Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ANALYZING POWER AND PHASE-SHIFT ANALYSIS OF PROTON-HELIUM ELASTIC SCATTERING AT TO AND 80 MeV

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7hc6p3b9

Authors

Perez-Mendez, Victor Sperinde, Johnie M. Stetz, Albert W.

Publication Date

1969-01-15

ey. Z

ANALYZING POWER AND PHASE-SHIFT ANALYSIS OF PROTON-HELIUM ELASTIC SCATTERING AT 70 AND 80 MeV

RECEIVED LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY

FEB 20 1969

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION

Victor Perez-Mendez, Johnie M. Sperinde, and Albert W. Stetz

January 15, 1969



DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

To be submitted to Physics Letters

UCRL-18697 Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ANALYZING POWER AND PHASE-SHIFT ANALYSIS OF PROTON-HELIUM ELASTIC SCATTERING AT 70 AND 80 MeV

Victor Perez-Mendez, Johnie M. Sperinde, and Albert W. Stetz

January 15, 1969

ANALYZING POWER AND PHASE-SHIFT ANALYSIS OF PROTON-HELIUM ELASTIC SCATTERING AT 70 AND 80 MeV *

Victor Perez-Mendez, Johnie M. Sperinde, and Albert W. Stetz

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

January 15, 1969

ABSTRACT

We have used a helium-filled streamer chamber to measure the analyzing power of proton-helium elastic scattering at 70- and 80-MeV incident proton energy. We have completed phase-shift analyses of data at 63, 70, 80, and 94 MeV and obtained solutions which vary smoothly with energy and are consistent with results at lower energies.

Development of the helium-filled streamer chamber¹ has made it especially useful to know the analyzing power of helium over the complete range of energies for which the streamer chamber is a useful tool for measuring nucleon polarization. Knowledge of the analyzing power above 63 MeV is also important in extending the proton-helium phase-shift analyses² to higher energies. In this experiment the analyzing power of helium was measured at 70 and 80 MeV by polarizing the external proton beam of the 184-inch cyclotron and allowing it to scatter a second time in the helium gas in a streamer chamber. The polarizing target was polyethylene (CH₂); the secondary beam consisted of protons scattered elastically from hydrogen at 120 deg c.m. angle as well as some protons scattered inelastically from carbon nuclei. The net beam polarization was -0.37 ± 0.03.

The analyzing power was obtained by observing the left-right asymmetry of protons scattered from helium near the horizontal plane of the streamer chamber. The horizontal projection of the tracks was photographed through an image intensifier, and the exact scattering angles were reconstructed from the projected angles by assuming elastic kinematics. Events which also satisfied ${}^{4}\text{He}(p,d){}^{3}\text{He}$ kinematics to within the tolerances of the measured angles were rejected. The number of ${}^{4}\text{He}(p,np){}^{3}\text{He}$ events accepted as elastic events was estimated by Monte Carlo calculations and was negligible. All other inelastic reactions that are possible at these energies result in final states with three charged particles. These events were eliminated as the film was scanned.

The experimental results are listed in Table 1 and shown in Figs. 1(a) and (b). The smooth curves are the result of phase-shift analyses based on the data of this experiment, the polarization measurements at

63 and 96 MeV,^{3,4} and the differential cross section measurements at 66 and 94 MeV.⁵ These solutions were obtained in the customary way by least-squares fitting to the polarization and differential cross section data at each energy. In order to obtain solutions at 70 and 80 MeV it was necessary to interpolate between the differential cross section data at 66 and 94 MeV. This can be done plausibly since the differential cross sections at 55, 66, 93 and 147 MeV, when plotted as functions of momentum transfer $q = 2k \sin \theta/2$, are nearly congruent over a range of q from 0.4 to 3.0 f⁻¹; i.e., from 10 to 100 deg in the center of mass. No attempt was made to constrain the differential cross section outside this interval.

The 55-MeV p-He phase shifts given in Ref. 2 were used as the starting point for the search for these solutions. Phase-shift solutions at isolated energies are definitely not unique; however, only one set of solutions was found that was consistent with the results at lower energies and had a plausible energy dependence up to 94 MeV.

The phase shifts and absorption parameters and their standard errors are listed in Tables 2 and 3. The errors reflect not only the quality of data used for each fit but also the extent to which the data can constrain the phase shifts. The anomalously large errors on some of the 70- and 80-MeV parameters are due to the lack of any polarization or differential cross section data at backward angles rather than any defect in the fit of the extant data. The χ^2 at 94 MeV is too high, mostly because of a poor fit with the small-angle differential cross section data.

A maximum angular momentum of l = 5 was used at each energy. Including i-wave phase shifts did not noticeably improve the fit, even at 94 MeV.

The phase shifts obtained at the four energies are consistent among

-2-

themselves to within the stated errors, as well as consistent with the results at lower energies. The s-wave phase shift is constant at -135 deg, while the $p_{3/2}$ term continues its gradual decline from resonance. The d-wave phase shifts persist in the order of 10 to 20 deg with the characteristic inverted doublet structure; the higher partial waves are all small. Absorption is negligible in the s state and in the high angular momentum states. The other η 's decrease gradually with increasing energy.

-3-

This analysis, together with the results at lower energies, essentially determines the phase shifts and the analyzing power at forward angles from zero to 96 MeV. The forward peak in the analyzing power, which increases with increasing energy above 70 MeV, makes helium a useful analyzer for polarized nucleons at these energies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to express our appreciation to Anne Carter for her work in analyzing the film and to James Vale and the 184-inch cyclotron crew for their cooperation throughout the experiment.

FOOTNOTE AND REFERENCES

* Work done under auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

 V. Perez-Mendez and A. W. Stetz, Development of a Helium-Filled Streamer Chamber System, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-16891, May 1968.

- H. E. Conzett, Analysis of Proton-Alpha Cross Section and Polarization Data from 20 to 60 MeV, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-16767, March 1966.
- 3. H. E. Conzett (Lawrence Radiation Laboratory), private communication, July 1968. Also, E. T. Boschitz, J. Chabre, H. E. Conzett, E. Shield, and R. J. Slobodrian, Polarization in p-He⁴ Scattering Between 27 and 63 MeV, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-16216, August 1965.
- 4. K. Gotow, Scattering of Polarized Protons by Helium at 203 MeV and 96 MeV, University of Rochester Report NY0-2532, January 1959.
- 5. A. M. Cormack, U. N. Palmieri, N. F. Ramsey, and R. Wilson, Phys. Rev. 115, (1959) 599.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Analyzing power in p + He elastic scattering as a function of c.m. angle at (a) 70 MeV, (b) 80 MeV.

Table	1.	Analyzing	power	in p	+ ⁴ He	elastic	scattering	as a	function
	of	c.m. angle	for $70-$	and &	30 - MeV	incider	t kinetic	energ	V.

•

-6-

70	MeV	80 MeV			
θ (deg)	Analyzing power		θ (deg)	Analyzing power	
24 ± 2	0.62 ± 0.21		25 ± 3	-0.09 ± 0.19	
28 ± 2	0.24 ± 0.14		30 ± 2	0.06 ± 0.18	
32 ± 2	0.01 ± 0.12		34 ± 2	0.55 ± 0.17	
36 ± 2	0.12 ± 0.12	·	38 ± 2	0.16 ± 0.19	
40 ± 2	-0.18 ± 0.13		42 ± 2	0.02 ± 0.19	
44 ± 2	-0.18 ± 0.15		47 ± 3	0.01 ± 0.18	
48 ± 2	0.05 ± 0.17		53 ± 3	-0.14 ± 0.25	
53 ± 3	0.01 ± 0.19	•	60 ± 4	-0.19 ± 0.24	
59 ± 3	0.10 ± 0.23	* .	69 ± 5	-0.50 ± 0.33	
66 ± 4	0.04 ± 0.29	• • •			
96 ± 4	-0.15 ± 0.34				
. a					

	والمرجب المتحي بجرار المجري والمتشر فيتكر أثبي يربعه والمتحد والمتشر فالمحرب	فيتحصانك المحجبين المتهيدين وبراني ومعانية الشني الراجعة التجيين م		سے برد چین پر اور میں جارتے ہے۔ کارکر ہے کا تک اور <u>میں میں والد کی برا انتظام میں اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور اور</u>
State	63 MeV	70 MeV	80 MeV	94 MeV
^S 1/2	-2.36 ± 0.04	-2.38 ± 0.13	-2.37 ± 0.59	-2.40 ± 0.07
P _{3/2}	0.81 ± 0.07	0.72 ± 0.07	0.74 ± 0.23	0.56 ± 0.07
P _{1/2}	0.42 ± 0.05	0.39 ± 0.18	0.42 ± 0.69	0.52 ± 0.10
D _{5/2}	0.45 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.13	0.44 ± 0.25	0.30 ± 0.08
D _{3/2}	0.19 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.17	0.11 ± 0.51	0.29 ± 0.13
F _{7/2}	0.22 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.11	0.19 ± 0.18	0.14 ± 0.06
F _{5/2}	0.08 ± 0.03	-0.07 ± 0.12	-0.07 ± 0.20	0.00 ± 0.09
G9/2	0.04 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.07	0.16 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.03
$G_{7/2}$	0.00 ± 0.02	-0.10 ± 0.10	-0.05 ± 0.21	-0.07 ± 0.05
H11/2	0.04 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.06	0.06 ± 0.11	0.02 ± 0.02
H9/2	0.003± 0.01	-0.14 ± 0.10	0.06 ± 0.08	0.01 ± 0.03

Table 2.

Phase shifts for 63-, 70-, 80-, and 94-MeV elastic p-He scattering.

Table 3. Absorption parameters for 63-, 70-, 80-, and 94-MeV elastic p-He scattering.

·			:		
	State	63 MeV	70 MeV	80 MeV	94 MeV
	^S 1/2	0.98 ± 0.11	1.0 ± 0.10	0.88 ± 0.59	1.0 ± 0.01
	P _{3/2}	0.96 ± 0.12	0.89 ± 0.28	0.81 ± 0.43	0.78 ± 0.09
۰	P _{1/2}	0.86 ± 0.08	0.67 ± 0.52	0.92 ± 0.47	0.92 ± 0.12
	D _{5/2}	0.72 ± 0.14	0.70 ± 0.12	0.67 ± 0.41	0.65 ± 0.06
	D 3/2	0.75 ± 0.04	0.71 ± 0.11	0.69 ± 0.46	0.57 ± 0.09
	F _{7/2}	0.95 ± 0.06	0.76 ± 0.16	0.71 ± 0.31	0.74 ± 0.06
	F5/2	0.82 ± 0.03	0.93 ± 0.16	0.76 ± 0.35	0.52 ± 0.09
	G _{9/2}	0.90 ± 0.04	0.71 ± 0.14	0.78 ± 0.22	0.83 ± 0.05
	G _{7/2}	0.89 ± 0.03	0.92 ± 0.12	0.92 ± 0.31	0.67 ± 0.10
	H 11/2	0.83 ± 0.04	0.69 ± 0.11	0.87 ± 0.24	0.95 ± 0.04
	н 19/2	0.95 ± 0.03	0.98 ± 0.07	1.0 ± 0.10	0.94 ± 0.06



 θ (degrees)

XBL 688-5641



-10-

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720