# UC Merced UC Merced Previously Published Works

## Title

Developing a narrative communication intervention in the context of HPV vaccination.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7g06v4zg

**Authors** Fleszar-Pavlović, Sara Cameron, Linda

## **Publication Date**

2024-12-01

**DOI** 10.1016/j.pecinn.2024.100272

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **PEC Innovation**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pecinn

# Developing a narrative communication intervention in the context of HPV vaccination

Sara E. Fleszar-Pavlović<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Linda D. Cameron<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Psychological Sciences, University of California, Merced, CA, United States of America
 <sup>b</sup> Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Miami, FL, United States of America

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
A R T I C L E I N F O Keywords: Narrative communication Common-sense model Intervention development Intervention framework HPV Human papillomavirus	Objective: We outline the development of a narrative intervention guided by the Common-Sense Model of Self-Regulation (CSM) to promote Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination in a diverse college population.         Methods: We adapted the Obesity-Related Behavioral Intervention Trials (ORBIT) model to guide the development, evaluation, and refinement of a CSM-guided narrative video. First, content experts developed a video script containing information on HPV, HPV vaccines, and HPV-related cancers. The script and video contents were evaluated and refined, in succession, utilizing the think-aloud method, open-ended questions, and a brief survey during one-on-one interviews with university students.         Results: Script and video content analyses led to significant revisions that enhanced quality, informativeness, and relevance to the participants. We highlight the critical issues that were revealed and revised in the iterative process         Conclusions: We developed and refined a CSM guided narrative video for diverse university students. This framework serves as a guide for developing health communication interventions for other populations and health behaviors.         Innovation: This project is the first to apply the ORBIT framework to HPV vaccination and describe a process to develop, evaluate, and refine comparable CSM guided narrative interventions that are tailored to specific audiences.

#### 1. Introduction

This paper delineates a framework for developing, evaluating, and refining theoretically guided narrative interventions for health behavior change within diverse populations. Specifically, we describe the development of a narrative health communication video communicating information on the Human Papillomavirus (HPV), the HPV vaccine, and HPV-related cancers targeting university students attending a Hispanic Serving Institution with the aim of increasing HPV vaccination. We detail the steps for utilizing narrative communication concepts and the common-sense model of self-regulation (CSM) to construct an efficacious communication for promoting protective behaviors. Although this study examines this framework through the lens of HPV vaccination, it can serve as a template for theoretically guided narrative communication interventions tailored to diverse populations. We present an overview of HPV, a summary of narrative communication and the CSM, and a step-by-step guide for the development, evaluation, and refinement of the health communication video intervention.

#### 1.1. Overview of HPV and HPV vaccination

HPV is the most common sexually transmitted infection in the U.S., with most sexually active adults becoming infected at least once during their lifetime [1]. While most HPV infections resolve on their own, nearly 20% of adults contract high-risk infections [2]. A persistence in high-risk infections is linked with certain cancers [3]. The primary prevention is vaccination, which has the potential to prevent 90% of cancers attributed to HPV [4]. The HPV vaccine is recommended for preteens (11 to 12 years old; 2-dose series) and for those unvaccinated as preteens up to the age of 26 years (3-dose series after the age of 15; [5]). Although the vaccine has demonstrated high efficacy in preventing HPV infections, there are still low vaccination rates, leaving a high percentage of adolescents and young adults unprotected when they are most susceptible (between the ages of 15 to 25 [6]). The CDC reports that

\* Corresponding author at: Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Miami, Florida 1120 NW 14th Street, Miami, FL 33136, United States of America. *E-mail address:* sef144@med.miami.edu (S.E. Fleszar-Pavlović).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecinn.2024.100272

Received 7 September 2023; Received in revised form 5 March 2024; Accepted 6 March 2024 Available online 12 March 2024

2772-6282/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).





39.9% of adults have received at least one dose and only 21.5% have received the recommended doses of the vaccine [7]. Along with the low national rates, there are inconsistent rates of vaccine uptake across U.S. geographic regions, racial/ethnic groups, and gender. For instance, residents of Southern states have lower HPV vaccination rates compared to those residing in the Western states [8]. Moreover, Hispanic/Latina women in all regions of the U.S. have lower HPV vaccination rates compared with non-Hispanic White and African American/Black populations [9]. This disparity is alarming given that cervical cancer incidence and mortality are higher among Hispanic/Latina women when compared to their non-Hispanic White counterparts [10]. For all races and ethnic groups in the U.S., men have higher rates of "high risk" HPV types and are disproportionally affected by HPV-related head and neck cancers [11]. Yet, men are less likely than women to have ever received one or more doses of the HPV vaccine [7].

The COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated the low rates of vaccination uptake by disrupting vaccine schedules [12], heightening the inaccessibility to preventive care [13], and increasing vaccine hesitancy [14]. HPV vaccination rates declined by approximately 70% in March 2020 and remained low in August 2020 [15,16]. Recent microsimulation models project that if HPV vaccine uptake does not rebound to the pre-pandemic rate within a three-year timeframe, there will be a significant rise in oropharyngeal cancers (e.g., approximately 6200 new cases per year; [17]). Increased efforts to recover HPV vaccine uptake to pre-pandemic rates are needed to minimize long-term consequences.

#### 1.2. Use narrative communication in the content of HPV vaccination

Narratives, or stories describing personal experiences with an embedded persuasive element, have been increasingly utilized in interventions to modify health-related behaviors [18]. Narratives may be well-suited for bridging the gap between health information and how it relates to oneself [19]. During a narrative an individual can be absorbed or "transported" into another person's experiences [20,21]. A "transported" individual is more likely to believe the experiences of the narrator; thus, they are less likely to dispute information presented in the story [21]. By reducing cognitive resistance, a narrative can change attitudes and increase self-efficacy, intentions, and behaviors [22]. Further, identifying with and developing emotions for the characters in the story creates a greater influence on beliefs of the audience and enhances absorption of knowledge and changes in health-related knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors [23]. Moreover, the greater the element of realism, or the extent to which the story is to be perceived to be like the real world, the greater the likelihood that the audience will identify with the characters and events [24].

Previous research suggests that narrative communication may be particularly effective for specific populations such as racial, ethnic, and minority groups with a strong tradition of storytelling, such as Hispanic populations [23,25]. Additionally, there is a growing body of evidence demonstrating the efficacy of narrative interventions in increasing HPV vaccine intentions [26-28] and uptake [29,30]. For instance, in a study examining the influence of the type of vaccine information (i.e., statistical, narrative, or hybrid) and the type of narrative (i.e., first-person or third-person) on college students' intentions to obtain the HPV vaccine, Nan and colleagues [26] found that increased risk perceptions caused by both hybrid information (i.e., statistical and narrative) and narrative types (i.e., first-person and third-person) were indirectly associated with intentions to receive the vaccine, but only if the vaccine was offered at free of charge. In another study, Chan et al., [27] found that in a sample of Hispanic/Latino adults (18-26 years old), an intervention utilizing a picture storybook (fotonovela) delivering educational health messages that incorporate social norms, positive role models, and the importance of being vaccinated against HPV, increased intentions to get vaccinated. In a more recent study, women (> 18 years old) who were assigned to a narrative intervention titled Women's Stories, viewed three stories (a

discussion between two women in a kitchen about the risks and consequences of HPV, a discussion between a male and female on a park bench about HPV and cancer risk for men, and a doctor discussing their support for vaccination to a young woman during a wellness visit) on an iPad in a Planned Parenthood waiting room. Compared with the control group who received written educational material, women in the Woman's Stories group had higher vaccination intentions directly after the intervention. However, intentions between the Women's Stories and the control group did not differ at one- and six months post-intervention [28].

Several studies have examined the efficacy of narrative interventions in increasing HPV vaccination uptake in adults. Hopfer [29] evaluated an intervention comparing communication sources of a narrative message (i.e., peer only, medical expert only, or a combination of peer and expert) in motivating vaccine uptake in college women. Findings suggest that women who received a peer-and-expert narrative message compared with peer or expert-only messages were twice as likely to receive the HPV vaccine two months post-intervention. In the second study, Kim et al., [30] investigated the efficacy of a storytelling intervention delivered via a mobile, web-based platform versus informationbased written material in increasing American Korean college women's intentions and uptake of the vaccine. Both the storytelling intervention and information-based groups increased intentions to receive the HPV vaccine; however, at two months post-intervention, the storytelling intervention group was twice as likely to receive or to have scheduled an appointment to receive the HPV vaccine relative to the informationbased group. Although growing evidence suggests that narrative interventions may be effective in increasing HPV vaccine intentions and uptake, more research and intervention development is needed.

#### 1.3. The common-sense model of self-regulation

While narrative interventions have demonstrated persuasive power in improving health-related attitudes, knowledge, intentions, and behaviors, they often have little focus on the mechanisms that may be salient when processing health-related information and decisions. Using a theoretical framework of health cognitions and behavior to develop the contents of a narrative communication could potentially enhance its efficacy relative to an intervention that focuses solely on the narrative mechanisms of transportation, realism, and identification. One such framework, the CSM [31,32], conceptualizes how individuals respond to and manage future or current health threats. The CSM describes how individuals create their understanding of health, which in turn directs cognitive and emotional processes toward coping responses, health behaviors, and feedback and evaluation of the efficacy of these processes and behaviors. The CSM has predominately been used to understand how people appraise and manage an illness [33]; however, the CSM is also applied to understand how individuals evaluate the risk of illness threats [34,35]. Within the context of managing an illness threat, risk information activates illness risk representations. Illness risk representations, commonly used to assess risk-related beliefs and behaviors [34-36], develop from the process of matching self-characteristics with illness representation features [37]. For example, in the context of HPV, one's representation of causal factors relating to HPV is based on matching self-characteristics ("I am sexually active") with beliefs about the causes of HPV ("HPV is a sexually transmitted infection"). When selfrepresentations correspond with elements of illness risk representations, risk beliefs will be high. However, when aspects of selfrepresentation do not match with corresponding elements of illness risk representations, then perceptions of risk may be inaccurate.

Illness risk representations span five key domains: (1) identity-illness label and symptoms; (2) cause-beliefs about the illness' contributable factors; (3) timeline-beliefs about the illness' onset, duration, and decline; (4) consequences-anticipated physical/psychosocial outcomes; and (5) control-beliefs about protective behaviors, treatments, or illness controllability [34]. Risk representations mediate the relationship

between the perception of risk information and decisions to engage in protective behaviors [34]. Protective behaviors are also motivated by a clear and coherent understanding of how the representational attributes are linked with recommended protective behaviors, termed risk-action-link coherence. Having a clear understanding of how a behavior reduces a health threat can promote behavior initiation and maintenance [38-40].

Evidence indicates that illness risk representations and coherence regarding vaccine-preventable diseases are key predictors of vaccination intentions and uptake behavior [41,42]. In a study of adults aged 65 and older, illness representations of pneumonia and the pneumococcal vaccine were associated with vaccine intentions and uptake. Specifically, those that perceived pneumonia to be chronic (timeline) and believed that vaccines can prevent pneumonia (control) were associated with intentions to receive the vaccine. Further, those who perceived more severe consequences of pneumonia (control) had higher vaccine uptake [43]. Likewise, in a 2021 study on COVID-19 vaccination willingness conducted in the Netherlands, all illness representation dimensions except for timeline were related to willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccination [44].

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Design

The current study utilizes an adapted Obesity-Related Behavioral Intervention Trials (ORBIT; [45]) model for the development, evaluation, and refinement of the CSM-guided narrative video. The ORBIT framework, which defines an iterative process for behavioral intervention development, focuses on the early developmental phases and is not specified for one disease, health risk or behavior. The ORBIT model includes four phases: (a) Phase 1, which encompasses defining and refining the design and elements of the intervention, (b) Phase 2, which includes preliminary testing of the intervention to examine efficacy, and (c) Phases 3 and 4 which comprise efficacy and effectiveness trials of the newly developed intervention. The current study focuses on Phase 1. Specifically, the development of the narrative video was conducted in three stages: (1) Stage 1: Script Content Development, (2) Stage 2: Script Content Evaluation and Refinement, and (3) Stage 3: Video Evaluation and Refinement as detailed below (See Fig. 1).

#### 2.2. Stage 1: Script content development

Table 1 presents a structure of the script content. Guided by CSM constructs, we developed script content to induce coherent HPV risk representations to motivate HPV vaccination. Specifically, relevant information about HPV and the HPV vaccine were categorized and paired with each CSM construct. For example, regarding the construct of *identity*, the content presented was a description of the HPV infection and the risks associated with contracting the infection. As another example, information about how the HPV vaccine protects against the HPV infection was presented for the construct *control*. Once these components were identified for each CSM construct, the techniques (e. g., communication between friends, action planning) for delivering the information were developed. These techniques were based on inducing the key aspects of narrative communication.

Next, we created a video script with input from undergraduate research assistants. A primary objective was to develop a video targeted toward undergraduate students; accordingly, incorporating their perspectives was vital to the development of the script and video. The university is a Hispanic-Serving Institution with 54.3% of the students identifying as Hispanic/Latino; 20.6% as Asian/Pacific Islander, and with lower percentages identifying as non-Hispanic White (9.5%) and African American/Black (4.2%). The video was created to be relevant to the primary audience (i.e., Hispanic/Latinos) while ensuring that the information was relevant to other represented races/ethnicities at the university. We included advisors of five races/ethnicities in each evaluation phase.

The script incorporated the concepts of narrative communication by including a direct testimonial of a student, Sofia, describing to her roommate, Elena, and roommate's boyfriend, Luis, her mom's recent



Fig. 1. Adapted ORBIT Methodological Framework for the Development of the Common-Sense Model-Guided Narrative Video.

#### Table 1

Illness

Representations-

CSM Constructs Identity

Common-sense model constructs, delivery techniques, and video content. **Delivery Technique** 

Communication between

friends to change risk

representations

• Even if you suspect that you currently have or that you had an HPV

	Table 1 (continued)		
es, and video content. Message/Task Presented in the Script/Video	Illness Representations- CSM Constructs	Delivery Technique	Message/Task Presented in the Script/Video
-			infection you should still
Description of HPV infection:			<ul><li>get the HPV vaccine.</li><li>The most common side effects of the vaccine are</li></ul>
• the most common sexually transmitted			mild and get better within 24–48 h. These
Risks Associated w/ Contracting HPV:			o Pain, redness, or swelling where the
<ul> <li>Early-onset of sexual behavior</li> </ul>			o Fever o Dizziness or fainting
Having many sexual partners			o Nausea o Headache
Weakened immune     system			<ul><li>Muscle or joint pain</li><li>The HPV vaccine is</li></ul>
Being a man who has sex     with men			available at your primary care provider and drug
<ul> <li>Having an uncircumcised penis (or partner w/ an uncircumcised penis)</li> </ul>			CVS). Most insurances cover the HPV vaccine.
<ul> <li>Unprotected vaginal, anal, or oral sex</li> </ul>			Appointments can be made online at
<ul> <li>Damaged or punctured skin on genitals</li> </ul>	Timeline		participating drug stores. Most sexually active people
Tobacco smoke or heavy alcohol use			will be infected with HPV at some point in their lives and can be repeatedly infected
<ul> <li>Infection caused by the Human Papillomavirus</li> <li>Spread through skin-to-</li> </ul>			The peak time for contracting HPV is shortly
skin contact Most HPV infections resolve			after becoming sexually active. It takes 15 to 20
on their own; Some strains cause genital warts; High-			years for cervical cancer to develop in women. Head
risk strains cause cervical, vaginal, penile, anal, &			and neck cancer is more prevalent in men and
head and neck cancers There is no cure for HPV.			develops at around the age of 40–55 years old. Between
High-risk strains can be prevented with the HPV vaccine.			vaginal, penile, and anal cancers develop.
Information about the Vaccine:	Coherence		Discussion of the link between HPV and cancer.
• Safe, effective, and long-			High-risk strains of the HPV virus can survive for several
lasting protection against most HPV related can- cers: Does not protect			Eventually, the virus can lead to normal cells
against HPV strains that cause genital warts			transforming into cancerous cells.
• The HPV vaccine was FDA approved in 2006.	Risk-Action Link Coherence	Communication to encourage understanding	Explanation of how the HPV vaccine stimulates the body
>135 million doses of HPV vaccines have been given and vaccines		of the relationship between specific actions and risk	to produce antibodies (e.g., "Just like a tetanus vaccine works to enable to the
continue to be safe and effective. >12 years of			immune system to recognize and destroy tetanus bacteria
safety monitoring show that the HPV vaccine has			before it takes over the body, the HPV vaccine stimulates
caused no serious side effects.			the body to produce antibodies that, in future
• The vaccine is a series of 3 doses (for those >15 years old). The second			encounters with an HPV infection, bind to the virus and prevent it from infecting
dose should be given 1–2 months after the first	Coping for Threat	Action Planning	<i>cells</i> ") Please call the university
and the third dose should be given 6 months after	Control	0	student health center to schedule an appointment
<ul><li>the first dose.</li><li>Even if you suspect that</li></ul>			with one of the providers to discuss HPV vaccination.

Cause

Consequences

Control

cervical cancer diagnosis and how it motivated her to get the HPV vaccine followed by conversation about the HPV infection, vaccine, and HPV-related cancers. Trained research assistants reviewed the script and provided language, tone, and scene recommendations. These recommendations guided the revision and refinement of the script.

#### 2.3. Stage 2: Script content evaluation and refinement

We conducted 10 one-on-one interviews with university students utilizing a mixed-methods approach [46] that incorporated three components: (1) a think-aloud task; (2) open-ended questions; and (3) a brief survey. Qualitative methods (i.e., think-aloud method, open-ended questions) enabled students to aid in the development of the script content and provide advice for refinements. The think-aloud method involves the verbalization of thoughts, feelings, or reactions while performing or immediately after performing a task, such as reading a script or watching a video [47]. The interviews were designed to examine script language, information comprehension, informativeness, storyline realism, identification/transportation, script improvements, and character likability. Open-ended questions assessed needed improvements, language appropriateness, information comprehension, and likability of the storyline, characters, and setting. The brief survey assessed the script's quality and informativeness. A mix-methods approach was employed to optimize intervention engagement and outcomes by identifying and incorporating the perspectives of the target audience [48,49].

#### 2.3.1. Participants

In Fall 2021, we recruited undergraduate research assistants at the university (N = 10) to complete a one-on-one interview. Participants were eligible if they were between the ages of 18 and 26 years old and had working video and audio on their computer. Participants were on average 21.6 (SD = 1.2) years old and included seven men, three women, five identified as Hispanic/Latino and four identified as White, four as Asian, one as multi-ethnic, and one respondent listed race/ ethnicity as other.

#### 2.3.2. Procedure

After completing consent, participants met with the interviewer via Zoom for an interview. The interviewer informed the participant that she would be transcribing the interview during the session but that the interview would not be recorded. Participants in preliminary pilot tests had voiced that they would feel more at ease discussing this sensitive topic if the interviews were not recorded. Thus, interviews were not recorded, enabling participants to be candid about sensitive topics.

Participants first completed the think-aloud task, for which the video script was divided into six sections. Participants were asked to stop at the end of each section to vocalize their thoughts, feelings, and reactions. If participants found anything confusing, the interviewer recorded the areas of confusion and probed further about what the participant found confusing. After participants finished the six sections, the interviewer asked several open-ended questions. After the interview had been completed, participants received a link to an online survey. Interview length averaged 31.4 min (SD = 6.6; range 22–41 min). The University's Institutional Review Board approved all study procedures (Protocol ID UCM2021–142).

#### 2.3.3. Measures

Open-ended questions assessed improvement suggestions (e.g., "What do you think can be improved?"), language appropriateness (e.g., "Do you think the language is appropriate for undergraduates at the university?"), information comprehension (e.g., "Was there anything you found confusing about the information in the script?"), and script likability (e.g., "What did you like about the script?").

An 8-item quality measure, adapted from Lee et al. [50], assessed script quality (e.g., "The script was appealing.", "The script was

believable.", "The script was relevant to me.") with ratings ranging from *strongly disagree* = 0 to *strongly agree* = 4. Informativeness was assessed with 3 items (e.g., "I gained a lot of information from this script.", "The script was logical and rational.") with ratings ranging from *strongly disagree* = 0 to *strongly agree* = 4.

Participants age, gender, and race/ethnicity were assessed.

#### 2.3.4. Statistical analysis

Qualitative data from the think-aloud task and open-ended questions were entered into excel and analyzed using deductive and inductive thematic analysis [e.g., 51-53]. The first author and two trained research assistants in qualitative analyses coded the interviews for the following themes identified a priori based on narrative communication concepts: (1) language appropriateness, (2) information and storyline comprehension, (3) informativeness, (4) realism, (5) identification/transportation, and (6) likability. We also adopted a realist approach in identifying any new themes at semantic and interpretive levels based on the procedures detailed by Braun and Clarke [54]. The realist approach focuses on the context in which events or behaviors occur and seeks to uncover the underlying mechanisms that explain why certain outcomes happen in specific contexts. This approach is particularly interested in how the interaction of various factors generates the observed results. The script evaluations (i.e., quality, informativeness) and demographics were assessed with descriptive statistics. The script evaluation ratings of Agree to Strongly Agree by 60% or more participants were considered acceptable for all survey questions, except for the statement, "The script did not teach me anything new" which was deemed acceptable if 60% or more participants rated this question Disagree to Strongly Disagree.

#### 2.4. Stage 3: Video evaluation and refinement

The refined script from Stage 2 was utilized for video filming. We recruited undergraduate students with acting experience to audition for the video roles. Staff met with the chosen actors twice prior to filming to provide instructions relating to the delivery of the script in line with narrative communication theory and to practice lines. During these sessions, stage direction was added, and language was further refined to match the dialect of the undergraduate population.

We contracted a professional videographer to film and create the video. The 7:39-min video was filmed in Spring 2022 on the university's campus. On the day of filming each actor signed a photo/video release form and tested negative for COVID-19. Filming took approximately four hours, and the actors received a \$50 gift card for their time. The video was then evaluated.

#### 2.4.1. Participants

In Spring 2022, we recruited undergraduate students (N = 12) via SONA, the university's research participant pool to complete one-on-one interviews to evaluate the newly developed video. Participants were eligible if they were between the ages of 18 and 26 years old and had working video and audio on their computer. Participants were on average 20.8 (SD = 2.1) years old, and included ten females and two males, six identified as Hispanic/Latino, and three identified as White, two as Asian, one as African American/Black, one as multi-race, and five identified as a race/ethnicity not listed.

#### 2.4.2. Procedure

After completing consent, participants met with the interviewer via Zoom for an interview. Participants were informed that the interview would be transcribed, and that the interview consisted of three tasks (1) a think-aloud task, (2) open-ended questions, and (3) a brief survey. Participants first completed the think-aloud task, for which the video was divided into three segments that were between 2.00 and 2.30 min in duration. At the end of each segment, participants were asked to vocalize their thoughts, feelings, and reactions. After the think-aloud task, the interviewer asked several open-ended questions. Once the

interview was completed, participants were sent a link to an online survey and were granted course credit. The interviews lasted an average of 32.9 min (SD = 6.1; range 24–47 min).

#### 2.4.3. Measures

Open-ended questions were identical to Stage 2 with the addition of assessments on character likability and realism (e.g., "What do you think about the characters in the story?"; "Do you feel like the scenario could happen in real life?").

The quality and informativeness subscales were identical to Stage 2 except for referencing the video rather than script.

Demographic measures were identical to Stage 2.

#### 2.4.4. Statistical analyses

Statistical procedures were identical to those in Stage 2. Theme were chosen a priori based on narrative communication concepts and participant perspectives for video refinement. A realist approach wa used to identify any new themes at semantic and interpretive level based on Braun and Clarke's [54] detailed procedures. Video evaluation and demographics were assessed with descriptive statistics. Video evaluation ratings of Agree to Strongly Agree by 60% or more participant were considered acceptable for survey questions, except for the state ment, "The video did not teach me anything new", which was deemed acceptable if 60% or more participants rated this question Disagree to Strongly Disagree.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Stage 2: Script content evaluation results

#### 3.1.1. Script content evaluation qualitative findings

Table 2 presents the thematic findings. Thematic analysis revealed that the key aspects of narrative communication were present, partici pants were able to comprehend the information delivered, and they liked the presentation of the information. Thematic analysis not only revealed important findings that fit within the a priori themes and had direct implications for script revisions, it also uncovered a new the me-the need for additional information. First, participants felt that the language was often too formal. For example, participants expressed that the way in which the characters greeted each other was too formal; thus, they suggested greetings that were commonly used in their everyday vernacular. Second, participants voiced their concerns about terms that seemed inappropriate for the situation such as the word, 'honor'. In the script, the character Sofia says, "I already got my first dose. I did it in honor of my mom." Some participants felt that the word 'honor' in their culture usually indicates that the person you are honoring has died. The use of this word created confusion and some participants questioned if Sofia's mom had died. The line was edited by participants and revised to read, "Yes, I got my first dose already because this situation with my mom has really freaked me out!" To further clear up any confusion about Sofia's mom being alive, we added a line at the end stating, "I know my mom would be happy to know you are both protecting yourselves.' Third, participants had questions about topics that were not covered in the script, such as the use of condoms to prevent HPV and the anatomical location that cervical cancer affects. We added dialogue between the characters, Luis and Sofia, to help clarify the anatomical location of the cervix and information on condoms not being 100 % effective in HPV prevention. Fourth, participants recommended that the script make more comparisons to other vaccines such as the flu vaccine-a vaccine students may be familiar with and potentially receive every year. Lastly, participants indicated wanting details about where to get additional HPV vaccine information. All participant suggestions and refinements were incorporated into the final script (See Supplementary Table 1).

#### 3.1.2. Script evaluation quantitative findings

Descriptive results indicate that all participants strongly agreed/

		information presented, or scenario was unclear or
		confusing.
S		"The whole section makes sense, and I got all the
ł		information I needed." (Man)
s		"Did Elena's mom die? This sentence seems to
-		indicate that the mom has died. I don't think
5		[getting a vaccine] is a nice way to honor your
S		mom. It's a little confusing." (Man)
)	3. Informativeness	Participants expressed when new information was
s		learned.
-		"I did not know that HPV was an STI." (Man)
L		"Oh, guys can get it [HPV] too?" (Women)
1	4. Realism	Participants expressed when the storyline seem like
)		it could happen in real-life.
		"[It] sounds like a real scenario. Elena looking up
		HPV [on the computer] seems like it was
		something I would do." (Women)
		"I like that it sounded like it could be a true story.
		It doesn't seem too scripted or dramatic."
		(Women)
	5. Identification/	There were several instances where participants
	Transportation	voiced that the storyline was relevant or relatable to
1		their own lives and that they were absorbed in the
1		storyline.
-		"In my mind, I was being Elena and if my
7		roommate came in, I would ask her the same
7		questions." (Woman)
4		"The mom having cancer makes people more
1		empathetic." (Man)
-	6. Likability	Participants expressed if they liked or did not like
-		

	how the information was presented, the storyline,
	or the characters.
	"I liked the informational part. Learning about
	HPV. What we can do to prevent it. I enjoyed
	seeing Sofia's friends acknowledge the situation
	and affirm." (Man)
	"I liked that it kind of acted like a FAQ. Elena or
	Luis would ask about the vaccine and there would
	be clear information about it" (Woman)
Need for Additional	Participants expressed when there were areas that
Information	needed more information or that comparisons
	should be made to make the information more
	relatable.
	"Overall, make more comparisons, like make
	comparisons with the flu shot. It's just like getting
	the flu shot." (Man)
	"Someone who has anxiety might need to know
	exactly what they are calling for. Add more details

about who to call and where to go." (Woman)

Note. Themes 1-6 represent a priori themes. New themes captured in thematic analysis are listed below a priori themes.

agreed that the script was persuasive, interesting, believable, of high quality, and logical and rational. Further, all participants strongly disagreed/disagreed that the script was boring. Most participants ( $\geq 80\%$ ) strongly agreed/agreed that the script was an appropriate length, relevant to them, appealing, and that they gained new information. Only 40% of participants strongly agreed/agreed that the script did not teach them anything new (See Supplementary Table 2).

unclear or

Participants voiced when they felt that the language

was not appropriate or accessible for understanding

"There were several instances where the language

"It's all simple vocabulary, but I would change the

the information. Further, participants offered revisions to make the language colloquial.

word 'honor' to something else." (Man)

Participants voiced when they felt that the information was clear as well as when the

is too formal." (Women)

#### Table 2

1. Appropriateness of the

script language

2. Comprehension

Summary	of	script	one-on-one	interview	thematic	findings	with	sample	
responses.									
Theme			Sa	mple Respon	ses				

#### 3.2. Stage 3: Video evaluation results

#### 3.2.1. Video evaluation qualitative findings

Table 3 presents the thematic findings. Thematic analysis revealed that the key aspects of narrative communication were present while viewing the video, and participants agreed that the information presented was clear and concise and, although participants had varying degrees of knowledge about HPV and the vaccine, most indicated that they gained new information. Thematic analysis also revealed a new

#### Table 3

Summary of video one-on-one interview thematic findings with sample responses.

Theme	Sample Responses			
1. Appropriateness of the video language	"The guy said, 'we have your support' that's not something you would generally hear them say but I get what they were trying to portray with giving support for their friend." (Woman)			
2. Comprehension	"It was like a conversation that you would have with your friends." (Woman) "I think when they were reading off the google searches it was a little difficult to keep up and there was medical information that can be confusing." (Woman)			
3. Informativeness	"It's very understandable. It's easy to follow along." (Woman) "I thought it was informative. There were definitely parts I was like I didn't know that." (Woman)			
4. Realism	"I think this is completely new to me. I did not know what HPV was. I was aware of other STDs like AIDS/ HIV." (Man) "I think at first it seemed very dramatic but considering the topic, I think it's good and it's helpful." (Woman)			
5. Identification/ Transportation	"I thought it was also interesting and having it staged on campus and it will help students think it's actually being portrayed on campus." (Woman) "I can totally feel her pain because a year ago I lost my mother to lung cancer. She had cancer the first time which was in the breast area, and it metastasized to the lung area. Seeing her suffer through the pain of cancer was really hard to live." (Man)			
6. Likability	"My mom went through something like that I just didn't tell anyone. The fact that she vocalizing it shows that there is a lot of support at the school." (Woman) "I thought it was cool how you guys took real students. I've seen them before. I think it's cool because they look our age and look like us. You are more likely to listen because they are just like us. They know what life is like at this age and at this school. It was nice that they look our age and I've seen them around campus." (Woman)			
Video Enhancements	"I like the amount of info and how it was a conversation throughout, and she wasn't just lecturing them. She didn't shut her friends down when they were asking questions. The girl on the laptop was looking it up as they were talking about it, and it shows that you can do reach on it." (Woman) "I thought the introduction was long. The intro was long so if you can condense it" (Man) "The sound could be clearer. Maybe turn the music			
	down." (Woman) "There is a lot of information at once so if there are visuals or graphics/bullet points it would be helpful. They talked about specifically for UC Merced at the end so if there is a link at the end that would be good." (Man)			

Note. The mes 1–6 represent a priori themes. New themes captured in the matic analysis are listed below a priori themes.

theme-video enhancements, and several critical issues which were addressed in the final video. First, participants indicated that the introduction scenes of the video were too long. As such, the introduction scenes were reduced by 14 s reducing the video from 7:39 min to 7:25 min. The introduction scenes included the character named "Sofia" walking through the campus, to her dorm, and into her dorm room. Because there was no vital information presented during the introduction, we felt it was acceptable to reduce the opening scenes. It is possible that a video longer than 7 min is still too long; thus, further considerations should be given to the video length and future intervention implementation. Second, the analysis revealed that participants were not aware of where the health center's location is or how to contact them. Information was included at the end of the video about the location and contact information for the health center. Third, participants who process information visually may benefit from a brief written synopsis of the information presented in the video. Thus, "HPV Fast Facts" slides were created and presented at the end of the video.

#### 3.2.2. Video evaluation quantitative findings

Descriptive results indicate that participants ( $\geq$ 75%) *strongly agreed/agreed* that the video was appealing, persuasive, interesting, believable, logical and rational, and they gained new information. Most participants ( $\geq$ 66.7%) *strongly agreed/agreed* that the video was an appropriate length, high quality, and was relevant. Over half of the participants (58.4%) *strongly disagreed/disagreed* that the video was boring and that it did not teach them anything new (See Supplementary Table 2).

#### 4. Discussion and conclusion

#### 4.1. Discussion

This project developed and evaluated a theoretically guided health communication video for college students containing information on HPV, the HPV vaccine, and HPV-related cancers. Analysis revealed that participants felt that the newly developed video was appealing, persuasive, interesting, believable, and of high quality. Participants also reported that they gained new information about HPV and the HPV vaccine from the video. This project describes a process which can be utilized to develop comparable narrative CSM videos that are tailored to specific audiences to maximize identification with the characters, coherence, and motivation for specific audiences. The framework is uniquely suited for developing narrative communications. First, it utilizes a theoretical framework of health cognitions and health behavior decisions to develop the contents and messages of the narrative video. We suggest that utilizing the CSM can enhance the narrative intervention's efficacy relative to a health communication that focuses solely on the narrative mechanisms. As the CSM was developed to capture how people encode, process, and develop schema for health threats such as illness risks and has accumulated substantial empirical support [36], it serves as an efficacious guide for health persuasion messages [55,56]. Furthermore, the CSM constructs, specifically illness risk representations, influence protective behaviors. Therefore, using risk representations as a guide for the video content highlights the relationship between the perception of risk information and the decision to engage in protective actions. Having a clear understanding of a health threat can reduce distress and increase protective behaviors (e.g., HPV vaccination). Second, the implementation of the think-aloud method enabled undergraduate students to aid in the development of the script and video content and to provide their perspectives. This method was also beneficial in identifying unanticipated responses, which resulted in important modifications to the script and video contents. Third, we employed deductive and inductive thematic analysis to examine the qualitative interviews which proved an effective methodological approach. By selecting themes a priori, we were able to refine the script and video based on narrative communication concepts and participant perspectives. Including a realist approach enabled the identification of new themes (i.e., new information, video enhancements), and thus, necessary refinements to the script and video. This approach proved essential for achieving key refinements.

#### 4.2. Innovation

There are several ways in which this project was innovative. Firstly, it applies the ORBIT framework [45] for creating a CSM-guided narrative intervention to boost HPV vaccination. The ORBIT model's strength lies in its focus on early intervention development stages, offering a non-disease-specific, iterative, and rigorously tested approach, unlike many traditional health outcome-focused models. Secondly, the project's methodological approach involved undergraduate students in both the development and evaluation of the narrative video intervention, ensuring content relevance and refinement based on their feedback. This inclusive strategy also extended to utilizing university students as video actors and filming on campus, enhancing the intervention's relatability. Finally, the project delineates a replicable process for crafting population specific CSM guided narrative videos, aiming to enhance identification, coherence, and motivation among young adult university students.

#### 4.3. Conclusion

This project developed, evaluated, and refined a theoretically guided health communication video for diverse university students. This research represents essential preliminary steps before advancing to the subsequent phase, which involves executing a pilot randomized controlled trial to assess the video's influence on perceptions of HPV risk, intentions to receive the HPV vaccine, and actual HPV vaccination rates. We provide a step-by-step guide for utilizing narrative communication key concepts and the CSM to encourage protective behaviors, which serves as a model for developing future behavior change interventions tailored to diverse populations.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

Sara E. Fleszar-Pavlović: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Linda D. Cameron: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. S.F.P. is funded by The Ruth L. Kirschstein NRSA Institution Research Training Grant (T32; 5T32CA251064-03) in Cancer Training in Disparities and Equity (C-TIDE).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecinn.2024.100272.

#### References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Genital hpv infection fact sheet. http s://www.cdc.gov/std/hpv/stdfact-hpv.htm; 2019.
- [2] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HPV and cancer. https://www.cdc. gov/cancer/hpv/basic\_info/index.htm; 2018.
- [3] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HPV and oropharyngeal cancer. https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/hpv/basic\_info/hpv\_oropharyngeal.htm; 2018.

- [4] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. ACIP shared clinical decision-making recommendations. https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/acip/acip-scdm-faqs.html; 2020.
- [5] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Vaccines and preventable disease: human papillomavirus (hpv) vaccination: what everyone should know. https ://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd/hpv/public/index.html; 2020.
- [6] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin 2023;73(1):17–48. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21763.
- [7] Boersma P, Black LI. Human papillomavirus vaccination among adults aged 18–26, 2013–2018. NCHS Data Brief, no 354. National Center for Health Statistics; 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db354-h.pdf.
- [8] Choi Y, Eworuke E, Segal R. What explains the different rates of human papillomavirus vaccination among adolescent males and females in the United States? Papillomavirus Res (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 2016;2:46–51. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pvr.2016.02.001.
- [9] McElfish PA, Narcisse MR, Felix HC, Cascante DC, Nagarsheth N, Teeter B, et al. Race, nativity, and sex disparities in human papillomavirus vaccination among young adults in the USA. J Racial Ethn Health Disparities 2021;8(5):1260–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-020-00886-5.
- [10] Viens LJ, Henley SJ, Watson M, Markowitz LE, Thomas CC, Thompson D, et al. Human Papillomavirus–Associated Cancers — United States, 2008–2012. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2016;65(26):661–6. https://www.jstor.org/stable/24858159.
- [11] Lewis RM, Markowitz LE, Gargano JW, Steinau M, Unger ER. Prevalence of genital Human Papillomavirus among sexually experienced males and females aged 14–59 years, United States, 2013–2014. J Infect Dis 2017;217(6):869–77. https://doi. org/10.1093/infdis/jix655.
- [12] Kujawski SA, Yao L, Wang HE, Carias C, Chen YT. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on pediatric and adolescent vaccinations and well child visits in the United States: a database analysis. Vaccine 2022;40(5):706–13. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.12.064.
- [13] Núñez A, Sreeganga SD, Ramaprasad A. Access to healthcare during covid-19. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18062980.
- [14] He K, Mack WJ, Neely M, Lewis L, Anand V. Parental perspectives on immunizations: impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on childhood vaccine hesitancy. J Community Health 2021;47:39–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-021-01017-9
- [15] Daniels V, Saxena K, Roberts C, Kothari S, Corman S, Yao L, et al. Impact of reduced human papillomavirus vaccination coverage rates due to COVID-19 in the United States: a model based analysis. Vaccine 2021;39(20):2731–5. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.04.003.
- [16] Wentzensen N, Clarke MA, Perkins RB. Impact of COVID-19 on cervical cancer screening: challenges and opportunities to improving resilience and reduce disparities. Prev Med 2021;151:106596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ypmed.2021.106596.
- [17] Damgacioglu H, Sonawane K, Chhatwal J, Lairson DR, Clifford GM, Giuliano AR, et al. Long-term impact of HPV vaccination and COVID-19 pandemic on oropharyngeal cancer incidence and burden among men in the USA: a modeling study. Lancet Regional Health- Americas 2022;8:100143. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.lana.2021.100143.
- [18] Kreuter MW, Green MC, Cappella JN, Slater MD, Wise ME, Storey D, et al. Narrative communication in cancer prevention and control: a framework to guide research and application. Ann Behav Med 2007;33(3):221–35. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF02879904.
- [19] de Graaf A, Hoeken H, Sanders J, Beentjes JWJ. Identification as a mechanism of narrative persuasion. Comm Res 2012;39(6):802–23. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0093650211408594.
- [20] Green MC, Brock TC. The role of transportation in the persuasiveness of public narratives. J Pers Soc Psychol 2000;79(5):701–21. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.5.701.
- [21] Green MC, Brock TC. In the mind's eye: Transportation-imagery model of narrative persuasion. In: Green MC, Strange JJ, Brock TC, editors. Narrative impact: social and cognitive foundations. Lawrence Erlbaum; 2002. p. 315–41.
- [22] Houston TK, Allison JJ, Sussman M, Horn W, Holt CL, Trobaugh J, et al. Culturally appropriate storytelling to improve blood pressure: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2011;154(2):77–84. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-154-2-201101180-00004.
- [23] Murphy ST, Frank LB, Chatterjee JS, Baezconde-Garbanati L. Narrative versus nonnarrative: the role of identification, transportation, and emotion in reducing health disparities. J Commun 2013;63(1):116–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jcom.12007.
- [24] Busselle R, Bilandzic H. Measuring narrative engagement. Media Psychol 2009;12 (4):321–47. https://doi.org/10.1080/15213260903287259.
- [25] Lee H, Fawcett J, DeMarco R. Storytelling/narrative theory to address health communication with minority populations. Appl Nurs Res 2016;30:58–60. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2015.09.004.
- [26] Nan X, Dahlstrom MF, Richards A, Rangarajan S. Influence of evidence type and narrative type on HPV risk perception and intention to obtain the HPV vaccine. Health Commun 2015;30(3):301–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10410236.2014.888629.
- [27] Chan A, Brown B, Sepulveda E, Teran-Clayton L. Evaluation of fotonovela to increase human papillomavirus vaccine knowledge, attitudes, and intentions in a low-income Hispanic community. BMC Res Notes 2015;8(1). https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13104-015-1609-7.
- [28] Hecht ML, BeLue R, Ray A, Hopfer S, Miller-Day M, Mckee F. HPV vaccine intent among adult women receiving care at community health centers. J Cancer Educ 2022;37(4):1186–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13187-020-01937-5.

- [29] Hopfer S. Effects of a narrative HPV vaccination intervention aimed at reaching college women: a randomized controlled trial. Prev Sci 2012;13(2):173–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-011-0254-1.
- [30] Kim M, Lee H, Kiang P, Aronowitz T, Sheldon LK, Shi L, et al. A storytelling intervention in a mobile, web-based platform: a pilot randomized controlled rrial to evaluate the preliminary effectiveness to promote human papillomavirus vaccination in Korean American college women. Health Educ Behav 2020;47(2): 258–63. https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198119894589.
- [31] Leventhal H, Brissette I, Leventhal EA. The common-sense model of self-regulation of health and illness. In: Cameron LD, Leventhal H, editors. The self-regulation of health and illness behaviour. Routledge; 2003. p. 42–65.
- [32] Leventhal H, Meyer D, Nerenz D. The common-sense model of illness danger. In: Rachman S, editor. Medical psychology. vol. 2. Pergamon Press; 1980. p. 7–30.
- [33] Hagger MS, Orbell S. A meta-analytic review of the common-sense model of illness representations. Psychol Health 2003;18(2):141–84. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 088704403100081321.
- [34] Cameron LD. Illness risk representations and motivations to engage in protective behavior: the case of skin cancer risk. Psychol Health 2008;23(1):91–112. https:// doi.org/10.1080/14768320701342383.
- [35] Cameron LD, Biesecker BB, Peters E, Taber JM, Klein WMP. Self-regulation principles underlying risk perception and decision making within the context of genomic testing. Soc Personality Psychol Compass 2017;11(5):e12315. https://doi. org/10.1111/spc3.12315.
- [36] Cameron LD, Fleszar SE, Khachikian T. Changing behavior using the commonsense model of self-regulation. In: Hagger MS, Cameron LD, Hamilton K, Hankonen N, Lintunen T, editors. The handbook of behavior change. Cambridge University Press; 2020. p. 60–76. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108677318.
- [37] Cameron LD. Conceptualizing and assessing risk perceptions: A self-regulatory perspective. In: Presented at the conceptualizing and measuring risk perceptions workshop, Washington D.C. website of the division of cancer control and population sciences. National Cancer Institute; 2003. http://dccps.nci.nih.gov/ brp/conceptual.html.
- [38] Bishop AJ, Marteau TM, Hall S, Kitchener H, Hajek P. Increasing women's intentions to stop smoking following an abnormal cervical smear test result. Prev Med 2005;41(1):179–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2004.09.046.
- [39] Cameron LD, Marteau TM, Brown PM, Klein WM, Sherman KA. Communication strategies for enhancing understanding of the behavioral implications of genetic and biomarker tests for disease risk: the role of coherence. J Behav Med 2012;35 (3):286–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-011-9361-5.
- [40] Durazo A, Cameron LD. Representations of cancer recurrence risk, recurrence worry, and health-protective behaviours: an elaborated, systematic review. Health Psychol Rev 2019;13(4):447–76. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 17437199.2019.1618725.
- [41] Garg R, Meraya A, Murray PJ, Kelly K. Illness representations of pertussis and predictors of child vaccination among mothers in a strict vaccination exemption state. Matern Child Health J 2018;22(1):137–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-017-2363-3.
- [42] Parsons JE, Newby KV, French DP, Bailey E, Inglis N. The development of a digital intervention to increase influenza vaccination amongst pregnant women. Digital Health 2021. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076211012128.
- [43] Wang Z, Fang Y, Dong W, Lau M, Mo P. Illness representations on pneumonia and pneumococcal vaccination uptake among community-living Chinese people with

high-risk conditions aged  $\geq$ 65 years — a population-based study. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2021;17(5):1455–62. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2020.1814653.

- [44] Vollmann M, Salewski C. To get vaccinated, or not to get vaccinated, that ss the question: illness representations about COVID-19 and perceptions about COVID-19 vaccination as predictors of COVID-19 vaccination willingness among young adults in the Netherlands. Vaccines 2021;9(9):941. https://doi.org/10.3390/ vaccines9090941.
- [45] Czajkowski SM, Powell LH, Adler N, Naar-King S, Reynolds KD, Hunter CM, et al. From ideas to efficacy: the ORBIT model for developing behavioral treatments for chronic diseases. Health Psychol 2015;34(10):971–82. https://doi.org/10.1037/ hea0000161.
- [46] Creswell JW, Plano Clark VL. Designing and conducting mixed methods research. 3rd ed. Sage Publications, Inc.; 2018.
- [47] Fonteyn ME, Kuipers B, Grobe SJ. A description of think aloud method and protocol analysis. Qual Health Res 1993;3(4):430–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 104973239300300403.
- [48] Davis K, Minckas N, Bond VA, Clark CJ, Colbourn T, Drabble SJ, et al. Beyond interviews and focus groups: a framework for integrating innovative qualitative methods into randomised controlled trials of complex public health interventions. Trials 2019;20(1):329. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3439-8.
- [49] Yardley L, Williams S, Bradbury K, Garip G, Renouf S, Ware L, et al. Integrating user perspectives into the development of a web-based weight management intervention. Clinical Obesity 2012;2(5–6):132–41. https://doi.org/10.1111/ cob.12001.
- [50] Lee TJ, Cameron LD, Wünsche B, Stevens C. A randomized trial of computer-based communications using imagery and text information to alter representations of heart disease risk and motivate protective behaviour. Br J Health Psychol 2011;16 (Pt 1):72–91. https://doi.org/10.1348/135910710X511709.
- [51] Heathcote LC, Loecher N, Simon P, Spunt SL, Jordan A, Tutelman PR, et al. Symptom appraisal in uncertainty: a theory-driven thematic analysis with survivors of childhood cancer. Psychol Health 2021;36(10):1182–99. https://doi. org/10.1080/08870446.2020.1836180.
- [52] De Maria M, Ferro F, Vellone E, Ausili D, Luciani M, Matarese M. Self-care of patients with multiple chronic conditions and their caregivers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a qualitative descriptive study. J Adv Nurs 2022;78(5):1431–47. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.15115.
- [53] Lindsay AC, Valdez MJ, Delgado D, Restrepo E, Guzmán YM, Granberry P. Acceptance of the HPV vaccine in a multiethnic sample of Latinx mothers. Qual Health Res 2021;31(3):472–83. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732320980697.
- [54] Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol 2006;3 (2):77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a.
- [55] Cunningham MA, Swanson V, O'Carroll RE, Holdsworth RJ. Randomized clinical trial of a brief psychological intervention to increase walking in patients with intermittent claudication. Br J Surg 2012;99(1):49–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/ bjs.7714.
- [56] Petrie KJ, Cameron LD, Ellis CJ, Buick D, Weinman J. Changing illness perceptions after myocardial infarction: an early intervention randomized controlled trial. Psychosom Med 2002;64(4):580–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-200207000-00007.