

UCLA

Kinship

Title

Comment by Fadwa El Guindi on the review by E. N. Anderson of the book: Suckling: Kinship More Fluid, Fadwa El Guindi, Routledge Press

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/74n6512v>

Journal

Kinship, 2(1)

Author

El Guindi, Fadwa

Publication Date

2022

DOI

10.5070/K72154425

Copyright Information

Copyright 2022 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**COMMENT ON
E. R. ANDERSON'S REVIEW OF
SUCKLING: KINSHIP MORE FLUID
BY FADWA EL GUINDI**

Fadwa El Guindi
Retiree Anthropologist
University of California, Los Angeles
Former Distinguished Professor at Qatar University
Email: felguindi@gmail.com

I have no argument with Anderson's comprehensive, objective review. I only take the opportunity of being invited to comment on the review to further highlight main points made in the book. The position held throughout this field-based study is that kinship is a universal analytical category based on lived kinship in human society. It is therefore central to human society and to anthropology.

Kinship, as argued throughout the book, consists of a bounded set of identifiable relations equally grounded in biology, culture and society. Its structure encompasses three ritually embedded, culturally recognized, paths of incorporation. They are: birth, marital 'union,' and a third path that is variable across cultural traditions, but which is characterized by transformational fluidity linking paths of incorporation. In the case of this empirical study the third path lies in the kinship practice of suckling.

Critically, in order to characterize relations as 'kinship' they must at minimum have culturally recognized: 1) rules of incest, 2) corporate boundaries for generational transmission, and 3) a formal set of kin terms.