Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Incorporation of Technetium into Spinel Ferrites

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/718382s6>

Journal

Environmental Science and Technology, 50(23)

ISSN

0013-936X

Authors

Lukens, Wayne W Magnani, Nicola Tyliszczak, Tolek [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/718382s6#author)

Publication Date 2016-12-06

DOI 10.1021/acs.est.6b04209

Peer reviewed

24 balanced by substitution of Fe(III) by M(II). When a large excess of divalent metal ions is absent, the charge is largely balanced by creation of vacancies among the Fe(III) sites (maghemitization). In most samples, Tc is present in Tc-rich regions rather than being homogeneously distributed.

28

29 **Introduction**

30 Technetium (^{99}Tc) is a problematic fission product for nuclear waste disposal due to its long 31 half-life (211,000 yr), high fission yield (6 %), and to the environmental mobility of 32 pertechnetate (TcO₄), the stable form in aerobic environments.^{1-4 99}Tc migration may be 33 minimized by disposal in an anaerobic repository since Tc(IV) is stable under these conditions 34 and is not highly mobile.³ Alternatively, technetium may be immobilized in a waste form that is 35 sufficiently durable to prevent release of 99 Tc until an acceptable fraction has decayed. The 36 current U.S. high-level waste repository is Yucca Mountain, which is aerobic and oxidizing.⁵ In 37 addition, the majority of the ⁹⁹ 37 Tc from plutonium production at the Savannah River and Hanford 38 Sites will be disposed in near-surface, aerobic repositories although the Savannah River Site 39 facility will be reducing initially.^{6,7} Disposal of 99 Tc in current and proposed aerobic repositories 40 underscores the interest in durable waste forms for $\rm{^{99}Tc}$.

41

42 Even under reducing conditions, the 3 pM solubility of $TcO_2 \cdot xH_2O$ exceeds the EPA maximum 43 contaminant level of 900 pCi/L or 0.5 pM. $8-10$ Naturally occurring ligands can increase the 44 solubility of $Tc(IV)$.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Therefore, durable waste forms are also desirable for ⁹⁹Tc disposal in an 45 anaerobic repository. The most commonly used waste form, borosilicate glass, is durable, but 46 loss of volatile technetium species during glass vitrification can make it difficult to retain

47 technetium in the glass.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Alternatives include synthetic mineral phases, such as Synroc.¹⁹ 48 Likewise, certain mineral phases are both highly durable and could accommodate Tc doping.²⁰ 49 The similarity of the six coordinate ionic radii of Tc(IV), Ti(IV), and Fe(III), 0.645 Å, 0.604 Å 50 and 0.645 Å,²¹ respectively, suggests that Tc(IV) can replace Ti(IV) or Fe(III) in an oxide 51 mineral.²⁰ Rutile (TiO₂), hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) and goethite (α -FeOOH), are known to be durable 52 under aerobic conditions.²²⁻²⁶ Hematite and goethite are unstable under reducing conditions and 53 could release 99 Tc; however, migration is slow under these conditions.³ Moreover, Tc(IV) can be 54 incorporated into mineral phases under these conditions as demonstrated by Kobayashi, et al. 55 who showed that prolonged contact of magnetite $(Fe₃O₄)$ with $TeO₄$ leads to $Te(IV)$ 56 incorporation.²⁷ Iron oxides, particularly goethite, have received attention as waste forms for 57 stabilizing 99 Tc.^{28,29} Other iron oxides can also accommodate Tc(IV). Pepper, et al., incorporated 58 Tc(IV) into an iron oxide phase resulting from oxidation of green rust.³⁰ Marshall, et al. 59 demonstrated that adsorption of Tc(IV) onto ferrihydrite followed by conversion to magnetite 60 results in Tc doping.³¹ Tc-doped magnetite has been studied computationally by Smith, et al..³² 61 Lee, at al. demonstrated that Tc(IV) may be incorporated into the lattice of transition metal 62 doped magnetites. 18

63

64 Another family of iron oxides, spinel ferrites (MFe₂O₄, where M is Mg(II), Mn(II), Co(II), or 65 Ni(II)), especially nickel/magnesium ferrite, $Mg_xNi_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$, are highly durable as evidenced by 66 their persistence since being deposited widely across earth's surface 65 million years ago by the 67 Chixulub meteorite impact.³³ These minerals are inverse spinels, but they will be referred to as 68 "spinel ferrites" for brevity. Synthetic spinel ferrites are attractive potential waste forms for 69 several reasons. Aqueous synthesis conditions and short reaction times (few hours) make them

70 amenable to processing.³⁴⁻³⁸ They are magnetic, potentially allowing magnetic separation of Tc. 71 Incorporation of Tc(IV) into magnetite,^{18,27,31} suggests that other Tc(IV)-doped spinel ferrites 72 may be prepared. This observation leads the hypothesis that starting from $TcO₄$, $Tc(IV)$ will be 73 homogeneously incorporated into spinel ferrites by replacing Fe(III) on M_O sites provided that 74 sufficient Fe(II) is present to reduce $TeO₄$.

76 To test the hypothesis and to understand the factors controlling Tc incorporation into spinel 77 ferrites, we have prepared: (i) a series of Tc(IV)-doped spinel ferrites with the composition 78 $Tc_{0.1}M_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$, where M is Mn, Co, and Ni; and (ii) a series of magnetites doped with Tc(IV) 79 and divalent metals having the composition $Tc_{0.1}M_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ where M is Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, and 80 Ni. The objectives of this study were (i) to determine whether Tc could be incorporated into the 81 octahedral sites (M_O) of spinel ferrites, $M_xFe_vO₄$ (ii) to determine the effect of ionic radius of the 82 divalent metal ion, M, on the incorporation of Tc in the spinel ferrite lattice, and (iii) to 83 determine the effect of different synthetic routes on the incorporation of Tc. The two synthetic 84 routes examined were the traditional coprecipitation route (samples indicate by "-c"), 34 in which 85 a mixture of M(II), Fe(II) and Fe(III), and $TcO₄$ is treated with NaOH to form the ferrite spinel 86 and a oxidation route (samples indicated by "-o") in which a mixture of M(II), Fe(II) and TcO₄ 87 is treated with NaOH and NaNO₃, and Fe(III) is generated *in situ* by oxidation of Fe(II) by NO₃⁻ . ³⁶ 88

⁷⁵

90 **Experimental Details**

91 **Caution:** ⁹⁹Tc is β–emitter. All operations were carried out in a laboratory equipped to handle 92 this isotope.

93 **General.** Water was deionized using a Milli-Q Gradient A-10 system. Chemicals were ACS 94 grade or better and were used as received. Fe(II)/total Fe ratios (Fe(II)/ Σ Fe) in the spinels were 95 determined colorimetrically.^{39,40} In this method, V(V) is reduced to V(IV) by Fe(II) during 96 dissolution of the sample in acid. When 2,2'-bipyridyl (bipy) and buffer are added, V(IV) 97 quantitatively reduces $Fe(bipy)_{3}^{3+}$ to red $Fe(bipy)_{3}^{2+}$, which is measured spectrophotometrically. 98 The Fe(II)/ Σ Fe ratios for the Tc-doped samples were decreased proportionally to the amount of 99 Tc recovered from the spinel ferrites and the Tc/Fe ratio to account for reduction of three $V(V)$ 100 by each Tc(IV), e.g., for Tc_{0.1}Mn_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O₄-o Fe(II)/ΣFe was decreased by 3 (e⁻/Tc(IV)) × 0.99 101 (Tc recovered from solid) \times 0.1/1.8 (Tc/Fe). Two sets of independently prepared samples were 102 used for characterization. The initial set was used for XRD and XAFS studies. Later, a second 103 set was prepared for LSC and Fe(II)/Fe(III) measurements to address questions that arose during 104 the analysis of the XRD and XAFS results. Both sets were prepared using the same procedures.

105

106 **Synthesis of Tc-doped spinel ferrites**

107 Note: The stoichiometry of the samples (e.g., " $Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}$ ") are based on the amount of each 108 metal added during the synthesis. The samples contain 4 wt% Tc.

109 Two approaches were used to prepare samples: coprecipitation and oxidation. In the 110 coprecipitation approach, TcO_4 was added to a mixture of divalent metal ion and Fe(III).³⁴ A 111 five-fold excess of Fe(II) relative to $TcO₄$ was used to reduce $TcO₄$ to $Tc(IV)$. The solution was 112 neutralized with sodium hydroxide and heated. These samples are indicated by a "c" after the

113 formula, e.g., $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -c. In the oxidation route, TcO_4 was added to a mixture of Fe(II) and a 114 divalent metal ion; sodium hydroxide and sodium nitrate were added and the solution was heated.36 115 Fe(III) is formed *in situ* through oxidation of Fe(II) by nitrate, hence the term 116 "oxidation route." These samples are indicated by an "o" after the formula, e.g., $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -o. 117 Following the synthesis, the samples were handled and stored in air, and no attempts were made 118 to exclude oxygen.

119

120 **Coprecipitation** (adapted from ref 33). $CoCl₂•6H₂O$ (53 mg, 0.22 mmol) was dissolved in a 121 mixture of 1.0 M FeSO₄ (0.10 mL, 0.10 mmol) and 1.0 M NH₄Fe(SO₄)₂ (0.26 mL, 0.26 mmol). 122 The solution was sparged with argon for 1 minute. A 0.10 M solution of $TcO₄$ in 0.03 M HNO₃ 123 (200 uL, 0.02 mmol) was added. The headspace of the tube was purged with argon, and the tube 124 was vigorously shaken. Aqueous 2.00 M NaOH (0.91 mL, 1.81 mmol OH) was added. The 125 headspace of the tube was purged with argon, and the tube was vigorously shaken. The tube was 126 heated to 95 °C for 90 minutes. After heating, the tube was centrifuged (5 min, 8500 g) and the 127 solution decanted. The black solid was washed with 2×1.5 mL water and 1.5 mL acetone.

128

129 **Oxidation** (adapted from ref. 35). CoCl₂•6H₂O (53 mg, 0.22 mmol) was dissolved in 1.0 M 130 FeSO4 (0.36 mL, 0.36 mmol). The solution was sparged with argon for 1 minute. A 0.11 M 131 solution of $TcO₄$ in 0.03 M HNO₃ (200 uL, 0.02 mmol) was added. The headspace of the tube 132 was purged with argon, and the tube was vigorously shaken. An aqueous solution of 1.00 M 133 NaNO₃ and 2.00 M NaOH (0.61 mL, 1.21 mmol OH) was added. The headspace of the tube was 134 purged with argon, and the tube was vigorously shaken. The tube was heated to 95 °C for 90 minutes. After heating, the tube was centrifuged (5 min, 8500 g), and the supernate decanted. The black solid was washed in air with 2×1.5 mL water and 1.5 mL acetone.

 Synthesis of undoped spinel ferrites. Samples with the same transition metal composition, but without added Tc were prepared. Both the oxidation synthesis and coprecipitation synthesis were 140 performed as described above except that 200 uL of water was used in place of the NH_4TcO_4 solution.

 Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC). Solutions were centrifuged (5 min, 8500 g) to remove Tc-doped ferrite nanoparticles. 100 uL of this solution was added to 4 mL of Ecolume. Samples were analyzed using a Wallac 1414 liquid scintillation counter. Results are not corrected for 146 chemical quench. Comparison of the spectral quench parameter, $SOP(E)$, to a ⁹⁹Tc quench curve prepared using nitromethane showed that quenching was less than 1%.

 X-ray diffraction (XRD). An acetone suspension of the sample was dropped onto a silicon zero background plate. Samples were sealed with Kapton film to control contamination. Diffractograms were recorded using a Panalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer with a Cu source 152 and a silicon strip detector except for sample $Tc_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c, which was recorded using a Co source. Data were summed and analyzed using HiScore Plus software. A blank diffraction pattern from the zero background plate and the Kapton film was subtracted from each diffraction pattern. An empirical background consisting of a curve through the bases of the peaks at low 2θ and through the baseline at high 2θ was removed. The diffraction data were modeled using the crystal structure of magnetite. Rietveld refinement using X'Pert High Score Plus was used to determine the lattice parameters and to estimate the sizes of the crystallites.

 X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements. Samples were dispersed in acetone or water and centrifuged (5 min, 8500 g), and the liquid was discarded to produce a homogeneous pellet. Data was obtained at room temperature at the Tc K-edge on Beamline 11-2 or 4-1 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource. Most data were obtained during a single 164 experiment. For samples $Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -o, $Tc_{0.1}Mg_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -o, and $Tc_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c, the pellet dried out and disintegrated inside the centrifuge tube. Spectra from these samples were re- collected during a subsequent experiment using freshly prepared material. X-rays were 167 monochromatized using a double-crystal monochromator with Si [220] $\phi = 90$ crystals; the second crystal was detuned by 70% to reduce the harmonic content of the beam. Spectra were recorded in transmission mode using argon filled ion chambers.

171 Data were analyzed by standard procedures⁴¹ using ifeffit⁴² and Artemis/Athena.⁴³ Theoretical 172 scattering curves were calculated using Feff6⁴⁴ based on the structure of titanomagnetite 173 (Ti_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O₄) with Tc in the octahedral site.⁴⁵ Coordination numbers for the neighboring atoms were fixed at the values found in the crystal structure except for the oxygen shell at 2 Å. This shell was split into two shells, one with a short distance (1.5 to 1.75 Å) and another with a longer 176 distance (2 Å) ; the sum of the oxygen coordination numbers for these shells was fixed at 6, the 177 number of oxygen nearest neighbors for the Ti(IV) site in titanomagnetite. The value of S_0^2 was determined to be 0.80 (rather than 0.90) for fitting the data in this study by fitting several 179 extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra of the TcO₄ reference collected 180 simultaneously with the data. The statistical significance of each scattering shell was evaluated 181 using an F-test.⁴⁶

182

183 **X-ray magnetic circular dichroism spectroscopy (XMCD).** Sample was placed on a 100 nm 184 thick $Si₃N₄$ window, which was sealed to an identical $Si₃N₄$ window with epoxy. Data was 185 recorded at the Molecular Environmental Science Beamline 11.0.2 at the Advanced Light Source 186 (ALS) with a scanning transmission X-ray microscope (STXM). XMCD spectra were recorded 187 at the Fe $L_{2,3}$ -edge with the sample in a 0.5 T magnetic field using left and right circularly 188 polarized X-rays from an elliptically polarizing undulator.⁴⁷ Data were recorded as images 189 obtained at different X-ray energies. The background data was obtained from the portion of the 190 image with no sample. Data were pre- and post-edge normalized. Data were fit using nonlinear 191 least-squares analysis with calculated spectra^{48,49} for each site as previously described^{50,51}

192

193 **Results and discussion**

194 **Incorporation of Tc into spinel ferrites.**

195 Incorporation of TcO₄ was evaluated by LSC analysis of the solution remaining after 196 preparation of the spinel ferrite (Table S1). More than 99.5 % of the Tc was removed from 197 solution in all cases; in the oxidation route, more than 99.88 % of the Tc was removed. The Tc 198 remaining is solution is presumably TcO₄. These results are consistent with work by Livens and 199 coworkers using green rust to trap $TcO₄$ ⁻³⁰ Other studies showed that iron metal, magnetite, and 200 adsorbed Fe(II) can effectively remove $TcO₄$ from solution.^{27,52-56} Recovery of Tc from the 201 spinel ferrites was determined during analysis of $Fe(II)$ and total iron (ΣFe) by determining the 202 amount of 99 Tc using LSC. The recovery of Tc varied from 81% to 100% (Table S1).

X-ray diffraction (XRD). Tc-doped spinel ferrites were characterized using XRD to determine the oxide phases present, the lattice parameters, and the crystallite sizes of the major phase. XRD patterns and Rietveld fits for the Tc-doped spinel ferrites are given in Figure 1. Samples prepared by the coprecipitation route have broader peaks than samples produced by the oxidation route due to the smaller crystallites produced by more rapid nucleation of nanoparticles in the 209 coprecipitation synthesis.⁵⁷ These results are consistent with the original magnetite syntheses 210 from which the procedures to prepare Tc-doped spinel ferrites were adapted.^{34,36} Undoped spinel 211 ferrites, prepared under identical conditions, but adding water instead of TcO₄, were also characterized. These undoped samples allow the effect of Tc doping on the lattice parameters 213 and Fe(II)/ Σ Fe to be determined.

216 **Figure 1:** X-ray powder patterns (in red) and Rietveld fits (black) of spinel ferrites. Data are 217 normalized so that the largest peaks have the same height. Data for $Tc_{0.1}M_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ are given in 218 the SI and are similar to those of $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$. Impurity peaks are labeled with g for goethite, r 219 for α -MnOOH, and d for the layered double hydroxide. Diffraction peaks of the coprecipitation 220 samples are broader than those produced by the oxidation route due to the smaller crystallite 221 sizes of the coprecipitation samples.

223 The major phase was spinel ferrite in all samples. The most common impurity was goethite, 224 which forms in the presence of oxygen.³⁶ Its presence suggests that the short sparging period did 225 not remove all of the oxygen. When Mn(II) was used, α -MnOOH, was observed. When Ni(II)

226 was used, an impurity was indicated by broad peaks at $2\theta = 22.5^{\circ}$, 35° , and 60° , which is 227 consistent with the presence of a lamellar nickel/iron layered double hydroxide (LDH) phase.^{58,59} 228

229 **Effects of charge compensation on lattice parameters and Fe(II)/**Σ**Fe ratio.** Tc-doping 230 affects the lattice parameters of the spinel ferrites (Figure 2), which provides information about 231 the mechanism that balances the charge mismatch created when $Tc(IV)$ replaces Fe(III). While 232 other mechanisms are possible,³² the charge mismatch may be balanced in two main ways: M(II) 233 could replace Fe(III) (divalent substitution) or one vacancy at a Fe(III) site could be created for 234 every three $Tc(IV)$ (maghemitization). In TiFe₂O₄ (ulvöspinel), the charge mismatch is balanced 235 by divalent substitution leading to a lattice expansion to 8.521 Å relative to magnetite, 8.397 Å.⁴⁵ 236 Lattice expansion occurs because M(II) ions are larger than the Fe(III) that they replace. In 237 contrast, maghemitization decreases the lattice parameter, i.e. to 8.341 Å in of Ti-doped 238 maghemite, $Ti_{0.42}Fe_{2.18}O_4$.⁶⁰ Previous studies of iron oxides doped with tetravalent ions suggest 239 that divalent substitution occurs under reducing conditions and maghemitization occurs under 240 oxidizing conditions. $61-64$

241

242 The lattice parameters of the Tc-doped and undoped spinel ferrites are compared in Figure 2. To 243 explain the results, the samples are categorized by the amount of $M(II)$ and $Fe(II)$ present during 244 synthesis. Samples prepared by the oxidation route are "high Fe(II)" while coprecipitation 245 samples are "low Fe(II)". Spinel ferrites, $Tc_{0.1}M_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$, are "high M(II)," and doped magnetite 246 samples, $Tc_{0.1}M_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$, are "low M(II)." For example, $Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c is high M(II), low 247 Fe(II). In samples with high M(II) and/or high Fe(II), Tc-doping increases the lattice parameters 248 with the exception of $Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c and $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -o. The increased lattice parameter in

 these samples suggests that charge is mainly balanced by divalent substitution, which is consistent with the large excess of divalent metal ions, either M(II) or Fe(II), present during 251 these syntheses. On the other hand, except for $Tc_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c and $Tc_{0.1}Mn_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c, 252 samples with low M(II) and low Fe(II) show a decrease in the lattice parameter upon Tc-doping. This decrease suggests that the charge mismatch is predominantly balanced by maghemitization, which is consistent with the smaller amounts of divalent metal ions present during synthesis.

255 **Figure 2. (**Left panel) comparison of lattice parameters of Tc doped spinel ferrites (vertical axis) 256 with the lattice parameters of undoped samples (horizontal axis) (left). (Center) comparison of 257 the Fe(II)/total Fe values for Tc-doped spinel ferrites (vertical axis) with the undoped analogs 258 (horizontal axis). (Right) Change in Fe(II)/ΣFe (vertical axis) and lattice parameter (horizontal 259 axis) as a result of Tc-doping. The dashed line indicates the Fe(II)/total Fe value for a Tc-doped 260 spinel ferrite if the charge is balanced by replacing Fe(III) by Fe(II). Open circles: 261 Tc_{0.1}M_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O₄-o (high M(II), high Fe(II)). Filled circles: Tc_{0.1}M_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O₄-o (low M(II), high 262 Fe(II)). Open triangles: $Tc_{0.1}M_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c (high M(II), low Fe(II)). Filled triangles: 263 $Tc_{0.1}M_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c (low M(II), low Fe(II)).

265 The XRD data suggests that the charge mismatch created by Tc doping is predominantly 266 balanced by divalent substitution in high Fe(II) or high M(II) samples. The identity of the

 divalent cation may be inferred from the ratio of Fe(II) to total iron (Fe(II)/ΣFe) (Table S1 and 268 Figure 2). If the divalent cation is Fe(II), Fe(II)/ Σ Fe will increase upon Tc doping as determined 269 by stoichiometry (dashed lines in Figure 2 for Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O₄). With some exceptions, Fe(II)/ΣFe is smaller for Tc-doped samples, indicating that M(II) rather than Fe(II) replaces Fe(III) during divalent substitution in the high M(II) samples. The relationship between the change in lattice parameter and change in Fe(II)/ΣFe is illustrated in the right panel of Figure 2. In the low M(II) samples (filled symbols) the changes in lattice parameter and Fe(II)/ΣFe are generally correlated since either Fe(II) replaces Fe(III) to balance the charge and expand the lattice or the samples are maghemitized and the lattice shrinks. High M(II) samples show less correlation because 276 replacing Fe(III) by M(II) expands the lattice but has little effect on Fe(II)/ Σ Fe.

277

278 **XAFS results.** Representative Tc K-edge XANES and EXAFS spectra are shown in Figures 3 279 and 4, respectively (the other EXAFS spectra are similar to $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -o and are included in the 280 SI). The model used to fit the EXAFS spectra is the octahedral site (M_O) of titanomagnetite 281 (Ti_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O₄) occupied by Ti(IV). Tc(IV) and Ti(IV) are similar in size, so the local structure of 282 Ti(IV) in the $M₀$ site is an appropriate model for the structure of Tc(IV) in spinel ferrites. 283 Coordination numbers for the metal neighbors were fixed at the value in the crystal structure, e.g. 284 6 nearest octahedral site (M_O) neighbors. A minor contribution from a short Tc-O distance at 285 either \leq 1.7 Å or \sim 1.72 Å was used to obtain the best fit (Table 1). The 1.72 Å Tc-O distance is 286 consistent with $TcO₄$ ⁻⁶⁵ The shorter Tc-O distance is ascribed to a fitting artifact. In some cases, 287 the shorter Tc-O distance is consistent with the distance of a terminal oxo group of $Tc(V)$, 1.64 288 A.⁶⁶ However, the shorter Tc-O distance varies widely from 1.51 Å to 1.69 Å. This variation is 289 inconsistent with the presence of a well-defined $Tc(V)$ species for which the same $Tc-O$ distance

290 should be observed in all samples as seen in the samples that contain $TcO₄$. Moreover, XANES 291 analysis, Table 1, does not confirm the presence $Tc(V)$ in any of the samples with very short Tc -292 O distances. Only two samples contain $Tc(V)$ greater than 3σ in the XANES analysis: 293 $Tc_{0.1}Mn_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c and $Tc_{0.1}Ni_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c, and both have Tc-O bond distances consistent with 294 TcO₄. In contrast, EXAFS and XANES analysis show better agreement for TcO₄. In all cases 295 but one, TcO_4 is found in materials produced by the low Fe(II), coprecipitation route. For most 296 samples produced by the oxidation route, the only significant oxidation state is Tc(IV), 297 presumably due to the large excess of Fe(II) used in these syntheses. Based on the XANES 298 analyses, the main oxidation state of Tc in all samples is $Tc(IV)$ although some contain $TcO₄$.

301 **Figure 3**. XANES standard spectra (left) and deconvoluted XANES fit for $Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c

302 (right).

303

	Tc-O (\AA)	# short $Tc-O^b$	$TcO4$ ^{-c}	Tc(IV)	$Tc(V)^d$	TcO ₄						
Sample ^a	(EXAFS)	(EXAFS)	(EXAFS)	(XANES)	(XANES)	(XANES)						
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -0	1.59(1)	0.28(8)	--	1.0(1)	0.0(2)	0.00(6)						
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -0	1.54(3)	0.3(1)	--	1.0(1)	0.0(2)	0.00(6)						
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -0	1.79(3)	--	0.10(2)	0.96(8)	0.0(1)	0.04(4)						
$Tc_{0.1}Mg_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -0	1.54(2)	0.29(9)	--	1.00(9)	0.0(1)	0.00(4)						
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -0	1.51(1)	0.38(9)	--	0.93(5)	0.00(8)	0.07(3)						
$Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -0	1.61(2)	0.20(8)	--	1 ^e								
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -0	1.66(2)	0.4(1)	--	0.9(1)	0.0(2)	0.10(6)						
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -0	1.57(1)	0.31(8)	--	1.00(6)	0.0(1)	0.00(3)						
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c	1.72(1)		0.10(2)	0.62(9)	0.4(1)	0.01(5)						
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c	1.718(5)		0.21(2)	0.75(5)	0.00(7)	0.25(3)						
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c	1.726(6)		0.30(2)	0.54(3)	0.24(5)	0.22(2)						
$Tc_{0.1}Mg_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	1.671(6)	0.33(8)		0.84(3)	0.11(4)	0.06(1)						
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	1.715(7)		0.18(2)	0.80(3)	0.04(4)	0.16(2)						
$Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -c	1.63(1)	0.29(6)		0.89(3)	0.09(5)	0.03(2)						
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	1.716(9)		0.19(2)	0.82(3)	0.01(4)	0.17(1)						
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	1.720(8)		0.15(2)	0.82(2)	0.00(3)	0.18(1)						

304 **Table 1:** Tc oxidation state distribution from EXAFS and XANES analyses

305 a) Samples with an "o" suffix were prepared by oxidation; "c" by coprecipitation 306 b) Coordination number of the O neighbors with a Tc-O distance ≤ 1.7 Å.

b) Coordination number of the O neighbors with a Tc-O distance ≤ 1.7 Å.

307 is $\frac{1}{4}$ is $\frac{1}{4}$ of the number of O neighbors with a Tc-O distance between 1.7 308 and 1.8 Å.

309 d) Relative to sample $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -o.

310 e) The XANES spectrum of this sample is the Tc(IV) standard.

311

312

Sample ^a	$6 O(\AA)$	σ^2 (Å ²)	$6 M_O (\AA)^c$	# of Tc^{d}	σ^2 (Å ²)	$6 M_T (\AA)^e$	σ^2 (Å ²)	$12 M_0 (\text{\AA})^5$
$M0$ site in Fe ₃ O ₄	2.06	$-$	2.97	--	$\qquad \qquad -$	3.48	$-$	5.15
$M0$ site in TiFe ₂ O ₄ ⁴⁵	2.09	$-$	3.02	$-$	$-$	3.54	--	5.22
High $M(II)$, High Fe (II)								
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -0	2.025(3)	0.004	3.087(7)	2.0(1)	0.004	3.565(8)	0.013	5.27(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -0	2.009(4)	0.003	3.035(5)	0 ^f	0.006	3.517(7)	0.006	5.19(1)
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -0	2.009(4)	0.003	3.034(6)	1.2(5)	0.003	3.514(7)	0.007	5.19(1)
Low $M(II)$, High Fe (II)								
$Tc_{0.1}Mg_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -0	2.034(4)	0.003	3.096(9)	1.9(2)	0.004	3.537(7)	0.007	5.22(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -0	2.036(4)	0.004	3.100(8)	2.20(8)	0.002	3.53(1)	0.010	5.25(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -0	2.027(3)	0.004	3.090(7)	2.1(1)	0.004	3.524(6)	0.008	5.22(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -0	2.026(6)	0.005	3.08(1)	2.2(1)	0.003	3.51(1)	0.008	5.20(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -o	2.030(3)	0.003	3.075(7)	1.9(1)	0.003	3.530(6)	0.007	5.21(1)
High $M(II)$, Low Fe (II)								
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c	2.016(5)	0.004	3.083(1)	2.0(2)	0.003	3.550(3)	0.015	5.26(4)
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c	2.027(5)	0.004	3.069(8)	2.07(8)	0.001	3.54(1)	0.011	5.23(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O_4$ -c	2.027(8)	0.006	3.10(2)	2.3(2)	0.005	3.50(3)	0.018	f
Low $M(II)$, Low Fe (II)								
$Tc_{0.1}Mg_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	2.027(8)	0.005	3.10(2)	2.27(8)	0.003	3.51(3)	0.012	5.23(1)
$Tc_{0.1}Mn_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	2.019(4)	0.005	3.083(7)	2.10(8)	0.003	3.509(9)	0.012	5.23(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -c	2.020(3)	0.005	3.086(6)	2.17(6)	0.002	3.511(8)	0.011	5.23(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Co_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	2.020(5)	0.005	3.077(9)	2.16(9)	0.003	3.51(1)	0.011	5.22(2)
$Tc_{0.1}Ni_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ -c	2.019(5)	0.005	3.074(9)	1.93(4)	0.004	3.509(9)	0.011	5.21(2)

Table 2. Local environment of Tc in spinel ferrites from EXAFS^{a,b}

 $\begin{array}{@{}c@{\hspace{1em}}c@{\hspace{$

 \vec{c}) M_O: octahedral sites

316 b) Standard deviations are given in parentheses in the same units as the last digit
317 c) M_0 : octahedral sites
318 d) # of nearest octahedral neighbor that are Tc rather than Fe, Mg, Mn, Co, Ni
319 e) M_T : tetrah \overrightarrow{a} # of nearest octahedral neighbor that are Tc rather than Fe, Mg, Mn, Co, Ni

 $e)$ M_T: tetrahedral sites

- $\overrightarrow{1}$ Inclusion of this set of atoms did not improve the fit.
- 321

323 **Figure 4**. Tc K-edge EXAFS spectra of Tc_{0.1}M_{1.1}Fe_{1.8}O₄-o (left) and Fourier transforms (right). 324 Data are shown in color and EXAFS fits are shown in black. The spectra of $Tc_{0.1}M_{0.2}Fe_{2.7}O_4$ are

325 similar to that labeled $M = Fe$.

326

322

327 The EXAFS results, Table 2, are consistent with the bulk of Tc in the sample existing as Tc(IV) 328 occupying the octahedral spinel site (M_O) site as previously seen for Tc-doped magnetite.^{27,31} 329 The local environment of Tc is more similar to the $M₀$ site of ulvöspinel (TiFe₂O₄) than that of 330 Fe₃O₄ as shown in Table 2. The oxygen neighbors at 2.0 Å are characteristic for Tc(IV) 331 octahedrally coordinated by oxygen.⁶⁷ The Tc and Fe atoms at 3.1 Å are consistent with the six, 332 edge-sharing M_O neighbors at 3.02 Å in TiFe₂O₄. The six Fe atoms at 3.5 Å are in agreement 333 with the six, corner-sharing M_T neighbors at 3.53 Å in TiFe₂O₄. Scattering from more distant 334 iron M_O sites at 5.2 Å was observed in most cases, which is consistent with the next-nearest 335 neighbor $M₀$ sites at 5.22 Å in TiFe₂O₄. In some samples, scattering from more distant atoms can 336 be observed at \sim 8 Å in the Fourier transformed spectra, which presumably corresponds to 42 Fe 337 neighbors that are 7.9 and 8.2 Å from $M₀$. The presence of Fe and/or Tc neighbors²⁷ at 3.1 Å, 3.5 338 Å, and 5.2 Å is sufficient to show that the bulk of the Tc is doped into the $M₀$ site. This result is 339 consistent with previous EXAFS studies of magnetite doped with Sn(IV) or Ti(IV) and to the 340 previously mentioned results for Tc(IV) doped magnetite.^{62,68,69}

341

 The most surprising result is that Tc is strongly clustered, which was not previously observed, presumably because the Tc/Fe ratio was much lower in those studies. At the doping level used here, each Tc would have 0.3 Tc neighbors if Tc were homogeneously distributed. Instead, each 345 Tc has \sim 2 Tc neighbors. The local environment of Tc again resembles that of Ti in TiFe₂O₄ 346 where each Ti has 3 Ti(IV) $M₀$ neighbors, and the remaining $M₀$ and M_T sites are occupied by Fe(II). The level of Tc clustering in the Tc-doped spinel ferrites implies that the M_O sites surrounding each Tc site contain approximately two Tc(IV) and four M(II) and that the 349 neighboring M_T sites contain approximately three Fe(III) and three M(II).

350

351 The distances determined by EXAFS are slightly different from those determined by 352 crystallography because EXAFS measures the local structure of Tc while XRD measures the 353 average structure. The 2.02 Å Tc-O distance is shorter than M_0 -O distance in TiFe₂O₄, which 354 includes both Ti(IV)-O and Fe(II)-O distances. Using the ionic radius of four coordinate $O²$ 355 (1.24 Å),²¹ the Tc-O distance is predicted to be 2.025 Å. In TiFe₂O₄, the average radius of M_O is 356 0.832 Å, and the predicted $M₀$ -O distance is 2.07 Å. The longer Tc-M₀ distance relative to 357 TiFe₂O₄ largely results from Tc clustering. Each Tc has \sim 2 Tc neighbors and \sim 4 M(II) neighbors.

358 In Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O₄-o, the average ionic radius of the M_O neighbors of Tc is 0.875 Å, about 0.04 Å 359 larger than in TiFe₂O₄, so the Tc-M_O distance determined by EXAFS should be longer than that 360 determined by diffraction.

361

362 **Fe L-edge XMCD spectroscopy.** $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -o was studied using XMCD at the Fe L_{2,3}-edge in 363 an attempt to determine the distribution of Fe(II) and Fe(III) on the octahedral and tetrahedral 364 sites. This technique has been widely used to study substituted magnetites including 365 titanomagnetites.^{50,51,68} The normal contrast X-ray image and the XMCD spectrum are shown in 366 Figure 5. The cation distribution from the fit, normalized for 2.9 Fe and an M_T occupancy of 1, 367 shows that the M_O sites contain 0.7 Fe(III) and 1.2 Fe(II) and M_T contains 1 Fe(III). This 368 occupancy is similar to that predicted if the charge is balanced by diamagnetic substitution (M_O) 369 sites contain 0.1 Tc(IV), 0.8 Fe(III) and 1.1 Fe(II), and M_T sites contain 1 Fe(III)). The XMCD 370 results suggest a Fe(II)/ΣFe ratio of 0.4, which is somewhat larger than measured 371 colorimetrically, 0.21(1).

373 **Figure 5**. Normal contrast X-ray micrograph (STXM, left) of $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -o illustrating the small 374 particle size. X-ray magnetic circular dichroism spectrum (XMCD, right) of $Tc_{0.1}Fe_{2.9}O_4$ -o 375 obtained at the Fe $L_{2,3}$ -edge. The XMCD spectrum is the difference between absorption of right 376 and left circularly polarized X-rays when the sample is in a magnetic field.

377

372

378 The Tc-doped spinel ferrites consist of Tc-rich regions and Tc-poor regions. This behavior 379 closely mirrors that of titanomagnetite where $Ti(IV)$ mainly replaces Fe(III) on $M₀$, and the 380 charge is balanced by divalent substitution. Ti(IV) is homogeneously distributed above 600 °C.⁷⁰ 381 At lower temperatures, titanomagnetite undergoes spinodal decomposition forming titanium-382 poor regions that resemble magnetite and titanium-rich regions that resemble ulvöspinel.⁷⁰ 383 Recent work by Lilova, et al. shows that the enthalpy of mixing for the ulvöspinel/magnetite 384 system is positive, consistent with the observed spinodal decomposition.⁷¹ The behavior of the 385 Tc-doped spinel ferrites appears to be similar, and may explain why the local structure of Tc(IV) 386 more closely resembles ulvöspinel than magnetite.

387

388 **Implications for durability of Tc-doped spinels.** The spinel ferrites in this study are effective 389 at stabilizing $Tc(IV)$ in the solid state and preventing its oxidation to $TcO₄$. Only the initial step 390 of the synthesis was performed under inert atmosphere. All subsequent operations, including washing, storage, and spectroscopic studies, were performed in air. Nevertheless, Tc remained in the reduced state. While the ability to stabilize Tc(IV) is necessary for these materials to be effective waste forms for 99 Tc, the spinel ferrite matrix also must be sufficiently durable towards dissolution or alteration.

 The main concern, therefore, is the durability of the Tc-doped spinel ferrites. As prepared herein, these materials are nanoparticles with high specific surface areas. In addition, the materials contain Fe(II), which may adversely affect their durability. The effect of Fe(II) on durability is best illustrated by magnetite and titanomagnetite. In aerobic environments, these materials are 400 oxidized to γ -Fe₂O₃ (maghemite) and titanomaghemite, respectively.^{69,72} This transformation is 401 topotactic and unlikely to release doped Tc, as observed by Marshall et al.³¹ While somewhat durable, maghemite is unstable with respect to transformation to hematite or goethite. This transformation is not topotactic and could lead to the loss of Tc to the environment. Work by 404 Um, et al. suggest that $Tc(IV)$ can still trapped in goethite during the oxidation of magnetite.^{28,29} As in magnetite, the Fe(III) site in goethite is octahedral, and Tc(IV) can replace Fe(III) provided that the charge mismatch is balanced. Ultimately, the best approach for determining how well 407 these materials immobilize $\frac{99}{2}$ Tc is measuring the release of $\frac{99}{2}$ Tc when these materials are re-suspended in water.

Acknowledgement

 This work (WWL, DKS, NM) was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Biosciences, and Geosciences Division

- radioactive-waste repository. *J. Less Common Met.* **1990**, *161*, 203.
- (3) Kunze, S.; Neck, V.; Gompper, K.; Fanghanel, T. Studies on the immobilization of technetium under near field geochemical conditions. *Radiochim. Acta* **1996**, *74*, 159.
- (4) Ishii, T.; Sakuragi, T. Technetium in the environment. *Radioisotopes* **2006**, *55*, 485.
- (5) *Yucca Mountain Repository License Application*, DOE/RW-0573, Rev. 0, U.S.
- Department of Energy, 2008.
- (6) Mann, F. M.; Puigh, R. J.; Finfrock, S. H.; Khaleel, R.; Wood, M. I. *Integrated Disposal*
- *Facility Risk Assessment*, RPP-15834, CH2M Hill Hanford Group, Inc., 2003.
- (7) *Performance Assessment for the Saltstone Disposal Facility at the Savannah River Site*,
- SRR-CWDA-2009-00017, SRR Closure & Waste Disposal Authority, 2009.
- (8) *EPA facts about Technetium-99*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2014.
- (9) Liu, D. J.; Yao, J.; Wang, B.; Bruggeman, C.; Maes, N. Solubility study of Tc(IV) in a granitic water. *Radiochim. Acta* **2007**, *95*, 523.
- (10) Hess, N. J.; Xia, Y. X.; Rai, D.; Conradson, S. D. Thermodynamic model for the
- 448 solubility of $TcO_2 \cdot xH_2O(am)$ in the aqueous $Tc(IV)$ -Na⁺-Cl⁻-H⁺-OH⁻-H2O system. *J. Solution*

Chem. **2004**, *33*, 199.

- (11) Gu, B. H.; Dong, W. M.; Liang, L. Y.; Wall, N. A. Dissolution of technetium(IV) oxide
- by natural and synthetic organic ligands under both reducing and oxidizing conditions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45*, 4771.
- (12) Boggs, M. A.; Minton, T.; Dong, W. M.; Lomasney, S.; Islam, M. R.; Gu, B. H.; Wall, N. A. Interactions of Tc(IV) with humic substances. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45*, 2718.
- (13) Sekine, T.; Watanabe, A.; Yoshihara, K.; Kim, J. I. Complexation of technetium with humic acid. *Radiochim. Acta* **1993**, *63*, 87.
- (14) Yalcintas, E.; Gaona, X.; Altmaier, M.; Dardenne, K.; Polly, R.; Geckeis, H.
- Thermodynamic description of Tc(IV) solubility and hydrolysis in dilute to concentrated NaCl,
- MgCl2 and CaCl2 solutions. *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*.
- (15) Migge, H. Simultaneous evaporation of Cs and Tc during vitrification-a thermochemical
- approach. *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1990**, *176*, 411.
- (16) Lammertz, H.; Merz, E.; Halaszovich, S. Technetium volatilization during HLW
- vitrification. *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1985**, *44*, 823.
- (17) Bibler, N. E.; Fellinger, T. L.; Marra, S. L.; O'Driscoll, R. J.; Ray, J. W.; Boyce, W. T.
- Tc-99 and Cs-137 volatility from the DWPF production melter during vitrification of the first macrobatch of HLW sludge at the Savannah River Site. *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **2000**, *608*,
- 697.
- (18) Lee, M.-S.; Um, W.; Wang, G.; Kruger, A. A.; Lukens, W. W.; Rousseau, R.; Glezakou,
- V.-A. Impeding ⁹⁹ Tc(IV) mobility in novel waste forms. *Nature Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12067.
- (19) Ringwood, A. E.; Kesson, S. E.; Ware, N. G.; Hibberson, W.; Major, A. Immobilization of high-level nuclear reactor wastes in Synroc. *Nature* **1979**, *278*, 219.
- (20) Muller, O.; White, W. B.; Roy, R. Crystal chemistry of some technetium-containing oxides. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1964**, *26*, 2075.
- (21) Shannon, R. D. Revised effective ionic-radii and systematic studies of interatomic
- distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Cryst. A* **1976**, *32*, 751.
- (22) Edouminko, A.; Colin, F.; Trescases, J. J. Alterability of titanium minerals (ilmenite and
- rutile) and titanium mobility in the weathering profiles of the Ovala sector (Gabon). *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* **1995**, *21*, 313.
- (23) Ramanaidou, E.; Nahon, D.; Decarreau, A.; Melfi, A. J. Hematite and goethite from
- duricrusts developed by lateritic chemical weathering of Precambrian banded iron formations,
- Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Clay Clay Miner.* **1996**, *44*, 22.
- (24) Shuster, D. L.; Vasconcelos, P. M.; Heim, J. A.; Farley, K. A. Weathering geochronology
- by (U-Th)/He dating of goethite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2005**, *69*, 659.
- (25) Yapp, C. J. Climatic implications of surface domains in arrays of SD and delta O-18 from
- hydroxyl minerals: goethite as an example. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2000**, *64*, 2009.
- (26) Skomurski, F. N.; Rosso, K. M.; Krupka, K. M.; McGrail, B. P. Technetium
- incorporation into hematite (alpha-Fe2O3). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 5855.
- (27) Kobayashi, T.; Scheinost, A. C.; Fellhauer, D.; Gaona, X.; Altmaier, M. Redox behavior
- of Tc(VII)/Tc(IV) under various reducing conditions in 0.1 M NaCl solutions. *Radiochim. Acta* **2013**, *101*, 323.
- (28) Um, W.; Chang, H. S.; Icenhower, J. P.; Lukens, W. W.; Serne, R. J.; Qafoku, N. P.;
- Westsik, J. H.; Buck, E. C.; Smith, S. C. Immobilization of 99-technetium (VII) by Fe(II)-
- goethite and limited reoxidation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45*, 4904.
- (29) Um, W.; Chang, H.; Icenhower, J. P.; Lukens, W. W.; Serne, R. J.; Qafoku, N.;
- Kukkadapu, R. K.; Westsik, J. H. Iron oxide waste form for stabilizing Tc-99. *J. Nucl. Mat.*
- **2012**, *429*, 201.
- (30) Pepper, S. E.; Bunker, D. J.; Bryan, N. D.; Livens, F. R.; Charnock, J. M.; Pattrick, R. A.
- D.; Collison, D. Treatment of radioactive wastes: an X-ray absorption spectroscopy study of the reaction of technetium with green rust. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2003**, *268*, 408.
- (31) Marshall, T. A.; Morris, K.; Law, G. T. W.; Mosselmans, J. F. W.; Bots, P.; Parry, S. A.;
- Shaw, S. Incorporation and retention of 99-Tc(IV) in magnetite under high pH conditions.
- *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 11853.
- (32) Smith, F. N.; Um, W.; Taylor, C. D.; Kim, D.-S.; Schweiger, M. J.; Kruger, A. A.
- Computational investigation of technetium(IV) incorporation into inverse spinels: magnetite
- 505 (Fe₃O₄) and trevorite (NiFe₂O₄). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, 50, 5216.
506 (33) Bohor, B. F.; Foord, E. E.; Ganapathy, R. Magnesioferrite from
- (33) Bohor, B. F.; Foord, E. E.; Ganapathy, R. Magnesioferrite from the Cretaceous-Tertiary boudary, Caravaca, Spain. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **1986**, *81*, 57.
- (34) Tang, Z. X.; Sorensen, C. M.; Klabunde, K. J.; Hadjipanayis, G. C. Preparation of
- manganese ferrite fine particles from aqueous solution. *J. Colloid. Interface. Sci.* **1991**, *146*, 38.
- (35) Kodama, T.; Wada, Y.; Yamamoto, T.; Tsuji, M.; Tamaura, Y. Synthesis and
- characterization of ultrafine nickel(II)-bearing ferrites (NixFe3-xO4, X=0.14-1.0). *J. Mater. Chem.*
- **1995**, *5*, 1413.
- (36) Sugimoto, T.; Matijevic, E. Formation of uniform spherical magnetite particles by
- crystallization from ferrous hydroxide gel. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1980**, *74*, 227.
- (37) Kaneko, K.; Katsura, T. Formation of Mg-bearing ferrite by the air oxidation of aqueous suspensions. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1979**, *52*, 747.
- (38) Kiyama, M. Formation of manganese and cobalt ferrites by air oxidation of aqueous
- suspensions and their properties. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1978**, *51*, 134.
- (39) Wilson, A. D. The micro-determination of ferrous iron in silicate minerals by a
- volumetric and a colorimetric method. *Analyst* **1960**, *85*, 823.
- (40) Whitehead, D.; Malik, S. A. Determination of ferrous and total iron in silicate rocks by automated colorimetry. *Anal. Chem.* **1975**, *47*, 554.
- (41) Koningsberger, D. C.; Prins, R. *X-Ray Absorption: Principles, Applications, Techniques of EXAFS, SEXAFS, and XANES*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988.
- (42) Newville, M. IFEFFIT: interactive XAFS analysis and FEFF fitting. *J. Synchrotron Rad.* **2001**, *8*, 322.
- (43) Ravel, B. ATHENA and ARTEMIS interactive graphical data analysis using IFEFFIT.
- *Phys. Scripta* **2005**, *T115*, 1007.
- (44) Mustre de Leon, J.; Rehr, J. J.; Zabinsky, S. I.; Albers, R. C. Ab initio curved-wave x-
- ray-absorption fine structure. *Phys. Rev. B* **1991**, *44*, 4146.
- (45) Bosi, F.; Halenius, U.; Skogby, H. Crystal chemistry of the magnetite-ulvospinel series.
- *Am. Mineral.* **2009**, *94*, 181.
- (46) Downward, L.; Booth, C. H.; Lukens, W. W.; Bridges, F. A variation of the F-test for determining statistical relevance of particular parameters in EXAFS fits. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2007**, *882*, 129. (47) Bluhm, H.; Andersson, K.; Araki, T.; Benzerara, K.; Brown, G. E.; Dynes, J. J.; Ghosal, S.; Gilles, M. K.; Hansen, H. C.; Hemminger, J. C.; Hitchcock, A. P.; Ketteler, G.; Kilcoyne, A. L. D.; Kneedler, E.; Lawrence, J. R.; Leppard, G. G.; Majzlan, J.; Mun, B. S.; Myneni, S. C. B.; Nilsson, A.; Ogasawara, H.; Ogletree, D. F.; Pecher, K.; Salmeron, M.; Shuh, D. K.; Tonner, B.; Tyliszczak, T.; Warwick, T.; Yoon, T. H. Soft X-ray microscopy and spectroscopy at the Molecular Environmental Science beamline at the Advanced Light Source. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **2006**, *150*, 86. (48) van der Laan, G.; Kirkman, I. W. The 2p absorption spectra of 3d transition metal compounds in tetrahedral and octahedral symmetry. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **1992**, *4*, 4189. (49) van der Laan, G.; Thole, B. T. Strong magnetic X-ray dichroism in 2p absorption spectra of 3d transition metal ions. *Phys. Rev. B* **1991**, *43*, 13401. (50) Pattrick, R. A. D.; Van der Laan, G.; Henderson, C. M. B.; Kuiper, P.; Dudzik, E.; Vaughan, D. J. Cation site occupancy in spinel ferrites studied by X-ray magnetic circular dichroism: developing a method for mineralogists. *Eur. J. Mineral.* **2002**, *14*, 1095. (51) Pearce, C. I.; Henderson, C. M. B.; Pattrick, R. A. D.; Van der Laan, G.; Vaughan, D. J. 551 Direct determination of cation site occupancies in natural ferrite spinels by L_2 , L_3 X-ray
552 absorption spectroscopy and X-ray magnetic circular dichroism. Am. Mineral. 2006, 91 absorption spectroscopy and X-ray magnetic circular dichroism. *Am. Mineral.* **2006**, *91*, 880. (52) Liang, L. Y.; Gu, B. H.; Yin, X. P. Removal of technetium-99 from contaminated groundwater with sorbents and reductive materials. *Separ. Technol.* **1996**, *6*, 111. (53) Cui, D. Q.; Eriksen, T. E. Reduction of pertechnetate by ferrous iron in solution: influence of sorbed and precipitated Fe(II). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30*, 2259. (54) Peretyazhko, T.; Zachara, J. M.; Heald, S. M.; Jeon, B. H.; Kukkadapu, R. K.; Liu, C.; Moore, D.; Resch, C. T. Heterogeneous reduction of Tc(VII) by Fe(II) at the solid-water interface. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2008**, *72*, 1521. (55) Peretyazhko, T.; Zachara, J. M.; Heald, S. M.; Kukkadapu, R. K.; Liu, C.; Plymale, A. E.; Resch, C. T. Reduction of Tc(VII) by Fe(II) sorbed on Al (hydr)oxides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *42*, 5499. (56) Zachara, J. M.; Heald, S. M.; Jeon, B.-H.; Kukkadapu, R. K.; Liu, C.; McKinley, J. P.; Dohnalkova, A. C.; Moore, D. A. Reduction of pertechnetate Tc(VII) by aqueous Fe(II) and the nature of solid phase redox products. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2007**, *71*, 2137. (57) Nguyen, T. K. T.; Maclean, N.; Mahiddine, S. Mechanisms of nucleation and growth of nanoparticles in solution. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 7610. (58) Sasai, R.; Norimatsu, W.; Matsumoto, Y. Nitrate-ion-selective exchange ability of
	- layered double hydroxide consisting of Mg-II and Fe-II. *J. Hazard. Mat.* **2012**, *215*, 311.
	- (59) Wang, Y.; Gao, H. Compositional and structural control on anion sorption capability of
	- layered double hydroxides (LDHs). *J. Colloid. Interface. Sci.* **2006**, *301*, 19.
	- (60) Collyer, S.; Grimes, N. W.; Vaughan, D. J.; Longworth, G. Studies of the crystal-
	- structure and crystal-chemistry of titanomaghemite. *Am. Mineral.* **1988**, *73*, 153.
	- (61) Berry, F. J.; Greaves, C.; Helgason, O.; McManus, J.; Palmer, H. M.; Williams, R. T.
	- 575 Structural and magnetic properties of Sn-, Ti-, and Mg-substituted alpha-Fe₂O₃: A study by
576 neutron diffraction and Mossbauer spectroscopy. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2000**, 151, 157.
	- neutron diffraction and Mossbauer spectroscopy. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2000**, *151*, 157.
- (62) Berry, F. J.; Bohorquez, A.; Helgason, O.; Jiang, J. Z.; McManus, J.; Moore, E.;
- Mortimer, M.; Mosselmans, F.; Morup, S. An investigation of the local environments of tin in 579 tin-doped alpha-Fe₂O₃. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2000**, *12*, 4043.
- (63) Berry, F. J.; Greaves, C.; Helgason, O.; McManus, J. Synthesis and characterization of tin-doped iron oxides. *J. Mater. Chem.* **1999**, *9*, 223.
- (64) Berry, F. J.; Skinner, S. J.; Helgason, O.; Bilsborrow, R.; Marco, J. F. Location of tin and
- charge balance in materials of composition Fe3-xSnxO4 (x<0.3). *Polyhedron* **1998**, *17*, 149.
- (65) Faggiani, R.; Lock, C. J. L.; Poce, J. Structure of ammonium pertechnetate at 295, 208 and 141 K. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B-Struct. Commun.* **1980**, *36*, 231.
- (66) McGregor, D.; Burton-Pye, B. P.; Howell, R. C.; Mbomekalle, I. M.; Lukens, W. W.;
- Bian, F.; Mausolf, E.; Poineau, F.; Czerwinski, K. R.; Francesconi, L. C. Synthesis, structure elucidation, and redox properties of Tc-99 complexes of lacunary Wells-Dawson
- polyoxometalates: insights into molecular Tc-99-metal oxide interactions. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**,
- *50*, 1670.
- (67) Lukens, W. W.; Bucher, J. J.; Edelstein, N. M.; Shuh, D. K. Products of pertechnetate
- 592 radiolysis in highly alkaline solution: Structure of TcO₂•xH₂O. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *36*, 1124.
- (68) Pearce, C. I.; Henderson, C. M. B.; Telling, N. D.; Pattrick, R. A. D.; Charnock, J. M.;
- Coker, V. S.; Arenholz, E.; Tuna, F.; van der Laan, G. Fe site occupancy in magnetite-ulvospinel
- solid solutions: A new approach using X-ray magnetic circular dichroism. *Am. Mineral.* **2010**, *95*, 425.
- (69) Pearce, C. I.; Qafoku, O.; Liu, J.; Arenholz, E.; Heald, S. M.; Kukkadapu, R. K.; Gorski,
- 599 C. A.; Henderson, C. M. B.; Rosso, K. M. Synthesis and properties of titanomagnetite (Fe₃₋₆₀₀ \overline{x} Ti_xO₄) nanoparticles: A tunable solid-state Fe(II/III) redox system. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*
- xTixO4) nanoparticles: A tunable solid-state Fe(II/III) redox system. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*
- **2012**, *387*, 24.
- (70) Smith, P. P. K. Spinodal decomposition in a titanomagnetite. *Am. Mineral.* **1980**, *65*, 1038.
- (71) Lilova, K. I.; Pearce, C. I.; Rosso, K. M.; Navrotsky, A. Energetics of spinels in the Fe-
- Ti-O system at the nanoscale. *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **2014**, *15*, 3655.
- (72) Pearce, C. I.; Liu, J.; Baer, D. R.; Qafoku, O.; Heald, S. M.; Arenholz, E.; Grosz, A. E.;
- McKinley, J. P.; Resch, C. T.; Bowden, M. E.; Engelhard, M. H.; Rosso, K. M. Characterization
- 608 of natural titanomagnetites (Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO₄) for studying heterogeneous electron transfer to Tc(VII)
- in the Hanford subsurface. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2014**, *128*, 114.
-

611 TOC Figure:

612

615

613

-
- 616
- 617
-
- 618