their
152 anteroposterior site of origin^{13,66}. For instance, in amniotes, only the cranial axial
153 level is able to give rise to skeletogenic fates *in vivo*^{15,16}. Recently, a unique cranial
154 specific circuit was found in chicken embryos that includes transcription factors
155 *Brn3c*, *Lhx5*, *Dmbx1*, *Tfap2b*, *Sox8*, and *Ets1*²⁵. When components of this circuit
156 (*Sox8*, *Ets1*, and *Tfap2b*) were ~~placed~~ ectopically expressed in the trunk neural
157 crest, these were sufficient to impart skeletogenic potential by enabling trunk
158 neural crest cells to form cartilage nodules after grafting to the head²⁵. However,
159 since this circuit is insufficient to drive these fates when the trunk neural crest
160 remained in the trunk, cranial-specific environmental cues and yet-to-be defined
161 signals likely participate in the axial diversification of the neural crest. Still,
162 understanding how subcircuits like this arose and evolved is important for
163 elucidating how the neural crest was able to give rise to morphological novelties in
164 different parts of the body.

165 At the vagal axial level, neural crest cells contribute to the heart and gut,
166 forming the outflow tract septum and enteric nervous system (Figure 2c). When the
167 anterior vagal (called “cardiac”) neural crest is ablated in chick embryos, the
168 outflow tract septum which connects the heart to the lungs fails to form properly,
169 resulting in mixing of oxygenated and non-oxygenated blood, a defect highly
170 reminiscent of a common human congenital heart defect⁶⁷. Only cardiac neural
171 crest cells have the ability to form the outflow tract septum whereas trunk and
172 cranial neural crest cells cannot do so. Recently, a neural crest gene subcircuit,
173 comprised of transcription factors *Tgif1*, *Sox8*, and *Ets1*, was shown to be specific to
174 this axial level and, when introduced ectopically, was able to confer this

175 developmental potential to trunk neural crest cells grafted to the cardiac crest
176 region⁶⁸. Thus, similar to the cranial crest-specific subcircuit that can confer
177 cartilage forming ability, the cardiac crest-specific subcircuit appears to confer the
178 ability to form a different derivative, mesenchymal cells of the outflow tract septum,
179 onto a neural crest subpopulation in a region-specific manner. Future studies
180 focusing on axial specific subcircuits in other neural crest subpopulations hold the
181 promise of clarifying how these subcircuits act to drive cell type diversification
182 along the anteroposterior body axis.

183 Other likely important players in the formation of distinct subpopulations along
184 the anteroposterior axis are the *Hox* genes (Box 2). Differential *Hox* gene
185 expression and their interactions with other neural crest gene network genes may
186 be sufficient to account for subtle gene network differences observed along the
187 anteroposterior axis and may act to modulate neural crest axial level differences in
188 cell fates⁶⁹.

189 At its core, the neural crest gene regulatory network is remarkably similar in
190 overall architecture and composition across all vertebrates, though species specific
191 differences enable flexibility in morphological traits such as craniofacial features.
192 Still, the overall network is vastly similar and adaptable such that modular
193 components, such as axial specific subcircuits and differentiation gene batteries can
194 be “plugged in” to the network to add to its evolvability and adaptability.

195

196 **Origins of the neural crest GRN**

197 Across vertebrates, groups of transcription factors, including *Pax3/7*, *Msx1*, *Zic1*,
198 *Tfap2*, *Snai1/2*, *FoxD3*, and *SoxE*, and their regulatory interactions, are conserved in
199 terms of expression in the neural crest and placement in a pan-vertebrate gene

200 regulatory network (Figure 2d) ^{2,26,70}. These factors serve as a kernel that functions
201 to establish the neural plate border and promote neural crest multipotency and
202 migration. However, important gene regulatory differences between jawed
203 (gnathostome) and jawless (cyclostome) vertebrates provide clues as to how novel
204 cell types may have evolved under the umbrella of the neural crest. Both lamprey
205 and hagfish are cyclostomes and form a monophyletic sister group to the jawed
206 vertebrates⁷¹⁻⁷³. ~~These jawless vertebrates are reminiscent of a “living fossil”, as~~
207 ~~their body plans have remained relatively unchanged for over 500 million years. But~~
208 ~~far~~ more is known about the gene regulatory network of lamprey than hagfish
209 neural crest since it is very difficult to obtain live embryos from the latter⁷⁴⁻⁷⁷.

210 Interrogation of neural crest gene network conservation and changes in the sea
211 lamprey can provide insight into ~~the ancestral neural crest gene network~~
212 ~~the~~ formation of morphological novelties. For instance, work in the sea lamprey has
213 shown that transcription factors *Ets1* and *Twist*, two major players in the pre-
214 migratory/migratory regulatory module of the neural crest, are absent from the
215 early neural crest GRN⁷⁰. *Ets1*, which is essential for neural crest specification in
216 jawed vertebrates, is instead expressed in late neural crest derivatives within the
217 branchial arches as well as dorsal root ganglia, a trunk-derived neural crest cell
218 type^{8,70}. This represents a significant change in the gnathostome gene network from
219 the early ancestral vertebrate gene regulatory network where *Ets1* was co-opted
220 from later in development, or a more distal part of the network hierarchy, to drive
221 specification of gnathostome neural crest specification potentially leading to novel
222 cell fates. Another transcription factor, *Twist*, which is essential in frogs but
223 dispensable in mice for neural crest development, is absent in lamprey migratory
224 neural crest but present in later derivatives, providing another example of a distal

225 node of the network that was co-opted to more proximal parts of the neural crest
226 gene network in gnathostomes⁷⁰.

227 A comparative analysis of the neural crest GRN that governs the ability of cranial
228 neural crest cells to form the facial skeleton between amniotes and other
229 vertebrates has shown that neural crest gene network components have been
230 progressively added to the neural crest during the course of vertebrate evolution⁸.
231 Several of the genes that are cranial crest specific in amniotes, instead of being
232 added *de novo* to the cranial neural crest, appear to have been co-opted from more
233 distal parts of the gene network to proximal modules at all axial levels then
234 progressively restricted to the cranial axial level during the course of gnathostome
235 vertebrate evolution⁸. Thus, basal vertebrates appear to have had a “basic” neural
236 crest gene network that was relatively uniform along the body axis. With
237 progressive evolution, genes were added and subcircuits built at different axial
238 levels, resulting in subpopulations of neural crest cells with different migratory
239 pathways and the ability to form distinct derivatives. The results of these recent
240 comparative studies of gene regulatory control of neural crest development suggest
241 that the New Head, as opposed to arising at the base of vertebrates *in toto*, arose
242 progressively during the course of vertebrate evolution.

243 Two recent stories shed light on vertebrate-specific gene innovations and gene
244 duplication events that enabled expansions and diversification of the neural crest.
245 In one recent study, Scerbo and Monsoro-Burq (2020) show that the loss of
246 vertebrate-specific [Ventx2, an ortholog of mouse Nanog](#), leads to a loss of the
247 neural crest multipotent state and skeletogenic potential⁷⁸. This genetic
248 perturbation results in a neural crest that can only give rise to sensory neurons and
249 pigmentation, similar to neural plate border derivatives found in invertebrate

250 | chordates. [Further, Scerbo and Monsoro-Burg show that mouse Nanog is able to](#)
251 | [rescue the craniofacial phenotype of the Ventx2 depletion demonstrating a](#)
252 | [functional equivalence of Ventx2 and Nanog.](#) Another recent study reports on the
253 | significance of genome duplication events that led to the expansion and
254 | diversification of neural crest subpopulations during vertebrate evolution.
255 | Endothelin ligands and receptors are unique to vertebrates and two rounds of
256 | genome-wide duplication events that occurred in basal vertebrates, the *Edn*
257 | signaling pathways components diverged and became specialized in order to
258 | expand the neural crest repertoire^{79,80}. These examples provide further evidence
259 | that throughout chordate evolution, the neural crest gene regulatory network was
260 | progressively elaborated to give rise to vertebrate novelties.

261 | The advent of new systems-level techniques that are amenable to many
262 | research organisms has shed light on regulatory network changes and additions
263 | that drove the evolution of novel morphological characters of the neural crest.
264 | Initially, neural crest gene network interactions were studied by taking a candidate
265 | approach to identify genes expressed in the neural crest and then testing the
266 | effects of gene knock-down on other known neural crest markers. Recently, next-
267 | generation sequencing techniques including bulk and single cell RNA-seq, ChIP-seq,
268 | CUT&RUN, and assays for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing
269 | (ATAC-seq) have been applied to the study of neural crest development in several
270 | species ranging from jawed to jawless vertebrates^{9,10,81,82}. By comparing the global
271 | gene regulatory networks between these diverse vertebrates, it is possible to glean
272 | changes in the neural crest that have occurred as a function of evolutionary time.

273 | [Lending to our understanding of how novel programs evolve, recent single cell](#)
274 | [analyses throughout neural crest development in the mouse suggests a three-step](#)

275 fate selection mechanism where multipotent neural crest cells co-activate opposing
276 regulatory programs for different fates, followed by repulsion of one program and
277 commitment to a distinct fate⁸². From an evolutionary perspective, it is interesting
278 to speculate that mechanisms such as these are at play to give rise to novel
279 derivatives by co-option of fates from other cell lineages.

280 The gene regulatory network is not only useful for assessing regulatory changes
281 that have occurred in the vertebrate lineage, but also for uncovering the homology
282 of similar cell types in invertebrate chordates that provide clues regarding the
283 origins of the neural crest (Figure 2d). Recent work in invertebrate chordates based
284 on comparative gene regulatory network analyses suggest a more primitive origin
285 of the neural crest than previously assumed.

286 Comparative approaches at gene network dissection help to uncover the
287 foundations for evolutionary change via changes in linkages or subcircuits within
288 the gene network, which in turn can inform upon new morphological novelties.
289 Using information gathered from comparative regulatory analyses of GRNs, one can
290 infer whether different morphological characters may have convergently evolved by
291 parallel deployment of differentiation gene batteries. The more we learn about the
292 neural crest gene network, the more we understand how mechanistic changes in
293 the regulatory program have influenced the evolving vertebrate body plan and New
294 Head.

295

296 **Invertebrate neural crest-like cells**

297 Central to the understanding of vertebrate evolution is uncovering when *bona*
298 *fide* neural crest first appeared. Recent evidence from invertebrates suggests that
299 the neural crest evolved in a step-wise fashion throughout the evolution of

300 deuterostomes. Cell types that share neural crest features such as molecular
301 signatures, location of origin, and derivatives may represent a lineage that is
302 homologous to the neural crest, co-opted from other tissues and incorporated into
303 an evolving neural plate border population⁸³. While one cannot rule out that these
304 cell types arose by means of convergent evolution, evidence suggests that the cell
305 types and regulatory programs implemented in the formation of these cell types in
306 invertebrate chordates may represent an ancestral state. As a case in point, the
307 neural crest-like cell types that have been identified to date lack multipotency and
308 extensive, long-range migratory ability. Comparative gene regulatory studies have
309 now enabled the investigation into neural crest-like cell type evolution in
310 invertebrates by assessing the presence of regulatory programs in cell types that
311 don't necessarily have all distinguishing characteristics of the vertebrate neural
312 crest cells like multipotency or long-range migratory ability yet share some common
313 gene signatures. Future comparative gene regulatory studies aimed at uncovering
314 why invertebrate chordate neural crest-like cells lack multipotency programs is
315 important for understanding the origins of vertebrate neural crest.

316 In urochordates, cell types similar to pigment cells and neurons have been found
317 with gene regulatory similarities to neural crest and cranial placode cells (Box3) that
318 reflect a preliminary neural crest gene network (Figure 2d). This network consists of
319 homologues to *Id*, *Zic*, *Pax3/7*, *Mitf*, *Msx*, *Snai*, *Ets1*, and *FoxD* that are expressed in
320 cells that are located at edges of the lateral neural plate; however, the cells within
321 these expression domains neither migrate extensively nor retain multipotency
322 properties to give rise to a wide variety of derivatives (Figure 2d)^{4,35}. ~~These cell
323 types include migratory cells, derived from the A7.6 lineage, that escape the
324 developing neural tube in the ascidian *Ecteinascidia turbinata* to make pigment~~

325 ~~cells. Further, progenitors of the pigmented cells in the lineage of otolith and~~
326 ~~ocellus. Another population of pigmented sensory cells of the otolith and ocellus,~~
327 derived from the a9.49 cell lineage in the ascidian *Ciona intestinalis*, normally
328 remain in the central nervous system but have the ability to extensively migrate
329 upon misexpression of *Twist*⁴. Finally, a ~~neuron cell type that cell type, bipolar tail~~
330 ~~neurons (BTNs), that~~ originates from the b8.20 and b8.18 cell lineages, has been
331 found to arise in the posterior lateral plate border, then migrate away from the
332 central nervous system to give rise to neurons call bipolar tail neurons (BTNs) that
333 are similar to vertebrate sensory neurons of dorsal root ganglia. BTNs express
334 transcription factors *Neurog* and *Islet*, which are both required for vertebrate
335 sensory neuron differentiation, suggesting that these cells represent neural crest-
336 like cells or placode-like cells on both a morphological and molecular level³.

337 Beyond derivatives formed from neural crest-like cells, it was also recently
338 shown that there are parallels between the compartmentalization of the lateral
339 plate in *Ciona* and the neural plate in vertebrates. Both systems require similar
340 network interactions in order to drive the formation of different sub-domains across
341 the lateral organization of the neural plate, including *Six1/2*, *Pax3/7*, and *Msx*^{b4}. In
342 urochordates, this organized lateral plate will give rise to sensory cells that are
343 similar to both vertebrate cranial placode and neural crest derivatives. Furthermore,
344 relatively minor gene network perturbations lead to a fate switch of one sensory cell
345 type to another. These data suggest that cranial placodes and neural crest may
346 have arisen from a common precursor population and only after reorganization of
347 the lateral plate did the pre-placodal domain become distinct from the rest of the
348 neural plate border (Box 3) ⁸⁴.

349 In the cephalochordate amphioxus, homologues of neural plate border specifiers
350 *Msx*, *Zic*, and *Pax3/7* are expressed in cells that are found in the lateral edges of the
351 neural plate⁸⁵. Amphioxus also exhibits expression of *Snail* in an ependymal cell in
352 the neural tube, but this cell type is not migratory⁸⁶. However, while many of the
353 genes that are expressed in the neural crest in vertebrates are present in the
354 cephalochordate genome, none of the cells that express these genes are
355 multipotent, migrate, or differentiate into neural crest cell types^{87,88}. Still, it may be
356 possible that the amphioxus possesses cells with some homology to neural crest
357 cells, such as pigment cells of the ocellus, but this still requires further
358 investigation.

359 The New Head hypothesis states that neural crest and cranial placodes arose in
360 rudimentary form in urochordates, but new evidence suggests a more primitive
361 origin for neural crest-like cells in sea urchins, a basal deuterostome. Recently, it
362 was reported that a population of neurons in the sea urchin, *Lytechinus variegatus*,
363 share ~~homologous~~ similar features to BTN cells of urochordates, which share
364 features with neural crest cells⁸⁹. These ciliary band neurons arise at the border of
365 the neuroectoderm and non-neural ectoderm in the sea urchin larva, migrate from
366 bilateral sites of origin, express *ngn*, and differentiate into afferent sensory neurons
367 that are required for swimming behavior⁸⁹. One possible interpretation is that
368 appearance of the neural crest lineage was not so sudden but rather, a neural crest-
369 like condition was a continuous character that existed in multiple states and was
370 remodeled in a step-wise fashion over the course of deuterostome evolution. A
371 caveat, however, is that one cannot rule convergent evolution of some of these cell
372 types.

373 From the data in invertebrates thus far, we can elaborate on crucial points in the
374 New Head hypothesis involving origin of the neural crest and cranial placodes
375 (Figure 3). One could speculate that regulatory programs were progressively co-
376 opted from neighboring tissues by means of germ layer rearrangement and
377 compartmentalization of the neural plate. The vertebrate acquisition of a
378 multipotent state and more complex gene regulatory network modules resulted in a
379 neural crest that gives rise to more elaborate derivatives, including
380 ectomesenchymal cell types, by co-option of new differentiation gene batteries. It is
381 also important to note that homologies drawn from molecular similarities alone are
382 not conclusive but will need to be supplemented with more information on
383 morphological and behavioral similarities, as well as more expression and genome-
384 wide similarities.

385

386 **Neural crest cell types in vertebrates**

387 Comparisons between two major groups of living vertebrates, the jawed
388 (gnathostome) and the jawless (cyclostome) vertebrates, have shed light on the
389 origin of the vertebrate neural crest and the means by which it has evolved. By
390 comparing extant vertebrate organisms, it can be concluded that emergence of the
391 vertebrate lineage was accompanied by the introduction of neural crest cells that
392 acquired novel derivatives, multipotency, and extensive migratory ability.

393 By studying the neural crest in these two groups, shared, derived traits of the
394 early neural crest can be identified. Neural crest cell types that are shared among
395 vertebrates include neurons and glia of the peripheral nervous system, pigment
396 cells, cellular pharyngeal cartilage, cardiac valves, and chromaffin cells. However,
397 many of these cell types, including cranial derivatives such as jaws and

398 odontoblasts that produce dentin, a vagal-derived enteric nervous system, and
399 trunk derived sympathetic ganglia, are absent in cyclostomes^{34,90}. These most likely
400 arose in stem gnathostomes by modifications in gene regulatory network
401 architecture that gave rise to new morphological novelties. Emergence of these
402 novel gnathostome cell types also coincides with the refinement of neural crest
403 axial subpopulations and their unique developmental potentials (Box1).

404 Assembly of axial specific transcriptional circuits occurred progressively
405 throughout vertebrate evolution to give rise to distinct axial derivatives.
406 Skeletogenic potential is a derived feature, arising later in vertebrate evolution but
407 initially emerging along the entire anteroposterior axis⁸. Addition of cranial-specific
408 circuits resulted in progressive restriction of skeletogenic fate to the cranial
409 population in amniotes. In support of this, invertebrate chordate neural crest-like
410 cell types lack skeletogenic potential but possess the ability to form pigment cells
411 and neurons, traits common to all neural crest axial levels^{3,4}. These data suggest
412 that the New Head arose progressively by first acquiring skeletogenic potential at
413 all axial levels then becoming restricted to the cranial levels by addition of neural
414 crest gene network nodes.

415 While jaws are a clear gnathostome novelty, the origin of the vertebrate head
416 skeleton did not depend on the evolution of a new skeletal tissue, but rather on the
417 spread of this tissue throughout the head and modification of the anterior
418 pharyngeal arches⁹¹. While ectomesenchymal derivatives and gnathostome
419 novelties such as jaws have been restricted to the cranial neural crest,
420 odontoblasts, or cells that produce dentin, may have originated along the length of
421 the body axis before becoming restricted to cranial regions. While the trunk neural
422 crest is often regarded as non-skeletogenic in gnathostomes, it has recently been

423 shown to give rise to an ectomesenchymal cell type in the little skate, *Leucoraja*
424 *erinacea*⁹². Using Dil cell lineage tracing, Gillis and colleagues revealed that the
425 dermal denticles in the trunk region are derived from neural crest cells, thus
426 revealing a trunk origin for odontoblasts. A small circuit of transcription factors that
427 is sufficient to confer ectomesenchymal ability in the trunk of amniotes was recently
428 shown to be expressed along the length of the little skate anteroposterior axis,
429 lending support to the presence of ectomesenchymal potential in the skate trunk
430 neural crest cells⁸.

431 The enteric nervous system in gnathostomes arises from vagal and sacral neural
432 crest populations^{17,28}. Recent evidence from Green and colleagues (2017) shows
433 that lamprey lacks a vagal subpopulation of neural crest and only possesses cranial
434 and trunk neural crest populations; however, the sea lamprey has an enteric
435 nervous system. To determine the evolutionary origin of the vertebrate enteric
436 nervous system, they performed Dil lineage tracing and found that the enteric
437 neurons of the lamprey are derived from late migrating trunk neural crest-derived
438 Schwann cell precursors³⁴. Further gene regulatory analyses of neural crest
439 subpopulations across diverse species will augment understanding of the evolution
440 of the neural crest and the vertebrate body plan.

441

442 **Conclusions**

443 Vertebrates, which emerged during the Cambrian explosion more than 500
444 million years ago, are the most species-rich and geographically dispersed
445 deuterostomes in the world today. This can largely be attributed to the elaboration
446 of their head skeleton and sensory system which facilitated expansion of the brain
447 and active, efficient predation. This vertebrate New Head was enabled by the

448 advent of multipotent neural crest and cranial placode cells (Figure 1). Comparisons
449 between the embryonic development and gene regulatory networks of the two main
450 groups of living vertebrates, jawed vertebrates and their sister group, the jawless
451 vertebrates yield insights into the state of the neural crest in the last common
452 vertebrate ancestor.

453 With advances in evolutionary and developmental biology and the ability to
454 investigate questions in emerging research organisms, we can begin to dissect the
455 New Head at a deeper level. Furthermore, systems-level approaches enable
456 unraveling of gene regulatory networks and their evolutionary implications on
457 morphological novelties and the ancestral vertebrate state.

458 [Questions remain of how neural crest cells integrated into the invertebrate body](#)
459 [plan to form the “new head”. Interactions between the emerging neural crest,](#)
460 [mesoderm, and the developing CNS were crucial to the elaboration of the](#)
461 [craniofacial novelties found in vertebrates. Yet, what factors are responsible for this](#)
462 [integration has yet to be uncovered.](#) Recent evidence supporting the New Head
463 hypothesis infers that a rudimentary neural crest and cranial placodes arose from a
464 common population of cells lateral to the neural plate. With continued regulatory
465 modifications, germ layer rearrangements, and acquisition of the neural crest
466 specification gene regulatory network kernel, the neural crest evolved into a
467 multipotent and migratory population in stem vertebrates. [Further interrogation of](#)
468 [the role of peripheral nerves in dictating and guiding proto-neural crest cells to](#)
469 [novel destinations, including craniofacial features, as is seen in the development of](#)
470 [the lamprey enteric nervous system from Schwann cell precursors could be of](#)
471 [interest in understanding the incorporation of new cell types in the invertebrate](#)
472 [body plan.](#)

473 | Ancestral vertebrates possessed a neural crest that was multipotent, more
474 homogenous in molecular makeup along the anteroposterior axis, and capable of
475 producing ectomesenchymal cell fates. With continuing evolution and increasing
476 complexity, co-option of gene network circuits, gene duplications, and
477 neofunctionalization led to further elaboration of the core neural crest gene
478 regulatory network to give rise to a vast array of neural crest cell types resulting in
479 the vertebrate New Head and other gnathostome-specific structures such as an
480 outflow tract septum and vagal neural crest-derived enteric nervous system. As
481 new cell types appear to be added to the neural crest with continuing evolution, we
482 speculate that the neural crest will continue to elaborate and improve vertebrate
483 features to make an ever better head, heart, and gut.

484

485

486 **Acknowledgements:**

487 We would like to thank Dr. Jan Stundl for his comments and discussion on this
488 manuscript. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant
489 R35NS111564 to MEB. MLM was supported by a fellowship from the Helen Hay
490 Whitney Foundation and by NIH grant 1K99HD100587.

491

492 **Competing interests:**

493 The authors declare no competing interests.

494

495 **Figure legends:**

496 **Figure 1. Core elements of the New Head Hypothesis.** New Head hypothesis

497 proposed that the complexity and elaboration of the vertebrate head was a

498 consequence of the advent of the migratory cranial neural crest and cranial
499 placodes. These new cell types enabled assembly of the craniofacial skeleton and a
500 novel sensory system, which in turn allowed expansion of the anterior
501 neuroepithelium into the vertebrate brain. The morphological characters that arise
502 from the neural crest and cranial placodes also allowed for the transition from a
503 predominantly filter feeding lifestyle of invertebrate chordates to active predation of
504 vertebrates. During development, the cranial neural crest will emigrate from the
505 neural tube to populate the forming head (a). Distinct neural crest migratory
506 pathways are color coded to match the craniofacial skeleton derivatives they will
507 form in the adult (b) (adapted from Couly et al, 1998 and Santagati and Rijli,
508 2003)^{14,93}. Formation of the cranial placodes (c) is also a defining feature of the
509 vertebrate New Head (adapted from Depew and Olsson, 2008)⁹⁴.

510 | **Figure 2. Neural crest development and gene regulatory networks. (a.)**

511 Developmental milestones of neural crest formation include formation of the neural
512 plate border, specification of the neural crest, delamination from the central
513 nervous system, and migration to often distant locations to give rise to diverse cell
514 types (adapted from Martik and Bronner, 2017)². (b.) Along the anteriorposterior
515 body axis, the neural crest is broken into four main subpopulations: the cranial,
516 vagal, trunk, and sacral. Dotted line (a) represents location of the section depicted
517 in panel a. (c.) Depending on their final axial location, the neural crest will
518 differentiate into unique derivatives. (d.) Underlying the development of the neural
519 crest is a pan-neural crest gene regulatory network that is composed of
520 hierarchically organized modules of signaling molecules and transcription factors
521 that dictate each process. Regulatory information gleaned from neural crest-like

522 cells in tunicates have now enabled the investigation into neural crest-like cell type
523 evolution [\(adapted from Green, et al, 2015\)](#) ²⁶.

524 **Figure 3. Cladogram of [extant](#) deuterostome neural crest-related**
525 **characters and evolution.** Presented is a model for the evolution of neural crest
526 features throughout deuterostome evolution. Labels to the right indicate
527 monophyletic groupings. Highlighted character changes within a stem group are
528 listed by bullet points. [Animal illustrations adapted from Martik, et al 2019⁸ or](#)
529 [Biorender.com.](#)

530 **Boxes:**

531 **1. Axial regionalization of the neural crest**

532 Neural crest cells arise within the forming neural tube [from the level of the](#)
533 [posterior diencephalon along the length of the body axisto the lumbosacral region](#)
534 [of the developing embryo](#). However, there are regional differences in migratory
535 pathways and cell types into which they differentiate depending on their axial level
536 of origin. Based largely on interspecific grafting experiments performed in bird
537 embryos [\(rev Le Douarin, 1982\)](#),⁴ the neural crest can be subdivided into
538 populations termed cranial, vagal, trunk and lumbosacral⁹⁵. Cranial neural crest
539 arises at the level of the forebrain to hindbrain adjacent to the forming ear; these
540 cells form much of the craniofacial skeleton and also contribute to glia and some
541 neurons of cranial ganglia. More caudally, vagal neural crest cells arise from mid-
542 otic to somite 7 levels of the neural tube; these cells migrate to the heart, forming
543 the aorticopulmonary and interventricular septa and cardiomyocytes, and to the gut
544 to form the enteric nervous system (ENS). Trunk neural crest cells arise adjacent to
545 somites 8-28 and form sympathetic and dorsal root ganglia. Lumbosacral neural

546 crest cells arise in the tailbud region; like vagal cells, they migrate to the gut,
547 contributing to the most caudal portions of ENS. All subpopulations generate
548 melanocytes of the skin. While neural crest regionalization is largely conserved
549 across gnathostomes, there are differences in the precise position of “borders”
550 between adjacent subpopulations depending upon species ^{96,97}.

551 Neural crest subpopulations differ in their developmental potential as shown by
552 grafting to ectopic sites. For example, avian trunk neural folds transplanted into
553 cranial regions appear to lack the ability to form craniofacial cartilage. In the
554 reciprocal experiment, cranial crest grafted to the trunk formed some normal trunk
555 derivatives like sensory and sympathetic ganglia but also differentiate into ectopic
556 cartilage nodules. Similarly, vagal neural crest cells grafted to the trunk form
557 normal trunk derivatives but also invade the gut to form enteric ganglia, something
558 trunk neural crest cannot do. Thus, there appear to be intrinsic differences in the
559 ability of neural crest cells from different axial levels both in terms of their
560 migratory response to the environment and ability to differentiate into certain cell
561 types ~~(rev Le Douarin, 1982)~~ ⁹⁵.

562

563 **2. Hox regulation of neural crest patterning**

564 Hox genes are expressed in the developing central nervous system (CNS),
565 beginning in the hindbrain and continuing down the spinal cord, in a rostrocaudal
566 order that mirrors their order along the chromosome. As neural crest cells migrate
567 away from the hindbrain, they express the same Hox gene code as the neural tube
568 site of origin, which is then observed in the peripheral nervous system and branchial
569 arches into which they migrate. This led to the idea that Hox gene identity of the
570 neural crest may be pre-patterned, such that they “carry” positional information

571 acquired in the hindbrain to the periphery. This would also suggest an important
572 role for the Hox gene code in the formation of distinct axial subpopulations of the
573 neural crest. Hunt and colleagues tested this possibility by ablating the hindbrain
574 neural crest and found that the branchial arches still maintained autonomous Hox
575 gene expression in the absence of the neural crest⁹⁸ (Hunt et al., 1995). Moreover,
576 neural crest cells that migrated from a Hox-expressing region of the hindbrain were
577 found to turn off their Hox expression if migrating into a Hox-negative region, thus
578 exhibiting plasticity in Hox gene expression depending upon their environmental
579 context⁹⁹. Interestingly, FGF8 signaling from the midbrain/hindbrain (isthmus)
580 region controls *Hoxa2* expression, which in turn acts as a selector gene governing
581 formation of second branchial arch structures¹⁰⁰.

582 Absence of Hox gene expression in the midbrain is also critical for proper facial
583 formation. Creuzet, LeDouarin and colleagues (2005) showed that the Hox-negative
584 anterior neural crest which gives rise to first branchial arch structures like the jaws
585 plays a critical role in formation of the facial skeleton and brain. Forced expression
586 of Hox genes (*Hoxa2*, *Hoxa3*, and *Hoxb4*) in anterior neural fold inhibits facial
587 skeleton development as does ablation of the anterior neural folds, which reduces
588 FGF8. Furthermore, Hox-positive neural folds cannot replace ablated Hox-negative
589 neural folds. Anterior neural fold ablation reduces *Fgf8* expression in the ventral
590 forebrain and ectoderm of the first branchial arch¹⁰¹. These experiments emphasize
591 the importance of signaling centers in controlling gene expression and the necessity
592 of keeping off the caudalizing influence of Hox gene expression to maintain anterior
593 cranial identity.

594

595 **3. Cranial placode evolution**

596 Cranial ectodermal placodes arise in the head ectoderm as thickenings in the
597 future epidermis of early vertebrate embryos¹⁰². These placode cells then become
598 internalized by ingression or invagination and differentiate to form sensory
599 structures like the inner ear, nose, and lens as well as the neurons of cranial
600 sensory ganglia (Figure 1). Like neural crest cells, ectodermal placodes are one of
601 the defining features of vertebrates, raising questions about how they may have
602 evolved. Only vertebrates, including basal jawless vertebrates, have ectodermal
603 placodes. However, non-vertebrate chordates have been shown to possess some
604 cells with placode-like qualities which may be rudiments of cranial placodes. For
605 example, Abitua and colleagues (2015) presented evidence that the neural plate
606 border of ascidian embryos gives rise to placode-like structures, producing ciliated
607 primary sensory cells¹⁰³. This neural plate border region expresses homologs of
608 many of vertebrate genes associated with the placode lineage including *Six1/2*,
609 *Foxg* and *Eya* in a domain that resembles that of vertebrates and is referred to as a
610 'preplacodal-like' domain ¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵. Interestingly, ascidian bipolar tail neurons, which
611 arise from the neural plate border, can be transformed into the placode-like palp
612 sensory cells⁸⁴. Taken together, these data support the idea that placode cells may
613 have evolved from the border between the neural and non-neural ectoderm and
614 may share a common precursor with neural crest cells.

615

616 **References:**

- 617 1. Gans, C. & Northcutt, R. G. Neural crest and the origin of vertebrates: a new
618 head. *Science* **220**, 268–273 (1983).
- 619 2. Martik, M. L. & Bronner, M. E. Regulatory Logic Underlying Diversification of
620 the Neural Crest. *Trends Genet.* **33**, 715–727 (2017).

- 621 3. Stolfi, A., Ryan, K., Meinertzhagen, I. A. & Christiaen, L. Migratory neuronal
622 progenitors arise from the neural plate borders in tunicates. *Nature* **527**,
623 371–374 (2015).
- 624 4. Abitua, P. B., Wagner, E., Navarrete, I. A. & Levine, M. Identification of a
625 rudimentary neural crest in a non-vertebrate chordate. *Nature* **492**, 104–107
626 (2012).
- 627 5. Abitua, P. B. *et al.* The pre-vertebrate origins of neurogenic placodes. *Nature*
628 **524**, 462–465 (2015).
- 629 6. Jeffery, W. R., Strickler, A. G. & Yamamoto, Y. Migratory neural crest-like cells
630 form body pigmentation in a urochordate embryo. *Nature* **431**, 696–699
631 (2004).
- 632 7. Glenn Northcutt, R. The new head hypothesis revisited. *J. Exp. Zool. B Mol.*
633 *Dev. Evol.* **304B**, 274–297 (2005).
- 634 8. Martik, M. L. *et al.* Evolution of the new head by gradual acquisition of neural
635 crest regulatory circuits. *Nature* **574**, 675–678 (2019).
- 636 9. Hockman, D. *et al.* A genome-wide assessment of the ancestral neural crest
637 gene regulatory network. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4689 (2019).
- 638 10. Williams, R. M. *et al.* Reconstruction of the Global Neural Crest Gene
639 Regulatory Network In Vivo. *Dev. Cell* **51**, 255–276.e7 (2019).
- 640 11. Bronner-Fraser, M. On the trail of the ‘new head’ in Les Treilles.
641 *Development* **135**, 2995–2999 (2008).
- 642 12. LaMantia, A.-S. Why Does the Face Predict the Brain? Neural Crest Induction,
643 Craniofacial Morphogenesis, and Neural Circuit Development. *Front Physiol*
644 **11**, 610970 (2020).

- 645 13. Rothstein, M., Bhattacharya, D. & Simoes-Costa, M. The molecular basis of
646 neural crest axial identity. *Dev. Biol.* **444 Suppl 1**, S170-S180 (2018).
- 647 14. Couly, G., Grapin-Botton, A., Coltey, P., Ruhin, B. & Le Douarin, N. M.
648 Determination of the identity of the derivatives of the cephalic neural crest:
649 incompatibility between Hox gene expression and lower jaw development.
650 *Development* **125**, 3445-3459 (1998).
- 651 15. Le Lievre, C. S., Schweizer, G. G., Ziller, C. M. & Le Douarin, N. M.
652 Restrictions of developmental capabilities in neural crest cell derivatives as
653 tested by in vivo transplantation experiments. *Dev. Biol.* **77**, 362-378
654 (1980).
- 655 16. Le Lievre, C. S. & Le Douarin, N. M. Mesenchymal derivatives of the neural
656 crest: analysis of chimaeric quail and chick embryos. *Development* **34**, 125-
657 154 (1975).
- 658 17. Le Douarin, N. M. & Teillet, M. A. The migration of neural crest cells to the
659 wall of the digestive tract in avian embryo. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* **30**, 31-48
660 (1973).
- 661 18. Waldo, K. *et al.* A novel role for cardiac neural crest in heart development. *J.*
662 *Clin. Invest.* **103**, 1499-1507 (1999).
- 663 19. Tang, W., Martik, M. L., Li, Y. & Bronner, M. E. Cardiac neural crest
664 contributes to cardiomyocytes in amniotes and heart regeneration in
665 zebrafish. *Elife* **8**, 332 (2019).
- 666 20. Creazzo, T. L., Godt, R. E., Leatherbury, L., Conway, S. J. & Kirby, M. L. Role of
667 cardiac neural crest cells in cardiovascular development. *Annu Rev Physiol*
668 **60**, 267-286 (1998).

- 669 21. Le Douarin, N. M. & Smith, J. Development of the peripheral nervous system
670 from the neural crest. *Annu Rev Cell Biol* **4**, 375–404 (1988).
- 671 22. Espinosa-Medina, I. *et al.* The sacral autonomic outflow is sympathetic.
672 *Science* **354**, 893–897 (2016).
- 673 23. Sauka-Spengler, T. & Bronner-Fraser, M. A gene regulatory network
674 orchestrates neural crest formation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 557–568
675 (2008).
- 676 24. Simoes-Costa, M. & Bronner, M. E. Establishing neural crest identity: a gene
677 regulatory recipe. *Development* **142**, 242–257 (2015).
- 678 25. Simoes-Costa, M. & Bronner, M. E. Reprogramming of avian neural crest
679 axial identity and cell fate. *Science* **352**, 1570–1573 (2016).
- 680 26. Green, S. A., Simoes-Costa, M. & Bronner, M. E. Evolution of vertebrates as
681 viewed from the crest. *Nature* **520**, 474–482 (2015).
- 682 27. Furlan, A. & Adameyko, I. Schwann cell precursor: a neural crest cell in
683 disguise? *Dev. Biol.* (2018). doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.02.008
- 684 28. Espinosa-Medina, I. *et al.* Dual origin of enteric neurons in vagal Schwann
685 cell precursors and the sympathetic neural crest. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*
686 **114**, 11980–11985 (2017).
- 687 29. Adameyko, I. *et al.* Schwann cell precursors from nerve innervation are a
688 cellular origin of melanocytes in skin. *Cell* **139**, 366–379 (2009).
- 689 30. Kastriti, M. E. *et al.* Schwann Cell Precursors Generate the Majority of
690 Chromaffin Cells in Zuckerkandl Organ and Some Sympathetic Neurons in
691 Paraganglia. *Front Mol Neurosci* **12**, 6 (2019).

- 692 31. Adameyko, I. *et al.* Sox2 and Mitf cross-regulatory interactions consolidate
693 progenitor and melanocyte lineages in the cranial neural crest. *Development*
694 **139**, 397–410 (2012).
- 695 32. Johnston, A. P. W. *et al.* Dedifferentiated Schwann Cell Precursors Secreting
696 Paracrine Factors Are Required for Regeneration of the Mammalian Digit Tip.
697 *Cell Stem Cell* **19**, 433–448 (2016).
- 698 33. Johnston, A. P. W. *et al.* Sox2-mediated regulation of adult neural crest
699 precursors and skin repair. *Stem Cell Reports* **1**, 38–45 (2013).
- 700 34. Green, S. A., Uy, B. R. & Bronner, M. E. Ancient evolutionary origin of
701 vertebrate enteric neurons from trunk-derived neural crest. *Nature* **544**, 88–
702 91 (2017).
- 703 35. Jeffery, W. R. *et al.* Trunk lateral cells are neural crest-like cells in the
704 ascidian *Ciona intestinalis*: insights into the ancestry and evolution of the
705 neural crest. *Dev. Biol.* **324**, 152–160 (2008).
- 706 36. Di Zhao, Chen, S. & Liu, X. Lateral neural borders as precursors of peripheral
707 nervous systems: A comparative view across bilaterians. *Dev. Growth Differ.*
708 **61**, 58–72 (2019).
- 709 37. Peter, I. S. & Davidson, E. H. Evolution of gene regulatory networks
710 controlling body plan development. *Cell* **144**, 970–985 (2011).
- 711 38. Davidson, E. H. & Erwin, D. H. Gene regulatory networks and the evolution of
712 animal body plans. *Science* **311**, 796–800 (2006).
- 713 39. Davidson, E. H. *The Regulatory Genome: Gene Regulatory Networks in*
714 *Development and Evolution*. (Elsevier, Academic Press, 2006).
- 715 40. Davidson, E. H. Emerging properties of animal gene regulatory networks.
716 *Nature* **468**, 911–920 (2010).

- 717 41. Sauka-Spengler, T. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Insights from a sea lamprey into the
718 evolution of neural crest gene regulatory network. *Biol. Bull.* **214**, 303–314
719 (2008).
- 720 42. Hackland, J. O. S. *et al.* FGF Modulates the Axial Identity of Trunk hPSC-
721 Derived Neural Crest but Not the Cranial-Trunk Decision. *Stem Cell Reports*
722 **12**, 920–933 (2019).
- 723 43. Gomez, G. A. *et al.* WNT/ β -catenin modulates the axial identity of embryonic
724 stem cell-derived human neural crest. *Development* **146**, dev175604 (2019).
- 725 44. Groves, A. K. & LaBonne, C. Setting appropriate boundaries: fate, patterning
726 and competence at the neural plate border. *Dev. Biol.* **389**, 2–12 (2014).
- 727 45. Stuhlmiller, T. J. & García-Castro, M. I. FGF/MAPK signaling is required in the
728 gastrula epiblast for avian neural crest induction. *Development* **139**, 289–
729 300 (2012).
- 730 46. García-Castro, M. I., Marcelle, C. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Ectodermal Wnt
731 function as a neural crest inducer. *Science* **297**, 848–851 (2002).
- 732 47. Monsoro-Burq, A. H., Wang, E. & Harland, R. Msx1 and Pax3 cooperate to
733 mediate FGF8 and WNT signals during *Xenopus* neural crest induction. *Dev.*
734 *Cell* **8**, 167–178 (2005).
- 735 48. Khudyakov, J. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Comprehensive spatiotemporal analysis
736 of early chick neural crest network genes. *Developmental Dynamics* **238**,
737 716–723 (2009).
- 738 49. Stuhlmiller, T. J. & García-Castro, M. I. Current perspectives of the signaling
739 pathways directing neural crest induction. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **69**, 3715–3737
740 (2012).

- 741 50. Nichane, M., Ren, X., Souopgui, J. & Bellefroid, E. J. Hairy2 functions through
742 both DNA-binding and non DNA-binding mechanisms at the neural plate
743 border in *Xenopus*. *Dev. Biol.* **322**, 368–380 (2008).
- 744 51. Basch, M. L., Bronner-Fraser, M. & García-Castro, M. I. Specification of the
745 neural crest occurs during gastrulation and requires Pax7. *Nature* **441**, 218–
746 222 (2006).
- 747 52. Garnett, A. T., Square, T. A. & Medeiros, D. M. BMP, Wnt and FGF signals are
748 integrated through evolutionarily conserved enhancers to achieve robust
749 expression of Pax3 and Zic genes at the zebrafish neural plate border.
750 *Development* **139**, 4220–4231 (2012).
- 751 53. Milet, C., Maczkowiak, F., Roche, D. D. & Monsoro-Burq, A. H. Pax3 and Zic1
752 drive induction and differentiation of multipotent, migratory, and functional
753 neural crest in *Xenopus* embryos. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 5528–
754 5533 (2013).
- 755 54. Milet, C. & Monsoro-Burq, A. H. Neural crest induction at the neural plate
756 border in vertebrates. *Dev. Biol.* **366**, 22–33 (2012).
- 757 55. Plouhinec, J.-L. *et al.* Pax3 and Zic1 trigger the early neural crest gene
758 regulatory network by the direct activation of multiple key neural crest
759 specifiers. *Dev. Biol.* **386**, 461–472 (2014).
- 760 56. de Crozé, N., Maczkowiak, F. & Monsoro-Burq, A. H. Reiterative AP2a activity
761 controls sequential steps in the neural crest gene regulatory network. *Proc.*
762 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 155–160 (2011).
- 763 57. Cano, A. *et al.* The transcription factor snail controls epithelial-mesenchymal
764 transitions by repressing E-cadherin expression. *Nat Cell Biol* **2**, 76–83
765 (2000).

- 766 58. Ferronha, T. *et al.* LMO4 is an essential cofactor in the Snail2-mediated
767 epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of neuroblastoma and neural crest
768 cells. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 2773–2783 (2013).
- 769 59. Strobl-Mazzulla, P. H. & Bronner, M. E. A PHD12-Snail2 repressive complex
770 epigenetically mediates neural crest epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *J.*
771 *Cell Biol.* **198**, 999–1010 (2012).
- 772 60. Rogers, C. D., Saxena, A. & Bronner, M. E. Sip1 mediates an E-cadherin-to-N-
773 cadherin switch during cranial neural crest EMT. *J. Cell Biol.* **203**, 835–847
774 (2013).
- 775 61. Cheung, M. & Briscoe, J. Neural crest development is regulated by the
776 transcription factor Sox9. *Development* **130**, 5681–5693 (2003).
- 777 62. Coles, E. G., Taneyhill, L. A. & Bronner-Fraser, M. A critical role for
778 Cadherin6B in regulating avian neural crest emigration. *Dev. Biol.* **312**, 533–
779 544 (2007).
- 780 63. Simoes-Costa, M., Tan-Cabugao, J., Antoshechkin, I., Sauka-Spengler, T. &
781 Bronner, M. E. Transcriptome analysis reveals novel players in the cranial
782 neural crest gene regulatory network. *Genome Res.* **24**, 281–290 (2014).
- 783 64. Morrison, J. A. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptome analysis of avian neural crest
784 migration reveals signatures of invasion and molecular transitions. *Elife* **6**,
785 e28415 (2017).
- 786 65. Szabó, A. & Mayor, R. Mechanisms of Neural Crest Migration. *Annu. Rev.*
787 *Genet.* **52**, 43–63 (2018).
- 788 66. Rocha, M. *et al.* From head to tail: regionalization of the neural crest.
789 *Development* **147**, dev193888 (2020).

- 790 67. Kirby, M. L., Turnage, K. L. & Hays, B. M. Characterization of conotruncal
791 malformations following ablation of 'cardiac' neural crest. *Anat. Rec.* **213**,
792 87-93 (1985).
- 793 68. Gandhi, S., Ezin, M. & Bronner, M. E. Reprogramming Axial Level Identity to
794 Rescue Neural-Crest-Related Congenital Heart Defects. *Dev. Cell* **53**, 300-
795 315.e4 (2020).
- 796 69. Parker, H. J. & Krumlauf, R. A Hox gene regulatory network for hindbrain
797 segmentation. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **139**, 169-203 (2020).
- 798 70. Sauka-Spengler, T., Meulemans, D., Jones, M. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Ancient
799 evolutionary origin of the neural crest gene regulatory network. *Dev. Cell* **13**,
800 405-420 (2007).
- 801 71. Stock, D. W. & Whitt, G. S. Evidence from 18S ribosomal RNA sequences that
802 lampreys and hagfishes form a natural group. *Science* **257**, 787-789 (1992).
- 803 72. Delarbre, C., Gallut, C., Barriol, V., Janvier, P. & Gachelin, G. Complete
804 mitochondrial DNA of the hagfish, *Eptatretus burgeri*: the comparative
805 analysis of mitochondrial DNA sequences strongly supports the cyclostome
806 monophyly. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* **22**, 184-192 (2002).
- 807 73. Heimberg, A. M., Cowper-Sal-lari, R., Sémon, M., Donoghue, P. C. J. &
808 Peterson, K. J. microRNAs reveal the interrelationships of hagfish, lampreys,
809 and gnathostomes and the nature of the ancestral vertebrate. *Proc. Natl.*
810 *Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 19379-19383 (2010).
- 811 74. Ota, K. G., Kuraku, S. & Kuratani, S. Hagfish embryology with reference to
812 the evolution of the neural crest. *Nature* **446**, 672-675 (2007).

- 813 75. Shimeld, S. M. & Donoghue, P. C. J. Evolutionary crossroads in developmental
814 biology: cyclostomes (lamprey and hagfish). *Development* **139**, 2091–2099
815 (2012).
- 816 76. York, J. R., Yuan, T. & McCauley, D. W. Evolutionary and Developmental
817 Associations of Neural Crest and Placodes in the Vertebrate Head: Insights
818 From Jawless Vertebrates. *Front Physiol* **11**, 986 (2020).
- 819 77. Green, S. A. & Bronner, M. E. The lamprey: a jawless vertebrate model
820 system for examining origin of the neural crest and other vertebrate traits.
821 *Differentiation* **87**, 44–51 (2014).
- 822 78. Scerbo, P. & Monsoro-Burq, A. H. The vertebrate-specific VENTX/NANOG
823 gene empowers neural crest with ectomesenchyme potential. *Sci Adv* **6**,
824 eaaz1469 (2020).
- 825 79. Square, T., Jandzik, D., Cattell, M., Hansen, A. & Medeiros, D. M. Embryonic
826 expression of endothelins and their receptors in lamprey and frog reveals
827 stem vertebrate origins of complex Endothelin signaling. *Sci Rep* **6**, 34282
828 (2016).
- 829 80. Square, T. A. *et al.* Evolution of the endothelin pathway drove neural crest
830 cell diversification. *Nature* **7**, 291–6 (2020).
- 831 81. Lukoseviciute, M. *et al.* From Pioneer to Repressor: Bimodal foxd3 Activity
832 Dynamically Remodels Neural Crest Regulatory Landscape In Vivo. *Dev. Cell*
833 **47**, 608–628.e6 (2018).
- 834 82. Soldatov, R. *et al.* Spatiotemporal structure of cell fate decisions in murine
835 neural crest. *Science* **364**, eaas9536 (2019).

- 836 83. Stundl, J., Bertucci, P. Y., Lauri, A., Arendt, D. & Bronner, M. E. Evolution of
837 new cell types at the lateral neural border. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **141**, 173-
838 205 (2021).
- 839 84. Horie, R. *et al.* Shared evolutionary origin of vertebrate neural crest and
840 cranial placodes. *Nature* **560**, 228-232 (2018).
- 841 85. Ivashkin, E. & Adameyko, I. Progenitors of the protochordate ocellus as an
842 evolutionary origin of the neural crest. *Evodevo* **4**, 12-15 (2013).
- 843 86. Langeland, J. A., Tomsa, J. M., Jackman, W. R. & Kimmel, C. B. An amphioxus
844 snail gene: expression in paraxial mesoderm and neural plate suggests a
845 conserved role in patterning the chordate embryo. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **208**,
846 569-577 (1998).
- 847 87. Meulemans, D. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Amphioxus and lamprey AP-2 genes:
848 implications for neural crest evolution and migration patterns. *Development*
849 **129**, 4953-4962 (2002).
- 850 88. Yu, J.-K., Meulemans, D., McKeown, S. J. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Insights from
851 the amphioxus genome on the origin of vertebrate neural crest. *Genome*
852 *Res.* **18**, 1127-1132 (2008).
- 853 89. Slota, L. A., Miranda, E., Peskin, B. & McClay, D. R. Developmental origin of
854 peripheral ciliary band neurons in the sea urchin embryo. *Dev. Biol.* (2019).
855 doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.12.011
- 856 90. Häming, D. *et al.* Expression of Sympathetic Nervous System Genes in
857 Lamprey Suggests Their Recruitment for Specification of a New Vertebrate
858 Feature. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e26543 (2011).
- 859 91. Jandzik, D. *et al.* Evolution of the new vertebrate head by co-option of an
860 ancient chordate skeletal tissue. *Nature* **518**, 534-537 (2015).

- 861 92. Gillis, J. A., Alsema, E. C. & Criswell, K. E. Trunk neural crest origin of dermal
862 denticles in a cartilaginous fish. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, 13200-
863 13205 (2017).
- 864 93. Santagati, F. & Rijli, F. M. Cranial neural crest and the building of the
865 vertebrate head. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **4**, 806-818 (2003).
- 866 94. Depew, M. J. & Olsson, L. Symposium on the evolution and development of
867 the vertebrate head. *J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol.* **310**, 287-293 (2008).
- 868 95. Le Douarin, N. *The Neural Crest*. (Cambridge University Press, 1982).
- 869 96. Li, Y.-X. *et al.* Cardiac neural crest in zebrafish embryos contributes to
870 myocardial cell lineage and early heart function. *Dev. Dyn.* **226**, 540-550
871 (2003).
- 872 97. Sato, M. & Yost, H. J. Cardiac neural crest contributes to cardiomyogenesis in
873 zebrafish. *Dev. Biol.* **257**, 127-139 (2003).
- 874 98. Hunt, P., Ferretti, P., Krumlauf, R. & Thorogood, P. Restoration of normal Hox
875 code and branchial arch morphogenesis after extensive deletion of hindbrain
876 neural crest. *Dev. Biol.* **168**, 584-597 (1995).
- 877 99. Saldivar, J. R., Sechrist, J. W., Krull, C. E., Ruffins, S. & Bronner-Fraser, M.
878 Dorsal hindbrain ablation results in rerouting of neural crest migration and
879 changes in gene expression, but normal hyoid development. *Development*
880 **124**, 2729-2739 (1997).
- 881 100. Trainor, P. A., Ariza-McNaughton, L. & Krumlauf, R. Role of the isthmus and
882 FGFs in resolving the paradox of neural crest plasticity and prepatterning.
883 *Science* **295**, 1288-1291 (2002).

- 884 101. Creuzet, S., Couly, G., Vincent, C. & Le Douarin, N. M. Negative effect of Hox
885 gene expression on the development of the neural crest-derived facial
886 skeleton. *Development* **129**, 4301–4313 (2002).
- 887 102. D'Amico-Martel, A. & Noden, D. M. Contributions of placodal and neural crest
888 cells to avian cranial peripheral ganglia. *Am. J. Anat.* **166**, 445–468 (1983).
- 889 103. Abitua, P. B. *et al.* The pre-vertebrate origins of neurogenic placodes. *Nature*
890 **524**, 462–465 (2015).
- 891 104. Liu, B. & Satou, Y. Foxg specifies sensory neurons in the anterior neural plate
892 border of the ascidian embryo. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4911 (2019).
- 893 105. Cao, C. *et al.* Comprehensive single-cell transcriptome lineages of a proto-
894 vertebrate. *Nature* **571**, 349–354 (2019).
- 895