# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

## Title

NUCLEAR MOMENTS OF Tb15T, Tb158, AND Tbl6| BY ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE AND NUCLEAR ALIGNMENT

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7g86c08t

## Authors

Easley, W.C. Barclay, J.A. Shirley, D.A.

**Publication Date** 

1967-08-01

C.K.L-1

# University of California Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

NUCLEAR MOMENTS OF Tb<sup>157</sup>, Tb<sup>158</sup>, AND Tb<sup>160</sup> BY ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE AND NUCLEAR ALIGNMENT

W. C. Easley, J. A. Barclay, and D. A. Shirley

August 1967

# TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

#### to be submitted to Phys. Rev.

UCRL-17578 Preprint

#### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory . Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

## NUCLEAR MOMENTS OF TD<sup>157</sup>, TD<sup>158</sup>, AND TD<sup>160</sup> BY ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE AND NUCLEAR ALIGNMENT

W. C. Easley, J. A. Barclay, and D. A. Shirley

August 1967

## NUCLEAR MOMENTS OF Tb<sup>157</sup>, Tb<sup>158</sup>, AND Tb<sup>160</sup> BY ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE AND NUCLEAR ALIGNMENT\*

W. C. Easley, J. A. Barclay, and D. A. Shirley

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

August 1967

#### ABSTRACT

Electron paramagnetic resonance experiments on yttrium ethylsulfate single crystals containing terbium isotopes in the relative abundances Tb<sup>159</sup>:  $\text{Tb}^{157}$ :  $\text{Tb}^{158}$  = 25: 1.6:1 were carried out at Q-band frequencies (35 GHz). The nuclear spin of  $Tb^{158}$  was found to be 3 and by comparison with the observed hyperfine structure of Tb<sup>159</sup> and its known moment, a magnetic moment  $\mu^{158}$  = 1.740(7) nm was calculated. Transitions due to Tb<sup>157</sup> were obscured by the stronger Tb<sup>159</sup> lines. Assuming I(157) = I(159) = 3/2, the following limits were set on the value of  $\mu^{157}$ :  $\mu^{157} = 2.0(1)$  nm. Tb<sup>160</sup> lines were also observed in YES single crystals. They gave  $\mu^{160} = 1.685(8)$  nm. Nuclear alignment experiments on Tb<sup>158</sup> in a single crystal of neodymium ethylsulfate were also carried out in order to determine a relationship between the quadrupole coupling constant and the magnetic hyperfine structure constant. This information, as well as existing nuclear orientation results on Tb<sup>160</sup>. was combined with the EPR data to obtain quadrupole coupling constants. Quadrupole moments calculated from the coupling constants were found to be:  $q^{158} = +2.7(5)$ barns and  $Q^{160} = +3.0(5)$  barns.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Several years ago two isotopes of terbium were studied in this Laboratory by nuclear orientation in the neodymium ethylsulfate lattice.<sup>1,2</sup> Because of the complexity of the hyperfine structure Hamiltonian relevant to this case the nuclear orientation parameters exhibited temperature dependences that were at once unique to terbium ethylsulfate and rather insensitive to the values of the nuclear magnetic moments. The data did however yield for each isotope rather accurate functional relationships between the nuclear magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments, so that combination of this relationship with an accurate determination of either moment by a different technique would yield the other moment accurately. Supplementary nuclear orientation measurements yielded approximate values for the individual moments. While neither isotope (Tb<sup>156</sup>,  $t_{1/2} = 5d$  and Tb<sup>160</sup>,  $t_{1/2} = 72d$ ) seemed sufficiently long-lived for electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies, it was thought that both nuclear orientation and EPR measurements were feasible on  $Tb^{158}$  (t<sub>1/2</sub> = 1200 y). These measurements have now been carried out and are reported below. In addition EPR has been observed in Tb<sup>160</sup>: these data are combined with the earlier orientation results.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL

-2-

# A. Nuclear Alignment of Tb<sup>158</sup>

The experimental procedures and apparatus for nuclear orientation studies have been described elsewhere.<sup>1</sup> The Tb<sup>158</sup> was prepared by neutron irradiation of separated Dy<sup>156</sup>, via the path Dy<sup>156</sup> (n, $\gamma$ ) Dy<sup>157</sup> (EC) Tb<sup>157</sup> (n, $\gamma$ ) Tb<sup>158</sup>. Three microcuries of <sup>158</sup>Tb<sup>3+</sup> were grown substitutionally into a 5 gm. single crystal of neodymium ethylsulfate (NES), which was cooled by adiabatic demagnetization to a series of temperatures in the range 1° K > T > 10<sup>-2</sup>° K. The warm-up rate of the crystal was monitored with an inductance bridge. Both NaI(T1) and Ge(Li) detectors were used to measure  $\gamma$ -ray intensities along the crystalline c axis. Heat leaks into the sample were small enough that usually three five minute cold counts could be taken in succession and the results averaged. Since all five minute counts agreed within statistical error, inhomogeneous heating effects were shown to be small. Absolute temperatures were determined using the revised NES temperature scale due to Blok et al.<sup>3</sup>

#### B. EPR Measurements

Yttrium ethylsulfate (YES) was chosen as the diamagnetic host for the EPR measurements because it is isostructural to NES. An isostructural salt is required in order to allow the direct comparison of parameters determined in the alignment experiments with those in the EPR experiments.<sup>1,2</sup> Baker and Bleaney<sup>4</sup> have observed the spectrum of natural terbium (100% Tb<sup>159</sup>, I = 3/2) in YES and have established the form of the spin Hamiltonian as well as the values of the corresponding parameters.

The amount of Tb<sup>158</sup> available was small by EPR standards. It was therefore necessary to grow the Tb<sup>158</sup>: YES single crystal from a very small volume (.040 cc) of saturated  $D_{2}O$  solution, very slowly (4 weeks), to insure that the resulting crystal contained most of the activity. The crystal obtained was perfectly formed, weighing approximately 5 mg and containing  $4 \times 10^{14}$   $^{158}$  Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions. It was placed in the bottom of a right circular TE<sub>011</sub> cavity such that the microwave magnetic field was parallel to the c axis of the crystal. Both the  $\text{Tb}^{158}$  and  $\text{Tb}^{160}$  spectra were observed at 1° K using a superheterodyne spectrometer operating near 34.5 GHz with 150 Hz field modulation and synchronous detection. The static magnetic field was measured using either an NMR gaussmeter (Alpha Scientific - AL67) whose frequency was measured with a Hewlett-packard 524-B frequency counter or a rotating coil gaussmeter which had been calibrated with the NMR probe. Mass spectrometric analysis of the terbium sample gave the relative abundances of the three constituents to within an uncertainty of The results were:  $\text{Tb}^{159}$ :  $\text{Tb}^{157}$ :  $\text{Tb}^{158} = 25:1.6:1.$ 5%.

-3-

The  $\text{Tb}^{160}$  was prepared by irradiating 0.1 mg samples of natural Tb metal (Research Chemicals, 99.9% pure) for 17 days at a neutron flux of  $5.5 \times 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> in the MTR reactor, Arco, Idaho. This produced samples containing 2.5%  $\text{Tb}^{160}$ . Crystals weighing 10 mg and containing approximately  $10^{14}$   $1^{60}$  Tb<sup>3+</sup> ions (0.5 millicurie) were grown in a few hours out of 0.4 cc of saturated  $D_2^0$  solution by rapid evaporation, in order to minimize possible radiation damage effects. It was found incidentally, that Tb ions tend to grow into ethylsulfate lattice sites much more rapidly than do Y ions. The relatively high paramagnetic ion concentration (nominally 1%) was necessary in order to give sufficient Tb<sup>160</sup> ions to be observed. However, this concentration also gives an appreciable

number of Tb<sup>159</sup> pairs which also have an EPR spectrum.<sup>5</sup> The pair spectra tended to obscure some of the Tb<sup>160</sup> lines, but this could not be avoided. For crystals containing 0.5 mc of activity, no overt radiation damage effects were observed over periods of several hours.

II. FORM OF THE SPIN HAMILTONIAN FOR  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  IN THE ETHYLSULFATE  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  has an electronic configuration [Xe]  $4f^8$  and a ground state term  $^7\text{F}_6$ . (Lande g factor = 3/2). In the trigonal field of the ethylsulfate lattice the term is split into six doublets characterized by  $|\pm J_z\rangle$ , with the  $|\pm 6\rangle$  state lowest. The degeneracy of the ground doublet is not complete due to admixing of the  $|J_z = 0\rangle$  singlet by the sixth order crystal field potential  $V_6^6$ . The energy levels of the ground state doublet can be described by the following spin Hamiltonian:  $^{1,2,4}$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = g_{\parallel} \beta HS_{z} \cos\theta + \Delta_{x}S_{x} + \Delta_{y}S_{y} + AS_{z}I_{z} + P[I_{z}^{2} - 1/3 I(I+1)] + cT_{z}, \qquad (1)$$

where S = 1/2, A is the magnetic hfs constant, P is the quadrupole coupling constant, and  $\theta$  is the angle between the c axis and the applied field. The normal  $\Delta S_z = \pm 1$  magnetic dipole transitions have zero probability for the doublet, but transitions of the type  $\Delta S_z = 0$  are allowed by virtue of the zero field  $V_6^6$  mixing. The  $\Delta S_z = 0$  transitions are induced by applying the microwave field parallel to the c axis of the crystal. The zero-field splitting of the doublet is represented by the terms  $\Delta_x S_x + \Delta_y S_y$ . The term c  $T_z$ represents nearest-neighbor dipolar interactions. For Tb<sup>3+</sup> in NES,  $c = -2g_{\parallel}(Tb) g_{\parallel}(Nd) \beta^2/R^3$ , where R is the distance to the nearest neighbor. T<sub>z</sub> is the projection of the resultant spin of the two nearest neodymium neighbors on the c axis and assumes the values 0, ± 1.

The exact energy levels of (1) are given by

$$E(\pm S_{z}, I_{z}) = \pm 1/2 \left[ (g_{\parallel}\beta H \cos \theta + AI_{z} + c T_{z})^{2} + \Delta^{2} \right]^{1/2} + P[I_{z}^{2} - 1/3 I(I+1)]$$
(2)

where  $\Delta^2 = \Delta_x^2 + \Delta_y^2$ .

#### IV. RESULTS

A complete summary of the results of this work is given in Table I. Derivations of the quantities appearing in Table I are discussed in this and the next section.

# A. <u>Nuclear Alignment</u> of Tb<sup>158</sup>

The theory and procedures of obtaining hfs constants from  $\gamma$ -ray anisotropies of Tb isotopes in NES have been discussed previously.<sup>1,2</sup> The appropriate energies levels in zero external field are

$$E(I_{z}) = -1/2 [(AI_{z} + cT_{z})^{2} + \Delta^{2}]^{1/2} + P[I_{z}^{2} - 1/3I(I+1)]. \quad (3)$$

For a given Tb isotope, only A, P and sometimes I are unknown. If I is known or a value is assumed on the basis of nuclear systematics, then A and P can be

-5-

varied until the energy levels in (3) reproduce the experimental nuclear orientation data. In this way empirical values of A and P are obtained which can be used to calculate nuclear moments.

The theoretical angular distribution of  $\gamma\text{-radiation}$  from oriented nuclei is described by the expression  $^6$ 

$$W(\theta) = 1 + B_{\rho}U_{\rho}F_{\rho}P_{\rho}(\cos \theta)... \qquad (2)$$

plus higher order terms which were found to be negligible for this experiment. The portion of the decay scheme of  $\text{Tb}^{158}$  relevant to this work is reproduced in Fig. 1.<sup>7</sup> Although the 946 keV  $\gamma$ -ray showed the largest anisotropy (32% at 1/T = 90), we studied the temperature dependence of B<sub>2</sub> through the 182 keV  $\gamma$ -ray, using 3" × 3" NaI (T1) scintillation crystals in order to enhance statistical accuracy. Unambiguous calculation of U<sub>2</sub> for the 182 keV  $\gamma$ -ray was not possible, so U<sub>2</sub> (182) was obtained by comparison of the angular distribution coefficients of the 946 and 182 keV  $\gamma$ -rays, using a Ge(Li) detector. We found

$$\frac{[W(0)-1]_{946}}{[W(0)-1]_{182}} = \frac{U_2(946)F_2(946)}{U_2(182)F_2(182)} = 1.025(20)$$

Since  $U_2(946) = 0.829$ ,  $F_2(946) = -0.418$ , and  $F_2(182) = -0.448$ , we thus have  $U_2(182) = + 0.757(15)$ . A plot of the empirical  $B_2$  values determined from W(0) is shown in Fig. 2, with statistical errors indicated. The solid and dotted curves are theoretical fits to the data for the indicated values of |A|

and P, with I(158) = 3. The plot suggests that the true values lay within the limits  $0.0607 < |A| < 0.103 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $0.00195 < P < 0.00602 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The data are insensitive to the sign of |A|, but give an unambiguous determination of the sign of P.<sup>1,2</sup> The following equation relating |A| and P was also derived from the data:

$$P(158) = 0.0985(20)|A(158)| - 0.00418(30), \quad (3)$$

in units of cm<sup>-1</sup>.

B. Correction of Tb<sup>160</sup> N.O. Results

The temperature scale used by Johnson et al.<sup>1</sup> to interpret the  $\text{Tb}^{160}$ N.O. results has been shown to be in error.<sup>3</sup> We have redetermined the relationship between |A| and P given using the new temperature scale. We find

$$P(160) = 0.100(2)|A(160)| - 0.00334(20),$$
(4)

also in units of cm<sup>-1</sup>.

C. EPR of 
$$Tb^{157}$$
,  $Tb^{158}$ ,  $Tb^{160}$ 

The energy levels of dilute  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  in YES are obtained from Eq. (2) by setting  $cT_z$  equal to zero. The energies of the allowed  $\Delta I_z = 0$  transitions are then

$$h\nu = [(g_{\parallel}\beta H \cos \theta + AI_{z})^{2} + \Delta^{2}]^{1/2}$$
 (5)

Note that the quadrupolar term vanishes for the allowed transitions, showing that the EPR frequencies are completely insensitive to P. For Tb<sup>159</sup> Baker

and Bleaney give  $g_{\parallel} = 17.72(2), \Delta = 0.387 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $|A| = 0.209(2) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The magnetic fields at resonance are found by solving Eq. (5) for H,

$$H = \frac{\left[\left(h\nu\right)^{2} - \Delta^{2}\right]^{1/2} - AI_{z}}{g_{\parallel}\beta \cos \theta} .$$
 (6)

The spacing,  $\Delta$  H, between two adjacent hyperfine lines, I and I + 1, is then just

$$\Delta H = H(I_z) - H(I_z + 1) = A/g_{\parallel}\beta \cos\theta$$
(7)

Thus the spacings between hyperfine components are equal and are directly proportional to the hyperfine structure constant. This allows the determination of A by direct comparison of observed splittings between the radioactive isotopes and stable  $\text{Tb}^{159}$ . The accuracy in A is limited by the uncertainty in  $A^{159}$ , i.e.  $\pm 1\%$ . However, it is possible to obtain much more accurate magnetic moment values from the observed splittings, as will be discussed in Sec. V.

The Tb<sup>158</sup>, <sup>159</sup> (Tb<sup>159</sup>/Tb<sup>158</sup> = 25) EPR spectrum consisted of four strong lines due to Tb<sup>159</sup> single-ion transitions superimposed upon the weaker Tb<sup>158</sup> seven-line spectrum. In Fig. 3 a portion of the spectrum is reproduced, with the positions of three of the Tb<sup>158</sup> lines indicated by vertical arrows, including the center line. Five of the seven Tb<sup>158</sup> lines were completely resolved, including the two outermost at either end of the spectrum, and the nuclear spin of 3 was confirmed. Two of the lines were partially obscured by the strong Tb<sup>159</sup> single-ion absorptions, as shown in Fig. 3. Table II gives the line positions for  $\theta = 0$  and the measured hf splittings. The satellite structure about the Tb<sup>159</sup> lines, designated A, B, and C in Fig. 3, is due to distant-neighbor Tb<sup>159</sup> pairs. The details of the pair spectrum are discussed below. The positions of the pair lines have been omitted from Table II. Using the values of  $\Delta$  H given in Table II we calculate  $|A(158)| = 0.0912(9) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This value agrees with the results of the nuclear alignment experiment. Using |A(158)| in Eq. (3), we find P<sup>158</sup> = 0.0048(5) cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Mass spectrometer analysis of the sample yielded the ratio  $Tb^{157}/Tb^{158} = 1.6(1)$ . If  $Tb^{157}$  has the same nuclear spin as  $Tb^{159}$  (3/2), as expected, then each of the four  $Tb^{157}$  lines should be  $1.6 \times 7/4 = 2.7$  as intense as a  $Tb^{158}$  line. We did not observe any transitions of this intensity in the EPR spectrum. This was expected because the magnetic moment of  $Tb^{157}$  probably arises from a 3/2 + [411] proton orbital— the same orbital responsible for the  $Tb^{159}$  moment. Hence, we conclude that the  $Tb^{157}$  transitions are buried beneath the stronger  $(Tb^{159}/Tb^{157} = 16) Tb^{159}$  lines. By determining where lines of the expected intensity would start to affect the  $Tb^{159}$  line shape, we can set limits on A(157). Of course, this analysis is complicated by the presence of the two partially resolved transitions belonging to the pair doublets "C", which lie at approximately ±12 G about each main hf component. Thus, to be safe, we conclude that the  $Tb^{157}$  lines must be within ±15 G of the  $Tb^{159}$  lines. This gives

 $|A(157)| = .21 \pm .01 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 

2. Tb<sup>160</sup>

Figure 3 shows the same portion of the  $\text{Tb}^{160,159}$  spectrum as shown for  $\text{Tb}^{158,159}$  in Fig. 2 and Table III gives the corresponding line positions and  $\Delta$  h's. The line widths are approximately twice those in Fig. 2 due to radiation damage effects and/or imperfections in the crystal caused by rapid growth. This increase in line width prevented us from observing four of the seven  $\text{Tb}^{160}$  lines due to overlap of either the  $\text{Tb}^{159}$  single-ion or pair lines. However, since the nuclear spin of  $\text{Tb}^{160}$  has been conclusively established as 3,<sup>1,8,9</sup> we are confident in the assignment of the following hfs constant based on the positions of the three observed lines:  $|A(160)| = 0.0883(8) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Verification that the three observed lines were due to  $\text{Tb}^{160}$  was obtained by examining "cold"  $\text{Tb}^{159}$ : YES crystals which had been grown in exactly the same way as the radioactive crystals. The three lines assigned to  $\text{Tb}^{160}$  were absent from the EPR spectra of those crystals.

-10-

Using Eq. (4) we find  $P(160) = 0.0055(5) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

#### 3. Pair Spectra

A satelite structure composed of three pairs of lines, designated A, B, and C in Figs. 2 and 3, was observed about <u>each</u> of the four  $\text{Tb}^{159}$  hf lines. The lines were nearly symmetrically displaced about the main lines with total splittings which varied somewhat from one hf component to the next. The total splittings about the high-field hf component were 124 G for A, 61 G for B, and approximately 25 G for C, as measured with H parallel to the c axis. The splittings decreased at lower fields, with values of 111 G, 55 G, and 23 G, for A, B, and C, respectively, about the lowest-field hf component. We have assigned this structure to dipolar-coupled pair transitions.

(6)

At the higher paramagnetic-ion concentrations (nominally 1%) an appreciable number of paramagnetic-ion pairs exist in the crystal. An approximate spin Hamiltonian describing such a pair is just the sum of the two single-ion spin Hamiltonians plus the dipolar interaction. For the special case of two identical ions, 1 and 2, lying along the c axis and spearated by a distance R, the interaction term can be written  $aS_{1z}S_{2z}$ , where  $a = -2g_{\parallel}^{2}\beta^{2}/R^{3}$ . The general form of the dipolar interaction is more involved, depending in a complicated way on the angular variables as well. Baker and Mau<sup>4</sup> have calculated the energy levels corresponding to the two <u>nearest</u> neighbor Tb ions which are separated by 7Å along c. At magnetic fields corresponding to 35 GHz, they found a spectrum composed of many lines (because the states are thoroughly mixed by the large dipolar interaction). Although some of these lines lie between the main hfs lines we did not observe them, presumably because their intensities were too low. (These nearestneighbor lines are at least 3 to 4 times less intense than those of the other pairs, as will be shown below).

The interaction between more distant pairs, which is much smaller, results in a single spectrum of two lines, one on either side of the single-ion lines for each central ion-distant neighbor pair.<sup>4</sup> The exact positions of the lines are obtained by solving the complete energy matrix problem. However, the spacings between the lines of each doublet is, to first order, just twice the dipolar field generated by the distant neighbor. The component of the dipolar field along the c axis due to a Tb ion at a point (R,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ), where the polar axis is taken as the c axis with origin at the central ion, can be written

$$H_c = H_d \cos \xi$$

where  $H_d = g_{\parallel}(Tb)\beta S_z [4\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta]^{1/2}R^3$  and  $\xi = [\theta + \tan^{-1}(1/2 \tan \theta)]$ . Using the X-ray data of Fitzwater and Raudle<sup>10</sup> on YES, and allowing for an isotropic 1% contraction of the lattice parameters due to the temperature difference between their measurements and ours, we may calculate dipolar fields due to various neighbors. The results are summarized in Table IV. The contributions are seen to fall into three groups with splittings which correspond quite well with the observed splittings A, B, and C. The first-order approximation is best at the high-field transition because then  $g_{\parallel}\beta H$  is sufficiently larger than the dipolar perturbation. The expected relative intensities are roughly 4 to 6 to 9, which are in accord with the observed intensities. Hence we may attribute the satellite structure observed about the single-ion hf transitions to dipolar-coupled pairs.

#### V. CALCULATION OF NUCLEAR MOMENTS

The magnetic hfs constant A is related to the measured spacing between the hf components by the equation  $|A| = k \Delta H$ , where  $k = (g_{\parallel}\beta \cos \theta)^{-1}$  is constant for all the Tb isotopes within a given crystal. Hence the nuclear magnetic moments of Tb<sup>158</sup> and Tb<sup>160</sup> can be accurately calculated from the EPR results, using the equation

$$\mu^{158,160} = \frac{\mu^{159} \Delta H^{158,160} I(158,160)}{\Delta H^{159} I(159)}$$
(7)

which eliminates the dependence of the determination on  $\theta$  and the other parameters

(8)

in the spin Hamiltonian. The  $\triangle$  H values are given in Tables II and III.  $\mu^{159}$  has been determined from ENDOR measurements as + 1.994(4) nm.<sup>11</sup> This method neglects any possible hyperfine anomaly.<sup>12,13</sup> Becuase most of the moment in the odd-odd isotopes arises from the same proton orbital, 3/2 + [411], as in Tb<sup>159</sup>, the anomaly should be much smaller than the experimental errors. The results are:  $|\mu^{158}| = 1.740(7)$  nm and  $|\mu^{160}| = 1.685(8)$  nm.

From the limits set on A(157) we also find:

 $\mu^{157} = 2.0(1)$  nm

The nuclear electric quadrupole moments, Q, can be calculated from the expression  $^{14}$ 

$$P = P_{\text{lattice}} + P_{4f} = Q[-\frac{3}{I(2I-1)} \times (1-\gamma_{\infty})A_2^{O} - \frac{3e^2}{4I(2I-1)} \times (1-R_Q)\langle r^{-3} \rangle_{4f} \times \langle 3J_2^{2} - J(J+1) \rangle \langle J \| \alpha \| J \rangle]$$

Here  $(1-\gamma_{\infty})A_2^{0}$  is the antishielded crystal field gradient,  $R_Q$  is the "atomic Sternheimer factor",  $\langle r^{-3} \rangle_{4f}$  is the <u>actual</u> expectation value of  $r^{-3}$  for the 4fshell and  $\langle J \parallel \alpha \parallel J \rangle$  is a reduced matrix element. The following values were used for the calculation:

$$(1-\gamma_{\infty})A_{2}^{0} = 3.5(5) \times 10^{4} \text{ cm}^{-1} a_{0}^{-2} (11)$$
$$(1-R_{Q})\langle r^{-3} \rangle_{4f} = 8.23 \text{ a.u.} (8)$$
$$\langle 3J_{z}^{2} - J(J+1) \rangle = (104.7 - 42.0)^{(3)}$$
$$\langle J \| \alpha \| J \rangle = -1/99^{(12)}$$

Using these values together with the values for P(158) and P(160) obtained from the alignment and EPR measurements, we find

P =  $(.00180(40) \text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{barn})$  Q, which gives Q(158) = 2.7(5) barns, and Q(160) = 3.0(5) barns.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

The odd proton and odd neutron in  $\text{Tb}^{158}$  and  $\text{Tb}^{160}$  occupy 3/2 + [411]and 3/2 - [521] states respectively.<sup>15</sup> Theoretical calculations of magnetic moments using Nilsson wave functions (with  $\eta = +6$ ) have been carried out previously, yielding  $+2.0 \text{ nm.}^{1,2}$  It is interesting to note that, using the magnetic moment of the 3/2 + [411] proton state of  $\text{Tb}^{159}(+1.994 \text{ nm})$  and the average moment of the 3/2 - [521] states of  $\text{Gd}^{155}$  and  $\text{Gd}^{157}$  (-0.283 nm),<sup>16</sup> and neglecting odd-particle correlations, one obtains a moment of + 1.711 nm, in excellent agreement with the magnitudes of the experimental values. Hence, we can assign positive signs to both A and  $\mu$  for  $\text{Tb}^{158}$  and  $\text{Tb}^{160}$ . The signs of the parameters listed in Table I correspond to this assignment.

From the relation<sup>16</sup> Q =  $Q_0(\frac{I}{I+1})(\frac{2I-1}{2I+3})$ , we may calculate intrinsic quadrupole moments  $Q_0(158) = 6.5 \pm 1.2$  barns and  $Q_0 = 7.2 \pm 1.2$  barnes, in excellent agreement with those of other nuclei in this region.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the following people in this work:

Dr. J. M. Baker of the Clarendon Laboratory, Oxford University, for suggesting the origin of the satellite structure.

Dr. Maynard Michel of the LRL for performing the mass spectrometer analysis of the  $\text{Tb}^{157,158,159}$  sample.

Mrs. Winifred Heppler for assisting in the sample preparation.

#### FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.

- C. E. Johnson, J. F. Schooley, and D. A. Shirley, Phys. Rev. <u>120</u>, (6) 2108 (1960).
- 2. C. A. Lovejoy, and D. A. Shirley, Nucl. Phys. <u>30</u>, 452 (1962).
- 3. J. Blok, D. A. Shirley, and N. J. Stone, Phys. Rev. <u>143</u>, 78 (1966).
- 4. J. M. Baker and B. Bleaney, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) <u>A245</u>, 156 (1958).
- 5. J. M. Baker and A. E. Mau, Can. J. Phys. <u>45</u>, 403 (1967).
- 6. R. J. Blin-Stoyle and M. A. Grace, Handbuch der Physik, ed. by S. Flugge (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957) Vol. XLII, p. 555.
- 7. F. Schima, E. G. Funk, Jr., and J. W. Mihelich, Nucl. Phys. 63, 305 (1965).
- 8. H. Postma and W. J. Huiskamp, Proc. of the VII Int. Conf. on Low Temp. Phys., ed. by Graham and Hallett, (University of Toronto Press, 1961) p. 180.
- 9. A. Y. Cabezas, I. Lindgren, and R. Marrus, Phys. Rev. 122, 1796 (1961).
- 10. D. R. Fitzwater and R. E. Rundle, Zeit. für Krist., Bd. 112, S. 362 (1959).
- 11. J. M. Baker, J. R. Chadwick, G. Garton, and J. P. Hurrell, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A286, 352 (1965).
- 12. J. Eisinger and V. Jaccarino, Rev. Mod. Phys. 30 (2), 528 (1958).
- 13. B. Bleaney, Quantum Electronics 3, (Columbia Univ. Press, 1964) p. 595.
- 14. J. Blok and D. A. Shirley, Phys. Rev. <u>143</u> (1), 278 (1966).
- S. G. Nilsson, Kgl. Danske, Videnskab. Selskab. Mat.-fys. Medd <u>29</u>, No. 16 (1955).
- 16. I. Lindgren, <u>Table of Nuclear Spins and Moments</u>, in Alpha, Beta and Gamma Ray Spectroscopy, App. 4, ed. by K. Siegbahn, 1964.

	157 Tb	Tb <sup>158</sup>	Tb <sup>159</sup>	Tb <sup>160</sup>	
I	(3/2)	3	3/2	.3	
$A(10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1})$	2090(120)	912(9)	2090(20)	883(8)	
P(10 <sup>-4</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> )		48(5)		55(5)	· · · ·
$\mu(nm)$	2.0(1)	1.740(7)	1.994(4)	1.685(8)	
Q(barns)		2.7 (5)		3.0 (5)	

Table I. Hyperfine structure constants and nuclear moments of tripositive  ${\rm Tb}^{157}$ ,  ${\rm Tb}^{158}$ ,  ${\rm Tb}^{159}$ , and  ${\rm Tb}^{160}$  in yttrium ethylsulfate.

Table II. Tb<sup>158,159</sup> EPR line positions in yttrium ethylsulfate.  $v \approx 34.5$  GHz. The values are averages of "up" and "down" field sweeps.

Tb <sup>158</sup> (gauss)	Tb <sup>159</sup> (gauss)
	930.2
978.2	
1088.4	
	1182.2
1308.5 (center)	
	1434.7
1528.6	
1638.1	
759	1686.6
$\Delta H^{10}(ave) = 110.0(3)$	
$\Delta H^{109}(ave) = 252.1(3)$	

	_
Tb <sup>160</sup> (gauss)	Tb <sup>159</sup> (gauss)
	923.7
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1092.8	
	1176.2
 1307.3 (center)	
 1520.8	1434.7
160.	1686.6
$\Delta H^{159}(ave) = 107.0(5)$ $\Delta H^{159}(ave) = 253.2(8)$	

Table III. Tb<sup>160,159</sup> EPR line positions in yttrium ethylsulfate.  $\nu \approx 341$ . GHz. The values are averages of "up" and "down" field sweeps.

Pair	No. of sites	r(Å)	θ	H <sub>c</sub> (gauss)
	2	7.00	0	479
С	6	8.71	66°24'	64.6
B	6	13.2	. 37°12'	32.2
В	6	13.8	90°	31.2
C	2	14.0	0	58.3
A	12	15.4	66 °24 '	12.0
A	6	19.2	24°29°	16.6
A.	· 2	21.0	0	17.6

Table IV. Components of the dipolar fields along the c axis at the central Tb ion due to surrounding neighbors.

#### FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Portion of Tb<sup>158</sup> decay scheme relevant to this work.

- Fig. 2. Experimental and theoretical values of  $B_2$  vs. 1/T for the 182 keV  $\gamma$  ray of Tb<sup>158</sup> with the NaI(Tl) detectors parallel to the c axis of the neodymium ethylsulfate crystal.
- Fig. 3. Portion of the Q-band EPR spectrum of Tb<sup>158,159</sup> in yttrium ethylsulfate at 1°K. The vertical arrows mark the positions of 3 of the Tb<sup>158</sup> lines, including the line at centroid of the spectrum. The satellite structures A, B, and C, about the strong Tb<sup>159</sup> single-ion transition are due to Tb<sup>159</sup> pairs.
- Fig. 4. Portion of Q-band EPR spectrum of Tb<sup>160,159</sup> in yttrium ethylsulfate at 1°K. The vertical arrows indicate the positions of three of the Tb<sup>160</sup> lines, including the line at the centroid of the spectrum. The doublet A is not resolved from the Tb<sup>159</sup> single-ion transition.

UCRL-17578



-21-

XBL671-302

Fig. 1



-22-

Fig. 2



XBL 678-3715

-					r —				-0	r						 · · · · ·		-		<b>10</b>			_						
		1		L	L		t	<u> </u>	7	t						 	::E:	<u>+-</u> 1	1										
		1			h	h				•••••• ·					··· ••· •••	 	• •				'			· · · · ·					
	L	F					L	<u> </u>								 													
	£			<u></u>	1	t		1.2.2		1	1.1.1.2					 	. 17	1									1121		
	· -	{· `-				F	f			· · ~ ·						 	• I •	+			•					-		· ·	
		<u> </u>				L				<b></b>			·			 		<u> </u>											
		ł • •.	t. :		1	+ · -	1=-			1				1727.	-	 	11	12.	1	υ.	· · ·	. • .			·	t: :	i	: <del></del> -	-
	÷ -	- ·									<u> </u>			· · · ·		 	· •		1			- i n							
÷		L		· · · · ·												 			I								·		
	<u></u>	1	titti		1	1	1	1	t	1	-					 	1	1	HT. 1			1.1.1					1	+	
-		1.1			·											 		· · · · · ·	11 - 1			- 11		· ·		- 1			
								· · · ·	N							 	1-		1-1-	<u>8</u>									
	· ···· ·	1	12.12	ł		1		L		1						 	11	1	111	<b>•</b>					• •• •		· • •		*** *
· · · · · · · ·																 		+				··							
_					· · · · ·										-	 			I										
÷					ł											 	1 =	h	t: L i			h	· · · ·			- · • •		• • • • • •	
		· · · ·														 	1												
							<u> </u>		<u>w</u>							 				U	*******								
				~ ~ ~ ~			t		•							 	1::	+	••• L I	•			• • • •						
	· · · · ·	L														 	1.1		4 1	2									
											-					 	I	1	- U										
	١		1 - 14 - 14				ł	1		t						 	1		†** • • •				• • •		·				
	11	• •	1.1					I								 		· · · · · · · ·		·				··· ··					
	10		1													 +	<u> </u>			الت. ه					•				
	-							+	.v							 	·		1	OI	$\mathbf{\nabla}$				*** * **				
		[" <b>.</b> ŧ					ļ									 	5	1	£~. ]		7 - N	1							
	L 14													<b>~~</b>			n <b>5</b>			2.1									
		r. • •			· • • • • • •			h				÷				 	مسنم		····	1					0				
			· · · · ·									- N				 ·	L	1	1	$\mathbf{v}$		N						"	
						_			0		·		_		3		ur.	_		. Ch	_			یہ جست			_	· /	_
		F		-					₹-	-/	3			1-	N.		C		1 7 7 1	8				-7					
$\sum$		1		-	·····				Ľ		<u>э</u> —			1-	X		Ç	1		8			Ń	-7				6	
$\square$		6							Ľ					1	Ň		C			8				1				¢.	
		1							٤	/			$\mathbf{i}$	/-	Ň		C			3			Ϊ	Ĵ			V	\$	
		4			······				×_	/			V	1-	Ň		C			3			Ì	Ĵ				\$	
		4							\$	]			$\mathbf{V}$	1-	Ň		0			8			Ϊ	Ĵ			V	4	
			~						8	/			$\mathbf{V}$	1-	Ň		0			8			/	Ĵ				4	
			ר חל						8				V		Ň		0			8			/	Ĵ				4	
			ר הל						8				V		Ň		C			8				Ĵ				4	
			ר חל						8				V		Š					8				Ĵ				4	
		ſ₁ C	ר הל						8	/			V		<u>ک</u> ج					8				Ĵ					
		ſ₁ C	/ nt						8	<b>/</b>			V		Ž.		0			8				Ĵ					
		ſ₁ C	/ nt						8	<b>Z</b>			V		Ž~					8				Ĵ					
		{ ► C	) nt						8				V		×-					8				Ĵ					
		{ ► C	ר הל						8				V		×-		C			8				Ĵ				4	
		{ ↑	ז. הל						8				V		×-					8				Ĵ				<b>(</b>	
			) nt						8				V		×-					8				Ĵ				<b>(</b>	
			) れ						8 7 8				V							8				Ĵ					
		<pre>{</pre>	) た						8				V							8				Ĵ					
		<pre>√</pre>	) た						8											8				Ĵ					
		<pre>{</pre>	nt I						8											8				Ĵ					
									8				V							8				Ĵ					
									8				V							8				Ĵ					
			/>אלי						8				V							8				<i>"</i>					
		<b>C</b>							8				V							8 8 8				Ĵ.					
		ζ≁ C	nt III						8				V							8 8 8				<i>`</i> J					
		<pre>{</pre>							8				V							8 8 8				Ĵ					
		ζ≁ C							8				V							8 8 8				<i>`</i> J					
		<pre>{</pre>	ht is a second s						8				V							8				Ĵ					

XBL 678-3716

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.