Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

An ASKAP Search for a Radio Counterpart to the First High-significance Neutron Star–Black Hole Merger LIGO/Virgo S190814bv

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7k55k6k9>

Journal The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 887(1)

ISSN 2041-8205

Authors

Dobie, Dougal Stewart, Adam Murphy, Tara [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7k55k6k9#author)

Publication Date 2019-12-10

DOI

10.3847/2041-8213/ab59db

Peer reviewed

An ASKAP search for a radio counterpart to the first high-significance neutron star-black hole merger LIGO/Virgo S190814bv

DOUGAL DOBIE \bigoplus 1, 2, 3 ADAM STEWART \bigoplus ¹ [Tara Murphy](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2686-438X) \bigoplus 1, 3 [Emil Lenc](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9994-1593) \bigoplus 2 [Ziteng Wang](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2066-9823) \bigoplus 1, 2

[David L. Kaplan](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6295-2881) \mathbb{D}^4 [Igor Andreoni](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8977-1498) \mathbb{D}^5 [Julie Banfield](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4417-5374) \mathbb{D}^2 [Ian Brown](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1095-8194) \mathbb{D}^4 [Alessandra Corsi](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8104-3536) \mathbb{D}^6

KISHALAY DE \mathbb{D} , ⁵ [Daniel A. Goldstein](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3461-8661) $\mathbb{D}^{5,*}$ [Gregg Hallinan](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7083-4049) \mathbb{D}^{7} Aidan Hotan,⁸ [Kenta Hotokezaka](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2502-3730) $\mathbb{D}^{9,10}$

[Amruta D. Jaodand](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3850-6651) ^{® 5} Viraj Karambelkar.⁵ [Mansi M. Kasliwal](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5619-4938) ^{® 5} David McConnell.² [Kunal Mooley](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2557-5180) [®].⁷

VANESSA A. MOSS $\mathbb{D}^{2,1}$ [Jeffrey A. Newman](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8684-2222) $\mathbb{D}^{11,12}$ [Daniel A. Perley](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8472-1996) \mathbb{D}^{13} [Abhishek Prakash](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4451-4444) \mathbb{D}^{14}

JOSHUA PRITCHARD \mathbb{D} ¹ ELAINE M. SADLER $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ YASHVI SHARMA,⁵ CHARLOTTE WARD,¹⁵ MATTHEW WHITING \mathbb{D}^2 and RONGPU ZHOU \mathbb{D}^{16}

¹Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia.

²ATNF, CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, PO Box 76, Epping, New South Wales 1710, Australia

 3 ARC Centre of Excellence for Gravitational Wave Discovery (OzGrav), Hawthorn, Victoria, Australia

⁴Center for Gravitation, Cosmology, and Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, P.O. Box 413, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA

⁵Division of Physics, Mathematics, and Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas Tech University, Box 1051, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA

⁷Cahill Center for Astronomy & Astrophysics, Caltech, Pasadena CA, USA

⁸ATNF, CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, PO Box 1130, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia

⁹Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

¹⁰Research Center for the Early Universe, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

¹¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, 3941 OHara Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

¹²Pittsburgh Particle Physics, Astrophysics, and Cosmology Center (PITT PACC), Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

 13 Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University,

IC2, Liverpool Science Park, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L3 5RF, UK

¹⁴IPAC, California Institute of Technology 1200 E California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

¹⁵ Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

¹⁶ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

(Received; Revised; Accepted)

Submitted to ApJL

ABSTRACT

We present results from a search for a radio transient associated with the LIGO/Virgo source S190814bv, a likely neutron star-black hole (NSBH) merger, with the Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder. We imaged a 30 deg² field at $\Delta T = 2$, 9 and 33 days post-merger at a frequency of 944 MHz, comparing them to reference images from the Rapid ASKAP Continuum Survey observed 110 days prior to the event. Each epoch of our observations covers 89% of the LIGO/Virgo localisation region. We conducted an untargeted search for radio transients in this field, resulting in 21 candidates. For one of these, AT2019osy, we performed multi-wavelength follow-up and ultimately ruled out the association with S190814bv. All other candidates are likely unrelated variables, but we cannot conclusively rule them out. We discuss our results in the context of model predictions for radio emission from neutron star-black hole mergers and place constrains on the circum-merger density and inclination angle of the merger. This survey is simultaneously the first large-scale radio follow-up of an NSBH merger, and the most sensitive widefield radio transients search to-date.

Corresponding author: Dougal Dobie [ddob1600@uni.sydney.edu.au, tara.murphy@sydney.edu.au](mailto: ddob1600@uni.sydney.edu.au, tara.murphy@sydney.edu.au) Keywords: gravitational waves $-$ stars: neutron $-$ radio continuum: stars

1. INTRODUCTION

On 14 August 2019 the LIGO and Virgo collaborations detected the compact binary merger $S190814$ $S190814$ $S190814$ bv¹ with the LIGO Livingston (L1), LIGO Hanford (H1) and Virgo (V1) gravitational wave detectors [\(LIGO](#page-9-0) [Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration et al.](#page-9-0) [2019a\)](#page-9-0). The event was classified as a neutron star–black hole (NSBH) merger, where the lighter component has a mass $< 3 M_{\odot}$, and the heavier component has a mass $> 5 M_{\odot}$, [\(LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Col](#page-9-1)[laboration et al.](#page-9-1) [2019b\)](#page-9-1). The accuracy of this classification is dependent on the physical upper-limit for neutron star mass which is not well constrained, but may be less than the above definition [\(Zhang et al.](#page-10-0) [2019;](#page-10-0) [Cromartie et al.](#page-9-2) [2019\)](#page-9-2). The probability of there being matter outside the remnant object is $\langle 1\% \rangle$ [\(LIGO](#page-9-0)) [Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration et al.](#page-9-0) [2019a\)](#page-9-0), therefore the expected nature of any electromagnetic radiation from the merger (if any) is unclear.

The preferred skymap (LALInference.v1.fits.gz) has a 90% localisation region of 23 deg^2 and a skyaveraged distance estimate of 267 ± 52 Mpc. High-energy observations [\(Molkov et al.](#page-9-3) [2019;](#page-9-3) [Kocevski et al.](#page-9-4) [2019;](#page-9-4) [Pilia et al.](#page-9-5) [2019;](#page-9-5) [Sugizaki et al.](#page-10-1) [2019;](#page-10-1) [Palmer et al.](#page-9-6) [2019\)](#page-9-6) find no evidence for a coincident short gamma-ray burst (GRB). Optical observations found numerous candidate counterparts that have since been ruled out with further photometric and spectroscopic observations (Andreoni et al. in prep.).

While the low probability of remnant matter [\(LIGO](#page-9-1) [Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration et al.](#page-9-1) [2019b\)](#page-9-1) may suggest that the merger produced no electromagnetic counterpart, the lack of optical counterparts may also be explained by intrinsic factors such as inclination angle, mass ratio, remnant lifetime or a lack of polar ejecta [\(Kasen et al.](#page-9-7) [2017\)](#page-9-7), or extrinsic factors like dust-obscuration. In this case, radio emission may be the only way to localise this event.

We performed follow-up of S190814bv with the Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder (ASKAP; [Johnston et al.](#page-9-8) [2008\)](#page-9-8). In Section [3](#page-2-2) we discuss our untargeted radio transients search. In Section [4](#page-4-0) we summarise multi-wavelength follow-up of candidate counterpart AT2019osy that was initially detected in this search.

∗ Hubble Fellow

2. OBSERVATIONS & DATA REDUCTION

We observed a target field centred on (J2000) coordinates $\alpha = 00^{\text{h}}50^{\text{m}}37^{\text{s}}5$, $\delta = -25^{\circ}16'57^{\text{s}}37$ at $\Delta T = 2$, 9 and 33 days post-merger with ASKAP. This target field, shown in Figure [1](#page-3-0) at $\Delta T = 2 \text{ days}$, covers 89% of the skymap probability.

Table [1](#page-4-1) gives a summary of our ASKAP observations. Data were observed using 36 beams arranged in a closep-ack36 footprint^{[2](#page-2-3)} with beam spacing of 0.9 degrees. The field was tracked for a nominal time of 10.5 hrs and 288 MHz of bandwidth was recorded with a center frequency of 944 MHz. Typical sensitivity was $\sim 39 \,\mu\text{Jy}$ with a beam size of $\sim 12''$.

We imaged the data with the ASKAPsoft pipeline version 0.24.4 [\(Whiting et al.](#page-10-2) [2017\)](#page-10-2), using a set of parameters optimised for deep continuum fields. Each beam was imaged independently and then combined using a linear mosaic. Multi-frequency synthesis with two Taylor terms was used, along with Multi-scale CLEAN using scales up to 27 pixels in size. Visibilities were weighted using Wiener preconditioning with a robustness parameter of zero. Two major cycles of self–calibration were used to refine the antenna gain solutions derived from observations of PKS B1934−638 in each beam (see [Mc-](#page-9-9)[Connell et al.](#page-9-9) [2016,](#page-9-9) for a description of the ASKAP beamforming and calibration process). We also used pre-release data from the 888 MHz Rapid ASKAP Continuum Survey $(RACS³)$ $(RACS³)$ $(RACS³)$ as a reference epoch.

The astrometric accuracy and flux scaling of each epoch is consistent with every other epoch. The median flux ratio of compact sources for any two of the ASKAP observations is consistent with 1 within uncertainties. The median RA offset is $0.09-0.36''$ and the median declination offset is $0.02-0.2''$ (smaller than the pixel size) with a typical standard deviation of $0.7ⁿ$ and $0.6''$ respectively.

3. UNTARGETED SEARCH FOR RADIO TRANSIENTS AND VARIABLES

To search for a radio counterpart to S190814bv, we performed an untargeted search for transients and highly variable sources using the LOFAR Transients Pipeline (TraP; [Swinbank et al.](#page-10-3) [2015\)](#page-10-3). We ran TraP with source detection and analysis thresholds of 5σ and 3σ respectively and used the 'force beam' option to con-

¹ <https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/S190814bv/view/>

² For more information on ASKAP beam-forming, see: [https://](https://confluence.csiro.au/display/askapsst/) confluence.csiro.au/display/askapsst/

³ <https://www.atnf.csiro.au/content/racs>

Figure 1. ASKAP image of the localisation region of S190814bv centered on 00:50:37.5, −25:16:57.371 observed 2 days postmerger. The 30deg² field of view covers ~ 89% of the localisation region, with 50% (90%) contours shown in red dashed (solid) lines. The large object near the centre of the image is the radio-emitting starburst galaxy NGC 253. Note: there is a secondary lobe of the localisation towards the south-east that is outside the ASKAP footprint.

strain the Gaussian shape fit parameters for all sources to be the same as the restoring beam.

We selected candidates by identifying sources that were significant outliers in both variability metrics calculated by TraP: η , which is the weighted reduced χ^2 , and the variability index V (equivalent to the fractional variability). This was done by fitting a Gaussian function to the distributions of both metrics in logarithmic space, with σ thresholds chosen to be $\eta > 1.5\sigma_{\eta}$ and $V > 1.0\sigma_V$, equating to values of $\eta > 2.73$ and $V > 0.18$. The thresholds were adapted from [Rowlin](#page-10-4)[son et al.](#page-10-4) [\(2019\)](#page-10-4), which gives approximate recall and precision rates of 90% and 50% respectively.

This resulted in 285 transient or variable candidates, which was reduced to 89 sources after manual inspection to remove imaging artefacts and components of complex extended sources.

3.1. Analysis of candidates for possible association with S190814bv

The 89 variable sources were filtered to remove those that were not consistent with the predicted emission of S190814bv, which should not exhibit more than a single rise and decline on these timescales [\(Hotokezaka et al.](#page-9-10) [2016\)](#page-9-10), according to the following criteria:

Table 1. Details of our ASKAP observations for each scheduling block ID (SBID). All observations were carried out with 288 MHz of bandwidth centered on a frequency of 944 MHz and 33 of 36 antennas. Typically 26% of the data was flagged due to RFI or correlator drop-outs. The ASKAP images from our follow-up o[b](#page-4-2)servations are available from the CSIRO ASKAP Science Data Archive^b under project code AS111.

Epoch	SBID	Start	Int. time	ΔT	% Flagged	Sensitivity	Beam Size
		(UTC)	(h:m:s)	(d)		(μJy)	
θ	8582	2019-04-27 04:59:14	00:15:00	-110	26	270	$10.2'' \times 14.9''$
	9602	2019-08-16 14:10:27	10:39:25	2	25	35	$10.0'' \times 12.3''$
$\overline{2}$	9649	2019-08-23 13:42:59	10:39:01	9	26	39	$11.8'' \times 12.4''$
3	9910	2019-09-16 12:08:34	10:38:42	33	32	39	$9.8'' \times 12.1''$

^a <https://casda.csiro.au/>

- ^b <https://casda.csiro.au/>
	- 1. Sources that showed a decline between epochs 1 and 2, followed by a rise between epochs 2 and 3. 41 sources were excluded.
	- 2. Sources detected in RACS epoch 0 where epochs 1 and 2 had lower integrated flux values than epoch 0. 3 sources were excluded.

We then searched the GLADE catalogue (GLADE; Dálya et al. [2018\)](#page-9-11) for galaxies in the localisation volume within 20"(or \sim 20 kpc at the estimated distance of S190814bv [LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo](#page-9-1) [Collaboration et al.](#page-9-1) [2019b\)](#page-9-1) of a variable source. We found one candidate (ASKAP J005547.4−270433) that is near 2dFGRS TGS211Z177, a catalogued galaxy with $z = 0.0738$ [\(Colless et al.](#page-9-12) [2001\)](#page-9-12). This source was the only strong candidate after epoch 2 and prior to the acquisition of epoch 3 we performed multi-wavelength follow-up which we discuss in Section [4.](#page-4-0) We excluded two candidates that matched with a GLADE galaxy $>$ 3 σ beyond the estimated distance to S190814bv $(267 \pm 52 \text{ Mpc}$ [LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo](#page-9-1) [Collaboration et al.](#page-9-1) [2019b\)](#page-9-1).

We crossmatched the 42 remaining variable candidates with the Photometric Redshifts for the Legacy Surveys (PRLS) catalogue (Zhou et al. in prep.), which is based on Data Release 8 of DESI Legacy Imaging Surveys [\(Dey](#page-9-13) [et al.](#page-9-13) [2019\)](#page-9-13). We excluded 22 variable sources that had all optical matches at distances differing by $> 3\sigma$ from the estimated distance to S190814bv. This left 7 sources with at least one crossmatch within the localisation volume and 13 sources with no reliable distance estimate (see Table [2\)](#page-5-0).

4. FOLLOW-UP OF ASKAP J005547.4−270433

4.1. Radio Observations

We carried out follow-up observations of ASKAP J005547.4−270433 (hereafter AT2019osy) with the ATCA (C3278, PI: Dobie) using two 2 GHz bands centered on 5.5 and 9 GHz at 14, 22 and 34 days postmerger. We reduced the data using the same method as [Dobie et al.](#page-9-14) [\(2018\)](#page-9-14) using PKS B1934−638 and B0118−272 as flux and phase calibrators respectively.

We also carried out VLA observations (VLA 18B-320, PI: Frail) on 2019 Aug 28 and Sep 09. Standard 2 bit WIDAR correlator setups were used for L and S bands, and 3 bit setups for C and X bands to obtain a contiguous frequency coverage between $1 - 12$ GHz. 3C48 and J0118−2141 were used as the flux and phase calibrators respectively. The data were processed using the NRAO CASA pipeline and imaged using the clean task in CASA.

A summary of our observations is given in Table [3.](#page-6-0) We find a flux density offset^{[4](#page-4-3)} of $\sim 40\%$ between the initial ATCA and VLA observations, however later observations with both telescopes are self-consistent. We therefore find no evidence for radio variability beyond the initial rise observed with ASKAP.

4.2. Optical Observations

We conducted optical imaging of AT2019osy with the Dark Energy Camera (DECam, [Flaugher et al.](#page-9-15) [2015\)](#page-9-15) on the 4m Blanco telescope under NOAO program ID

⁴ The flux densities of nearby sources and the calibrator source J0118-2141 between the ATCA and the VLA are consistent with the flux offset of 40% seen in AT2019osy. This offset can partially be explained by resolution effects, and detailed investigation of it is ongoing.

Table 2. Candidate counterparts from an untargeted search of the S190814bv localisation region. Non-detections are denoted by 30 upper-limits based Table 2. Candidate counterparts from an untargeted search of the S190814bv localisation region. Non-detections are denoted by 3σ upper-limits based a There are 3 optical sources within 20" of this candidate. The two closest have a photometric redshift that is inconsistent with the distance to S190814bv. $a_{\text{There are 3 optical sources within 20}'' of this candidate. The two closest have a photometric redshift that is inconsistent with the distance to $190814bv.$ \boldsymbol{b} Spectroscopic redshift. Spectroscopic redshift.

Table 3. Radio observations of AT2019osy. Observations with the ATCA and VLA were carried out with maximum baselines of 6 km and 40 km respectively.

Telescope	ΔT	Frequency	Flux Density	
	$\rm (days)$	(GHz)	(μJy)	
ASKAP	$\overline{2}$	0.943	376 ± 33	
ASKAP	9	0.943	550 ± 34	
VLA	13	1.5	409 ± 34	
		3.0	301 ± 21	
		6.0	213 ± 11	
		10.0	187 ± 11	
ATCA	14	5.0	369 ± 23	
		6.0	335 ± 19	
		8.5	307 ± 15	
		9.5	278 ± 14	
ATCA	22	5.0	380 ± 21	
		6.0	353 ± 17	
		8.5	299 ± 14	
		9.5	234 ± 14	
VLA	25	1.5	303 ± 48	
		3.0	317 ± 21	
		6.0	220 ± 10	
		10.0	150 ± 10	
ASKAP	33	0.943	513 ± 34	
ATCA	34	5.0	348 ± 17	
		6.0	349 ± 14	
		8.5	320 ± 15	
		9.5	275 ± 14	

2019B-0372 (PI: Soares-Santos). Images including the location of AT2019osy were taken in i and z bands nightly from 2019-08-15 to 2019-08-18 and on 2019- 08-21 (UT) and reduced in real-time [\(Goldstein et al.](#page-9-17) [2019\)](#page-9-17). A detailed offline analysis of the subtraction images zooming in on the location around AT2019osy, reveals no robust point source at this location to a depth of $i > 21.2$ mag and $z > 20.0$ mag on UT 2019-08-15 (the night of the merger) increasing linearly in limiting magnitude to $i > 23.5$ mag and $z > 23.5$ mag on UT 2019-08-21 (consistent with independent analysis by [Herner et al.](#page-9-18) [2019\)](#page-9-18). We also analyzed the DECam images using The Tractor image modeling software [\(Lang et al.](#page-9-19) [2016\)](#page-9-19) and found that a model with an exponential galaxy profile with a point source at the galaxy nucleus is required to fit the data, both before and after S190814bv. This

suggests that there is no optical transient temporally coincident with S190814bv but possibly some underlying nuclear variability.

On 2019-08-22 UT, we observed AT2019osy in the near infrared using the Wide-field Infrared Camera (WIRC, [Wilson et al.](#page-10-5) [2003\)](#page-10-5) with the 200-inch Hale telescope at Palomar Observatory for a total of 10 minutes exposure time [\(De et al.](#page-9-20) [2019\)](#page-9-20). The WIRC data were reduced and stacked using a custom pipeline (De et al., in preparation). No counterpart to AT2019osy was detected down to an AB limiting magnitude of $J > 21.5$ $(5\sigma).$

We also obtained a spectrum of the host galaxy of AT2019osy using the Double Beam Spectrograph [\(Oke & Gunn](#page-9-21) [1982\)](#page-9-21) on the Palomar 200-inch Hale Telescope (P200), which we reduced using pyraf-dbsp [\(Bellm & Sesar](#page-9-22) [2016\)](#page-9-22). The spectrum is dominated by red continuum that is likely primarily associated with the host galaxy; no obvious broad features are evident. We identify several narrow emission lines (H α ; [NII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548,6583, [SII] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716,6731, and marginal $[OII]\lambda3727$ at a common redshift of 0.0733, consistent within 2-sigma of the LVC distance constraint. H β and $[OIII]\lambda5007$ are not detected in the spectrum. We measure a flux ratio of log[NII λ 6583/H α]=0.2, indicating at least partial contribution by an AGN [\(Kauffmann et al.](#page-9-23) [2003\)](#page-9-23).

4.3. X-ray observations

We observed the field of AT2019osy, starting at 2019- 09-23 10:30:48 UT for 20 ks with the Chandra ACIS-S instrument (S3 chip) and very faint data mode. The data were analyzed with CIAO (v 4.11; [Fruscione et al.](#page-9-24) [2006\)](#page-9-24) and calibration was carried out with CALDBv4.8.4.1. We reprocessed the primary and secondary data using the repro script, created X-ray images for the 0.3–8 keV range. No sources were visible near AT2019osy (verified with both wavdetect and celldetect), with a maximum count rate of 2.85×10^{-4} s⁻¹. Assuming a neutral hydrogen column density $N_{\rm H} = 1.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and a power-law model with index $n = 1.66$ (corresponding to the observed radio spectral index of -0.4), this count rate yields a 0.3–8 keV unabsorbed flux upper limit of 3.2×10^{-15} erg cm⁻²s⁻¹ (as reported in [Jaodand et al.](#page-9-25) [2019\)](#page-9-25) or an unabsorbed luminosity of $4.2 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

4.4. Source classification

AT2019osy exhibits no significant radio variability beyond the initial rise and there is no evidence for a coincident optical transient. The coincident galaxy is edge-on, likely with significant dust obscuration towards the nucleus, and therefore the optical spectrum is consistent with an AGN within a star-forming galaxy. The inferred radio and X-ray luminosity of AT2019osy along with the small offset from the optical centroid of 2dF-GRS TGS211Z177 suggests that the source is a variable low-luminosity AGN [\(Ballo et al.](#page-9-26) [2012\)](#page-9-26) and unrelated to S190814bv.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Candidate classification

We find 21 candidate counterparts to S190814bv above a threshold of $170 \mu Jy$, which is consistent with the expected rate of AGN variability [\(Radcliffe et al.](#page-9-27) [2019\)](#page-9-27). Additionally, the expected level of compact source variability caused by refractive interstellar scintillation along this line of sight is $\sim 35\%$ [\(Cordes & Lazio](#page-9-28) [2002\)](#page-9-28), comparable to V_{int} for all but three sources which we discuss below.

We classify ASKAP J005434.6−280235 as a variable AGN based on follow-up observations [\(De et al.](#page-9-20) [2019;](#page-9-20) [Dobie et al.](#page-9-29) [2019\)](#page-9-29). ASKAP J010258.6−265119 is coincident centrally between two large radio lobes and hence likely associated with core emission from a radio galaxy. ASKAP J010534.6-231604 is coincident $(< 1^{''})$ with WISE J010534.64−231605.5 [\(Cutri & et al.](#page-9-30) [2012\)](#page-9-30), which is likely a variable AGN at a distance of $z \sim 1$ [\(Glowacki](#page-9-31) [et al.](#page-9-31) [2017\)](#page-9-31).

While we cannot conclusively rule the sources in Table [2](#page-5-0) out as counterparts to S190814bv, they are likely AGN exhibiting a combination of intrinsic and extrinsic variability. Of course, at most one candidate can be the actual counterpart, and there is nothing yet to distinguish any of these from the others. Further observations on timescales of months–years will reveal their nature.

5.2. Radio transient rates

Our follow-up of S190814bv is the most sensitive widefield radio transients search to-date, approximately an order of magnitude more sensitive compared to previous searches with comparable areal coverage [\(Hobbs et al.](#page-9-32) [2016\)](#page-9-32) and approximately an order of magnitude more areal coverage than previous searches at comparable sensitivities [\(Mooley et al.](#page-9-33) [2013\)](#page-9-33).

We have found 4 transient candidates (i.e. sources with a prior constraining non-detection) in total; the three sources discussed in Section [5.1](#page-7-0) and ASKAP J005104.2−230852, which was ruled out as a candidate to S190814bv based on the redshift of nearby optical sources. This source is coincident $(< 0.6'')$ with WISE J005104.13-230851.8, which is likely a variable AGN.

We therefore place an upper-limit on the 943 MHz radio transients surface density of 0.05 deg^{-2} for sources above 170 μ Jy at 95% confidence.

Figure 2. Radio constraints on viewing angle and circummerger density for a merger with isotropic equivalent energy 10^{51} erg and an initial jet opening angle of 10° . Shaded regions correspond to parts of the parameter space that are ruled out by our radio constraints for a range of distances corresponding to 1σ either side of the median.

5.3. Non-detection of a radio afterglow from S190814bv

Predicted radio lightcurves from NSBH mergers span a large range of flux densities and timescales (e.g. [Pi](#page-9-34)[ran et al.](#page-9-34) [2013;](#page-9-34) [Lamb & Kobayashi](#page-9-35) [2016;](#page-9-35) [Bhattacharya](#page-9-36) [et al.](#page-9-36) [2019\)](#page-9-36). If the radio emission is dominated by the outflowing dynamical ejecta the lightcurve will peak on timescales of years, whereas if the emission is jetdominated the lightcurve will peak at comparably lower flux densities on timescales of days–months [\(Hotokezaka](#page-9-10) [et al.](#page-9-10) [2016\)](#page-9-10). In each of these scenarios the lightcurve is also dependent on the merger energetics, circum-merger density and inclination angle, each of which can change both the peak time and flux density by an order of magnitude. The merger energetics are determined by the mass ratio, the spin of the black hole (both of which are calculable from gravitational wave strain data that is yet to be released) and the unknown neutron star equation of state [\(Kyutoku et al.](#page-9-37) [2011;](#page-9-37) [Foucart](#page-9-38) [2012\)](#page-9-38).

We place a 5σ upper limit on the 943 MHz radio emission from S190814bv of 170 μ Jy at $\Delta T = 2$, 9 and 33 days post-merger. Figure [2](#page-7-1) shows the constraints we can place on the merger inclination angle, $\theta_{\rm obs}$, and circum-merger density, n , assuming the afterglow has an isotropic equivalent energy $E_{\text{iso}} = 10^{51} \text{ erg (typical)}$ of short GRB afterglows; [Fong et al.](#page-9-39) [2015\)](#page-9-39), an initial jet opening angle of 10◦ and microphysics parameters $\epsilon_e = 0.1, \epsilon_B = 0.01$ and $p = 2.2$. We can rule out the part of the parameter space typically occupied by short GRBs, assuming that their inclination angle is smaller than the opening of the angle of the jet [\(Fong](#page-9-39) [et al.](#page-9-39) [2015\)](#page-9-39). Under a more conservative assumption of the isotropic equivalent energy $(E_{\text{iso}} = 10^{50} \text{ erg})$ we can only rule out a small part of the parameter space around $\theta_{\rm obs} = 10^{\circ}$ and $n = 1 \,\rm cm^{-3}$.

In comparison, if we scale the non-thermal lightcurve of GW170817 to 943 MHz based on a spectral index of $\alpha = -0.575$ [\(Mooley et al.](#page-9-40) [2018;](#page-9-40) [Hajela et al.](#page-9-41) [2019\)](#page-9-41) and place it at a distance comparable to S190814bv, we find a peak flux density of $\sim 5 \,\mu\text{Jy}$, well below our detection threshold. We note that the non-thermal emission from GW170817 did not peak until ∼ 150 d post-merger [\(Do](#page-9-14)[bie et al.](#page-9-14) [2018\)](#page-9-14). Further observations on timescales of months–years post-merger will enable us to place tighter constraints on the circum-merger density and inclination angle, which may be useful in improving the gravitational wave localisation [\(Corley et al.](#page-9-42) [2019\)](#page-9-42).

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed widefield radio follow-up of the NS-BH merger S190814bv with the Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder. We cover 89% of the sky localisation with a single 30 deg^2 pointing centered on the localisation maxima. We found 21 candidate counterparts and performed comprehensive multi-wavelength follow-up of one, AT2019osy. The number of candidates is consistent with the expected rate of AGN variability. Most exhibit variability that is consistent with that expected from interstellar scintillation and are therefore unlikely to be related to S190814bv

The non-detection of a radio counterpart allows us to place constraints on the circum-merger density, n , and inclination angle of the merger, $\theta_{\rm obs}$. Under the assumption of $E_{\text{iso}} = 10^{51} \text{ erg}$, we constrain $\theta_{\text{obs}} > 10^{\circ}$ for all n at the extreme of the probability distribution of distance to the event. We will be able to place tighter constraints on these merger parameters once inclination angle estimates from gravitational wave strain data are released publicly.

As well as probing different parameters to optical searches, radio observations of future events may detect a gravitational wave counterpart where optical follow-up is inhibited by observing constraints, or intrinsic properties of the merger. We have demonstrated that it is possible to perform comprehensive follow-up of gravitational wave events with ASKAP, due to its large field of view that enables a survey speed significantly faster than comparable radio facilities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DD is supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship. TM acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council through grant DP190100561. DLK and IB were supported by NSF grant AST-1816492. Parts of this research were conducted by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Gravitational Wave Discovery (OzGrav), project number CE170100004. We acknowledge support by the GROWTH (Global Relay of Observatories Watching Transients Happen) project funded by the National Science Foundation PIRE (Partnership in International Research and Education) program under Grant No 1545949.A.C. acknowledges support from the NSF CAREER award #1455090. D. A. Goldstein acknowledges support from Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF2- 51408.001-A. Support for Program number HST-HF2- 51408.001-A is provided by NASA through a grant from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Incorporated, under NASA contract NAS5- 26555. Development of the PRLS photometric redshift catalog used here was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics under award number DE-SC0007914. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

The Australian SKA Pathfinder is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility which is managed by CSIRO. Operation of ASKAP is funded by the Australian Government with support from the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy. ASKAP uses the resources of the Pawsey Supercomputing Centre. Establishment of ASKAP, the Murchison Radioastronomy Observatory and the Pawsey Supercomputing Centre are initiatives of the Australian Government, with support from the Government of Western Australia and the Science and Industry Endowment Fund. We acknowledge the Wajarri Yamatji people as the traditional owners of the Observatory site.

The Australia Telescope Compact Array is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility which is funded by the Australian Government for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO.

This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

Facility: ASKAP, ATCA, VLA, DECam, P200, WIRC, Chandra

Software: ASKAPsoft [\(Whiting et al.](#page-10-2) [2017\)](#page-10-2), BANE [\(Hancock et al.](#page-9-16) [2018\)](#page-9-16), CASA [\(McMullin et al.](#page-9-43) [2007\)](#page-9-43), pyraf-dbsp [\(Bellm & Sesar](#page-9-22) [2016\)](#page-9-22), The Tractor [\(Lang](#page-9-19) [et al.](#page-9-19) [2016\)](#page-9-19), TraP [\(Swinbank et al.](#page-10-3) [2015\)](#page-10-3) .

Ballo, L., Heras, F. J. H., Barcons, X., & Carrera, F. J. 2012, A&A, 545, A66, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117464](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117464)

Bellm, E. C., & Sesar, B. 2016, pyraf-dbsp: Reduction pipeline for the Palomar Double Beam Spectrograph. <http://ascl.net/1602.002>

- Bhattacharya, M., Kumar, P., & Smoot, G. 2019, MNRAS, 486, 5289, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1147](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1147)
- Colless, M., Dalton, G., Maddox, S., et al. 2001, MNRAS, 328, 1039, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04902.x](http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04902.x)
- Cordes, J. M., & Lazio, T. J. W. 2002, ArXiv e-prints, astro. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0207156>
- Corley, K. R., Bartos, I., Singer, L. P., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 488, 4459, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz2072](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2072)
- Cromartie, H. T., Fonseca, E., Ransom, S. M., et al. 2019, Nature Astronomy, 439, doi: [10.1038/s41550-019-0880-2](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0880-2)
- Cutri, R. M., & et al. 2012, VizieR Online Data Catalog, II/311
- D´alya, G., Galgczi, G., Dobos, L., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 479, 2374, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1703](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1703)
- De, K., Tinyanont, S., Nguyen, M., et al. 2019, GCN, 25449
- Dey, A., Schlegel, D. J., Lang, D., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 168, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ab089d](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab089d)
- Dobie, D., Kaplan, D. L., Murphy, T., et al. 2018, The Astrophysical Journal, 858, L15, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aac105](http://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aac105)
- Dobie, D., et al. 2019, GCN, 25445, 1
- Flaugher, B., Diehl, H. T., Honscheid, K., et al. 2015, AJ, 150, 150, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/150/5/150](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/150/5/150)
- Fong, W., Berger, E., Margutti, R., & Zauderer, B. A. 2015, ApJ, 815, 102, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/815/2/102](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/815/2/102)
- Foucart, F. 2012, PhRvD, 86, 124007, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.86.124007](http://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.124007)
- Fruscione, A., McDowell, J. C., Allen, G. E., et al. 2006, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 6270, Proc. SPIE, 62701V, doi: [10.1117/12.671760](http://doi.org/10.1117/12.671760)
- Glowacki, M., Allison, J. R., Sadler, E. M., Moss, V. A., & Jarrett, T. H. 2017, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1709.08634. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.08634>
- Goldstein, D. A., Andreoni, I., Nugent, P. E., et al. 2019, ApJL, 881, L7, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ab3046](http://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab3046)
- Hajela, A., Margutti, R., Alexander, K. D., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1909.06393. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.06393>
- Hancock, P. J., Trott, C. M., & Hurley-Walker, N. 2018, PASA, 35, e011, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2018.3](http://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2018.3)
- Herner, K., Soares-Santos, M., Annis, J., & Palmese, A. 2019, GCN, 25495
- Hobbs, G., Heywood, I., Bell, M. E., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 3948, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv2893](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2893)
- Hotokezaka, K., Nissanke, S., Hallinan, G., et al. 2016, The Astrophysical Journal, 831, 190, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/190](http://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/190)
- Jaodand, A., et al. 2019, GCN, 25822, 1
- Johnston, S., Taylor, R., Bailes, M., et al. 2008, Experimental Astronomy, 22, 151, doi: [10.1007/s10686-008-9124-7](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-008-9124-7)
- Kasen, D., Metzger, B., Barnes, J., Quataert, E., & Ramirez-Ruiz, E. 2017, Nature, 551, 80, doi: [10.1038/nature24453](http://doi.org/10.1038/nature24453)
- Kauffmann, G., Heckman, T. M., Tremonti, C., et al. 2003, MNRAS, 346, 1055,
- doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2003.07154.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2003.07154.x)
- Kocevski, D., et al. 2019, GCN, 25326, 1 Kyutoku, K., Okawa, H., Shibata, M., & Taniguchi, K.
	- 2011, PhRvD, 84, 064018, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.84.064018](http://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.064018)
- Lamb, G. P., & Kobayashi, S. 2016, The Astrophysical Journal, 829, 112, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/829/2/112](http://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/829/2/112)
- Lang, D., Hogg, D. W., & Mykytyn, D. 2016, The Tractor: Probabilistic astronomical source detection and measurement. <http://ascl.net/1604.008>
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, et al. 2019a, GCN, 25324, 1
- —. 2019b, GCN, 25333, 1
- McConnell, D., Allison, J. R., Bannister, K., et al. 2016, PASA, 33, e042, doi: [10.1017/pasa.2016.37](http://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2016.37)
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 376, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI, ed. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, 127
- Molkov, S., et al. 2019, GCN, 25323, 1
- Mooley, K. P., Frail, D. A., Ofek, E. O., et al. 2013, ApJ, 768, 165, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/768/2/165](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/768/2/165)
- Mooley, K. P., Frail, D. A., Dobie, D., et al. 2018, The Astrophysical Journal, 868, L11, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aaeda7](http://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaeda7)
- Oke, J. B., & Gunn, J. E. 1982, PASP, 94, 586, doi: [10.1086/131027](http://doi.org/10.1086/131027)
- Palmer, D. M., et al. 2019, GCN, 25341, 1
- Pilia, M., et al. 2019, GCN, 25327, 1
- Piran, T., Nakar, E., & Rosswog, S. 2013, MNRAS, 430, 2121, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt037](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt037)
- Radcliffe, J. F., Beswick, R. J., Thomson, A. P., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1909.12588. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12588>
- Rowlinson, A., Stewart, A. J., Broderick, J. W., et al. 2019, Astronomy and Computing, 27, 111, doi: [10.1016/j.ascom.2019.03.003](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ascom.2019.03.003) Sugizaki, M., et al. 2019, GCN, 25329, 1
- Swinbank, J. D., Staley, T. D., Molenaar, G. J., et al. 2015, Astronomy and Computing, 11, 25,
- doi: [10.1016/j.ascom.2015.03.002](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ascom.2015.03.002) Whiting, M., Voronkov, M., Mitchell, D., & Askap Team.
- 2017, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 512, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXV, ed. N. P. F. Lorente, K. Shortridge, & R. Wayth, 431
- Wilson, J. C., Eikenberry, S. S., Henderson, C. P., et al. 2003, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 4841, Proc. SPIE, ed. M. Iye & A. F. M. Moorwood, 451–458, doi: [10.1117/12.460336](http://doi.org/10.1117/12.460336)
- Zhang, J., Yang, Y., Zhang, C., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 488, 5020, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz2020](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2020)