

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Macular Edema After Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment Repair: Risk Factors, OCT Analysis, and Treatment Responses

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7fx9j7r8>

Journal

INVESTIGATIVE OPHTHALMOLOGY & VISUAL SCIENCE, 61(7)

ISSN

0146-0404

Authors

Pole, Cameron
Chehaibou, Ismael
Govetto, Andrea
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2020

Peer reviewed

1 **Macular Edema After Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment Repair:**
2 **Risk Factors, OCT Analysis, and Treatment Responses.**

3

4 **Authors:** Cameron Pole, MD¹, Ismael Chehaibou, MD^{1,2}, Andrea Govetto,
5 MD³, Sean Garrity, MD⁴, Steven D. Schwartz, MD¹, Jean-Pierre Hubschman,
6 MD¹

7 **Affiliations:**

8 ¹Retina Division, Stein Eye Institute, University of California Los Angeles, Los
9 Angeles, California, USA.

10 ²Université de Paris, Ophthalmology Department, AP-HP, Hôpital Lariboisière,
11 F-75010, Paris, France

12 ³Ophthalmology Department, Fatebenefratelli-Oftalmico Hospital, ASST-
13 Fatebenefratelli-Sacco, Milan, Italy.

14 ⁴Tufts Medical Center/New England Eye Center, Ophthalmic Consultants of
15 Boston, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

16 **Corresponding author:** Jean-Pierre Hubschman, MD

17 Retina Division, Stein Eye Institute, University of California Los Angeles

18 100 Stein Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095-7002

19 Tel: (310) 206-5004 / Fax: (310) 794-7905

20 E-mail: hubschman@jsei.ucla.edu

21

22 Abstract

23 **Purpose:** To investigate risk factors, imaging characteristics, and treatment
24 responses of cystoid macular edema (CME) after rhegmatogenous retinal
25 detachment (RRD) repair.

26 **Methods:** Consecutive, retrospective case-control series of patients who
27 underwent pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) and/or scleral buckling (SB) for RRD,
28 with at least six months of follow-up. Clinical and surgical parameters of
29 patients with and without CME (nCME), based on spectral-domain optical
30 coherence tomography (OCT), were compared.

31 **Results:** Of 99 eyes enrolled, 25 had CME while 74 had nCME. Patients with
32 CME underwent greater numbers of surgeries ($P < 0.0001$). After adjusting
33 for number of surgeries, macula-off RRD ($P = 0.06$), proliferative
34 vitreoretinopathy (PVR) ($P = 0.09$), surgical approach (PPV and/or SB, $P =$
35 0.21), and tamponade type ($P = 0.10$) were not statistically significant,
36 although they all achieved significance on univariate analysis ($P = 0.001$ or
37 less). Intraoperative retinectomy ($P = 0.009$) and postoperative
38 pseudophakia or aphakia ($P = 0.008$) were more frequent in the CME group,
39 even after adjustment. Characteristics of cCME on OCT included diffuse
40 distribution, confluent cysts, and absence of subretinal fluid or intraretinal
41 hyperreflective foci. Macular thickness improved significantly with
42 intravitreal triamcinolone ($P = 0.016$), but not with anti-vascular endothelial
43 growth factor agents ($P = 0.828$) or dexamethasone implant ($P = 0.125$).

44 After adjusting for number of surgeries and macular detachment, final visual
45 acuities remained significantly lower in the CME vs nCME group ($P = 0.012$).

46 **Conclusion:** Risk factors of CME include complex retinal detachment repairs
47 requiring multiple surgeries, and pseudophakic or aphakic lens status..
48 Although this cCME was associated with poor therapeutic response,
49 corticosteroids were the most effective studied treatments.

50

51 **Keywords:** intravitreal injection; macular edema; retinal detachment;
52 spectral-domain optical coherence tomography; vitrectomy; corticosteroids

53

54 **BACKGROUND**

55 Cystoid macular edema (CME) is a common retinal condition
56 characterized by macular thickening with intra-retinal fluid accumulation,
57 often accompanied by decreased visual acuity (VA) [1]. It may develop as a
58 complication of a wide spectrum of retinal diseases including diabetic
59 retinopathy (DR), uveitis, exudative age-related macular degeneration
60 (AMD), retinal vein occlusion (RVO), and genetic syndromes such as retinitis
61 pigmentosa (RP) [2].

62 Although the pathophysiology of CME is multifactorial, breakdown of
63 the inner blood retinal barrier is a common endpoint in most cases [1].
64 Current theories suggest subclinical inflammation as responsible for post-
65 rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) CME [3]. While progressive
66 leakage may be outlined with fluorescein angiography (FA) as the *gold-*
67 *standard* for CME diagnosis, optical coherence tomography (OCT) is
68 currently the most common imaging modality in the diagnosis and
69 characterization of CME, as it is non-invasive and provides high resolution
70 cross-sectional imaging of retinal anatomy [4], allowing easier and more
71 frequent follow-up,

72 Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment is characterized by progressive
73 accumulation of subretinal fluid due to retinal breaks. Although surgical
74 repairs, including scleral buckle (SB) and pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), are
75 effective surgical treatments, some cases with successful reattachment may
76 have poor visual outcomes related to postoperative CME development [5, 6],

77 which may persist for years in a minority of patients [7]. Retrospective and
78 observational studies using FA and OCT have shown rates of post-vitreotomy
79 CME varying from 5.5% after PPV for symptomatic floaters to 40% after
80 complicated detachment repairs [6, 8, 9]. Treatments for CME primarily
81 target inflammatory and pro-angiogenic mediators, but standard therapies
82 such as anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) therapies may be
83 ineffective for post-RRD CME [9, 10].

84 There is little data on post-RRD CME risk factors, rates, and anatomical
85 characteristics [3, 5, 11]. Therefore, this observational study was designed to
86 compare a consecutive case series of eyes with *versus* without post-RRD
87 CME, with the aim to determine its risk factors and describe its clinical
88 characteristics and therapeutic outcomes.

89 **METHODS**

90 This was a retrospective, observational study approved by the medical
91 center's institutional review board, University of California Los Angeles Office
92 of Human Research Protection (IRB#16-000574). This study adhered to the
93 tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the rules of the Health Insurance
94 Portability and Accountability Act of 1996.

95 Electronic health records (EHR) from a large academic referral center
96 (Stein Eye Institute at UCLA) were reviewed. Current Procedural Terminology
97 (CPT) coding records of surgical procedures from January 2015 to December
98 2017 were queried.

99

100 **Population**

101 All candidates underwent SB, PPV, or combined procedures for RRD,
102 performed by two experienced vitreoretinal surgeons (JPH and SDS), with at
103 least six months of follow up after surgery. Records were evaluated through
104 July 2018.

105 Exclusion criteria were severe ocular trauma, uveitis, DR,
106 endophthalmitis, RVO, myopic retinoschisis, or advanced dry or wet AMD.

107

108 **Spectral Domain-OCT Analysis**

109 All patients diagnosed with CME were examined with eye-tracked OCT.
110 All OCTs were acquired with the Spectralis® (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH,

111 Heidelberg, Germany) and RS-3000 (Nidek® Inc, San Jose, CA) devices. All
112 CME was analyzed with Spectralis® OCTs consisting of 19 horizontal B-scans
113 and manually adjusted for foveal centration. All OCT scans were carefully
114 reviewed independently by two graders (CP, JPH) on the Heidelberg Eye
115 Explorer software (Version 1.10.0.0).

116 A diagnosis of CME was noted if intraretinal hyporeflective spaces were
117 noted in the inner nuclear layer (INL) and/or outer plexiform layer (OPL).
118 Retinal thickness measurements were not used for CME diagnosis, as eyes
119 had varying levels of atrophy.

120 Eyes were classified as having postoperative transient CME (tCME),
121 chronic CME (cCME), or no CME (nCME). Both tCME and cCME were included
122 as all CME (aCME) for statistical analysis. Postoperative tCME was defined as
123 CME seen on OCT within six months of the final RRD, lasting less than six
124 months, and resolving using topical treatment. Postoperative cCME was
125 defined as CME seen on two OCTs at least six months apart, based on
126 previous reports.[12]

127 Recorded characteristics of cCME on OCTs included presence of
128 subretinal fluid, layers of CME involvement, presence of intraretinal
129 hyperreflective foci, and integrity of outer retinal layers. Efficacy of anti-
130 VEGF, triamcinolone acetonide (TA), or dexamethasone implant (Ozurdex®,
131 Allergan Inc, Irvine, California) (DEX) injections were assessed after 4-6
132 weeks, if OCT was available. To determine treatment effect, pre- and post-
133 injection OCTs were analyzed for central subfield thicknesses (CST) and inner

134 macular volumes, comprised of the central five areas of the standard early
135 treatment for diabetic retinopathy study (ETDRS) subfields.[13]

136

137 **Clinical Charts Analysis**

138 Preoperative RRD parameters, intraoperative and post-operative data
139 were collected. Glaucoma was counted if the patient carried this diagnose
140 from a glaucoma specialist. Visual acuity was measured on a Snellen chart
141 and converted to logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (LogMAR)
142 values for statistical analysis. Count fingers and hand motions vision were
143 recorded as 1.98 and 2.28 LogMAR, respectively, based on previous studies
144 using the Freiburg Visual Acuity Test.[14] Type of cCME treatment and
145 number of intravitreal injections were included.

146 **Statistical Analysis**

147 Qualitative values were listed as ratios and percentages while
148 quantitative values were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).
149 Qualitative variables were compared using the Fisher exact test. To compare
150 continuous data between two groups, a Mann-Whitney U test was used. The
151 Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to analyze changes in CST and inner
152 retinal volume. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare pre-injection
153 OCT parameters between groups. The Shapiro-Wilk test assessed the
154 normality of variable distribution. Covariate adjusted differences between
155 CME groups were assessed using regression modeling (i.e. logistic, linear,

156 and multinomial) using the number of surgeries as the covariate. Final visual
157 acuity (logMAR) was log transformed in multivariable analyses and used the
158 additional covariate of macula on/off. All statistics were performed in Stata
159 SE 15.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). A *P* value of less than 0.05 was
160 considered statistically significant. Denominators of ratios were less than the
161 total number of eyes in the category if eyes could not be included in
162 analyses due to missing or incomplete records.

163 **RESULTS**

164 **Population**

165 A flowchart of population selection is shown in Figure 1. A total of 508
166 surgical records were retrieved using CPT codes from January 2015 to
167 December 2017. Of these, 133 eyes undergoing RRD repair met inclusion
168 and exclusion criteria. Of these, 34 had less than six months of follow-up.
169 The remaining 99 eyes of 97 patients were included for analysis. Of these, 20
170 patients (20%) had cCME, 5 (5%) had tCME, and 74 (75%) had nCME. Our
171 primary analyses examine tCME and cCME as a single group, all CME (aCME),
172 in comparison to nCME due to the small sample size for tCME. Descriptive
173 statistics for all three groups can be found in the supplementary Table S1.

174

175 **CME Risk Factors**

176 Demographic and surgical data are summarized by CME group in Table
177 1. There was no difference in age at last surgery between patients in the
178 aCME group (64.1 ± 11.6 years) *versus* patients in the nCME group ($56.7 \pm$
179 18.0 years, $P = 0.092$). There was no significant difference in gender ($P =$
180 0.093), glaucoma status ($P = 0.258$), or length of follow-up ($P = 0.869$).
181 Among those with glaucoma, there was no difference in the rates of topical
182 prostaglandin analogs, other topical medications, or glaucoma surgery
183 between groups ($P = 0.992$)

184 Eyes in the aCME group underwent a significantly greater number of
185 retinal surgeries (3.5 ± 1.8) compared with eyes in the nCME group ($1.4 \pm$
186 1.9) ($P < 0.001$). Due to the high collinearity between CME status and
187 number of surgeries, multivariate analysis using this as a covariate was
188 performed. Final lens status differed significantly between groups after
189 adjustment ($P = 0.008$), with only one eye in the aCME group remaining
190 phakic. A higher rate of aCME eyes had a macula-off retinal detachment
191 ($20/24$, 83%), compared with nCME eyes ($31/70$, 44%, $P = 0.001$).
192 Proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) stage C was more frequent in the aCME
193 group ($15/24$, 63%) *versus* the nCME group ($5/74$, 7%), $P < 0.0001$. However,
194 both macula-off status ($P = 0.06$) and presence of PVR C ($P = 0.09$) lost
195 statistical significance after adjustment for the total number of surgeries
196 performed. Surgical approaches were statistically different between the
197 aCME and nCME groups: primary SB in $1/25$ (4%) aCME eyes vs $25/74$ (34%)
198 nCME eyes, PPV in $7/25$ (28%) aCME eyes vs $28/74$ (38%) nCME eyes, and
199 combined SB+PPV in $17/25$ (68%) aCME eyes vs $21/74$ (28%) nCME eyes (P
200 < 0.0001). However, these differences in the surgical approach were not
201 reliably different after adjustment for the number of surgeries. Rates of
202 retinectomy were higher in the aCME group than the nCME group after
203 adjustment ($9/25$, 36% vs $4/74$, 5%, $P = 0.009$). Rates of cryotherapy were
204 higher in the nCME group ($30/74$, 41%) than aCME group ($4/24$, 17%), even
205 after adjustment ($P = 0.036$). Unadjusted differences in tamponade agent
206 between groups were statistically significant ($P < 0.0001$). Notably, 16 out of

207 25 (64%) aCME eyes received silicone oil (SO) at least once, while only 4 out
208 of 74 (5%) of nCME eyes did. However, tamponade differences were no long
209 significant after covariate adjustment. There was no difference in the use of
210 perfluorocarbon liquid (PFCL) ($P = 0.728$).

211 At last examination, VA was significantly lower in aCME group ($0.85 \pm$
212 0.80 LogMAR) than in nCME group (0.20 ± 0.30 LogMAR), $P < 0.0001$. When
213 adjusting for the number of surgeries and macular detachment, the marginal
214 estimates for between group differences in LogMAR were attenuated (aCME
215 $= 0.55$ vs nCME $= 0.26$), though still statistically significant ($P = 0.012$).

216 Two patients had non-simultaneous RRDs in each eye. One patient was
217 23 years of age at the time of both surgeries and underwent SB with
218 cryotherapy in each eye for inferior chronic RRD, without CME development.
219 The other patient was 83 at the time of final surgery in both eyes, had initial
220 surgeries performed elsewhere, had multiple PPVs in both eyes, and received
221 SO in both eyes, and this patient developed cCME in both eye.

222 **OCT Characteristics of cCME**

223 Eyes in the cCME group ($n = 20$) shared particular qualities on OCT
224 (Figure 2). All eyes had diffuse CME involving the four macular quadrants.
225 The CME always involved the fovea but had variable extent into peripheral
226 macula and was often asymmetric. Cysts were uniformly present in the INL
227 and OPL, with occasional ganglion cell layer involvement. Florid CME often
228 assumed a retinoschitic appearance. With time, cysts coalesced into larger

229 confluent cavities with irregular, polygonal shapes. These cysts often
230 spanned within the same retinal layer and across adjacent layers. Temporary
231 resolution of these cysts after treatment disclosed disorganization and
232 variable atrophy of the retinal layers in areas of cyst confluency. If CME
233 recurred after treatment, it typically recurred in the same distribution of the
234 macula.

235 Outer retinal layer integrity was heterogeneous. On the first OCT with
236 CME after the final RRD repair, ellipsoid zone (EZ) disruption was seen in 18
237 eyes (90%), external limiting membrane (ELM) disruption in 14 eyes (80%),
238 and retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) disruption in 11 eyes (55%). Remarkably,
239 there was no case with subretinal fluid (SRF), and no case of intraretinal
240 hyperreflective foci or hemorrhage.

241 An epiretinal membrane (ERM) was detectable on OCT during the post-
242 operative follow-up period in 17/20 (85%) cCME eyes, 2/5 (40%) tCME eyes,
243 and 28/74 (38%) of nCME eyes ($P = 0.005$). Evidence of traction on OCT,
244 such as inner retinal wrinkling or ectopic inner foveal layers, was appreciable
245 in only 4 of the 17 cCME eyes with ERM. However, the severity of CME was
246 out of proportion to the ERM changes in all but one of these four eyes.

247

248 **CME Treatments**

249 All patients with tCME (n = 5) and cCME (n = 20) received topical
250 medications. Intravitreal injections and surgical interventions were
251 administered according to physician discretion. All patients received
252 corticosteroid drops, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent (NSAID) drop, or
253 a combination of both for at least two months after the diagnosis of CME. If
254 the CME failed to respond, patients thereafter received intravitreal injections
255 of anti-VEGF (bevacizumab, ranibizumab, aflibercept), or steroids
256 (triamcinolone acetate (TA), and/or dexamethasone intravitreal implant
257 (DEX)).

258 The five patients (25%) with tCME had permanent resolution of CME
259 with drops. Table 2 summarizes intravitreal treatments and anatomical
260 responses of cCME. Five patients received at least one bevacizumab
261 (Avastin®, Genentech Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA) injection, and one of
262 these patients also received aflibercept (Eylea®, Regeneron Inc., Tarrytown,
263 NY, USA) injections. In cCME eyes, there was a significant CST ($P = 0.016$,
264 Wilcoxon signed rank test) and volume ($P = 0.016$) decrease after TA. ($P =$
265 0.125) (Figure 3). There was no difference in pre-injection CST or volume
266 between groups ($P = 0.397$, $P = 0.457$). There was no significant change in
267 CST or volume with anti-VEGF treatment ($P = 0.915$, $P = 0.828$) or DEX ($P =$
268 0.434 , $P = 0.125$). No patient developed elevated intraocular pressure (IOP)
269 after intravitreal injection requiring treatment. One patient developed sterile
270 endophthalmitis after her seventh TA injection that spontaneously resolved

271 without sequelae. A PPV for an ERM was performed in 9/16 cCME eyes with
 272 OCT evidence of ERM, with full resolution of the CME in only one eye.

273

274 Table 2: Treatments for chronic cystoid macular edema (cCME) and
 275 anatomical responses on spectral-domain optical coherence tomography.

Type of Treatment	Anti-VEGF	TA	DEX	
Number of Eyes	5	7	4	
Number of Injections (Median; [Range])	2.5, 1-14	2.0, 1-10	2.5, 1-7	
CST pre-injection (μm)	401 \pm 84.9	481 \pm 104	397 \pm 57.0	<i>P</i> = 0.397
CST post-injection (μm)	393 \pm 106	402 \pm 102	355 \pm 80.4	
Percent CST change (μm)	-1.44 \pm 17.1, <i>P</i> = 0.915	-15.6 \pm 16.6, <i>P</i> = 0.016	-11.0 \pm 10.7, <i>P</i> = 0.434	
Inner macular volume pre-injection (mm^3)	2.81 \pm 0.43	3.18 \pm 0.56	3.12 \pm 0.80	<i>P</i> = 0.457
Inner macular volume post-injection (mm^3)	2.74 \pm 0.53	2.72 \pm 0.53	2.66 \pm 0.486	
Percent (%) inner macular volume change (mm^3)	-2.49 \pm 12.35, <i>P</i> = 0.828	-13.9 \pm 10.8, <i>P</i> = 0.016	-10.7 \pm 25.7, <i>P</i> = 0.125	

276

277 Values are listed as averages with standard deviations. VEGF = vascular
 278 endothelial growth factor. TA = triamcinolone acetate. DEX =
 279 dexamethasone implant. CST = central subfield thickness.

282 DISCUSSION

283 Chronic CME after retinal detachment repair remains a challenging
284 complication. In this paper, the risk factors for post-RRD CME, its OCT
285 characteristics, and treatments outcomes are described.

286 Chronic post-RRD CME is thought to be pathophysiologically distinct
287 from other etiologies of CME [3]. Among CME etiologies such as uveitis, RVO,
288 and DME, many of the cytokines and damaged tissue responses are shared
289 [1, 2, 15]. Certain CME etiologies, however, may have unique
290 pathophysiologic mechanisms despite phenotypic similarities [16]. Entities
291 with a significant pro-angiogenic component, such as exudative AMD, may
292 respond to anti-VEGF agents, while those with a broad inflammatory
293 component, such as uveitic CME or Irvine-Gass syndrome, may respond
294 better to anti-inflammatory drugs [12].

295 While some studies found no risk factor differences for CME rates [5,
296 17], some series have, on univariate analyses, reported increased rates in
297 pseudophakic [18] and aphakic eyes [6], older patients, more extensive RRD,
298 and a history of a detached macula. In the present study, lens status was
299 significantly different between groups, with increased pseudophakia and
300 aphakia in aCME eyes. Unicameral communication in vitrectomized eyes
301 modifies circulation of inflammatory cytokines, as animal studies have noted

302 changes in oxygen and antioxidant gradients [19]. Higher rates of
303 pseudophakia/aphakia in the aCME group may be related either to the actual
304 lens surgery or to the complexity of the vitreo-retinal surgeries requiring lens
305 extraction. As a substantial proportion of eyes with complicated RRD will be
306 made pseudophakic or aphakic, anticipating CME in complex cases can have
307 prognostic implications.

308 Eyes with CME had a greater number of surgeries, higher rates of PVR
309 grade C and retinectomy, and higher rates of SO use. Many studies have
310 shown increased inflammation and CME with more complicated ocular
311 surgeries and inflammatory risk factors [3, 11, 20]. Re-detachments are
312 frequently associated with PVR formation and warrant additional surgeries,
313 both of which can increase intraocular inflammation and possible risk for
314 CME [21]. Retinectomy is helpful when PVR membranes are not amenable to
315 mechanical peeling, and therefore retinectomy likely indicates severe
316 pathology rather than directly causing CME.

317 Macular detachment was associated with a higher risk of CME, which is
318 in line with prior papers [18]. Of note, previous studies have noted outer
319 nuclear layer CME on OCT of the detached macula [22, 23]. Although the
320 retinal hydration theory, implicated in macular hole edema formation [24],
321 may contribute to post-RRD CME, the presence of leakage on FA suggests
322 dynamic fluid movements as opposed to static, non-leaking cysts. Moreover,
323 absence of SRF after RD repair would theoretically lead to rapid elimination
324 of intraretinal fluid by normal pumping mechanisms. Although such studies

325 for macular detachment and CME development have not been explored [18,
326 25], permanent damage to retinal cellular elements while detached may lead
327 to persistent dysfunction and contribute to CME.

328 There was a significant difference in surgical approaches between
329 groups, with higher rates of combined SB and PPV in aCME eyes. This is not
330 surprising, given that scleral buckles are often combined with PPV for
331 complex or recurrent detachments to support the vitreous base and/or areas
332 of retinal pathology. However, there was significantly more cryotherapy in
333 the nCME group. Cryotherapy at our institution is only used during primary
334 scleral buckling, usually for limited and uncomplicated detachments in
335 phakic patients. While data comparing CME rates between PPV and SB are
336 scant, the correlation between more complicated detachments and CME is
337 consistent [3, 11, 18].

338 After adjusting for the number of surgeries, type of surgery ($P = 0.21$),
339 macular detachment ($P = 0.06$), PVR Grade C ($P = 0.09$) and tamponade
340 type ($P = 0.10$) lost statistical significance. This may be related to the limited
341 sample size, as there remained a trend towards significance. Moreover,
342 these factors are clinically related to the number of surgeries and surgical
343 failure. The interplay of inflammation among these factors requires more
344 formal study.

345 Characteristics of CME on OCT can be useful diagnostic clues, and
346 post-RRD cCME displays distinguishing OCT features (Figure 2). Previous
347 studies have examined OCTs of various conditions associated with CME and

348 noted distinctive findings [13]. These findings could then be used to
349 diagnose conditions accurately as well as account for variability in VA [26].
350 Post-RRD cCME shares features of uveitic CME, such as diffuse macular
351 distribution, inner and outer layer cysts, and absence of hyperreflective foci.
352 This contrasts to post-RRD tCME, which is much less severe, more central
353 and fleeting, and may be a variant of pseudophakic CME.

354 The presence of ERM is common after RRD and may confound CME
355 diagnosis [16]. Although there was a significant difference between groups in
356 the presence of ERM on OCT, there was resolution of CME in only one eye
357 after ERM peeling, suggesting that traction plays a small role in most cases
358 of post-RRD CME. Therefore, there should be high suspicion for post-RRD
359 cCME in any patient status-post RRD repair that has severe, diffuse CME
360 without SRF in the absence of other typical inflammatory or tractional signs.

361 The RPE has a well-studied role in pumping syneretic vitreous fluid
362 through the retina and into the choroidal space [1]. Active fluid transport
363 regulation by the RPE and Muller cells along with maintenance of tight
364 junctional proteins are thought to mitigate CME accumulation [1, 2, 15], and
365 dysfunction of these cells causes an imbalance of fluid inflow and egress.
366 Previous papers examining CME OCT findings note varying SRF rates, from
367 5% in uveitic CME up to 100% in central RVO-associated CME [1, 4, 13, 27].
368 Therefore, the absence of SRF in cCME suggests a grossly functioning RPE
369 and outer retinal barrier.

370 Intravitreal corticosteroids were more effective than intravitreal anti-
371 VEGF or topical medications for cCME in our series. Recent investigations
372 have shown success with intravitreal corticosteroids for chronic post-RRD
373 CME [16, 25]. Thanos et al found favorable responses to DEX all eyes, but in
374 all cases CME recurred after three months. This aligns with pharmacokinetic
375 studies showing a dual-phase response of high dexamethasone
376 concentrations for the first two months after delivery followed by a
377 precipitous decrease during the third month [28]. Experimental studies have
378 demonstrated a reduced half-life of anti-VEGF agents and triamcinolone
379 acetate in vitrectomized eyes compared with non-vitrectomized eyes [28,
380 29], but similar clearances between eyes with DEX. Statistically significance
381 for anatomical improvement was not reached for DEX in our series, likely due
382 to the small number of eyes. Moreover, aphakia has been suggested to
383 cause increased unicameral circulation of inflammatory cytokines [6, 30], but
384 aphakia precludes the use of DEX. One randomized controlled trial
385 evaluating PPV with SO for RRD with grade C PVR found a significant
386 decrease in CME occurrence at 6 months post-operatively in those with
387 intraoperative DEX [31]. Corticosteroids have been shown to modulate a
388 number of cytokines secreted by retinal cells, such as tumor necrosis factor-
389 α , interleukins-1 β , 6, and 8, as well as induce expression of occludin, ZO-1,
390 and claudin-5 [1, 16, 31]. Steroids also modulate expression of aquaporin,
391 predominantly expressed in end-feet of Müller cells and astrocytes.
392 Corticosteroids may therefore stabilize the BRB and encourage resolution of

393 CME, accounting for the increased efficacy of corticosteroids over anti-VEGF
394 agents. Nevertheless, disadvantages of TA and DEX include accelerated
395 cataract formation and risk of increased IOP; however, most patients with
396 cCME will require cataract extraction, and no patient in our series required
397 treatment for ocular hypertension.

398 Average final visit VA was significantly worse in the aCME group even
399 after adjusting for macula-off status and number of surgeries. Reports on
400 recalcitrant CME after PPV for RRD, despite anatomic improvement, found
401 only short-term visual acuity gains [16, 25].

402 Irvine-Gass syndrome (IGS) is another potential diagnosis in these
403 cases. We did not regularly perform FA or optic disc evaluations to check for
404 optic nerve head leakage during the course of follow up. However, IGS is not
405 described after PPV and has been described as a potential treatment option
406 in many cases [32]. Therefore, IGS would have likely responded to topical
407 treatments, steroid injections, or PPV. The OCT appearance of IGS is also less
408 diffuse, more foveocentric, and may be associated with SRF, as opposed to
409 characteristics noted with post-RRD cCME.

410 Our paper has a relatively large sample size of post-RRD CME, long-
411 term patient records and follow up, and variety of treatments. Despite this,
412 our study has several limitations. The retrospective analysis precluded
413 standardized imaging and treatment protocols. Significant loss to follow-up
414 likely led to underreporting of chronic post-RRD CME and an inability to

415 accurately determine incidence. The high percentage of CME likely relates to
416 inclusion of eyes that had initial RRD repairs prior to the inclusion period and
417 multiple referrals for complex cases. We were unable to determine after
418 which surgery CME appeared due to inconsistent timing and absence of OCT
419 acquisition between surgeries, or missing outside records. A small number of
420 eyes received anti-VEGF injections, and greater numbers may show CME
421 improvement. A larger, prospective study evaluating complex macular
422 surgeries is warranted.

423 In conclusion, cCME after RRD is a complex entity with interconnected
424 risk factors. A high index of suspicion based on risk factor and imaging
425 characteristics can allow anticipation of cCME development and early
426 treatment. Currently, corticosteroids have the most evidence of treatment
427 success, and prompt intervention may provide better functional and
428 structural outcomes.

429

430 **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

431 CME = cystoid macular edema, tCME = transient CME, cCME = chronic CME,

432 aCME = all CME

433 DR = diabetic retinopathy

434 AMD = age-related macular degeneration

435 RVO = retinal vein occlusion

436 RP = retinitis pigmentosa

437 RRD = rhegmatogenous retinal detachment

- 438 FA = fluorescein angiography
- 439 OCT = optical coherence tomography
- 440 SB = scleral buckle
- 441 PPV = pars plana vitrectomy
- 442 VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor
- 443 EHR = electronic health records
- 444 CPT = current procedural terminology
- 445 TA = triamcinolone acetonide
- 446 DEX = dexamethasone intravitreal implant
- 447 CST = central subfield thickness
- 448 ETDRS = early treatment for diabetic retinopathy study
- 449 SD = standard deviation
- 450 PVR = proliferative vitreoretinopathy
- 451 PFCL = perfluorocarbon liquid
- 452 INL = inner nuclear layer
- 453 OPL = outer plexiform layer
- 454 EZ = ellipsoid zone
- 455 ELM = external limiting membrane
- 456 ERM = epiretinal membrane
- 457 RPE = retinal pigment epithelium
- 458 SRF = subretinal fluid
- 459 NSAID = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug
- 460 IOP = intraocular pressure

23

461 SO = silicone oil

462 Declarations

463 **Ethics Approval and consent to participate:** This research study was
464 conducted retrospectively from data obtained for clinical purposes. An IRB
465 official waiver of ethical approval was granted from the IRB of the University
466 of California Los Angeles Office of Human Research Protection (IRB#16-
467 000574).

468 **Consent for publication:** Not applicable

469 **Availability of data and material:** The datasets used and/or analysed
470 during the current study are available from the corresponding author on
471 reasonable request.

472 **Competing Interests:** All authors certify that they have no affiliations with
473 or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such
474 as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus;
475 membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity
476 interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-
477 financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations,
478 knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this
479 manuscript.

480 **Funding:** The research described was supported by NIH/National Center for
481 Advancing Translational Science (NCATS) UCLA CTSI Grant Number
482 UL1TR001881, and by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent
483 Blindness.

484 **Contributions:** All authors contributed to the study conception and design.
485 Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by CP, IC,
486 AG, SG, SDS, and JPH. The first draft of the manuscript was written by CP,
487 and all authors commented on draft versions of the manuscript. All authors
488 read and approved the final manuscript.

489
490 **Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank Nicholas J. Jackson,
491 PhD, for his statistical assistance and valuable review of the manuscript.

492

493 **REFERENCES**

- 494 1. Daruich A, Matet A, Moulin A, et al. Mechanisms of macular edema:
495 Beyond the surface. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2018;63:20-68.
- 496 2. Spaide RF. Retinal Vascular Cystoid Macular Edema: Review and New
497 Theory. *Retina.* 2016;36(10):1823-42.
- 498 3. Romano V, Angi M, Scotti F, et al. Inflammation and macular oedema
499 after pars plana vitrectomy. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2013;2013:971758.
- 500 4. Catier A, Tadayoni R, Paques M, et al. Characterization of macular
501 edema from various etiologies by optical coherence tomography. *Am J*
502 *Ophthalmol.* 2005;140(2):200-6.
- 503 5. Bonnet M. Prognosis of cystoid macular edema after retinal
504 detachment repair. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 1986;224(1):13-7.
- 505 6. Meredith TA, Reeser FH, Topping TM, et al. Cystoid macular edema
506 after retinal detachment surgery. *Ophthalmology.* 1980;87(11):1090-5.
- 507 7. Bonnet M, Payan X. [Long-term prognosis of cystoid macular edema
508 after microsurgery of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment]. *J Fr Ophtalmol.*
509 1993;16(4):259-63.
- 510 8. de Nie KF, Crama N, Tilanus MA, et al. Pars plana vitrectomy for
511 disturbing primary vitreous floaters: clinical outcome and patient
512 satisfaction. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2013;251(5):1373-82.
- 513 9. Miyake K, Miyake Y, Maekubo K, et al. Incidence of cystoid macular
514 edema after retinal detachment surgery and the use of topical indomethacin.
515 *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1983;95(4):451-6.

- 516 10. Benson SE, Ratcliffe S, Van Raders P, et al. A randomized comparison
517 of parecoxib/valdecoxib and placebo for the prevention of cystoid macular
518 edema after scleral buckling surgery. *Retina*. 2009;29(3):387-94.
- 519 11. Lai TT, Huang JS, Yeh PT. Incidence and risk factors for cystoid macular
520 edema following scleral buckling. *Eye (Lond)*. 2017;31(4):566-71.
- 521 12. Rossetti L, Chaudhuri J, Dickersin K. Medical prophylaxis and treatment
522 of cystoid macular edema after cataract surgery. The results of a meta-
523 analysis. *Ophthalmology*. 1998;105(3):397-405.
- 524 13. Munk MR, Sacu S, Huf W, et al. Differential diagnosis of macular edema
525 of different pathophysiologic origins by spectral domain optical coherence
526 tomography. *Retina*. 2014;34(11):2218-32.
- 527 14. Schulze-Bonsel K, Feltgen N, Burau H, et al. Visual acuities "hand
528 motion" and "counting fingers" can be quantified with the freiburg visual
529 acuity test. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2006;47(3):1236-40.
- 530 15. Augustin A, Loewenstein A, Kuppermann BD. Macular edema. General
531 pathophysiology. *Dev Ophthalmol*. 2010;47:10-26.
- 532 16. Thanos A, Todorich B, Yonekawa Y, et al. Dexamethasone Intravitreal
533 Implant for the Treatment of Recalcitrant Macular Edema after
534 Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment Repair. *Retina*. 2018;38(6):1084-90.
- 535 17. Yang JY, Kim HK, Kim SH, et al. Incidence and Risk Factors of Cystoid
536 Macular Edema after Vitrectomy with Silicone Oil Tamponade for Retinal
537 Detachment. *Korean J Ophthalmol*. 2017.

- 538 18. Tunc M, Lahey JM, Kearney JJ, et al. Cystoid macular oedema following
539 pneumatic retinopexy vs scleral buckling. *Eye (Lond)*. 2007;21(6):831-4.
- 540 19. Siegfried CJ, Shui YB, Tian B, et al. Effects of Vitrectomy and
541 Lensectomy on Older Rhesus Macaques: Oxygen Distribution, Antioxidant
542 Status, and Aqueous Humor Dynamics. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*.
543 2017;58(10):4003-14.
- 544 20. Kiss CG, Richter-Muksch S, Sacu S, et al. Anatomy and function of the
545 macula after surgery for retinal detachment complicated by proliferative
546 vitreoretinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2007;144(6):872-7.
- 547 21. Pastor JC, Rojas J, Pastor-Idoate S, et al. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy:
548 A new concept of disease pathogenesis and practical consequences. *Prog*
549 *Retin Eye Res*. 2016;51:125-55.
- 550 22. Nakanishi H, Hangai M, Unoki N, et al. Spectral-domain optical
551 coherence tomography imaging of the detached macula in rhegmatogenous
552 retinal detachment. *Retina*. 2009;29(2):232-42.
- 553 23. Lee SY, Joe SG, Kim JG, et al. Optical coherence tomography evaluation
554 of detached macula from rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and central
555 serous chorioretinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2008;145(6):1071-6.
- 556 24. Gaudric A, Haouchine B, Massin P, et al. Macular Hole Formation: New
557 Data Provided by Optical Coherence Tomography. *JAMA Ophthalmology*.
558 1999;117(6):744-51.
- 559 25. Alam MR, Arcinue CA, Mendoza NB, et al. Recalcitrant Cystoid Macular
560 Edema after Pars Plana Vitrectomy. *Retina*. 2016;36(7):1244-51.

- 561 26. Gharbiya M, Grandinetti F, Scavella V, et al. Correlation between
562 spectral-domain optical coherence tomography findings and visual outcome
563 after primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment repair. *Retina*.
564 2012;32(1):43-53.
- 565 27. Kim BY, Smith SD, Kaiser PK. Optical coherence tomographic patterns
566 of diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2006;142(3):405-12.
- 567 28. Edington M, Connolly J, Chong NV. Pharmacokinetics of intravitreal
568 anti-VEGF drugs in vitrectomized versus non-vitrectomized eyes. *Expert Opin*
569 *Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2017;13(12):1217-24.
- 570 29. Chin HS, Park TS, Moon YS, et al. Difference in clearance of intravitreal
571 triamcinolone acetonide between vitrectomized and nonvitrectomized eyes.
572 *Retina*. 2005;25(5):556-60.
- 573 30. Lobes LA, Jr., Grand MG. Incidence of cystoid macular edema following
574 scleral buckling procedure. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1980;98(7):1230-2.
- 575 31. Banerjee PJ, Quartilho A, Bunce C, et al. Slow-Release Dexamethasone
576 in Proliferative Vitreoretinopathy: A Prospective, Randomized Controlled
577 Clinical Trial. *Ophthalmology*. 2017;124(6):757-67.
- 578
- 579 32. Guo S, Patel S, Baumrind B, et al. Management of pseudophakic
580 cystoid macular edema. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2015;60(2):123-137.
- 581
- 582
- 583

	aCME	nCME	P value	¹ Adjusted P value
Demographic Data				
Number of eyes	25 (25%)	74 (75%)		
Follow-up (months)	21.4 ± 12.1	20.4 ± 10.8	0.87	
Sex, Female	8 (32%)	38 (34%)	0.09	
Age (years)	64.1 ± 11.6	56.7 ± 18.0	0.09	
Clinical Data				
Right eye	11 (44%)	40 (54%)	0.38	
Glaucoma	4 (16%)	6 (8%)	0.26	
Lens status			< 0.001	0.008
Phakic	1 (4%)	44 (60%)		
Pseudophakic	14 (56%)	28 (38%)		
Aphakic	10 (40%)	2 (3%)		
Macula off^a	20/24 (83%)	31/70 (44%)	0.001	0.06
PVR Stage C^a	15/24 (63%)	5/74 (7%)	< 0.001	0.09
Final VA (LogMAR)	0.85 ± 0.80	0.20 ± 0.30	< 0.001	^b 0.012
ERM	18 (72%)	28 (38%)	0.005	
Surgical details				
Number of surgeries	3.5 ± 1.8	1.4 ± 0.9	< 0.001	
Multiple PPV	21 (84%)	17 (23%)	< 0.001	^c —
Referred after surgery elsewhere	12 (48%)	5 (7%)	< 0.001	0.31
Number of surgery outside	1 ± 1.3	0.095 ± 0.4	< 0.001	
Type of surgery			< 0.001	0.21
SB	1 (4%)	25 (34%)		
PPV	7 (28%)	28 (38%)		
PPV+SB	17 (68%)	21 (28%)		
Tamponade agent			< 0.001	0.10
None/Air	1 (4%)	24 (32%)		
Gas (SF ₆ or C ₃ F ₈)	8 (32%)	46 (62%)		
Silicone Oil	16 (64%)	4 (5%)		
Cryotherapy^a	4/24 (17%)	30/73 (41%)	0.047	0.036
Retinectomy	9 (36%)	4 (5%)	< 0.001	0.009
PFCL^a	18/23 (78%)	35/47 (75%)	0.73	0.38

589 aCME: all (chronic + transient) cystoid macular edema; nCME: no cystoid macular
590 edema; PVR: proliferative vitreoretinopathy; VA: visual acuity; LogMAR: (logarithm
591 of the minimum angle of resolution); PPV: pars-plana vitrectomy; ERM: epiretinal
592 membrane; SB: scleral buckle; PFCL: perfluorocarbon liquid
593 ^aDenominators are provided if the number is less than the total number of eyes in
594 the category due to missing or incomplete data
595 ^bFinal VA adjusted P value from a model with covariates for total number of
596 surgeries and Macula on/off.
597 ^cAdjusted model not possible due to collinearity of Multiple PPV with number of
598 surgeries (i.e. those with Multiple PPV had greater than 2 surgeries, while those with
599 no PPV had fewer).
600 ¹P-value for difference after adjustment for total number of surgeries.
601
602

603 Table 1 should be placed at an area near the Risk Factors section of results
604 for viewing by the reader.

605

606

607 **Fig. 1** Flowchart of patient selection process. ICD-9: International
608 Classification of Disease, 9th edition. CPT: Current Procedural Terminology.
609 CME: Cystoid Macular Edema.

610

611 **Fig. 2** Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography and infrared image
612 elevation overlays of two different patients with chronic cystoid macular
613 edema post-rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. The scan in Row A
614 demonstrates schisis-like changes. The scan in Row B demonstrates
615 confluent cystic cavities spanning retinal layers that developed over two
616 years. In both scans, note diffuse, asymmetric distribution of retinal cysts
617 crossing the horizontal raphe, involvement of inner and outer retinal layers,

618 absence of subretinal fluid, and relative preservation of outer retinal bands
619 subjacent to retinal edema.

620

621 **Fig. 3** Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) images of
622 chronic cystoid macular edema (CME) post-rhegmatogenous retinal
623 detachment (RRD) repair of the left eye, with dates and visual acuities (VA).
624 Panel A: OCT prior to dexamethasone implant (DEX) injection. Panel B: OCT
625 one month after DEX injection, showing resolution of CME but retinal layer
626 atrophy. Modest VA improvement was noted. Panel C: OCT four months after
627 injection, showing recurrence of CME in a similar distribution and slight
628 decrease in VA.

629

630

631

632 **ADDITIONAL FILES**

633 File name: Table S1

634 Format: .docx

635 Title: Supplemental Table 1

636 Description: descriptive statistics for all three groups, as explained in Results
637 population section