

# eScholarship

## Combinatorial Theory

### Title

Large hypergraphs without tight cycles

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7hk9r04f>

### Journal

Combinatorial Theory, 1(0)

### ISSN

2766-1334

### Author

Janzer, Barnabás

### Publication Date

2021

### DOI

10.5070/C61055374

### Copyright Information

Copyright 2021 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# LARGE HYPERGRAPHS WITHOUT TIGHT CYCLES

Barnabás Janzer\*<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom  
bkj21@cam.ac.uk*

Submitted: Dec 15, 2020; Accepted: Jul 27, 2021; Published: Dec 15, 2021

© The author. Released under the CC BY license (International 4.0).

**Abstract.** An  $r$ -uniform tight cycle of length  $\ell > r$  is a hypergraph with vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_\ell$  and edges  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{i+r-1}\}$  (for all  $i$ ), with the indices taken modulo  $\ell$ . It was shown by Sudakov and Tomon that for each fixed  $r \geq 3$ , an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph on  $n$  vertices which does not contain a tight cycle of any length has at most  $n^{r-1+o(1)}$  hyperedges, but the best known construction (with the largest number of edges) only gives  $\Omega(n^{r-1})$  edges. In this note we prove that, for each fixed  $r \geq 3$ , there are  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs with  $\Omega(n^{r-1} \log n / \log \log n)$  edges which contain no tight cycles, showing that the  $o(1)$  term in the exponent of the upper bound is necessary.

**Mathematics Subject Classifications.** 05C65, 05C38

## 1. Introduction

A well-known basic fact about graphs states that a graph on  $n$  vertices containing no cycle of any length has at most  $n - 1$  edges, with this upper bound being tight. To find generalisations of this result (and other results concerning cycles) for  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs with  $r \geq 3$ , we need a corresponding notion of cycles in hypergraphs. There are several types of hypergraph cycles for which Turán-type problems have been widely studied, including Berge cycles and loose cycles [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7]. In this note we will consider tight cycles, for which it appears to be rather difficult to obtain extremal results.

Given positive integers  $r \geq 2$  and  $\ell > r$ , an  $r$ -uniform tight cycle of length  $\ell$  is a hypergraph with vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_\ell$  and edges  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{i+r-1}\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , with the indices taken modulo  $\ell$ . Observe that for  $r = 2$  a tight cycle of length  $\ell$  is just a cycle of length  $\ell$  in the usual sense. Let  $f_r(n)$  denote the maximal number of edges that an  $r$ -uniform hypergraph on  $n$  vertices can have if it has no subgraph isomorphic to a tight cycle of any length. So  $f_2(n) = n - 1$ . It is easy to see that the hypergraph obtained by taking all edges containing a certain point is tight-cycle-free, giving a lower bound  $f_r(n) \geq \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . Sós and independently Verstraëte (see [10])

---

\*Supported by EPSRC DTG.

raised the problem of estimating  $f_r(n)$ , and asked whether the lower bound  $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$  is tight. This question was answered in the negative by Huang and Ma [5], who showed that for  $r \geq 3$  there exists  $c_r > 0$  such that if  $n$  is sufficiently large then  $f_r(n) \geq (1 + c_r)\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . Very recently, Sudakov and Tomon [9] showed that  $f_r(n) \leq n^{r-1+o(1)}$  for each fixed  $r$ , and commented that it is widely believed that the correct order of magnitude is  $\Theta(n^{r-1})$ . The main result of this paper is the following theorem, which disproves this conjecture.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For each fixed  $r \geq 3$  we have  $f_r(n) = \Omega(n^{r-1} \log n / \log \log n)$ . In particular,  $f_r(n)/n^{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The upper bound of Sudakov and Tomon [9] is  $n^{r-1}e^{c_r\sqrt{\log n}}$ , although they remark that it might be possible to use their approach to get an upper bound of  $n^{r-1}(\log n)^{O(1)}$ .

Concerning tight cycles of a given length, we mention the following interesting problem of Conlon (see [8]), which remains open.

**Question 1.2 (Conlon).** Given  $r \geq 3$ , does there exist some  $c = c(r)$  constant such that whenever  $\ell > r$  and  $\ell$  is divisible by  $r$  then any  $r$ -uniform hypergraph on  $n$  vertices which does not contain a tight cycle of length  $\ell$  has at most  $O(n^{r-1+c/\ell})$  edges?

Note that we need the assumption that  $\ell$  is divisible by  $r$ , otherwise a complete  $r$ -uniform  $r$ -partite hypergraph has no tight cycle of length  $\ell$  and has  $\Theta(n^r)$  edges.

## 2. Proof of our result

The key observation for our construction is the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $n, k, t$  are positive integers and  $G_1, \dots, G_t$  are edge-disjoint subgraphs of  $K_{n,n}$  such that no  $G_i$  contains a cycle of length at most  $2k$ . Assume furthermore that  $kt \leq n$ . Then there is a tight-cycle-free 3-partite 3-uniform hypergraph on at most  $3n$  vertices having  $k \sum_{i=1}^t |E(G_i)|$  hyperedges.*

*Proof.* Let the two vertex classes of  $K_{n,n}$  be  $X$  and  $Y$ , and let  $Z = [t] \times [k]$ . (As usual,  $[m]$  denotes  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .) Our 3-uniform hypergraph has vertex classes  $X, Y, Z$  and hyperedges

$$\{\{x, y, z\} : x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z, z = (i, s) \text{ for some } i \in [t] \text{ and } s \in [k], \text{ and } \{x, y\} \in E(G_i)\}.$$

In other words, for each  $G_i$  we add  $k$  new vertices (denoted  $(i, s)$  for  $s = 1, \dots, k$ ), and we replace each edge of  $G_i$  by the  $k$  hyperedges obtained by adding one of the new vertices corresponding to  $G_i$  to the edge.

We need to show that our hypergraph contains no tight cycles. Since our hypergraph is 3-partite, it is easy to see that any tight cycle is of the form  $x_1y_1z_1x_2y_2z_2 \dots x_\ell y_\ell z_\ell$  (for some  $\ell \geq 2$  positive integer) with  $x_j \in X, y_j \in Y, z_j \in Z$  for all  $j$ . Assume that  $z_1 = (i, s_1)$ . Then  $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{y_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, y_2\} \in E(G_i)$ . But  $\{x_2, y_2\} \in E(G_i)$  implies that  $z_2$  must be of the form  $(i, s_2)$  for some  $s_2$ . Repeating this argument, we deduce that there are  $s_j \in [k]$  such that  $z_j = (i, s_j)$  for all  $j$ , and  $x_jy_j, y_jx_{j+1} \in E(G_i)$  for all  $j$  (with the indices taken mod  $\ell$ ). Hence  $x_1y_1x_2y_2 \dots x_\ell y_\ell$  is a cycle in  $G_i$ , giving  $\ell > k$ . But the vertices  $z_j = (i, s_j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ ) must all be distinct, and there are  $k$  possible values for the second coordinate, giving  $\ell \leq k$ . We get a contradiction, giving the result.  $\square$

We mention that Lemma 2.1 can be generalised to give  $(r + r')$ -uniform tight-cycle-free hypergraphs if we have edge-disjoint  $r$ -uniform hypergraphs  $G_1, \dots, G_t$  not containing tight cycles of length at most  $rk$  and edge-disjoint  $r'$ -uniform hypergraphs  $H_1, \dots, H_t$  not containing tight cycles of length more than  $r'k$ . Indeed, we can take all edges  $e \cup f$  with  $e \in E(G_i), f \in E(H_i)$  for some  $i$ . (Then Lemma 2.1 may be viewed as the special case  $r = 2, r' = 1$ .)

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that whenever  $k \leq \alpha \log n / \log \log n$  then we can find edge-disjoint subgraphs  $G_1, \dots, G_t$  of  $K_{n,n}$  with  $t = \lfloor n/k \rfloor$  such that no  $G_i$  contains a cycle of length at most  $2k$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^t |E(G_i)| = (1 - o(1))n^2$ .*

*Proof.* It is well-known (and can be proved by a standard probabilistic argument) that there are constants  $\beta, c > 0$  such that if  $n$  is sufficiently large and  $k \leq \beta \log n$  then there exists a subgraph  $H$  of  $K_{n,n}$  which has no cycle of length at most  $2k$  and has  $|E(H)| \geq n^{1+c/k}$ . We randomly and independently pick copies  $H_1, \dots, H_t$  of  $H$  in  $K_{n,n}$ . Let  $G_1 = H_1$  and  $E(G_i) = E(H_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} E(H_j)$  for  $i \geq 2$ . Then certainly the  $G_i$  are edge-disjoint and no  $G_i$  contains a cycle of length at most  $2k$ . Furthermore, the probability that a given edge is not contained in any  $H_i$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |E(H)|/n^2)^t &\leq \exp(-|E(H)|t/n^2) \\ &\leq \exp(-n^{1+c/k} \lfloor n/k \rfloor / n^2) = \exp(-n^{c/k} / k(1 + o(1))). \end{aligned}$$

This is  $o(1)$  as long as  $k \leq \alpha \log n / \log \log n$  for some constant  $\alpha > 0$ . Therefore the expected value of  $|\bigcup_{i=1}^t E(H_i)|$  is  $(1 - o(1))n^2$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^t |E(G_i)| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^t E(H_i)|$ , the result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* First consider the case  $r = 3$ . Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 together show that if  $k \leq \alpha \log n / \log \log n$  then there is a tight-cycle-free 3-partite 3-uniform hypergraph on  $3n$  vertices with  $(1 - o(1))kn^2$  edges. This shows  $f_3(n) = \Omega(n^2 \log n / \log \log n)$ , as claimed.

For  $r \geq 4$ , observe that  $f_r(2n) \geq f_{r-1}(n)n$ . Indeed, if  $H$  is an  $(r - 1)$ -uniform tight-cycle-free hypergraph on  $n$  vertices, then we can construct a tight-cycle-free  $r$ -uniform hypergraph  $H'$  on  $2n$  vertices with  $n|E(H)|$  edges as follows. The vertex set of  $H'$  is the disjoint union of  $[n]$  and the vertex set  $V(H)$  of  $H$ , and the edges are  $e \cup \{i\}$  with  $e \in E(H)$  and  $i \in [n]$ . Then any tight cycle in  $H'$  must be of the form  $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{\ell_r}$  with  $v_i \in V(H)$  if  $i$  is not a multiple of  $r$  and  $v_i \in [n]$  if  $i$  is a multiple of  $r$ . But then we get a tight cycle  $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{r-1} v_{r+1} v_{r+2} \dots v_{2r-1} v_{2r+1} \dots v_{\ell_r-1}$  in  $H$  by removing each vertex from  $[n]$  from this cycle. This is a contradiction, so  $H'$  contains no tight cycles. The result follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Béla Bollobás and Ervin Győri, *Pentagons vs. triangles*, Discrete Mathematics **308** (2008), no. 19, 4332–4336.
- [2] Zoltán Füredi and Tao Jiang, *Hypergraph Turán numbers of linear cycles*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **123** (2014), no. 1, 252–270.

- [3] Ervin Győri, *Triangle-free hypergraphs*, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **15** (2006), no. 1-2, 185–191.
- [4] Ervin Győri and Nathan Lemons, *Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length*, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **21** (2012), no. 1-2, 193.
- [5] Hao Huang and Jie Ma, *On tight cycles in hypergraphs*, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **33** (2019), no. 1, 230–237.
- [6] Tao Jiang and Jie Ma, *Cycles of given lengths in hypergraphs*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **133** (2018), 54–77.
- [7] Alexandr Kostochka, Dhruv Mubayi, and Jacques Verstraëte, *Turán problems and shadows I: Paths and cycles*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **129** (2015), 57–79.
- [8] Dhruv Mubayi, Oleg Pikhurko, and Benny Sudakov, *Hypergraph Turán problem: Some open questions*, 2011.
- [9] Benny Sudakov and István Tomon, *The Extremal Number of Tight Cycles*, *International Mathematics Research Notices* (2021), rnaa396.
- [10] Jacques Verstraëte, *Extremal problems for cycles in graphs*, *Recent trends in combinatorics*, Springer, 2016, pp. 83–116.