# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Title

Empirical support for global integrated assessment modeling: Productivity trends and technological change in developing countries' agriculture and electric power sectors

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7hn8t9jj

**Author** Sathaye, Jayant A.

**Publication Date** 

2000-04-01

Empirical Support for Global Integrated Assessment Modeling: Productivity Trends and Technological Change in Developing Countries' Agriculture and Electric Power Sectors

Jayant A. Sathaye, Principal Investigator Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory April 2000 ÷

:

## Table of Contents

S	Referen	\$901	SI
۰Þ	jujijsu]	yillidsqa) Isnoi	£I
<b>.</b> £	Researc	sbodis M bus ngissu da	6
٦°	Imilərd	saibuts Yran	9
	1.2.	Background	hand
	o bround o	noidouborini	hand
e e	Backgr	sonsoftingi2 bus buvo	hand
dA	jortizda		

.

÷

## **Abstract**

Integrated assessment (IA) modeling of climate policy is increasingly global in nature, with models incorporating regional disaggregation. The existing empirical basis for IA modeling, however, largely arises from research on industrialized economies. Given the growing importance of developing countries in determining long-term global energy and carbon emissions trends, filling this gap with improved statistical information on developing countries' energy and carbon-emissions characteristics is an important priority for enhancing IA modeling.

Earlier research at LBNL on this topic has focused on assembling and analyzing statistical data on productivity trends and technological change in the energy-intensive manufacturing sectors of five developing countries, India, Brazil, Mexico, Indonesia, and South Korea. The proposed work will extend this analysis to the agriculture and electric power sectors in India, South Korea, and two other developing countries. We will also tower sectors in India, South Korea, and two other developing countries. We will also examine the impact of alternative model specifications on estimates of productivity growth and technological change for each of the three sectors, and estimate the contribution of various capital inputs – imported vs. indigenous, rigid vs. malleable - in contributing to productivity growth and technological change for each of the three sectors, indigenous, rigid vs. malleable - in contributing to productivity growth and technological change.

The project has already produced a data resource on the manufacturing sector which is being shared with IA modelers. This will be extended to the agriculture and electric power sectors, which would also be made accessible to IA modeling groups seeking to enhance their empirical descriptions of developing country characteristics. The project will entail basic statistical and econometric analysis of productivity and energy trends in these developing country sectors, with parameter estimates also made available to modeling groups. The parameter estimates will be developed using alternative model specifications that could be directly utilized by the existing IAMs for the manufacturing, agriculture, and electric power sectors.

## .1. Background and Significance

## noiiouborini 1.1

Integrated assessment (IA) modeling of climate policy is global in nature, with models constructed or modified to incorporate regional disaggregation. The existing empirical economics. Given the growing importance of developing countries in determining longterm global energy and carbon emissions trends, filling this gap with improved statistical information on developing countries' energy and carbon-emissions characteristics is an important priority for enhancing IA modeling.

DBNL has been conducting in-depth econometric studies of energy-intensive manufacturing sectors in a number of developing countries (India, South Korea, Mexico, Brazil, and Indonesia), examining long-run trends in productivity, technological change, and journal articles and a database that is available to IA modelers. It is being supported by the DOE-OER program on Integrated Assessment of Global Climate Change.

The proposed research will extend this effort to account for two additional sectors, agriculture and electric power. We will assemble and assess consistent time series and panel data on output, input, and price trends for those sectors for selected developing countries. Using these data, we will conduct statistical and econometric analyses of productivity and energy trends in these developing countries' sectors. Within these analyses we will also examine the issues of factor rigidity and technology diffusion. The result of the project will be a consistent data resource accessible to IA modeling groups seeking to enhance their empirical descriptions of developing country characteristics (the

parameter estimates will again be made available to modeling groups).

## 1.2 Background

Existing IA models originally emerged primarily from economic and energy modeling approaches that were for the most part developed for, and applied to, industrialized economies (Sanstad and Greening, 1998). Increasingly, however, these models have been enhanced and extended, and in many cases created, to encompass the global economy at

various levels of regional and sectoral disaggregation. For example, the SGM2000 model of Edmonds et al. contains 24 sectors (plus subsectors for electricity generation, transport, and building energy services) and 13 regions (Sands et al. 1999 (draft version); Edmonds et al., 1992, 1994; Fisher-Vanden et al., 1993 and 1997); the MERGE combines a pottom-up representation of the energy supply sector together with a top-down perspective on the remainder of the economy in 9 regions (Manne and Richels, 1999); the MIT-EPPA model contains 8 production and 4 consumption sectors looking at 12 regions (Yang et al, 1996; Jacoby et al., 1999); and the ABARE-GTEM model considers 16 sectors (including electric power, agriculture and several energy-intensive manufacturing industries) in as many as 18 regions, with a focus on Asia (ABARE, 1996; Tulpulé et al., 1999).

The increasing emphasis on regionally and sectorally disaggregated global IA modeling reflects the emerging recognition of the global character of climate policy and the importance of developing countries in formulating policy, particularly following the Kyoto agreement. Currently, non-Annex I countries' energy demand per capita, and "[the] combination of significant unmet energy demand, low levels of current per capita "[the] combination of significant unmet energy demand, low levels of current per capita increasing role of developing countries in determining future world energy and carbon trends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratrends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratrends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratrends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratrends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratrends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratrends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratrends places a premium not just on regional disaggregation but also on improved intratregion and intra-country representations (outside the developed world) in IA models.

By nature, the sectoral focus of IA models is on energy-related sectors. The level of sectoral disaggregation differs by model, but uniformly a division of the economy into four broad categories (agriculture, energy production sectors, energy-intensive industrial sectors, and transportation or 'everything else') can be observed. For all models energy production is further split up into subsectors including at a minimum electric power, coal, accounting for specific energy-intensive industries (paper, cement, chemicals etc.), different modes of transportation, agricultural production etc. The gain from increased different modes of transportation, agricultural production etc. The gain from increased infferent modes of transportation, agricultural production etc. The gain from increased sectoral disaggregation for the understanding of economic and energy flows has been realized. The recent version of the SGM (SGM2000), for example, proposes to analyze in realized. The recent version of the SGM (SGM2000), for example, proposes to analyze in

total more than 34 sectors and subsectors in order to enhance the ability to combine top-

down economic structures with results from detailed process models.

of the Indian and Korean economies (Roy et al. 1999, Schumacher et al. 2000). parallel methodology, similar results have been concluded for the manufacturing sectors cannot be captured in a model with neutral or even exogenous technical change. Using a substantial welfare costs in the long run (Hogan and Jorgenson, 1991), an effect that economy, showing that productivity externalities resulting from carbon taxes could have They have demonstrated the significance of this refinement in the context of the US it is non-neutral or "factor-price biased") as well as having a pure time-trend component. function), technological change is responsive to changes in relative factor prices (that is, employing a flexible functional form to model production behavior (translog cost developed a model which incorporates non-neutral technological change. That is, in economic costs of carbon mitigation. Jorgenson and his collaborators (1981, 1987, 1991) analyzed endogenously within the model can substantially alter the projections of another) in most IA models. Technological trends and biases of technological change "autonomous energy efficiency improvement" (AEEI) parameter appears (in one form or the model. An "autonomous" improvement in energy efficiency and the so-called induced technological change that occurs exogenously or evolves endogenously within been the focus of such debates as those over the assumptions of price vs. non-price (See, e.g., Sanstad and Greening, 1998, for a recent discussion.) These problems have countries is subject to the ongoing methodological problems well-known to this area. Analysis of technological change, energy trends, and related phenomena in developing

The methodologies applied differ by IA model. Sands et al. (2000) in their new version of the SGM, for example, allow for non-neutral (Hicks-biased) technological change in a constant elasticity of substitution (CES) production framework. The MERGE as well as the MIT-EPPA model on the other hand assume an exogenous increase in AEEL production functions without a link to technological change. Similarly, the ABAREindustries. For electricity and iron and steel production, however, a 'technology bundle' septerate a homogeneous output. Each of these production techniques is represented by a leontief production function. In the MIT-EPPA model, production behavior is modeled twofold depending on the flexibility of capital input. The malleable part of the production structure is represented by a set of nested CES production functions, in the flexibility of capital input. The malleable part of the production structure is represented by a set of nested CES production functions, while the production structure is represented by a set of nested CES production functions, while the production function function structure (rigid capital) capitules to twofold depending on the production structure (rigid capital) capitule is while the production function structure is represented by a set of nested CES production functions, while the

production functions. The debate on the preferred approach remains unresolved. Monetheless, obtaining developing-country-related productivity and related parameters that are closer to their industrialized country counterparts in terms of empirical foundation and technical rigor would be a substantial contribution to the enhancement of M models in their present form.<sup>1</sup>

and technological change in those sectors, the results are hardly comparable due to the these studies individually provides valuable insights into the development of productivity Khanna (1995, 1999a, 1999b) focus on the electric power sector in India. While each of the U.S. electric power sector was a study by Christensen et al. (1976). Shah (1982) and (1989). Pioneering the analysis of technological change biases and economies of scale in change and growth in both agriculture and industry is given by Nishimizu and Page analyzed in Hayami and Ruttan (1985). An intercountry comparison of productivity and a more recent one by Chavas et al. (1997). A cross-section of developing countries is induced innovation in the U.S. agricultural sector was conducted by Binswanger (1978) 1996), and for three South Asian countries (Rosegrant et al., 1992). A pioneering study on Indonesia (Eng. 1996), Albania (Hatziprokopiou et al., 1996), China (Kalirajan et al., agriculture. Related studies can be found for Mexico (Fernandez-Cornejo et al., 1997), (1994) analyze the determinants and trends of factor productivity change in Indian South Korea. Similarly, Desai and Namboodiri (1997), Wong (1989) and Abler et al. investigates technological change and patterns of substitution in the agricultural sector in developing countries, which are the focus of this proposal. Sharma (1991), for example, technological change biases in both the agricultural and the electric power sector of A number of studies has been conducted on productivity trends and .səmmoə developing countries do not have the statistical infrastructure that exists in developed the problem of limited data resources that are difficult to access. With some exceptions, The hurdles to such enhancements, however, are well-known. Primary among these is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> More fundamentally, many analysts have questioned such basic elements as the application of the usual assumptions of perfect competition and general equilibrium to developing economies. (See Sathaye and Sanstad (1997) for a discussion.) Ideally, for example, approaches such as that of "structural general equilibrium" (Taylor, 1990) might eventually be incorporated into IA modeling to improve the treatment of departures from perfect competition in non-industrialized economies. More currently, the design of IA models is subject to the ongoing dispute regarding "top-down" vs. "bottom-up" (roughly, economic in fundamental advances in linking these two approaches are required to accumately assess climate and there in policies. While further theoretical and technical developments in modeling developing and transitional fundamental advances in linking these two approaches are required to accumately assess climate and energy policies. While further theoretical and technical developments in modeling developing and tensitional these two approaches are required to accumately assess climate and the energy policies. While further theoretical and technical developments in modeling developing and tensitional these two approaches are required to accumately assess climate and energy policies. While further theoretical and technical developments in modeling developing and transitional economics, and technological change and diffusion, may find future application to IA, we take the view that improving the parameterizations of existing models using existing and well-established methods has a clear to be approaches and technological change and diffusion, may find future application to IA, we take the view that improving the parameterizations of existing models using existing and well-established methods and technological change and diffusion, may find future application to IA, we take the view that improving the parameterizations of existing models using existing and well-established methods and the view that improving the para

difference in the underlying data, input factors, time periods considered, methodologies applied, etc.

None of these studies aims at providing comparable results that can uniformly find input into a larger analysis of the costs and benefits of carbon policies. The result is that existing IA models tend to parameterize their developing country components using developed country data as a proxy or relatively aggregate statistics from the developed countries. Thus, building an improved statistical base for IA modeling of developing countries making best use of what data resources are available is an important component of the further development of IA model capabilities.

· . .

Ξ

#### 2. Preliminary Studies

Toward this end, LBNL is currently analyzing energy and productivity trends in energyintensive manufacturing sectors in five developing countries, India, South Korea, Mexico, Brazil, and Indonesia. Our results to-date provide a detailed picture of key trends in those countries' sectors, comparable to previous findings on industrialized economies. Following is an overview of methods and results of this research. (A detailed description for India is given in Mongia and Sathaye, 1998a, 1998b; Roy et al., 1999, Roy et al., 2000; for Korea in Schumacher et al., 2000; draft reports for Brazil, Mexico and Indonesia are in progress.)

We combine growth accounting, and econometric time series and panel data analysis to report on productivity growth and input trends in the energy-intensive industries and aggregate manufacturing of our study countries. The growth accounting approach is used to decompose growth in productivity of input factors<sup>2</sup>. In contrast to most other studies and the conventional approach we consider a four input factor model, i.e. capital, labor, from about 1970 to today. Using a translog cost function approach<sup>3</sup> with an explicit factor price biases of technological change we econometrically estimate rates and advance over earlier studies to the extent that it relaxes the assumption of Hicks neutrality in allowing for technical bias parameters. It also allows for non-constant returns to scale which enables us to draw links to important structural factors such as capacity utilization, plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model plant size etc. Substitution elasticities and price responses are derived from the model

In order to draw conclusions on the role and direction of technological change in reducing the use of individual (e.g. high carbon content) fuels, we further conduct a two-stage panel data analysis for three Indian manufacturing sectors within the same translog cost function framework with non-neutral technological change and non-constant returns to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The growth accounting approach is pioneered by Solow (1956, 1957) and further developed by Denison (1974, 1979, 1985), Kendrick (1957), and others (Kendrick and Vacona, 1980). Because of its more general nature we employ a translog index throughout our analysis. The translog index is based on the translog production function, (introduced by Christensen, Jorgensen and Lau (1971)) which is a second-order approximation to the Divisia index for discrete time periods. (See Mongia and Sathaye (1998a) for a further discussion of indices to measure production function that is twice differentiable. The translog index for discrete time periods. (See Mongia and Sathaye (1998a) for a further discussion of indices to measure production that is twice differentiable. The translog index for distribution to the Divisia index for discrete time periods. (See Mongia and Sathaye (1998a) for a further discussion of indices to measure production that is twice differentiable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As developed by Jorgenson and his collaborators (1981, 1987, 1991).

scale<sup>4</sup>. The two stages relate to the level of disaggregation in input factors. In a first stage, we estimate fuel biases and interfuel substitution processes of coal, oil and electricity, and in the second stage we estimate input biases and interinput substitution possibilities as above.

In collaboration with counterparts in the study countries, a substantial database on input and output as well as price data for energy-intensive industries of these countries has been compiled. The data originates from national sources, mostly from industrial surveys that were conducted on a regular basis. Growth accounting and econometric analyses of these data reveal diverse patterns for rates and directions of technical change and substitution possibilities. In India, for example, most of the analyzed energy-intensive industries (aluminum, cement, glass, paper, aggregate manufacturing and total industry) show an energy using bias of technical change over the twenty year study period (1970-1993). An energy using bias of technical change over the fertilizer and iron and steel industry. (Roy et energy using bias of technical change over the fertilizer and iron and steel industry. (Roy et al., 1999) In Korea, for the period 1980-97, an energy-using bias can be found for the manufacturing show an energy saving bias (Schumacher et al., 2000). Scale economies as well as patterns of substitution differ by country and industry, and are sensitive to the time period considered.

Own-price responses indicate that price-based policies would be effective in reducing energy use for most sectors and countries. However, an energy using bias of technical change as found for some sectors suggests that such policies could have a negative long in line with those of Hogan and Jorgenson (1991) regarding the U. S. economy, that is, price-based policies to reduce energy and carbon emissions could have negative long-run impacts on manufacturing productivity. An optimal policy strategy therefore needs to take these factors into consideration.

The panel data analysis for India reveals an electricity-using bias for the three industries studied (aluminum, cement, and paper). In addition, a coal-using bias can be observed for the aluminum industry. In the context of policy modeling, these results imply that with constant relative fuel prices technological change leads to the use of more carbon intensive fuels in these industries. In addition, a dual interpretation indicates that an intensive fuels in these could potentially affect welfare growth negatively. Interfuel increase in fuel prices could potentially affect welfare growth negatively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This approach is similar to the approach followed by Fuss (1977) and Pyndick (1979).

substitution possibilities vary significantly by state. Except for oil input, most elasticities substitution possibilities vary significantly by state. Except for oil input, most elasticities. These findings suggest that price based policies alone may only have a limited impact on carbon emissions. A check for economies of scale reveals increasing returns to scale in nearly all states for cement and paper – although often at insignificant levels - and in a few states for aluminum. (Roy et al., 2000)

In collaboration with counterparts in India, South Korea, Mexico, Brazil, and Indonesia, we have compiled a substantial database on inputs and output as well as price data for energy-intensive industries of these countries. The data originates from national sources, mostly from industrial surveys that were conducted on a regular basis. It is available from the authors and will be made publicly accessible on the internet in the near future.

This work demonstrates the feasibility and utility of the approaches (growth accounting, and econometric time series and panel data analysis) that we are proposing to extend to other sectors. We now have the experience to successfully conduct this type of research as well as the appropriate staffing and facilities. Additionally, our existing contacts with developing country agencies and researchers (see below) are a unique asset.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In our current work on the Indian manufacturing sectors, we have also been studying industry-specific features such as structural composition, production, technologies, energy consumption within processes, environmental impacts, sector-specific policies, etc (Schumacher and Sathaye, 1998, 1999a-d). Understanding such features provides a broader context within which the econometric results can be more fully evaluated. We are not proposing to extend this ancillary effort to the additional sectors discussed in the present proposal, primarily due to our uncertainty regarding data availability. Such additional efforts, however, may be appropriate for flutte research.

#### 3. Research Design and Methods

The proposed research will extend our current work to two additional sectors, agriculture and electric power, in selected developing countries (India, Korea, and two of four other potential countries, Mexico, Indonesia, Brazil or South Africa). As with the current work, the project will have two primary components: a) identifying, collecting, and organizing data on selected developing countries' agriculture and electric power sectors, and putting these data into electronic formats appropriate for statistical and econometric analysis (see below for a preliminary survey of data availability); b) applying these data to conduct statistical and econometric studies of productivity, energy and other input uses, and technological change in these sectors. Our goal is to closely replicate for these additional sectors the LBNL work done to-date on the energy-intensive manufacturing this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a manel sectors to reliability, which we this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a panel data approach. (This would also this are unavailable, we will alternatively use a manel data approach. (This would also this and unavailable, we will alternatively use a later data approach. (This would also apply in the event of insummer work; see Mongia and Sathaye, 1995).

The sectors indicated above were selected in order to achieve a comprehensive representation of economic sectors in those countries and correspond to the level of disaggregation prevalent or emerging in most LA models. We have thus far identified the following data and sources for these sectors in India, Korea, Mexico and Indonesia. Muth research groups in these countries. For S. Korea, the key institutions will be the on Energy Economics Institute (KEEI), Seoul National University, and The Council for Indonesia, BPPT Teknologi, the agency for the assessment and application of technology, and the Bogor Agricultural University, and for South Africa, the Department technology, and the Bogor Agricultural University, and for South Africa, the Department of Statistics as well as the University of Cape Town.

India: For the electric power sector, data on natural gas, raw coal and petroleum product inputs as well as electricity output along with their price indices are available from the Central Statistical Office's energy sector data source for 1970 to 1998. We have this data in electronic form. Information on capital and labor input is available from the Central Electricity Authority, CEA, for the same range of years. In addition, the Annual Survey of Industries provides all relevant data in a slightly more aggregated form for the period 1973 to 1994.

For the agricultural sector, we have electricity consumption data in electronic version from the Central Statistical Office. Capital, labor, land, fertilizer and price data for a wide range of years (1970s to present) are available from the Ministry of Labor (Quarterly the Centre of Monitoring the Indian Economy (India's Agricultural Sector: A Compendium of Statistics and Basic Statistics Relating to the India Economy), and from the Ministry of Agriculture (Agricultural Census).

<u>Korea:</u> State-level and national data on inputs (capital, labor, energy, materials) and outputs for electric power generation are available on a quinquennial basis (starting in data can be found in the electrical power statistics by the Korea Electric Power Corporation. Corresponding price data is available from the Bank of Korea's annual Producer Prices Survey.

ŗ

Agricultural input (KLEM) and output data is available from the National Statistical Office's annual Agricultural Production Cost Survey, Basic Agricultural Statistical Survey and the quinquennial Agricultural Census from the early 1960s on. Price data for the agricultural sector can be found in the Bank of Korea's Producer Prices Survey as well as in publications on price surveys by the National Agricultural Cooperative Prederation.

<u>Indonesia</u>: The Indonesian Biro Pusat Statistik collects data on inputs (KLEM), value of output and prices for agriculture (Annual Survey of Agriculture) and electric power (Annual Survey of State Electricity Companies). The annual survey of electricity companies began in 1976 and continues to date. The annual agricultural survey encompasses the period 1972 to date. Publications for several years are available at the UC Berkeley library, the remaining are available from Biro Pusat Statistik.

<u>Mexico:</u> Required input and output data for the electric power sector can be found in several annual statistical publications by the National Commission of Electricity (Comision Federal de Electricidad) as well as by the National Institute of Statistics (Instituto Nacional de Estadistica, Geografia e Informatica – INEGI). The publications comprise a time range from about 1980 to date. Price data for the electricity sector have

already been provided in electronic format by our collaborators at the National University of Mexico.

Data on agriculture is published (monthly and annually) by the statistics section of the Department of Agriculture, Government of Mexico (Secretaria de Agricultura y Recursos Hidraulicos). Several issues of these publications are available at the UC Berkeley library, the remaining issues are available from the Department of Agriculture.

first where we have both good data availability and extensive expertise. intensive data requirements, we propose to examine these issues for Indian manufacturing whether it occurs domestically through investment in the domestic capital stock. Due to us understand whether technological change is implicitly imported with capital or domestic and imported capital on productivity trends and technological change will help approach relates to the issue of technology diffusion. Decomposing the effects of 1999). To date, only few IA models (e.g. MIT-EPPA) take this into account. The second impact on productivity growth indexes for U.S. manufacturing (see also Morrison Paul, example, found that the quality-adjustment of the capital stock had a large empirical derived from the newer capital (putty) components. Berndt-Wood (1984, 1987), for derived from the rigid (clay) part of the capital stock maybe lower than the service productivity changes varies according to the composition of capital. The capital service approach is based on the understanding that the effective contribution of capital to by dividing the capital stock into domestic and imported capital components. The first flexible or malleable components of the capital stock (putty) from rigid ones (clay) and b) capital stock on productivity growth and technological change by a) distinguishing different capital structures. We will analyze the effects of different components of the To a lesser extent, we will examine ways to extend our methodology to account for

The deliverables for this project will be a) a mid-term and final report; b) four working papers describing the analysis for each country; c) final versions of these papers submitted to refereed journals. The timeline will be as follows. Although not explicitly noted in the time-line, this project will include ongoing contact with other IA researchers and participation in selected IA-related events, such as meetings of the Energy Modeling forum. The principal investigator presented preliminary results on the Indian sectors and discussed the general nature and goals for this research in the summer 1997 Snowmass, Colorado IA meeting. Alan Sanstad presented our recent results at the scoping meeting meeting meeting the sectors.

for EMF19 in Washington, DC in March 2000. We expect to participate in the 2000 Snowmass meeting on integrated assessment and in the ongoing EMF19 meetings.

We are in contact with several researchers from the EMF19 meeting. For the MERGE model, for example, we are currently conducting a decomposition analysis of the AEEI factor, looking at two components the energy efficiency improvement due to pure (or technical) energy efficiency gains and the improvement due to structural changes in the composition of the economy. With regards to the SGM model, we are planning to adjust our model setup in way that the results yield an immediate comparison to the SGM production structure. We plan to initiate a similar collaboration with other IA modelers in the in the course of this project.

อนเ[ื่อนเฺL puɒ sysɒL

Project start date: October 1, 2000

Task I: Gathering and inputting of KLEM, price, and other data for the agricultural sector in South Korea and India. Exploring data availability for two additional countries (potentially Indonesia and Mexico) (October I, 2000- January 31, 2001).

Task 2: Analysis for India and South Korea, beginning preparation of draft papers, reporting results (one set for each country). Gathering and inputting data for the additional countries' agricultural sector. (February 1, 2001- July 31, 2001).

Task 3: Completion of analysis for India and South Korea, completion and circulation of final draft papers. Preparation of mid-term report and submission of mid-term report (August 1, 2001 - September 30, 2001).

Task 4: Gathering and inputting data for the electric power sector in India and South Korea, and the additional countries (potentially Indonesia and Mexico); revision of Indian and South Korean papers on the agriculture sector. (October 1, 2001 - January 31, 2002).

Task 5: Analysis for the electric power sector in India and South Korea and the two additional countries, preparation of draft papers. (February 1, 2002 - July 31, 2002).

Preparation and submission of final report (August 1, 2002 - September 30, 2002).

## 4. Institutional Capability

policy analysis of energy efficiency. optimization, the theory of endogenous technological change, and the economics and Al modeling with particular emphasis on the mathematics of infinite-horizon developing countries' economies. Dr. Alan Sanstad's current research is also focused on invaluable experience in the analysis of productivity trends and technological change in Berkeley. Over the course of the current and previous project she has accumulated has also studied in the Department of Economics at the University of California at received her graduate degree in Economics from the University of Bonn, Germany, and econometric methods for use in the manufacturing sector studies. Ms. Katja Schumacher Roy is a professor of economics and expert at econometric analysis. She developed the long-term contacts with relevant institutions in the proposed countries. Dr. Joyashree has extensive experience in the analysis of energy use in developing countries, and has conducting the aforementioned analyses. Dr. Jayant Sathaye, the principal investigator identical study for the manufacturing sector and have developed the methods for investigators provide expertise in all these areas. The investigators have worked on an specified sectors for India, S. Korea, and potentially Mexico and Indonesia. The agricultural output data, (2) econometric estimation of total factor productivity for the major thrusts of this work involves (1) statistical analysis of the electric power and Vitae of the principal investigators of the proposed work are attached to the proposal. The

This project will be conducted primarily at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBML) in Berkeley, California. LBML has extensive data sources for the developing countries, computer resources and modeling expertise. In addition to the expertise at available. Those resources include access to the state of the art computer systems and one of the finest research library systems in the country. We have been in close contact with University of California at Berkeley and Davis. In particular, Prof. Zilberman and Prof. De lanvry at UC Berkeley have conducted a broad range of empirical research in the articular, Prof. Zilberman and Prof. De lanvry at UC Berkeley have conducted a broad range of empirical research in the area of a sericultural and environmental resources economics including the economics of technological change in agriculture and electric power sectors (Zilberman et al., 1993; De lanvry, 1985, 1986). Prof. Morrison Paul at UC Bavis specializes in cost structures and measurement of economics with empires are research in the area many research in the area of a magnetical change in agriculture and electric power sectors (Vortison Paul at UC Bavis specializes in cost structures and measurement of economic performance with empires are research in the effects on technological change (Morrison, 1992, 1994, 1999).

The project will benefit from extensive collaboration with researchers in the above mentioned research groups. The following contacts have considerable experience in the field of energy and economics.

## Brazil

Prof. Gilberto De M. Jannuzzi and Maximo Pompermayer, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Escritorio de Transferencia de Tecnologia –ETT.

## <u>findia</u>

Prof. Puran Mongia, Delhi School of Economics, Delhi University, Delhi. Prof. Joyashree Roy, Department of Economics, Jadavpur University, Calcutta.

#### <u>findonesia</u>

Prof. Rizaldi Boer, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor. Iwan Hermanto, Badan Pusat Statistik, National Statistical Office, Jakarta. Agus Adi, BPPT, Jakarta.

#### <u>Korea</u>

÷

ž

Prof. Jeong-Dong Lee, President Council on Energy and Environment, Korea. Prof. Jeong-Dong Lee, Techno-Economics and Policy Program, University of Seoul.

#### Mexico

Prof. Claudia Sheinbaum and Leticia Ozawa Meida, Ingenieria Energetica, Division de Estudios de Posgrado, Facultad de Ingeniera, UNAM, Ciudad Universitaria.

#### South Africa

Ria Louw, Statistics South Africa, Department of Statistics, Pretoria. Prof. Randall Spalding-Fecher and Martine Visser, Energy & Development Research Centre, University of Cape Town.

ABARE, 1996. The MEGABARE Model: Interim Documentation. Canberra. Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics.

Abler, David G., George S. Tolley, and G.K. Kripalani, 1994. Technical Change and Income Distribution in Indian Agriculture, Westview Press, Boulder, San Francisco, Oxford.

Berndt, E.R. and David O. Wood, 1984. "Energy Price Changes and the Induced Revaluation of Durable Capital in U.S. Manufacturing During the OPEC Decade". MIT Energy Laboratory Report 84-003, March.

Berndt, E.R. and David O. Wood, 1987. "Energy Price Shocks and Productivity Growth: A Survey", in *Energy: Markets and Regulation*, (Richard L. Gordon, Henry D. Jacoby and Martin B. Zimmerman, eds.) Cambridge: MIT Press.

Binswanger, Hans P., 1978. " Measured Biases of Technical Change: The United States, in Binswanger Hans P., V. Ruttan and others (eds.) Induced Innovation – Technology, Institutions, and Development, The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, London.

Carlson, Gerald A., David Zilberman, John A. Miranowski (eds.), 1993. Agricultural and Environmental Resource Economics. Oxford University Press, New York.

Christensen, Laurits R. and William H. Greene, 1976. "Economies of Scale in U.S. Electric Power Generation", in Journal of Political Economy 84(4).

Christensen, L.R., Jorgenson, D.W., and Lau, L.J. (1971): "Conjugate Duality and the Transcendental Logarithmic Production Function", *Econometrica*, Vol. 39, No.4.

De Janvry, Alain, and Jean-Jacques Dethier, 1985. Technological Innovation in Agriculture: The Political Economy of its Rate and Bias, Study Paper/Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research No. 1, World Bank, Washington DC.

De Janvry, Alain and K. Subbarao, 1986. "Agricultural Price Policy and Income Distribution in India", Studies in Economic Development and Planning, No. 43. Oxford University Press, New York, Delhi.

Desai, Bhupat M. and N.V. Namboodiri, 1997. "Determinants of Total Factor Productivity in Indian Agriculture", in Economic and Political Weekly, December 27.

Edmonds, James A. & H. M. Pitcher, D. Barns, R. Baron, M. A. Wise. 1992. "Modeling future greenhouse gas emissions: The second generation model description." Report, Pacific Northwest Laboratory.

Edmonds, James A & M.A. Wise, C.N. MacCracken. 1994. "Advanced Energy Technologies and Climate Change: An Analysis Using the Global Change Assessment Model." Report, Pacific Northwest Laboratory.

Ellis, J. & K. Treanton. 1998. "Recent Trends in Energy-related CO2 Emissions." Energy Policy 26 (3) (February),159-166.

Eng, Pierre van der, 1996. Agricultural Growth in Indonesia – Productivity Change and Policy Impact since 1880, St. Martin's Press Inc. New York.

Fernandez-Cornejo, Jorge and C. Richard Shumway, 1997. "Research and Productivity in Mexican Agricultural Economics 79: 738-753.

Fisher-Vanden, Karen & J. Edmonds, H. Pitcher, D. Barns, R. Baron, S. Kim, C. MacCracken, E. L. Malone, R. D. Sands, M. Wise. 1993. "The Second Generation Model of Energy Use, The Economy, and Greenhouse Gas Emissions." Report, Pacific Northwest Laboratory.

Fisher-Vanden, K.A., P.R. Shukla, J.A. Edmonds, S.H. Kim, H.M. Pitcher, 1997. "Carbon Taxes and India", in *Energy* Economics 19:289-325.

Fuss, Melvyn A. (1977). "The Demand for Energy in Canadian Manufacturing – An Example of the Estimation of Production Structure with Many Inputs", Journal of Econometrics, 5:89-116.

Hatziprokopiou, Michalis, G. Karagiannis, and K. Velentzas, 1996. "Production Structure, Technical Change, and Productivity Growth in Albanian Agriculture", in Journal of Comparative Economics, 22: 295-310.

Hayami, Yujiro and Vernon Ruttan. 1985. Agricultural Development: An International Perspective. Rev. ed. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Hogan, William & Dale W. Jorgenson. 1991. "Productivity Trends and the Cost of Reducing CO2 Emissions." The Energy Journal 12 (1), 67-85.

Jacoby, Henry D. and Ian Sue Wing, 1999. "Adjustment Time, Capital Malleability and Policy Cost", in *The Energy Journal*. Special Issue.

Jorgenson, Dale W. & Barbara M. Fraumeni. 1981. "Relative Prices and Technical Change." In E. R. Berndt and B. Fields, eds., Modeling and Measuring Natural Resource Substitution. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Jorgenson, Dale W. & Frank M. Gollop, Barbara M Fraumeni. 1987. Productivity and U. S. Economic Growth. Amsterdam-Oxford: North-Holland.

Khanna, Madhu, 1995. Technology Adoption and the Abatement of Greenhouse Gases: The Thermal Power Sector in India, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California at Berkeley.

Khanna, Madhu and David Zilberman, 1999a. "Freer Markets and the Abatement of Carbon Emissions: The Electricity-Generating Sector in India", Resource and Energy Economics, 21(2):125-152.

Khanna, Madhu and David Zilberman, 1999b. "Barriers to Energy Efficiency in Electricity Generation in India", The Energy Journal, 20(1):25-41.

Kalirajan, K.P., M.B. Obwona, and S. Zhao, 1996. "A Decomposition of Total Factor Productivity Growth: The Case of Chinese Agricultural Growth Before and After Reforms", in American Journal of Agricultural Economics 78:331-338, May.

Kendrick, John W. 1957. "Productivity Trends: Capital and Labour." Review of Economics and Statistics 39 (3) (August), 248-257.

Kendrick, John W. & B. N. Vacona, eds. 1980. New Developments in Productivity Measurement and Analysis. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Manne, Alan and Richard Richels, 1999. "The Kyoto Protocol: A Cost-Effective Strategy for Meeting Environmental Objectives?", in *The Energy Journal*. Special Issue.

Mongia, Puran & Jayant A. Sathaye. 1995. "Macroeconomic Implications of CO2 Mitigation in Venezuela." Interciencia 20 (6) (November-December), 360-366.

Mongia, Puran and Jayant Sathaye, 1998a. "Productivity Trends in India's Energy Intensive Industries: A Growth Accounting Analysis", LBML-41838, Lawrence Berkeley Wational Laboratory.

Mongia, Puran and Jayant Sathaye1998b. "Productivity Growth and Technical Change in India's Energy Intensive Industries – A Survey", LBNL-41840, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Morrison, Catherine J., 1992. A Microeconomic Approach to the Measurement of Economic Performance: Productivity Growth, Capacity Utilization and Related Performance Indicators. Springer-Verlag Press.

Morrison, Catherine J., 1994. "The Cyclical Nature of Markups in Canadian Manufacturing: A Production Theory Approach", in *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 9: 269-282, July-September.

Morrison Paul, Catherine J., 1999. Cost Structure and the Measurement of Economic Performance. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Boston/Dordrecht/London.

Nishimizu, Mieko and John M. Page (Jr.), 1989. "Productivity Change and Growth in Industry and Agriculture: An International Comparison", in Williamson, Jeffrey G. and Vadiraj R. Panchamukhi (eds.), *The Balance between Industry and Agriculture in Economic Development*, Volume 2, Proceedings of the Eighth World Congress of the International Economic Association, Delhi, India. MacMillan Press Ltd.

Pyndick, Robert S. (1979). "Interfuel Substitution and the Industrial Demand for Energy: An International Comparison", Review of Economics and Statistics, May: 169-179.

Rosegrant, M. W. and Robert E. Evenson, 1992. "Agricultural Productivity and Sources of Growth in South Asia", in American Journal of Agricultural Economics 74(3): 757-761.

Roy, J., J. Sathaye, A. Sanstad, P. Mongia, and K. Schumacher, 1999. "Productivity The Energy Journal, 20 (3): 33-61.

Roy, Joyashree, Katja Schumacher, Jayant Sathaye, and Alan Sanstad, 2000. "Patterns of Fuel Input Use and Technological Change in India's Energy-Intensive Industries". Draft LBNL-45498, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Sands, Ronald D., James A. Edmonds, and Christopher N. MacCracken, 2000. SGM2000: Model Description and Theory. Draft Report. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

Sanstad, Alan H. & Lorna A. Greening. 1998. "Economic models for climate policy analysis: A critical discussion." Environmental Modeling and Assessment, 3:3-18.

Sathaye, Jayant A. & Alan H. Sanstad. 1997. "Representation of Developing Country Features in Integrated Assessment Models." Paper presented at Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Asia-Pacific Workshop on Integrated Assessment Models, United Nations University, Tokyo, Japan 10-12 March 1997.

Schumacher, K., and J. Sathaye, 1998. "India's Iron and Steel Industry: Productivity, Energy Efficiency and Carbon Emissions", LBNL-41844, Lawrence Berkeley National

Schumacher, K., and J. Sathaye, 1999a. "India's Aluminum Industry: Productivity, Energy Efficiency and Carbon Emissions", LBNL-41845, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Schumacher, K., and J. Sathaye, 1999b. "India's Cement Industry: Productivity, Energy Efficiency and Carbon Emissions", LBNL-41842, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Schumacher, K., and J. Sathaye, 1999c. "India's Fertilizer Industry: Productivity and Energy Efficiency", LBNL-41846, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Schumacher, K., and J. Sathaye, 1999d. "India's Pulp and Paper Industry: Productivity and Energy Efficiency", LBNL-41843, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Draft LBNL-45499, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

Shah, Maya, 1982. Growth, Productivity and Technical Progress in Electricity in India, 1951-1977, Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Economics, Faculty of Arts, University of Baroda.

Sharma, Subhash C., 1991, "Technological change and elasticities of substitution in Korean agriculture", in Journal of Development Economics, 35: 147-172.

Solow, Robert M. 1956. "A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth."

Solow, Robert M. 1957. "Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function." Review of Economics and Statistics 39 (3) (August), 312-320.

Taylor, Lance, ed. 1990. Socially relevant policy analysis : structuralist computable general equilibrium models for the developing world. Cambridge, Mass. : MIT Press.

Tulpulé, Vivek, Stephen Brown, Jaekyu Lim, Cain Polidano, Hom Pant, and Brian Fisher, 1999. "The Kyoto Protocol: An Economic Analysis Using GTEM", in *The Energy Journal*, Special Issue.

Wong, Lung-Fai, 1989. "Agricultural Productivity in China and India: A Comparative Analysis", Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics 37:77-93.

Yang, Zili, & R. S. Eckhaus, A. D. Ellerman, H. D. Jacoby. 1996. "The MIT emissions prediction and policy analysis (EPPA) model." The MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, Report No. 6, Cambridge.

Zilberman, David, Madhu Khanna, and L. Lipper, 1997. "Economics of New Technologies for Sustainable Agriculture", Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resources Economics, 41(1): 63-80.

÷

÷